



City of Melbourne Open Space Strategy

Technical Report

City of Melbourne Open Space Strategy Technical Report

Prepared by:



**Thompson Berrill
Landscape Design Pty Ltd**

and



**Environment &
Land Management Pty Ltd**

in association with:

Professor Nigel Tapper, Monash University

Dr Serryn Eagleston of EDGResearch

June 2012

Explanatory note:

This Open Space Strategy Technical Report provides the supporting technical research, analysis and detailed recommendations for the *City of Melbourne Open Space Strategy (2012)*

Table of contents

	Page #
1. Introduction	1
1.1 The value of open space in the City of Melbourne	1
1.2 Strategy scope and purpose	5
1.3 Definition of open space	5
1.3.1 Introduction and background	5
1.3.2 Definition of open space for this strategy	6
1.3.3 Ancillary open space	6
1.3.4 Publicly accessible private open space	7
1.4 Vision and goals	8
1.4.1 Community vision and goals	8
1.4.2 How the open space strategy delivers these goals	8
2. Strategic context	10
2.1 The importance of open space to the community	10
2.2 Relevant City of Melbourne and Victorian Government policies and strategies	12
3. Open Space Framework	14
3.1 Standards for provision of open space	14
3.1.1 Overview	14
3.1.2 Various planning frameworks guiding the future provision of open space	15
3.2 Open space use hierarchy for the City of Melbourne	18
3.2.1 Overview	18
3.2.2 Hierarchy	18
3.2.3 Analysis of the hierarchy	20
3.2.4 Conclusions	22
3.3 Open space character classification	22
3.3.1 Overview	22
3.3.2 Character classification	22
3.3.3 Analysis of future provision of open space character types	24
3.3.4 Conclusions	24
4. Melbourne's existing open space network	26
4.1 Strengths of the existing open space network	26
4.1.1 Major parks and gardens	26
4.1.2 Waterways and harbours	30
4.1.3 Natural biodiversity values	32
4.1.4 Community recreational use and physical health	34

4.2	Quantity of open space	38
4.2.1	Municipality-wide	38
4.2.2	Open space quantity by precinct	40
4.3	Distribution	48
4.3.1	Overview	48
4.3.2	Accessibility	48
4.3.3	Analysis of the distribution of different types of open space	50
4.3.4	Gaps in open space distribution	58
4.3.5	Conclusions from the open space gap analysis	60
5.	Key drivers for open space planning in the future	62
<hr/>		
5.1	Introduction	62
5.2	Urban renewal areas and future change	63
5.2.1	Overview	63
5.2.2	Key issues	67
5.2.3	Conclusions	69
5.3	Capital city role	70
5.3.1	Overview	70
5.3.2	Key issues	72
5.3.3	Conclusions and future directions	74
5.4	Health and wellbeing	77
5.4.1	Overview	77
5.4.2	Key issues	78
5.4.3	Conclusions	82
5.5	Climate change	84
5.5.1	Overview	84
5.5.2	Key issues	84
5.5.3	Conclusions	89
5.6	Open space partnerships with the Victorian Government	89
5.6.1	Overview	89
5.6.2	Key issues	91
5.6.3	Conclusions	94
5.7	Open space contributions	95
5.7.1	Overview	95
5.7.2	Key issues	95
5.7.3	Conclusions	97
6.	Municipal-wide recommendations	98
<hr/>		
6.1	The role and purpose of different types of new open space	98
6.1.1	Overview	98
6.1.2	Additional Capital City open space	100
6.1.3	Additional Municipal open space	100
6.1.4	Additional Neighbourhood open space	101

6.1.5	Additional Local and Small Local open space	102
6.1.6	Links between existing and new open space	103
6.2	Quality of existing and new open space	103
6.2.1	Overview	103
6.2.2	Health and wellbeing	104
6.2.3	Climate change	107
6.2.4	Biodiversity	108
6.2.5	Cultural heritage	109
6.3	Provision of additional open space	110
6.3.1	Assessment criteria for suitability of land as public open space	110
6.3.2	Sub-precinct analysis for additional open space	113
6.3.3	Methods to acquire/secure additional open space	113
6.4	Changes to forecast population and development over the strategy timeframe	113
6.4.1	Changes to forecast growth	113
6.4.2	Potential disposal of open space	114
6.5	Development interface with open space	115
6.5.1	Guidelines for development adjoining or nearby open space	115
7.	Recommendations for precincts with urban renewal areas	118
<hr/>		
7.1	Introduction	118
7.2	Carlton Carlton North (City North)	119
7.3	Docklands	143
7.4	Flemington Ascot Vale (Racecourse Rail Corridor)	162
7.5	Kensington (Arden Macaulay)	170
7.6	Melbourne, 3000 (City North)	185
7.7	North Melbourne (Arden Macaulay)	205
7.8	Southbank	229
7.9	West Melbourne (City North, E-Gate, Arden Macaulay, Dynon)	249
8.	Recommendations for remaining precincts	279
<hr/>		
8.1	Introduction	279
8.2	East Melbourne	280
8.3	Melbourne 3004(St Kilda Road) South Yarra	296
8.4	Parkville	317
8.5	Port Melbourne	332
9.	Implementation	341
<hr/>		
9.1	Responsibilities	341
9.2	Funding	343

9.2.1	Capital works	343
9.2.2	Land acquisition	343
9.3	Open space contributions	344
9.3.1	Value of annual contributions	344
9.3.2	Open space contributions framework	344
9.3.3	Contribution rate to be established	345
9.3.4	Anticipated value of future contribution	347
9.3.5	Land contributions	347
9.3.6	Demonstrated need for more open space as a result of subdivision	348
9.4	Priorities	350
9.5	Monitoring	351

References **352**

List of strategy drawings

Figure 1(a)	Existing open space in the City of Melbourne	4
Figure 3(a)	Open space hierarchy in the City of Melbourne	21
Figure 4(m)	Gaps in open space distribution in the City of Melbourne	57

Appendices

Appendix A

Consultation Report on household survey outcomes completed during the research phase of the strategy

Appendix B

Report on the survey outcomes on the Draft Open Space Strategy



1. Introduction

1.1 The value of open space in the City of Melbourne

The City of Melbourne is located on the traditional land of the Kulin Nation. For the Wurundjeri, Boonerwung, Taungurong, Djajawurrung, and the Wauthaurong groups who form the Kulin Nation, Melbourne has always been an important meeting place for events, of social, education, sporting and cultural significance.

The major open spaces in Melbourne were set aside at the time of European settlement from 1842 onwards on the outskirts of the central city (Hoddle Grid) as part of Governor La Trobe's vision of a green belt of parks encircling Melbourne. They include Royal Park, Princes Park, Flagstaff Gardens, Royal Botanic Gardens, Fitzroy Gardens, Treasury Gardens, Domain Parklands, Fawkner Park and Carlton Gardens.

These larger original reserves are synonymous with the character of Melbourne, as are some of the more contemporary open spaces including Federation Square, Southbank Promenade and Birrarung Marr. Major festivals and events are held in Melbourne's open space including Moomba and New Years Eve along the Yarra River, the Australian Open at Melbourne Park, cricket and AFL at the MCG and numerous other major sporting events that form part of Melbourne's image and identity and contribute to the City of Melbourne's reputation as one of the world's most liveable cities.

Diversity is a core strength and feature of open space in the City of Melbourne. This diversity ranges from historical gardens to expansive parks, major sporting reserves, local parks, smaller urban spaces, forecourts and unique squares within the municipality. The diversity of open space offers a variety of informal,

unstructured and organised sport and recreation opportunities for residents, workers and visitors alike.

The waterways flowing through Melbourne include the Yarra River, Maribyrnong River and Moonee Ponds Creek. The Yarra River was one of the main reasons for the establishment of Melbourne, influencing the alignment of the Hoddle Grid and Melbourne's layout. Early settlers modified the alignment, shape and natural values to suit land use changes, industrialisation and the growing city's needs. Today the Yarra River flows through the central city with linear open space to the both sides. It is highly valued and cherished, particularly the open space along the Yarra River which provides a unique setting and venue for characteristic Melbourne events including Moomba and the New Year's Eve celebrations.

The river is used regularly for rowing and other water-based sports like kayaking and also as a transport corridor for visitors to the city, particularly between the central city and the sports and entertainment precinct. The linear open space connects some of Melbourne's prominent open spaces including the Royal Botanic Gardens, The Tan, Queen Victoria Gardens, Melbourne Park, Olympic Park and the MCG. Facilities include major sport and entertainment venues, high quality community sporting facilities, shared trails, running/walking trail, picnic facilities, seating, playgrounds, informal open grassed areas and seats in the shade for quiet contemplation. The diverse facilities attract thousands of people each day including international visitors, interstate visitors and people from metropolitan Melbourne, regional Victoria and the local resident and worker population.

For many years settlement turned its back on the waterways and harbour causing degradation to their natural, cultural and biodiversity values. In the 1980s Melbourne changed its view of its rivers and creeks and development has since turned around to face the waterways and harbours and provide open space along them. Waterways are now used for recreational activities including recreational boating, rowing, jogging, cycling and walking, and are recognised for their biodiversity and habitat corridor values. Other significant land use located along the Maribyrnong River includes the Flemington Racecourse and The Port of Melbourne. Moonee Ponds Creek provides north south connectivity and includes Port of Melbourne and major road and rail transport use at its interface.

The City of Melbourne has large natural open space areas in close proximity to the central city including Treasury Gardens, Fitzroy Gardens, King's Domain, Alexandra Gardens, Royal Botanical Gardens, Flagstaff Gardens, Carlton Gardens and the banks of the Yarra River. Access to these natural areas close to the city centre is a key feature of Melbourne's open space and an important consideration when developing structure plans for urban renewal areas. Recreational and visual access to the Yarra River, Victoria Harbour and Maribyrnong River are values associated with the open space that adjoins the waterways and there is potential to improve the integration between land and water based activities as water quality improves.

The natural character of open space supports environmental values and benefits. Natural open space provides water storage, reuse and water quality improvement; cleans the air through the presence of trees; cools spaces with shade; reduces the impact of wind with canopy trees and vegetation; and

reduces reflected heat with natural rather than hard paved surfaces. Recent research undertaken by Deakin University has found that natural open space contributes positively to people's health and wellbeing by reducing stress, boosting immunity, enhancing productivity and promoting healing. Open space is highly valued by residents for the respite that open space provides to inner city living, as a place where they can go to relax and unwind, and enjoy the views, shade and natural space as a contrast to the urban form, traffic noise and the pace of urban life.

The existing open space encourages the community outdoors to participate in organised sport, unstructured recreational activities and informal uses that promote social connectedness and community health and wellbeing. Royal Park, Princes Park, Fawkner Park and JJ Holland Park are some of the key open spaces that support this community use.

Over 14 per cent of the total municipal area of the City of Melbourne is open space. This provides a good balance with other land uses, particularly the contrast of open space with the high densities of the surrounding built form and the intensification of the central city. Smaller urban open spaces such as Federation Square are important gathering places that accommodate large numbers of people for a range of different events, which create public meeting places in the centre of Melbourne. There are many smaller areas of open space in the municipality that also function well as gathering and meeting places such as the City Square and the State Library Forecourt, and this contributes to social connectedness and safety in the city.

While overall the municipality has a good diversity of open space, some areas of the City of Melbourne lack adequate open space now. In addition, areas which are forecast to experience significant population growth are likely to need new open space, and this may include locations which are already inadequately provided for with open space.

Refer to Figure 1(a) Existing Open Space in the City of Melbourne on the following page for the location of the open space including parks, gardens, reserves, waterways and squares.



EXISTING OPEN SPACE JUNE 2012
CITY OF MELBOURNE OPEN SPACE STRATEGY MOSS-01 V7



FIGURE 1(a) Existing open space in the City of Melbourne

1.2 Strategy scope and purpose

The Open Space Strategy will provide the overarching framework and strategic direction for open space planning in the City of Melbourne for the next 15 years. The strategy includes consideration of the forecast population change based on the exhibited *Draft Municipal Strategic Statement (July 2010)* and provides the strategic basis for an open space contributions framework.

The strategy will enable us to:

- Provide an accessible open space network where the majority of people live and work within a 10 minute walk of open space.
- Liaise with the Victorian Government so that additional major open spaces can be provided in the centres of future growth for Melbourne, reinforcing and expanding its role as the capital city of the State of Victoria.
- Provide a network of diverse neighbourhood and local open spaces as the population grows so that Melbourne continues to be one of the world's most liveable cities.
- Improve the quality and design of the existing open spaces where needed to cater to the existing and forecast population, biodiversity and urban heat mitigation.
- Provide a set of prioritised actions for open space within the municipal context.
- Provide a strategic basis for an open space contributions framework that delivers additional high quality open space for the forecast future population.

1.3 Definition of open space

1.3.1 Introduction and background

Open space is a broad term that can be used to describe all land that does not contain buildings and structures. It can include public and private land. Public land is generally referred to as the 'public realm'. This strategy is about public open space and in particular certain types of public open space. These types of open space are a sub-set of the public realm.

The public open space addressed in this strategy includes the parks, gardens, reserves and large public gathering spaces. These are typically set aside for outdoor recreation, nature conservation and passive enjoyment and are open to the sky. Many of the larger parks and gardens were set aside during the early establishment of Melbourne to provide residents and workers with access to open space, as this was considered important during the industrial revolution in the mid-1800s. These large public open spaces today are highly valued and used by the local population and visitors from across Victoria, Australia and overseas. In planning for the future, the City of Melbourne recognises the need to plan for the public open space requirements of the forecast growth in our community.

This strategy supports the successful integration of public open space, the public realm and the private realm. It identifies the need for additional and improvements to the existing public open space including parks, gardens, reserves and larger public gathering space. Many of the existing and future additional public open spaces will benefit from improvements to the adjoining streets including traffic calming, pedestrianisation and healthy street trees. These types of improvements in the adjoining streets are guided by other strategies including the *Draft Urban Forest Strategy* and the *Draft Urban Design Strategy*. Open space improvements and new open space will be designed to integrate with the surrounding streetscapes, laneways and other public spaces around major infrastructure and buildings that together make up the public realm.

This strategy includes an open space contributions framework to support new, expanded or improved open space for the population that will locate in the City of Melbourne over the life of the strategy. The open space contributions framework includes a contribution rate of 8 per cent and 5 per cent, and the relevant rate will be applied to development sites depending on their location. The contribution comprises the percentage of the site's land area to be set aside for public open space or an equivalent cash payment based on the site value of undeveloped land. The rate will be applied to all eligible subdivisions and contributions will be spent in respect of land that is reserved for public open space, recreation and nature conservation. The contribution program is required to deliver public open space acquisition and capital projects that will meet the needs of the forecast new local population. This is one component of the proposed full program of recommendations to implement the outcomes of the Strategy for both the existing and forecast community. The contributions framework also relies on the Victorian Government contributing additional major areas of open space for a range of purposes.

1.3.2 Definition of open space for this strategy

The definition used in this *City of Melbourne Open Space Strategy* is:

All publicly owned land that is set aside primarily for recreation, nature conservation, passive outdoor enjoyment and public gatherings. This includes public parks, gardens, reserves, waterways, publicly owned forecourts and squares.

Throughout the strategy public open space is referred to as 'open space'.

1.3.3 Ancillary open space

Ancillary open space refers to other parts of the public realm such as streetscapes and the urban spaces between buildings. The existing and future design and provision of ancillary open space is guided by a range of other documents including structure plans, streetscape designs and other strategies.

School and university grounds are termed ancillary open space for the purposes of this strategy, especially where schools and universities can partner with the

City of Melbourne. School and university grounds can contain facilities that are similar to those found in open space including playgrounds, multipurpose courts, sports fields and outdoor seating areas. However, school and university land is not reserved for open space, and it can be sold for other purposes or converted through building construction. An example is an educational institution that requires additional buildings. The new buildings can be located on open land within the site, thereby changing its open space values.

Other public land that falls into the category of ancillary open space includes the Melbourne General Cemetery in Carlton, Flemington Racecourse and encumbered land associated with major transport corridors including the land under the West Gate Freeway, CityLink Tollway, railways and the encumbered land adjacent to Moonee Ponds Creek.

The distinction between public open space and ancillary open space is that non-recreation based uses are the priority for these other areas. In some instances the land may be encumbered by this use for example overshadowed by freeways or subject to frequent flooding, and therefore their design and use as open space is significantly impacted by these other uses or encumbrances. Ancillary open space complements the public open space network, however it should not be considered as a replacement for public open space unless it is unencumbered, and can be formally recognised for this purpose and rezoned as public open space.

It is important that this strategy focuses on ensuring there is adequate provision of open space and facilities that will be retained for future generations, without inherent encumbrances to its use or the ability for that land to be sold or converted to another use without clear demonstration that it is no longer required by the community as open space.

1.3.4 Publicly accessible private open space

Publicly accessible private open space can complement the public open space system. Examples of publicly accessible private open space are church grounds and private building forecourts. These are not relied on as a replacement for public open space where the City of Melbourne does not have direct control over them. These areas contribute to the quality of the urban landscape, can have some benefits in mitigation of the urban heat island effect associated with climate change, and contribute to the landscape character of an area, however they can be redesigned or replaced at any time at the private land owner's discretion. Public access to these spaces can also be restricted at any time and limitations on public use and behaviour can be imposed by the private owner. Therefore publicly accessible private open space may contribute to the character and amenity of the public realm but is not a replacement for public open space.

1.4 Vision and goals

1.4.1 Community vision and goals

The Council Plan 2009 - 2013 outlines the following community vision:

A bold, inspirational and sustainable city

Six goals to achieve this vision include:

- *a city of people*
- *a creative city*
- *a prosperous city*
- *a city of knowledge*
- *an eco-city*
- *a connected city*

Open space has an active role in supporting this vision, and this strategy guides the future provision and design of open space to meet this overall vision and goals.

1.4.2 How the open space strategy delivers these goals

A city of people

- Open space that is easily accessible (within a 10 minute walk) to people of all ages, abilities, health, gender and culture.
- Open space designed to encourage people to exercise, socialise, relax, rest, play, be outside which all contribute to their health and wellbeing.
- Holding events in open space encouraging the community who live and work nearby to socialise and visit these spaces as an integral part of their neighbourhood.

A creative city

- Creative, innovative and inspirational open spaces in both their design and management that fosters the creative spirit of the City of Melbourne.
- Staging events in open space that support and promote creativity.
- Local open spaces that reflect the creativity of the community who live and work around it.

A prosperous city

- Continue to provide major sport and event venues that facilitate Melbourne and the State of Victoria attracting major international events like the Australian Open and the Commonwealth Games.
- Continue to provide an adequate amount of high quality open space so that Melbourne continues to be one of the world's most liveable cities, attracting businesses and residents to locate in the municipality.
- Open space that continues to support the vibrancy and role of Melbourne as the capital of the State of Victoria.

- Open space that is flexible and adaptable allowing the City of Melbourne to adapt to changing needs in the future.

A city of knowledge

- Open space that caters well to the large student population that lives in the knowledge precinct, supporting this long established tradition.
- Open space that serves the workers in the knowledge precinct.
- Innovative solutions to the provision, design and use of open space.

An eco-city

- Open space that supports and encourages sustainable water use.
- Open space that plays a key role in mitigating urban heat build up.
- Open space that provides space for large trees to assist with carbon sequestration and cooling the spaces in the summer.
- Open space that encourages sustainable forms of transport including walking and cycling.
- Improve the ecological and biodiversity values of open space.

A connected city

- A linked and accessible open space network that connects to key facilities in the population centres, encouraging people to meet and socialise.
- A linked open space system that strengthens native habitat connectivity and biodiversity.



2. Strategic context

2.1 The importance of open space to the community

Melbourne is identified as one of the world's most liveable cities. The measurement of liveability takes into account five main areas including stability, health care, culture and environment, education, and infrastructure. Open space contributes to liveability and a vibrant working community in Melbourne.

During the research phase of this strategy, a survey was distributed to all households in the City of Melbourne to gain an understanding of what is important about open space. Just over 1,400 surveys were completed and returned and the results of these has informed the development of this strategy along with other forms of research including site assessments, consultation with City of Melbourne officers and research into current best practice. The results of this survey are compiled in a separate background report to the strategy, dated May 2011 and included in Appendix A. Subsequently, the Draft Open Space Strategy was exhibited for four months and the feedback on the draft confirmed the directions and feedback received in the survey from the research phase.

The local community highly value open space, particularly for the choice and variety of open space, the close proximity of open space to their place of work or residence and the quality of the spaces. Residents nominate open space as one of the key reasons they choose to live in the City of Melbourne. The community is aware of continued development and growth in the municipality, and would like to ensure that all existing open space is retained and additional open space is provided to cater for population growth. The ability to access green, tranquil open space is noted as being of increasing importance as building density and height continues to expand.

Environmental sustainability is a key consideration raised by the community via the strategy household survey, especially sustainable water use including alternative water sources to maintain the trees and gardens in times of drought.

Trees are the most highly valued feature in open space, and watering trees was given the highest priority in relation to overall water use in open space via the 2009 Park Satisfaction Survey.

The intangible qualities of open space were important to more than 70 per cent of the household survey respondents. These qualities include open space as a place that is quiet and peaceful, an escape from the urban environment, a place to be outdoors in the fresh air, and as a place to relax and unwind. These were also supported by the outcomes of council's Park Satisfaction Survey that identified people visit open space so that they can be outdoors and to relax as a break from work. This direction was further supported in the feedback on the draft strategy included in Appendix B.

The most popular open spaces visited by the respondents to the strategy household survey include:

- Royal Botanic Gardens (this may also include visits to The Tan and King's Domain)
- Fitzroy Gardens
- Royal Park
- Carlton Gardens
- Yarra Trail.

The major reasons people visit open space include:

- walking
- exercising
- jogging
- relaxation/ambience
- dog walking
- travelling through on their way to somewhere else.

The City of Melbourne *Children's Plan* identifies that children value spending time in parks and that children particularly like the grass, trees and flowers and they would like to see more of these in the municipality. The *Children's Plan* notes that children like visiting the playgrounds and using the equipment although they would like to ensure there is equipment for younger as well as older children. The plan notes that children value the open space as a place away from traffic, where there are only bicycles and pedestrians and you can take your dog for a walk.

During both phases of consultation, the community expressed the need to ensure there is no further loss of open space to development and that additional open space is required as urban densities increase across the municipality in the future.

2.2 Relevant City of Melbourne and Victorian Government policies and strategies


The City of Melbourne has undertaken an extensive planning exercise to re-vision the future of the municipality. The results of this are shown in the *Draft Municipal Strategic Statement (July 2010)* and will transform the central city and the adjoining suburbs to the north and north west of the centre. This direction is consistent with the Victorian Government's forward transport planning including the new metro rail tunnel link that will provide new Metro Stations in the municipality. The long-term aim of the *Draft Municipal Strategic Statement (July 2010)* is to ensure there is a vibrant, mixed-use municipal core surrounded by more stable neighbourhoods and heritage precincts. There will be a substantial increase in both residents and employment along with the renewal of some areas.

In addition to the draft Municipal Strategic Statement, other major policies that underpin the principles and directions in this strategy include:

- The Victorian Government is in the process of preparing a new Metropolitan Planning Strategy. In the interim, *Melbourne 2030*, and *Melbourne @ 5 Million: a planning update to Melbourne 2030* provide guidance at the state level.
- The *City of Melbourne Council Plan* incorporating the Municipal Public Health and Wellbeing Plan.
- Structure plans for the urban renewal areas.
- The *City of Melbourne Climate Change Adaptation Strategy* (2009), which provides overall direction and support to climate change adaptation measures included in the *Open Space Strategy*.
- The *Total Watermark: City as a catchment* (City of Melbourne, 2009) which supports many of the principles regarding sustainable water use currently being implemented in the parks and gardens, and supported to be continued in this strategy.
- The *Draft Urban Forest Strategy* (City of Melbourne, 2011) which provides the framework and direction for the ongoing management of trees in the public realm, including open space.
- The *Active Melbourne Strategy - A plan for physical activity* (2007) which provides overall direction and support for recreation use of open space.
- The *City of Melbourne Transport Strategy* (2012), which includes direction for managing the road transport network including promoting cycle and pedestrian use of roads.
- The *Towards a better 'Public Melbourne' Draft Urban Design Strategy* (2006) which guides the direction for all public realm in the municipality.
- A range of current master plans for existing major open spaces in the municipality.

Figure 2(a) illustrates diagrammatically key documents that inform this Strategy.

Figure 2(a) Diagram illustrating the key relevant policies and strategies





3. Open space framework

3.1 Standards for provision of open space

3.1.1 Overview

Open space in the municipality was set aside as early as the 1840s, once Crown Land was able to be reserved for specific purposes after 1842. Royal Park, the Royal Botanic Gardens, Fitzroy Gardens and King's Domain were all set aside prior to 1850. From the 1850s through to the 1870s many of the major parks and gardens in Melbourne were established as part of Governor La Trobe's vision for Melbourne to be ringed by open space. The vision has served the community well over the years as Melbourne has expanded and intensified in the centre and to the east.

The municipality entered an era of substantial redevelopment from the 1980s onwards with urban renewal occurring in Kensington (former Newmarket Saleyards), Docklands (former Port of Melbourne Authority land), Southbank (former industrial land on the south side of the Yarra River) and the Melbourne 3000 central city (encouraging mixed use, particularly residential use back in to the central city). During this time some new open space has been added to the original network including Birrarung Marr, Southbank Boulevard, Riverside Park and Docklands Park.

Over the next 15 years, the municipality will experience further major change with the continued redevelopment of Docklands, Southbank and the Melbourne 3000 central city, and embarking on new urban renewal projects in North Melbourne, Kensington, West Melbourne, Carlton and Flemington. It is recommended that new open space will be added to the network in response to the continued growth of Melbourne.

During the recent decades of growth, Melbourne's major sporting events have continued to expand and there has consequently been some loss of open parkland that is available to the local community to use in order to provide built facilities catering to these major events including at Melbourne Park, Olympic Park, Royal Park and Yarra Park. There has also been a reduction in the number of sporting fields in the municipality to establish other features such as wetlands and revegetation areas.

This strategy provides direction for the provision of additional open space provision over the next 15 years to respond to planned urban redevelopment and for the municipality as a whole in accordance with the forecast population growth and redevelopment. The strategy accounts for the open space needs of both the existing and forecast population and for the role that the City of Melbourne plays as the capital city of the State of Victoria.

3.1.2 Various planning frameworks guiding the future provision of open space

3.1.2a Growth Areas Authority Precinct Structure Planning Guidelines

The Victorian Growth Areas Authority published *Precinct Structure Planning Guidelines* (2009). These include a section on open space that is designed to guide future open space provision in greenfield developments for the designated growth corridors of metropolitan Melbourne. The principles for open space provision in the greenfield areas can also be considered in relation to the large scale urban renewal areas within existing urban areas as is currently proposed in parts of the City of Melbourne. This is due to the substantial change in land use and population being proposed in areas that were formerly primarily industrial and storage areas. These areas of urban renewal are planned to be converted to high density mixed use areas that include significantly increased residential and non-residential populations.

The provision of open space guidelines, as contained in the GAA *Precinct Structure Planning Guidelines* include, in summary:

- Local parks within 400 metres safe walking distance of 95 per cent of all dwellings.
- Active open space within 1 kilometre of 95 per cent of all dwellings.
- Linear parks and trails within 1 kilometre of 95 per cent of all dwellings.
- 10 per cent of the land area for residential development to be provided as open space, with 6 per cent as active open space.
- In addition to 10 per cent open space, residential precincts should contain indoor recreation facilities.
- In major employment areas, approximately 2 per cent of the net developable area is to be set aside as public open space, usually with a passive recreation function.
- Encumbered land should be used productively for open space. Encumbered land usually includes land retained for drainage, electricity, biodiversity and cultural heritage purposes.

- Active open space should generally require at least 8 hectares.
- Both quality and quantity of open space is important.

When these guidelines are applied to the urban renewal areas in practice, the land areas nominated are not necessarily feasible, however they provide a comparison for reference during the ongoing implementation of the strategy. Refer to section 3.1.2e for further information on how these have informed this strategy.

3.1.2b London's open space planning guidelines

Between 1999 and 2011, the Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment (CABE) was the British Government's advisor on architecture, urban design and public space. CABE undertook extensive research into various aspects of public open space, including developing the document *Open Space Strategies Best Practice Guidance 2009* with the Greater London Authority. These guidelines for provision of open space are shown in the following Table 3(i).

Table 3(i) London's public open space hierarchy

Open space categorisation	Size guidelines	Distance from home
Regional parks	400 hectares	3.2 to 8 kilometres
Metropolitan parks	60 hectares	3.2 kilometres
District Parks	20 hectares	1.2 kilometres
Local parks and open spaces	2 hectares	400 metres
Small open spaces	Under 2 hectares	Less than 400 metres
Pocket parks	Under 0.4 hectares	Less than 400 metres
Linear open space	Variable	Wherever feasible

The London open space hierarchy system is generally consistent with the former Clause 56 standards in the Melbourne Planning Scheme, as described below. These have informed the development of the open space use hierarchy in this strategy, as described further in section 3.1.2e.

3.1.2c Melbourne Planning scheme

The open space standards in Clause 56 of all Victorian planning schemes were modified in line with growth area planning. The previous standards were well accepted and they are applicable in existing established urban areas. These open space standards include:

Provide a network of well-distributed Regional and Local open space that includes:

- *Regional public open space where appropriate, including along foreshores, streams and permanent water bodies.*
- *Regional parks of at least 3 hectares, combining passive and active use, within 2 kilometres of all dwellings.*

- *Large local parks of at least 1 hectare for active and passive use, within 500 metres safe walking distance from all dwellings.*
- *Small local parks within 150 metres to 300 metres safe walking distance from all dwellings, where appropriate.*

3.1.2d Melbourne 2030

Melbourne 2030 (Direction 5) contains principles and directions for open space planning that include

- A Parkland Code that supports community involvement in open space planning; transparent planning processes; security for the future of open space; principles for disposal of open space; and maintenance or enhancement of diversity in the open space system.
- Parks Victoria is responsible for regional parks and trails, and for delivering its metropolitan strategic plan, *Linking People and Spaces*.
- Councils are to plan and manage their municipal open space.
- Open space is to be provided within reasonable walking distance of residents, with links to open space networks.

3.1.2e Conclusion

These different open space planning frameworks have been considered in the relation to the current and future provision of open space in the municipality. This strategy has adopted a combination of elements from the different frameworks described to establish a use hierarchy system and a character classification system that creates a specific open space framework for the City of Melbourne.

The use hierarchy and specified walking distances have been applied to the existing open space system to identify current gaps in the open space network and inform the analysis of the open space that will be required in the future. The character classification system identifies the diversity of open space, and direction on maintaining and improving diversity in the future.

The open space framework for Melbourne described in Section 3.2 responds to both the incremental growth that will occur throughout the municipality, and can be applied to the existing and future urban renewal areas. The analysis and resultant recommendations after applying the principles of this framework are described by precinct in Sections 6, 7 and 8 of this strategy.

3.2 Open space use hierarchy for the City of Melbourne

3.2.1 Overview

The open space hierarchy and classification for this strategy defines the types of open space in the municipality. It is used to document the character, intended use and distribution of the existing open space, and assess what types of open space are required in the future.

The hierarchy describes the level and use of an open space, and the classification defines the character of the space which is described later in Section 3.3.

The hierarchy has been assigned to all individual open spaces based on the existing use and in some cases its size. The Capital City, State and Regional open space is not necessarily based on size given that these roles can be fulfilled by any size of open space. The other hierarchy types of Municipal, Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space are more closely linked to size, as this is about the physical area available to accommodate the different levels of use.

3.2.2 Hierarchy

Table 3(ii) Hierarchy of open space in the City of Melbourne

Open Space	Size	Distance from the population	Purpose of open space
Capital City	Unlimited	No specific distance, as this open space is defined by its purpose	Iconic open space that is primarily established and managed to stage activities and events of international, national, state and metropolitan importance, catering to a broader public need. These spaces are synonymous with the character of the City of Melbourne and provide facilities that are known by and primarily for international and interstate visitors to Victoria and the City of Melbourne. Examples include Birrarung Marr, Domain Parklands, Federation Square, Royal Botanic Gardens, Fitzroy Gardens and the Yarra River Banks. Some have restricted access and include Melbourne Park, Olympic Park and the MCG.
State	Unlimited	No specific distance, as this open space is provided for a broad catchment of users	Open space that is primarily set aside and managed for the whole of the State of Victoria and are not integrally linked to the image of Melbourne as is the case with Capital City open space. These areas include Royal Park, Yarra Park and Goschs Paddock.

Open Space	Size	Distance from the population	Purpose of open space
Regional	Unlimited	No specific distance, as this open space is provided for a broad regional catchment	Primary purpose caters to a broader Melbourne-wide catchment of visitors, as well the local community. Generally these are easily accessible to people from adjoining municipalities and may be of regional recreational importance and use and/or regional environmental value. For example, linear open space along the waterways (other than those located through the central city adjacent to the Yarra River, which are designated as Capital City open space) with habitat connectivity in the riparian zone, and/or regional trails that extend beyond the City of Melbourne boundaries, or major features that attract people from a broader catchment than Melbourne. Examples include Princes Park, Maribyrnong River, Moonee Ponds Creek, Fawkner Park, Carlton Gardens North and Westgate Park.
Municipal	min 3 hectares*	Located within 2 kilometres of all dwellings	Open space that is valued and visited primarily by the City of Melbourne population, providing facilities that of a broader scale than those of a local or neighbourhood focus. For example this may include sporting facilities, or historical gardens. Examples include JJ Holland Park, North Melbourne Recreation Reserve and Powlett Reserve. * There can be some Municipal open space smaller than the min 3 hectares where the reserve is a specialised facility on a smaller area of land, and fulfills a Municipal function. Example: Powlett Reserve.
Neighbourhood	min 1 hectare	Located within 500 metres safe walking distance from all dwellings	Provides for Neighbourhood use within walking distance of home with a diversity of character and facilities that appeal to the local community at a neighbourhood level. This means a family or groups of people can visit the park for an extended time, with a suitable range of facilities to meet their different needs. Examples include Argyle Square and Docklands Park.
Local	Minimum of 0.26 up to 0.99 hectares.	Located within 300 metres safe walking distance from all dwellings	Located within easy safe walking distance of home and large enough to provide for at least two activities in the one open space reserve - eg. a playground and open grassed area with seats. These are generally more intimate spaces that are not intended for large groups. Examples include Clayton Reserve, Jolimont Reserve, MacArthur Square and Sturt Street Reserve
Small Local	Minimum of 0.03 up to 0.25 hectares	Located within 300 metres safe walking distance from all dwellings	Located within easy safe walking distance of home and generally able to accommodate a single use including rest and relaxation, play, socialising, urban heat mitigation, contact with nature and memorial. Examples include Bellair Street Reserve, Chapman Street Reserve, Peppercorn Park and Golden Elm Reserve.

Open Space	Size	Distance from the population	Purpose of open space
Small Local Link	Usually less than 0.03 hectares	Not applicable	These areas of open space provide improved connectivity between streets and open space reserves. They are less than 0.03 hectares in size and only provide for the single use of a path connection between streets or open space reserves. Examples include Barring Walk and parts of the Stockyard route in Kensington.

3.2.3 Analysis of the hierarchy

The hierarchy has been assigned to all existing open space and the nominated walking distances applied where relevant to develop the open space distribution plan – refer to Drawing MOSS-02. Application of the hierarchy is further explained in Section 4 of this strategy.

Table 3(iii) Summary of open space by hierarchy in City of Melbourne

OPEN SPACE HIERARCHY	NO. OF RESERVES	AREA (ha)	AREA (m ²)	PROPORTION OF TOTAL OPEN SPACE
Capital City	29	163.03	1,630,283	29.7%
State	4	194.25	1,942,540	35.3%
Regional	20	134.59	1,345,910	24.5%
Municipal	6	22.11	221,104	4.0%
Neighbourhood	9	13.33	133,335	2.4%
Local	24	16.90	168,998	3.1%
Small Local	44	4.97	49,677	0.9%
Small Local Link	13	0.59	5,898	0.1%
TOTALS	149	549.77	5,497,745	100.0%
Restricted open space	10	97.73	977,264	15.1%
Total including restricted open space	159	647.50	6,475,009	

Table 3(iii) indicates that the approximately 65 per cent of the total open space land area in the municipality is Capital City and State open space. Adding Regional open space to the Capital City and State open space brings this to nearly 90 per cent of the total land area of open space being provided for others in addition to the local population. A proportion of the open space has restricted access on a fee-paying basis including the MCG, Melbourne Park, Olympic Park, Melbourne Zoological Gardens, Royal Park Golf Course, the State Netball and Hockey Centre along with other sites including the Richmond Football Club and Carlton Football Club grounds. These restricted areas of open space have been excluded from the totals nominated above, given they are not generally available to the public, and are shown at the end of Table 3 (iii). Restricted open space represents 15 per cent of the total open space in the municipality.



- ### DRAWING KEY
- CAPITAL CITY OPEN SPACE
500 m safe walking distance where they are not restricted access
 - STATE OPEN SPACE
500 m safe walking distance where they are not restricted access
 - REGIONAL OPEN SPACE
with 500m safe walking distance to a regional open space
 - MUNICIPAL OPEN SPACE
500m safe walking distance to a municipal open space
 - NEIGHBOURHOOD OPEN SPACE
500 m safe walking distance without crossing major roads
 - LOCAL OPEN SPACE
300 m safe walking distance without crossing primary or secondary arterials or railways
 - SMALL LOCAL OPEN SPACE
200 m safe walking distance without crossing collector roads, secondary or primary arterials
 - SMALL LOCAL LINK
less than 300m² in size (therefore no specific walking distance is applied)
 - OPEN SPACE PRECINCT BOUNDARY
 - MUNICIPAL BOUNDARY
 - TRAIN STATIONS & RAILWAYS
 - MAJOR ROADS

OPEN SPACE HIERARCHY JUNE 2012
CITY OF MELBOURNE OPEN SPACE STRATEGY MOSS-03 V7

FIGURE 3(a) Open space hierarchy in the City of Melbourne

3.2.4 Conclusions

The presence of the Capital City and State open space supports the role of the City of Melbourne as the capital of the State of Victoria. Regional open space also draws visitors from beyond the municipal boundary, and this will continue to be an important consideration in the future. Therefore, this strategy analyses the open space needs of both the local population and the wider visitor group in making recommendations about its future provision and design to ensure adequate open space is provided at both the local and capital city level. The analysis identifies potential areas where different types of open space may be lacking and where additional open space is required to make sure that open space can continue as a strength of the City of Melbourne, attract international and interstate visitors, and ensure that Melbourne remains one of the most liveable cities in the world.

3.3 Open space character classification

3.3.1 Overview

Open space has different characteristics and this influences the way in which open space is used and valued. Open space character is influenced by a range of factors including its location, level of development and interface with adjoining land use and urban form. The purpose of developing a character classification system is to quantify and assess the different types of open space provided in each precinct and whether there is adequate diversity of character to suit the existing and the forecast future community. The overall quantity of each type of character is not as relevant as their distribution at a precinct level. This will influence future recommendations in the strategy related to upgrading the design and facilities in both existing and future open space. Each open space is assigned a primary classification with one or more secondary classifications where applicable.

The analysis of the character classification at the precinct level informs which character may be required in new open spaces to maintain diversity in the future. It is anticipated that the City of Melbourne will use this as a tool to guide future design directions for open space, once the actual spaces are determined.

3.3.2 Character classification

Table 3(iii) Character classification for open space in the City of Melbourne

Character Classification	Description
Botanical	Where there is a significant botanical collection or where there is botanical interest. This can apply to indigenous and non-indigenous vegetation.
Civic space	Open space at the entrance to civic buildings and monuments,

Character Classification	Description
	of a size that allows for passive outdoor use such as seating, resting, gathering and viewing.
Events	Where events are regularly scheduled and held contributing to the character, design and function of the space.
Formal	Where the design and layout of the open space is formal in character.
Heritage	Where the presence of Indigenous and non-Indigenous history makes a significant contribution to the open space character and use.
Informal use	Primarily designed for non-active uses such as seating, play, viewing, strolling, picnic areas and social gatherings.
Linear	Linked or continuous reserves that are used primarily for travelling through or along. These reserves are located along waterways, drainage lines, easements and roads.
Linking space	Where open space provides a local link or connection between streets or individual open space reserves and is not part of a linear network.
Nature conservation	Where there is intent to protect and/or improve the biodiversity habitat values. This may include reserves with existing values, or those identified to have potential to provide this in the future.
Play	Where the character of the open space is dominated by a play facility and this is its primary purpose.
Railway easement/siding	Open space (other than linear) where the railway significantly influences the future use and design of the open space.
Recreation	Designed for unstructured recreation use including multipurpose courts, shared trails and paths, fitness equipment, open grassed areas for ball games etc. These are non club-based recreation activities and are available for the general community to use as required free of charge.
Restricted sporting/recreation	Available on a club membership or fee-paying basis only and not available for general public use at any time. This includes golf courses, tennis courts, bowling clubs and may also include facilities such as community gardens in the future
Seating/viewing	Where the character and major use of the open space is for seating and viewing.
Service easement	Open space where the level of use, design and management is influenced by the presence of services.
Significant road reservation	Road reserves of a significant size or width to contribute to the open space network for nature conservation, visual landscape or recreational use. This classification recognises the land's primary use and influence of the transport corridors, which can restrict the type and diversity of use possible in each these reserves.

Character Classification	Description
Sporting	Primarily provides for organised club-based sporting use and where the outdoor grounds and facilities are available for general public use when no organised sporting events are in progress or training.
Square	Where the facilities and open space respond to the surrounding development layout and character.
Undeveloped	Open space that has not been developed and contains little or no constructed facilities.
Urban plaza	The surrounding urban setting significantly influences the character and function of the space. These spaces are primarily located in commercial, or business precincts and are used as public places (eg. without commercial premises tables etc taking up more than 50 per cent of the space). A range of other urban design strategies and planning frameworks can guide these spaces.
Water feature	The presence of a wetland, lake or other water feature that makes a significant contribution to the value, character and use of the open space.
Waterway	The presence of a waterway makes a significant contribution to the character, value and use of the open space.

3.3.3 Analysis of future provision of open space character types

Overall, there is a good distribution of different open space character types in the municipality, reinforced by the strategy survey outcomes that identified diversity as a key strength of Melbourne's open space. The strategy supports the inclusion of a diverse range of additional open spaces, as well as ongoing upgrades to existing areas to refine the existing character, particularly in what are currently defined as informal and undeveloped spaces.

3.3.4 Conclusions

Some character types within the municipality will be expanded over the life of this strategy and these include (in alphabetical order):

Heritage

Opportunities for future provision of open space in areas of extensive urban renewal and within the existing urban fabric of the municipality are likely to partly be focussed around protection of the Indigenous and non-Indigenous heritage values. This includes church grounds, heritage buildings, established vegetation or natural features. Retaining a sense of our urban history and layering of these uses in the urban renewal areas can enrich both the historical and contemporary cultural heritage values of open space.

Linear

It is likely additional linear open space will be added to the network to improve the connectivity between existing areas and the urban renewal areas. This will be particularly important in achieving improved links to the north and west including links to North Melbourne and Kensington via the Moonee Ponds Creek, north to Flemington along the Maribyrnong River and east west connections from the Moonee Ponds Creek to the Maribyrnong River.

Sporting

The City of Melbourne Recreation Services Team has identified that additional open space is required for community sport and recreation to meet the needs of the forecast future population. This will include additional land area for the forecast population in Docklands, Southbank, West Melbourne, Arden Macaulay and City North to overcome existing gaps in municipal sporting open space. The size and type of facilities will require further articulation as the strategy is implemented. In Southbank, Arden Macaulay and Docklands additional indoor facilities are identified as potentially required in the ancillary open space under the existing freeways.

Restricted sporting/recreation

The state level restricted sporting/recreation facilities are located in Capital City and State open space. The need for and location of these facilities is frequently beyond the City of Melbourne's direct decision-making and control. The strategy highlights the need for community based organised sport, unstructured recreation and informal use of open space, and loss of open parkland to restricted facilities is not a preferred outcome for this strategy. Additional community based restricted sport and recreation use of open space is also not preferred and will only be considered where there is a clear demonstrated need for this. Refer to further discussion of this issue in Section 5.

Water feature

The presence of water features in open space may potentially increase over the next 15 years. This will be in response to the need for sustainable water use and implementation of the *Total Watermark Cities as a Catchment Strategy*, creating cooler spaces that assist to mitigate the build up of urban heat in urban areas. Creating the cooler spaces may include the installation of water quality treatment wetlands and water features utilising sustainable water sources that also deliver other benefits including increased biodiversity and varied landscape character settings for unstructured and informal use of open space.

Waterway

The majority of open space adjoining the waterways is linear. There are some locations where the width of open space directly adjoining the waterways widens, thereby providing adequate room for a variety of facilities and a more expansive setting adjacent to the waterway. The strategy identifies available opportunities to vary the width of open space adjacent to the waterways, to support a broader range of functions and uses adjacent to the water, particularly along the Yarra and Maribyrnong Rivers.



4. Melbourne's existing open space network

4.1 Strengths of the existing open space network

4.1.1 Major parks and gardens

4.1.1a Overview of historical establishment of the major parks and gardens

Robert Hoddle (1794–1881), a senior surveyor with the New South Wales Government arrived in the settlement of Port Phillip in 1837. He prepared the plan for the new town of Melbourne and placed a grid over the landscape on the north side of the Yarra River, irrespective of existing settlement patterns and natural features. The Hoddle Grid was set out in 1837 and provided wide blocks and large road reserves.

Melbourne slowly developed from 1837 onwards. Settlers arriving from Europe, where the industrialisation of cities was occurring, expressed the need to provide public open space as a breathing space for people living in the city. In 1842 it became possible to reserve Crown Land for particular purposes, including open space. Once this arrangement was in place, early reserves were established as part of Governor La Trobe's vision of a green belt of parks encircling Melbourne including:

- Royal Park was originally part of four square miles (1,035 hectares) set aside north of the town in 1845.
- Royal Botanic Gardens was established in 1846.
- Fitzroy Square was the original name for Fitzroy Gardens and was established in 1848
- Domain Park in South Yarra was established in 1848.

- Western Hill was originally set aside as an open square for public recreation (20 hectares) on Batman's Hill, but later removed for railway construction.

Officially proclaimed as a city in 1847, by 1850 Melbourne had a population of 20,000 and was becoming an administrative and commercial centre servicing a sparsely populated hinterland that delivered primary products overseas. By 1850 the earliest suburbs were already established including North Melbourne (originally known as Hotham), Carlton, Fitzroy, Collingwood and Richmond. Victoria was established as a colony in its own right (separate from New South Wales) in 1851. Melbourne's population expanded rapidly after the discovery of gold with Melbourne effectively becoming the financial capital of Australia due to the gold rush.

The period from the mid 1850s through to the 1870s saw many of Melbourne's current parks and gardens established including:

- 1854 - Royal Park
- 1856 - Parliament Gardens
- 1857 - Carlton Gardens
- 1850s - Yarra Park, including fencing of the Melbourne Cricket Ground in 1853 and Richmond Cricket Ground in 1855
- 1862 - Zoological Gardens
- 1862 - Flagstaff Gardens
- 1862 - Fawkner Park
- 1867 - Treasury Gardens
- 1870s - King's Domain

Through the 1860s Royal Park and Yarra Park were modified to include a range of other uses, mostly for public purposes, such as hospitals, roads, tramways, schools and police stations.

The Royal Botanic Gardens was established in 1846 with the first Director being Ferdinand von Mueller, who was instrumental in the original botanical plantings and setting up the Herbarium. In the 1870s, William Guilfoyle became the new Director of the Royal Botanic Gardens and redesigned them based on picturesque garden design elements including the ornamental lake and landscape islands, sloping green lawns, serpentine paths, specimen trees and pavilions strategically placed for views. Guilfoyle also contributed to the design of other public gardens at this time including Parliament and Treasury Gardens and King's Domain.

The construction of the Royal Exhibition Buildings between 1879 and 1880 reinforced Melbourne's image of Marvellous Melbourne – with the International exhibition of 1880 reflecting the confidence and aspirations of the metropolis. The Exhibition Buildings and Carlton Gardens are inscribed on the UNESCO World Heritage List *'as the main extant survivors of a Palace of Industry and its setting, together reflect the global influence of the international exhibition movement of the early 19th and 20th centuries'* (Lovell Chen, 2011). This is significant for its continuity of use as an exhibition hall and because the Royal Exhibition Buildings became centres of exchange of progressive human values. Carlton Gardens themselves are valued as an example of the Gardenesque style and later use of classical design elements in Australian public garden, incorporating an axial system of paths and ornamental planting in parterre garden beds.

Federation boosted Victoria with the first sitting of Federal Parliament in the Royal Exhibition Buildings in Carlton Gardens in 1901. Federal Parliament was based in Melbourne until 1927 while Canberra and Parliament House was being constructed. During this time the State Government sat in the Royal Exhibition Buildings, while the Federal Parliament sat in the Victorian Parliament buildings.

During this expansive period in Melbourne's development, popular recreational activities of the day included canoeing along the Yarra and Maribyrnong Rivers. Boat sheds were established on the Yarra River close to Melbourne during the early 1900s, some of which remain today.

The established framework of large parks and gardens in Melbourne was set aside in the early stages of planning for Melbourne, and they are integral to the character of the city today. As Melbourne continues to expand into areas that have traditionally been set aside for industrial and railway uses, the foresight of planning an adequate framework of public open space is to be promoted by the City of Melbourne in partnership with the Victorian Government.

4.1.1b Summary of the existing major parks and gardens currently managed by the City of Melbourne

The following summary is in alphabetical order:

Carlton Gardens (North and South)

The Carlton Gardens form the essential and unique setting for the Royal Exhibition Buildings, both of which are included in the World Heritage List. The gardens were originally established in the 1850s and redesigned for the 1880 Melbourne International Exhibition and the 1888 Centennial International Exhibition. They are recognised as one of Australia's most significant heritage gardens. The complete site comprises the Royal Exhibition Building forecourts, Carlton Gardens North and Carlton Gardens South.

Carlton Gardens South was laid out in 1880 for the Melbourne International Exhibition and the features remain largely intact from that era. Management of this area focuses on retaining the original layout. Carlton Gardens North has traditionally been and will continue to be managed for local community use, within the context of also providing an important setting for the Melbourne Museum and visitors to this facility. The Royal Exhibition Building forecourts are key components of the site's World Heritage status, and they are managed to retain these values including some upgrades as outlined in the *Carlton Gardens Master Plan (2005)*.

Domain Parklands

Located south of the Yarra River and east of St Kilda Road. Domain Parklands includes King's Domain, King's Domain South, the Shrine of Remembrance, The Tan, Alexandra Gardens and Queen Victoria Gardens. Domain Parklands is noted as an important Indigenous meeting and camping place. After settlement and the start of the Gold Rush, early settlers camped temporarily at this site until they found permanent accommodation out in the goldfields. In the late 1850s Von

Mueller prepared a landscape plan for King's Domain and Government House and commenced plantings, and later Guilfoyle took on this role.

During WWI, outdoor concerts in King's Domain became popular, but it was not until the Sidney Myer Music Bowl was constructed in 1957 that the concerts could be effectively managed. The Victorian Government handed over management of King's Domain to the City of Melbourne in 1933 except for the Sidney Myer Music Bowl. Since that time, different aspects of Domain Parklands have developed, including the designation and construction of the Shrine of Remembrance.

Fitzroy Gardens

Fitzroy Gardens is located between central Melbourne's parliamentary precinct and East Melbourne and is one of original gardens set aside in the 1840s. It was established after part the site had been used as a bluestone quarry. The gardens were originally named Fitz Roy Square. The garden layout was based on an original design prepared by Edward Latrobe Bateman, and softened by the curator who implemented the design. The gardens will continue to be managed in accordance with the revised 2010 Master Plan.

Fawkner Park

Located in South Yarra, Fawkner Park was laid out in 1862 as a place for promenading and watching sporting activities. The long tree lined avenues and open lawn layout has remained largely unchanged, and the park continues to provide a setting for a wide range of sporting and recreational activities. The *Fawkner Park Master Plan (2006)* guides the design and management of this park, with the aim of enhancing the landscape character of the park while managing and balancing its wide variety of uses.

Flagstaff Gardens

Located in West Melbourne, Flagstaff Gardens is a key component of inner Melbourne's network of parks, and contains one of the City of Melbourne's most historic sites, Flagstaff Hill. Originally developed as an ornamental garden in the 1860s, the gardens were not formally reserved until 1873, and their management was transferred to the City of Melbourne in 1917. Management and design of the gardens is guided by the *Flagstaff Gardens Master Plan (2000)*.

Princes Park

Located in Carlton North, Princes Park forms part of the original land reserved for Royal Park, and it was reserved as Princes Park in 1873. In 1885 extensive tree planting took place including the Lemon Scented Gums, Gippsland Mahogany and English Elms. An oval was established in the southern section of the park, followed by the Princes Hill Bowling Club and the merged Carlton Football and Cricket Clubs. Other facilities were established including tennis courts and a playground. The *Princes Park 10 Year Plan (1998)* guides the use of the park, seeking to retain a balance of informal use, the sense of retreat that it offers and the efficient use of existing facilities.

Royal Park

In Melbourne's early years, Royal Park was an Aboriginal camping ground with an open woodland character. It was part of a much larger reserve originally set

aside by Governor Charles Latrobe in 1854, when he reserved 700 acres of land that included Royal Park along with the University of Melbourne, the Melbourne General Cemetery and the suburb of Parkville. In 1860 the Burke and Wills expedition camped in Royal Park as they set out across the continent. In 1984 the Royal Park Master Plan provided a vision for the protection and enhancement of the native landscape qualities of the park. The current Royal Park Master Plan (1998) continues to support the 1984 plan, with the aim to overcome the physical separation that the through traffic system in the park creates, and to continue to encourage greater use of the park with a balanced range of recreational activities including organised sport, casual and informal use.

4.1.2 Waterways and harbours

The waterways that flow through the City of Melbourne are of cultural significance for the Wurundjeri, Boonerwung, Taungurong, Djajawurrung and the Wauthaurong groups who form the Kulin Nation. A key reason for Melbourne's settlement is its location on the Yarra River, on higher ground inland from the saltmarsh along the coast. The Maribyrnong River was navigated before the Yarra River, but noted to be salty, while the Yarra River provided fresh water upstream of Dights Falls. This led to the focus of settlement and placement of the Hoddle Grid on the north side of the Yarra River.

The waterways as the original drivers of settlement have since been modified to suit our settlement patterns and land use needs. Industry originally located along the waterways and in the floodplains because of access to water and discharge points for industrial waste, and ease of development given the land was generally flat. The rivers became polluted and were not seen as desirable places and community awareness of their inherent ecological and open space values was not high during these times.

In the 1980s Melbournians changed their view of the Yarra River and began to turn Melbourne around to face the river, celebrating its aesthetic, recreational and environmental qualities. While the Yarra River has been heavily modified it supports a diverse range of recreational activities such as rowing, boating, fishing and kayaking (but generally not swimming due to poor water quality and safety). The open space adjacent to it provides places where people can escape the built urban form, enjoy long views and open vistas and a cooler microclimate during the heat of summer.

Docklands is located around Victoria Harbour, celebrating the Yarra River and its former port activities close to the city, with new marinas that are increasing in size as the area develops. Recreational access and use of both the Yarra and Maribyrnong Rivers will increase as this area develops and more focus is placed on the water based recreational opportunities.

The Maribyrnong River offers similar qualities to the Yarra, however industrial land use dominates its foreshores. There is opportunity to improve the interface between this waterway and the suburbs of Flemington, Kensington and West Melbourne, particularly as future growth into the north and west of the

municipality occurs. This includes opportunities to create larger open spaces along the waterway to vary the experiences possible along the river. Currently residents of the City of Melbourne cross the Maribyrnong River into the City of Maribyrnong to use Footscray Park because the open space on the City of Melbourne side is either undeveloped or designed as a linear space and does not offer a diversity of physical settings and recreational opportunities. The river is wide through this reach and does offer some of the similar qualities as those of the Yarra River including water base recreational use, expansive views across the wide water body and cooler spaces during the summer heat.

With the future vision for improved transport links and connectivity to the west, and with Footscray recognised as an important urban centre, the Maribyrnong River provides a unique opportunity for the Victorian Government and City of Melbourne to establish a vision for a diverse open space network along the river, with open space connectivity east to Moonee Ponds Creek and the Yarra River. Development of open space along the Maribyrnong River would provide a significant and valuable natural and open space asset for the north and western part of the municipality that contrasts with and balances the expansive parklands to the east.

Centrally located between the western and eastern extents of the municipality is Moonee Ponds Creek. This highly modified waterway has been concrete lined for part of its length with an elevated portion of the CityLink freeway built over it. Other than linear connectivity, the existing shared trail and open space adjacent to this waterway is significantly compromised by the elevated freeway as it impacts on the recreational, aesthetic, noise and open (to the sky) values that this linear open space corridor can potentially offer. Where the elevated freeway moves away from the creek near the proposed E-Gate site there is potential to improve the open space environmental and recreational values for this area.

The Port of Melbourne related uses and industrial areas of West Melbourne and Port Melbourne extend to the edge of all three waterways in the municipality. Therefore, public access along some sections of the waterways is restricted by these uses. These are illustrated on Figure 4(a). Where public access to the waters edge is possible, it is currently achieved in the form of linear open space, with shared trail access, boat launching ramps and other uses including wider promenades in the urban reaches and some more natural treatments including grass and revegetation. The attached diagram illustrates the different types of open space currently provided along the waterways in the City of Melbourne.

Figure 4(a) over the page illustrates the extent of access along the waterways and a summary of their key values.




FIGURE 4(a) Existing open space access to waterways in the City of Melbourne

4.1.3 Natural biodiversity values

The natural biodiversity values of the municipality have been highly modified over time, although some areas do remain and the City of Melbourne has worked towards actively reintroducing biodiversity values into some of the existing parklands and waterways.

Royal Park demonstrates the restoration of biodiversity since the 1980s where the City of Melbourne has reintroduced the original natural landscape values and qualities back into this parkland. Of particular note is the planting of extensive native woodland in the park along with conversion of ovals to a native grassland character. A large water quality treatment wetland system provides a source of water reuse for irrigation in the municipality and introduces biodiversity into the park system with a wetland ecosystem. Smaller wetland areas are also established near the native grasslands area contributing to biodiversity. Royal Park also positively contributes to placing a high value on the Australian landscape character at an equal level to the European heritage values in many of the other older established parks and gardens in Melbourne.

Natural saltmarsh values along the Moonee Ponds Creek provide biological diversity in the Docklands precinct. While the area is constrained by other issues

such as contamination, overhead services, overhead freeway construction, the biological diversity does remain. Similarly, Westgate Park, managed by Parks Victoria establishes some saltmarsh and wetland habitat in a precinct that would originally have had extensive saltmarsh systems at the time of settlement. An area of saltmarsh is also present in the south-west area of Riverside Park in Kensington. The waterways including the Yarra River, Maribyrnong River and Moonee Ponds Creek also offer habitat or native fauna and some native flora as well, and potential for improvement to support these values.

The Royal Botanic Gardens has an extremely important role in the conservation and protection of plants. The Rare and Threatened Bed located in the gardens provides an educative role as well as conserving specimens and seeds from many rare and threatened species of Victorian flora. The gardens themselves also provide habitat for native fauna, including the ornamental lakes that provide habitat for the aquatic fauna.

Yarra Park contains remnant Red Gums including some that are identified of Indigenous cultural significance. Stands of mature native trees provide native fauna habitat values across many of the established parks and gardens through the City of Melbourne. (Note: these are not specifically highlighted on the diagram in Figure 4(b). A Biodiversity Strategy is currently being developed.

Figure 4(b) below illustrates the key areas of existing biodiversity values in the municipality.




FIGURE 4(b) Open space with natural biodiversity values in the City of Melbourne

4.1.4 Health and wellbeing

4.1.4a Structured community sporting use

The City of Melbourne has a well established network of community sport and recreation facilities within the municipality. This strategy recognises the positive health and social benefits from participation in active team sport. This includes a range of social groups, work related groups and teams who meet and participate on an informal basis in team sports, as well as the organised club-based sporting activities. While current trends are towards unstructured recreation pursuits such as walking and cycling, there is also a need to continue to provide for a diversity of team based sports to maximise opportunities for people of all ages to participate in activities in open space.

Sports field use - natural and synthetic turf

Outdoor sports fields in the municipality are located in Royal Park, Princes Park, Newmarket Reserve, Fawkner Park, Domain Parklands, JJ Holland Park and North Melbourne Recreation Reserve.

The City of Melbourne recreation services team note that the existing sports fields are currently well used by organised sporting groups and informally by the community at other times. The projected forecast increase in the residential and worker population in the municipality will place additional demand on the use of sports field across the municipality. Currently the requests for use of sports fields by seasonal sports clubs exceeds supply and this demand is anticipated to increase with forecast future growth. In Kensington in particular, there has been a significant increase in demand for junior participation in the field sports clubs at JJ Holland Park.

Recently, the City of Melbourne constructed a synthetic surface at JJ Holland Park primarily for training purposes to protect the natural turf grounds for matches. The general community is also encouraged to use the synthetic surface when it is not in use for training in order to reduce the wear and tear on the natural turf grounds. The synthetic surfaces allow clubs to schedule multiple programs for training on this surface without placing pressure on the natural turf areas. The City of Melbourne is investigating installing synthetic surfaces at other key open spaces as a way of meeting the additional demands placed on these facilities.

Tennis facilities

The City of Melbourne currently manages the following tennis courts for public use in the municipality:

- Carlton Tennis Club in Carlton Gardens, Carlton
- Fawkner Park Tennis Courts, South Yarra
- Flagstaff Gardens Tennis Courts, West Melbourne
- Kensington Banks Tennis Courts, Kensington
- North Park Tennis Club, Parkville
- East Melbourne Tennis Centre, Powlett Reserve, East Melbourne

- Princes Hill Tennis Club, Princes Park, Carlton North
- Royal Park Tennis Club, Royal Park, Parkville

There are currently the following tennis clubs in operation:

- Royal Park Tennis Club
- Parkville Tennis Club
- North Park Tennis Club
- Carlton Gardens Tennis Club
- Princes Hill

Additionally the courts at Melbourne Park are available for hire.

The City of Melbourne recreation services team advise there is adequate provision of tennis courts in the municipality to cater for the demand and booking of courts, especially with the additional capacity at Melbourne Park and Olympic Park. There is therefore no requirement to increase the number of tennis courts at present, however, population growth may generate additional demand for these facilities.

Indoor multipurpose recreation facilities

Indoor sporting facilities located in open space cater to a range of uses such as netball, basketball, futsal (indoor soccer) and fencing. Indoor facilities in the municipality are located at:

- Kensington Recreation Centre in JJ Holland Park
- State Netball and Hockey Centre in Royal Park
- North Melbourne Recreation Centre in North Melbourne Recreation Reserve
- North Melbourne Community Centre

While these indoor multipurpose facilities are constructed in open space this strategy does not promote the construction of new additional indoor multipurpose facilities in open space, given the range of other values that open parkland provides as a contrast to built form in the municipality. Any future indoor multipurpose recreation facilities would need to demonstrate they positively contribute to the value of the open space they are intended to be built in. Encumbered open space such as the land adjoining and under overhead freeways is considered to be more appropriate for additional indoor facilities than unencumbered, quality open space.

Sports Pavilions

Sports pavilions are located in most major areas of open space in the City of Melbourne that have sporting facilities. This includes Royal Park, Princes Park, Fawkner Park North, JJ Holland Park and Newmarket Reserve.

The City of Melbourne has a significant ongoing capital works program in place to undertake major upgrades of the pavilions at Royal Park, Princes Park and Fawkner Park. The pavilion at JJ Holland Park will be rebuilt, with no increase in footprint of the new building. The pavilions at Newmarket Reserve may potentially be reconfigured to rationalise the use of the facilities and optimise the open space available for informal recreational uses.

Swimming pools

There are currently five public swimming facilities available in the City located at:

- Carlton Baths Community Centre
- North Melbourne Recreation Centre
- Kensington Recreation Centre in JJ Holland Park
- Richmond Football Club at Yarra Park
- The City Baths, Melbourne

Swimming facilities located in adjoining municipalities are:

- Melbourne Sport and Aquatic Centre in Albert Park
- Fitzroy Swimming Pool in Fitzroy
- Brunswick City Baths in Brunswick

City of Melbourne residents also use facilities in neighbouring municipalities. Albert Park located nearby in the City of Port Phillip provides an extensive range of sport and recreation facilities within reach of the population in the City of Melbourne. Melbourne has a high proportion of young adults and they traditionally have higher participation rates in active sport and recreation activities. Some of these sport and recreation facilities are used on an informal basis and others are club-based uses.

4.1.4b Unstructured recreational use

Provision of unstructured recreation facilities encourages participation in physical exercise and activities in open space. The unstructured facilities are those that do not require any membership of a club or organisation (or require payment of fees to use them). The open space strategy household survey outcomes identified that the most popular unstructured activity in open space is walking for fitness and enjoyment. This is consistent with the Australian Sports Commission data for Victoria (2010) which notes that walking is the most popular activity with 36.6 per cent of total participation in all organised and non-organised activities being walking, followed by fitness and aerobics at 24.7 per cent.

The main unstructured activities that people currently participate in include walking, exercising, jogging, running, cycling, dog walking and visiting playgrounds. Other types of unstructured recreational activities that people participate in include a wide range of informal ball sports on sports fields or full and half sized multi-purpose courts including cricket, soccer, futsal, football, basketball, netball, tennis hit up walls, kick to kick etc. Other informal activities include skateboarding, BMX bike riding and kite flying. Many of these activities occur on the sports fields when they are not being used for training or match play by community sporting clubs.

In relation to facilities that are well used in open space, 91 per cent of household survey respondents use walking paths, 80 per cent use open grassed areas and 40 per cent use cycle paths. Cycling is popular both for recreational purposes and as a sustainable transport option, and this is anticipated to increase. The Bicycle Plan provides direction about the future provision of on and off road bicycle links, which this strategy supports.

4.1.4c Informal use and values

Open space is valued for a range of informal and more intangible reasons including:

- To socialise and meet people.
- To sit and relax.
- To unwind.
- To be somewhere peaceful and natural and away from busy roads.
- To be social including for picnics.
- To enjoy the natural ambience, beauty and fresh air.
- To enjoy views and vistas over the landscape and/or water.
- To participate in festivals and events.
- To travel through on the way to somewhere else.

Intangible values such as the presence of a green space as a relief from the urban landscape are more difficult to quantify and support as an important reason for providing open space. Parks Victoria and Deakin University have undertaken research into the benefits of green space on people's mental and physical health *Healthy Parks, Healthy People - The health benefits of contact with nature in a park context* (2008). The study identified that city living involves a disengagement of humans from the natural environment, and this is likely to be detrimental to health and wellbeing, with parks one of the only means of accessing nature for people in urban areas. The results indicate that green parks can reduce crime, contribute to general wellbeing, reduce stress, enhance productivity and promote healing. Other studies demonstrate that plants and nearby vegetation can have positive benefits on people such as healing, improved mental capacity and productivity, and improved job and life satisfaction, attract consumers and tourists to precincts and aid community cohesion and identity (Deakin University, 2008).

Open spaces with natural features in them including trees and grass offer more diverse play opportunities, and in particular higher levels of creative play for children, than places without these natural landscape elements. This is particularly valuable for people who have no or limited private open space and cannot easily travel to reach natural places. Natural elements in open space (including grass, plants and trees) contribute positively to improving the local microclimate in built up areas. Studies have revealed that places with a greater number of trees have better carbon-storage capacity, lower level of surface runoff entering drains, and were cooler where vegetation cover was greater than 50 per cent of the space. There is also evidence to show that people use their local public spaces more and are more satisfied with them if they include natural elements, which in turn increases the amount of socialisation amongst neighbours in *The Value of Public Spaces* (CABE Space, undated).

The household surveys undertaken for this strategy identified that more than 50 per cent of respondents valued open space for the following reasons:

- Trees (81%)
- Quiet and peaceful (73%)
- Being outside (72%)
- Place to relax and unwind (71%)
- Spacious (64%)
- Health and wellbeing (63%)

- Accessible (55%)

Of interest, playing sport was the least frequently nominated value with only 13 per cent of respondents selecting this option.

4.2 Quantity of open space

4.2.1 Municipality-wide

4.2.1a Quantity relative to geographic area of the municipality

There are approximately 149 open spaces that are accessible to the public on a non-fee paying basis at all times. This includes open space that is currently zoned or capable of being zoned public open space, and includes open space managed by agencies other than the City of Melbourne. Additional open space is available for major sporting use or on a paid entry basis where the built sport or recreation facility occupies the entire site such as the MCG and Melbourne Park. The total area available for access on a non-fee paying basis is in the order of 549.7 hectares, which equates to approximately 14.4 per cent of the total municipality area.

Available data comparing public open space provision in the City of Melbourne with other capital cities in Australia and overseas is shown in Table 4(i). It is noted that the data is not easily available and it is not always clear how the data has been assembled. It is interesting to note that compared with the City of Sydney, Melbourne has more physical area of open space, with a very similar proportion of open space to the total municipal land area. The City of London by comparison has a much lower proportion of open space, however, it should be noted that this is the central business area of London only and not greater London, which includes the residential suburbs. Refer to Table 4(i) below for the comparative figures.

Table 4(i) Comparison with other capital cities

Municipality	Total open space in Ha.	Total area of municipality in Ha.	Proportion of o/space of total municipal area
Melbourne	549.8	3,815	14.4%
Sydney	377.0	2,615	14.4%
London	23.3	2,580	0.9%

Table 4(ii) Comparison with adjoining municipalities

Municipality	Total open space in Ha.	Total area of municipality in Ha.	Proportion of o/space of total municipal area
Melbourne	549.8	3,815	14.4%
Maribyrnong	235.0	3,130	7.5%
Moonee Valley	533.2	4,236	12.6%
Moreland	580.0	5,100	11.4%
Port Phillip	442.0	2,050	21.6%
Stonnington	180.0	2,560	7.0%
Yarra	235.0	1,950	12.1%

Compared to other inner Melbourne municipalities, City of Melbourne has the second highest proportion of open space relative to total land area of the municipality. This comparison should be seen in light of significant differences that affect open space provision and use in the City of Melbourne compared with other municipalities. Many of the large areas of open space in the City of Melbourne primarily cater for international, interstate, intrastate and Melbourne-wide visitors. There is a high number of daily tourists and a significant worker population using the open space. These factors reduce the effectiveness of the comparison with adjoining municipalities.

4.2.1b Quantity relative to population density across the municipality

The amount of open space relative to population density is an important measure of open space provision. Below are comparative figures that show how the City of Melbourne is placed in relation to neighbouring municipalities with respect to residential population density. The City of Melbourne has an average of 56m² of open space per resident, which is the highest proportion compared with all other adjoining municipalities. Moonee Valley is the next highest at 50m² per resident. Refer to Table 4(iii) below, however when comparing this data, it is important to note that the other adjoining municipalities do not have the high visitor and worker numbers as does the City of Melbourne. The average daily visitors to Melbourne are in the order of 700,000 people per day, seven times the residential population numbers. Therefore, while the comparison with adjoining municipalities is interesting, there are substantially different issues facing the City of Melbourne regarding the type and level of facilities provided in open space, management of visitor numbers and competing demands for open space use.

Table 4(iii) Comparison of open space relative to residential population density in adjoining municipalities

Municipality	Total open space in Ha.	Total residential population estimated	Open space m ² per resident
Melbourne	549.8	98,163	56
Maribyrnong	235.0	63,142	37
Moonee Valley	533.2	106,946	50
Moreland	580.0	144,015	40
Port Phillip	442.0	90,000	49
Stonnington	180.0	89,883	20
Yarra	235.0	76,402	31

Other capital cities include their estimated daytime populations and Table 4(iv) below indicates these. San Francisco has a higher proportion of open space per daytime population than Melbourne, and Melbourne has a higher proportion than the Cities of Sydney and London. Refer to Table 4(iv) below. When the 771,000 daily population is reviewed in relation to the quantity of open space, the result is approx 7m² per person for the City of Melbourne.

Table 4(iv) Comparison of open space relative to resident and daytime population density in other capital cities

Municipality	Total open space in Ha.	Total residential population estimated	Open space m ² per resident	Open space m ² per daytime population	Total daytime population estimated
Melbourne	549.8	98,163	56	7.1	771,000
Sydney	377.0	177,000	21	3.6	1,045,000
London	23.3	9,000	26	0.6	370,000
San Francisco	2,124.0	798,176	27	21.7	977,720

4.2.2 Open space quantity by precinct

4.2.2a Quantity relative to geographic area of the precincts

Open space in the City of Melbourne is primarily concentrated in the south-east and north-east areas of the municipality. This includes the large historical open space reserves including Royal Park, The Royal Botanic Gardens, Fawkner Park, Melbourne Park and Yarra Park.

As a contrast, there are areas of the municipality with minimal open space including Southbank, North Melbourne, the Melbourne 3000 central city, West Melbourne and Docklands. In some locations such as North Melbourne, Royal Park is located nearby, but access is restricted as people need to cross a major road to reach it. The precincts noted above also correspond with areas forecast to receive significant population growth, so the issue of the provision of open space for the additional population, as well as any current shortfall, is addressed in this strategy. Refer to Table 4(v) below.

Table 4(v) Precinct breakdown of open space as a proportion of the total open space in the City of Melbourne excluding restricted open space

PRECINCT NAME	NO. OF RESERVES	OPEN SPACE AREA (ha)	OPEN SPACE AREA (m ²)	PROPORTION OF TOTAL OPEN SPACE
Carlton	13	22.4166	224,166	4.1%
Carlton North	2	33.8934	338,934	6.2%
Docklands	11	12.1128	121,128	2.2%
East Melbourne	18	65.2705	652,705	11.9%
Flemington Ascot Vale	0	0	0	0.0%
Kensington	20	24.2558	242,558	4.4%
Melbourne 3000	14	12.7394	127,394	2.3%
Melbourne 3004	13	115.1505	1,151,505	20.9%
North Melbourne	12	8.1644	81,644	1.5%
Parkville	13	165.2039	1,652,039	30.0%
Port Melbourne	1	28.4800	284,800	5.2%
Southbank	14	5.8850	58,850	1.1%
South Yarra	3	41.6382	416,382	7.6%
West Melbourne	15	14.564	145,640	2.6%
TOTALS	149	549.7745	5,497,745	100.0%


Note to table:

The total quantity of open space included in this assessment excludes restricted open space (i.e. open space that is available only on a fee paying or club membership basis)

The total quantity of open space including restricted open space in the City of Melbourne is approximately 648 hectares in 159 open spaces.

The available quantity of open space in each precinct varies with just over half the total quantity of open space located two precincts – Parkville and Melbourne 3004 (St Kilda Road). At the other end of the scale is Southbank with 1 per cent and North Melbourne with 1.5 per cent. Population growth is forecast to be significant in the Southbank and North Melbourne, while there is only limited forecast population growth in Parkville. This is illustrated further in Figure 4(c) and Figure 4(d) on the following page.

Figure 4(c) Proportion of open space by precinct



4.2.2b Quantity relative to existing population density of the precincts

Over the past few decades, the residential population in some of parts of the City of Melbourne has increased along with the demand for open space in areas that were previously predominantly industrial or other non-residential land use. This is particularly relevant to Southbank, the Melbourne 3000 central city, Docklands and West Melbourne. The potential to add large areas of open space in precincts with a limited amount is generally highly constrained by the existing urban fabric, land ownership and economic factors. This strategy identifies the need for open space at a precinct and sub-precinct level to provide direction on where additional open space will be required in the future to retain a reasonable proportion of open space relative to increasing population densities.

Some precincts in the municipality are dedicated to industrial or other land uses without a residential population, including Flemington/Ascot Vale (City of Melbourne portion only), Port Melbourne, Coode Island, parts of West Melbourne and Fishermans Bend. The open space needs of workers and the environmental requirements in these precincts have been considered in this strategy, along with any forecast future change.

The following chart in Figure 4(d) and Table 4(vi) illustrates the quantity of open space available per resident in the different precincts. This measure gives an indication of the variations in open space quantity relative to population density. Southbank has the least amount of open space per resident with 4m², compared to 706m² in the Melbourne 3004 (St Kilda Road) precinct.

The Melbourne 3004 precinct includes Domain Parklands and Royal Botanic Gardens, which is a large amount of open space relative to its immediate population. Figure 4(b) illustrates there is 21 per cent open space located in this precinct but only 2 per cent of the residential population. The majority of this open space is managed for the broader population of Melbourne. Residents living in Southbank can access the open space in the Melbourne 3004 precinct, however, they do need to cross a major thoroughfare, St Kilda Road, to reach it. The other large area of open space relative to population density is Parkville given the proximity of Royal Park.

Figure 4(d) Open space relative to residential population density by precinct




Table (vi) below illustrates the quantity of open space per resident. The areas with the least open space available relative to population density include Southbank with 4.5m² per person, Melbourne 3000 central city has 6m² per person and North Melbourne has 7m² per person. Household survey outcomes identified that residents in these precincts requested additional open space.

Table 4(vi) Area of open space relative to the existing residential population densities

PRECINCT NAME	OPEN SPACE AREA (m ²)	TOTAL RESIDENTIAL POPULATION 2011	OPEN SPACE PER RESIDENT (m ²) 2011
Carlton	224,166	14,644	15.3
Carlton North	338,934	incl. in Carlton	incl in Carlton
Docklands	121,128	6,134	19.7
East Melbourne	652,705	5,162	126.4
Flemington Ascot Vale	0	0	0.0
Kensington	242,558	10,460	23.2
Melbourne 3000	127,394	21,080	6.0
Melbourne 3004	1,151,505	1,630	706.4
North Melbourne	81,644	11,650	7.0
Parkville	1,652,039	5,773	286.2
Port Melbourne	284,800	0	N/A
Southbank	58,850	13,107	4.5
South Yarra	416,382	4,702	88.6
West Melbourne	145,640	3,820	38.1
TOTALS	5,497,745	98,162	56.0

It is important to note that these figures represent the residential population increases only, whereas there is also an anticipated growth in the total population of the City of Melbourne including workers and visitors. Much of this additional non-residential population will be in the Melbourne Central City, Docklands and Southbank and will also place increasing pressures of use on the existing open space.

The employee (or worker) population has an influence on open space provision in the City of Melbourne. Table 4(vii) illustrates the influence of open space per square metre of the combined resident and worker population. The consultation undertaken for this strategy included the worker community and they confirmed they do use and value open space near their workplace for a diversity of reasons.

Table 4(vii) is intended to give an indication only of the change in open space provision when the worker population is considered. Additional to this is the visitor population, for which no accurate information is currently available beyond the combined total of 771,000 visitors per day to the City of Melbourne. Please refer below to Table 4(vii) for comparison of available open space relative to the worker population and a combined total.

Table 4(vii) Area of open space relative to the existing employee and residential population densities

Yellow = residential, Blue = Worker and Green = combined

PRECINCT NAME	OPEN SPACE AREA (m ²)	TOTAL RESIDENTIAL POPULATION 2011	OPEN SPACE PER RESIDENT (m ²) 2011	TOTAL WORKER POPULATION 2011	OPEN SPACE PER RESIDENT & WORKER POP'N (m ²) 2011
Carlton	224,166	14,644	15.3	17,823	6.9
Carlton North	338,934	incl. in Carlton	incl in Carlton	incl. in Carlton	N/A
Docklands	121,128	6,134	19.7	33,503	3.1
East Melbourne	652,705	5,162	126.4	21,080	24.9
Flemington Ascot Vale	0	0	0.0	367	0.0
Kensington	242,558	10,460	23.2	5,267	15.4
Melbourne 3000	127,394	21,080	6.0	223,326	0.5
Melbourne 3004	1,151,505	1,630	706.4	23,411	46.0
North Melbourne	81,644	11,650	7.0	9,379	3.9
Parkville	1,652,039	5,773	286.2	27,109	50.2
Port Melbourne	284,800	0	N/A	15,366	18.5
Southbank	58,850	13,107	4.5	37,796	1.2
South Yarra	416,382	4,702	88.6	1,488	67.3
West Melbourne	145,640	3,820	38.1	15,587	7.5
TOTALS	5,497,745	98,162	56.0	431,502	10.4

4.2.2c Quantity relative to future population density of the precincts

Consistent with recent trends, the population of the City of Melbourne is forecast to continue to expand. Planning policies direct this growth into the north and west of the Melbourne 3000 central city, along with substantial growth forecast in Southbank. The additional forecast population will increase intensity of use of existing open space, and in many areas generate the need for provision of additional open space in order to respond to the recreational, leisure and amenity needs of the future as well as to maintain the City of Melbourne's reputation as one of the most liveable cities in the world. Table 4(viii) illustrates the impact that increased residential population densities will have on available existing open space. Table 4(ix) illustrates the impact of increased population densities including the worker component. This strategy addresses this issue in both the municipal-wide and precinct recommendations.

Table 4(viii) shows the forecast change in residential population from 2011 to 2026, the 15 year period this strategy will address in detail, with corresponding change to provision of open space per person as a result of the increased residential population. These figures are intended to be used as a broad guide to gain an understanding of the magnitude of change in the forecast population numbers across the City of Melbourne. They are also useful to understand the changes across the precincts and where the existing open space will experience a higher intensity of use. Table 4(ix) shows forecast residential and worker population change.

Reviewing the information shown in Table 4(viii), the areas with the least amount of open space will experience a decline in open space provision per person if no

additional open space is provided over the next 15 years. West Melbourne and Docklands will experience the highest magnitude of change relative to existing open space based on the residential population change. The other main issue this table illustrates is that the areas with the least amount of open space available per person now will experience increased intensification of use, reducing the available open space per person by nearly half for the Melbourne 3000 central city, Southbank, North Melbourne and West Melbourne.

Table 4(viii) Area of open space relative to forecast future population densities

PRECINCT NAME	OPEN SPACE AREA (m ²)	TOTAL RESIDENTIAL POPULATION 2011	OPEN SPACE PER RESIDENT (m ²) 2011	TOTAL RESIDENTIAL POPULATION 2026	OPEN SPACE PER RESIDENT (m ²)2026
Carlton	224,166	14,644	15.3	23,773	9.4
Carlton North	338,934	incl. in Carlton	incl in Carlton	incl. in Carlton	incl. in Carlton
Docklands	121,128	6,134	19.7	14,205	8.5
East Melbourne	652,705	5,162	126.4	5,770	113.1
Flemington Ascot Vale	0	0	0.0	4,950	0.0
Kensington	242,558	10,460	23.2	13,229	18.3
Melbourne 3000	127,394	21,080	6.0	35,264	3.6
Melbourne 3004	1,151,505	1,630	706.4	2,064	557.9
North Melbourne	81,644	11,650	7.0	22,346	3.7
Parkville	1,652,039	5,773	286.2	5,826	283.6
Port Melbourne	284,800	0	N/A	0	N/A
Southbank	58,850	13,107	4.5	23,282	2.5
South Yarra	416,382	4,702	88.6	4,724	88.1
West Melbourne	145,640	3,820	38.1	9,400	15.5
TOTALS	5,497,745	98,162	56.0	164,833	33.4

In relation to the following Table 4(ix), the growth in the worker population will have a significant influence on the available open space per person. The only precinct where the residential population is forecast to remain higher than the worker population is South Yarra. Flemington will also fall into this category if the forecast residential development occurs in the Racecourse Rail Corridor in the future.

In Carlton it is close to an even split between residents and workers, while the remaining areas of the municipality will have higher numbers of employees compared to residents.

Additional to the residential and worker numbers shown in Table 4(ix) are the visitor numbers, which cannot be quantified accurately and are therefore not included in the tables.

Table 4(ix) Area of open space relative to the future employee and residential population densities

Orange = residential, Blue = Worker and Mauve = combined

PRECINCT NAME	TOTAL RESIDENTIAL POPULATION 2026	OPEN SPACE PER RESIDENT (m ²)2026	TOTAL WORKER POPULATION 2026	OPEN SPACE PER RESIDENT & WORKER POP'N (m ²) 2026
Carlton	23,773	9.4	24,147	4.7
Carlton North	incl. in Carlton	incl. in Carlton	incl. in Carlton	N/A
Docklands	14,205	8.5	44,000	2.1
East Melbourne	5,770	113.1	25,000	21.2
Flemington Ascot Vale	4,950	0.0	500	0.0
Kensington	13,229	18.3	20,000	7.3
Melbourne 3000	35,264	3.6	323,855	0.4
Melbourne 3004	2,064	557.9	28,000	38.3
North Melbourne	22,346	3.7	23,000	1.8
Parkville	5,826	283.6	29,221	47.1
Port Melbourne	0	N/A	17,000	16.8
Southbank	23,282	2.5	46,000	0.8
South Yarra	4,724	88.1	2,700	56.1
West Melbourne	9,400	15.5	19,742	5.0
TOTALS	164,833	33.4	603,165	7.2

4.2.2d Conclusions

Population density relative to open space provision is a key consideration for this strategy. Over-crowding and over-use of open space in densely populated neighbourhoods will need to be addressed in the future. As demonstrated in Table (ix), if additional open space is not added to the network, gradually the existing areas will become increasingly crowded. While no clear guidelines exist for the optimum amount of open space relative to each person, the Growth Areas Authority work suggests the provision of just over 20m² of open space per person (residential) is reasonable. In New York, the figure used is 7m² per New Yorker. These figures are a useful guide when considering the amount of open space per head of population in the City of Melbourne. The measure of open space relative to population density in existing urban areas also needs to be balanced with many other factors including available land area for open space, existing urban densities, urban character including heritage values and accessibility to open space. If no additional open space is added to the network over the next 15 years, then seven of the precincts will be well below the figure of 7m² of open space per person, and nine will be below the figure of 20m² of open space per person.

Accessibility to open space is a key consideration in conjunction with the amount of open space provided relative to population density. The distribution and accessibility of open space is described in the following section. The diversity of needs for open space is another major challenge facing the City of Melbourne. This is discussed in the description of the different qualities and needs for open space in Section 4.1.

4.3 Distribution

4.3.1 Overview

Open space is mainly distributed across the eastern area of the municipality including through Parkville, Carlton, East Melbourne and South Yarra. The concentration of open space to the north and east is a result of historical settlement patterns and original Crown land reserves set aside in the 1800s. Open space is also distributed through Kensington, parts of North Melbourne and Docklands. There are limited areas of open space in the Melbourne 3000 central city, parts of North Melbourne, West Melbourne, Southbank, Port Melbourne and Flemington.

4.3.2 Accessibility

Each of the open spaces has been categorised within an open space hierarchy, including the anticipated distance that people are expected to travel to reach the open space. Use of walking distances allows analysis to determine if there are areas where people live or work where they cannot easily access any open space. The following table describes the walking distances applied to each open space type in the City of Melbourne

Table 4(x) Open space hierarchy with distances used in the open space distribution analysis

Refer to Table 3(ii) for the description of each category in the hierarchy

Open Space	Travel distances to reach open space
Capital city	No specific distance is included as this is provided for the Melbourne-wide population, and 500 metres applied for the local population, except where it is restricted open space.
State	No specific distance is included as this is provided for the Melbourne-wide population, and 500 metres applied for the local population, except where it is restricted open space.
Regional	No specific distance is included as this is provided for the Melbourne-wide population, and 500 metres applied for the local population, except where it is restricted open space.
Municipal	Municipal open space located within 2 kilometres travel distance of all dwellings, with a 500 metre safe walking distance radius applied for the local population.
Neighbourhood	Neighbourhood open space located within 500 metre walking distance from all dwellings and work places.
Local	Local open space located within 300 metres safe

Open Space	Travel distances to reach open space
	walking distance from all dwellings.
Small Local	Small Local open space located within 300 metres safe walking distance from all dwellings.

A range of factors affect the ease and safety of walking to open space including:

- Traffic volumes, road width and vehicle speed in the street network.
- The amenity of the street including the presence of street trees, the presence and width of cycle paths/lanes, presence and width of footpaths and kerb ramps.
- Presence of freeways, major roads, railway lines, trams and major roads to cross on the journey to open space, referred to as barriers to access.
- Street layout leading to ease of access to any given open space (for example, the actual journey can be longer if the street layout has an imbalance of north-south and east-west orientated streets).
- Accessibility and safety of existing road and railway crossings, overpasses and underpasses.

A gap analysis was undertaken to assess the distribution of existing open space across the municipality, and specifically to identify if there are any locations where open space is not within reasonable walking distance of residents and workers. The analysis applied the two kilometre travel distance (as distinct from walking distance) from Municipal open space, along with the smaller 500m walking distance. The larger two kilometre travel distance takes into account the Municipal level facilities for which people will travel further than 500m to use - for example tennis courts and sports fields, etc. The 500 metre walking distance is to allow for the local facilities located in the Municipal open space that people regularly use, for example playground, dog walking, seating etc.

The local population frequently use Capital City, State, Regional and Municipal open space for local uses such as walking, play, informal games, socialising, exercise and seating. A 500m walking distance radius from the centre point of all Capital city, State, Regional, Municipal and Neighbourhood open space (where it is accessible to the general public) has been applied, to correlate with the accepted walking distances to open space with a range of facilities and generally greater than one hectare in size. The 500m distance represents an approximate 10 to 15 minute walk for active and able people to reach open space, and for the less mobile, elderly or young children, this is likely to be a 20 minute walk.

Local and Small Local open space have a smaller radius applied of 300m to match the typically shorter lengths of stay at these two smaller types of open space. This represents a 10 to 15 minute walk for the less mobile in the community. The same distance of 300 metres has been applied to both the Local and Small Local open space in the City of Melbourne in recognition of the higher quality of the open spaces, higher levels of maintenance and generally greater levels of use and longer stays in the existing and future Small Local open spaces. Typically in lower density neighbourhoods, these Small Local open spaces would have a walking distance of between 150 and 200 metres applied to them.

It is important to understand that the gap analysis assessment is only one tool when considering suitability of the provision of open space. The design and character of the open space is also important to ensure that it is meeting other objectives including social, recreation, biodiversity and climate change needs.

4.3.3 Analysis of the distribution of different types of open space

4.3.3a Capital city open space distribution

Capital city open space is located along the Yarra River and to the east of the Melbourne 3000 central city. There is no travel distance catchment included for Capital city open space as it is designed to be used by visitors to Melbourne including international and interstate visitors, along with the whole Victorian community. Refer to Figure 4(e) for distribution of Capital City open space. Refer to Section 5.3.4 of this strategy regarding future provision of this type of open space.




FIGURE 4(e) Capital city open space distribution in the City of Melbourne

The restricted areas of Capital City open space are included as these are zoned for Public Park and Recreation Use in the City of Melbourne Planning Scheme.

4.3.3b State open space distribution

State open space is located primarily in the north and east, and the Victorian Government on behalf of the State of Victoria largely determines its future use and management. The State Library Forecourt located in the Melbourne 3000 central city is also designated as State open space, being integrally linked to the Library.

There is no travel distance catchment included for State open space as it is designed to be used by all Victorians. Refer to Figure 4(f) for distribution of State open space.




FIGURE 4(f) State open space distribution in the City of Melbourne

4.3.3c Regional open space distribution

including Maribyrnong River, Moonee Ponds Creek and the Yarra River west of Spencer Street and east of the Royal Botanic Gardens. Between Spencer Street and the Royal Botanic Gardens the banks of the Yarra River are categorised as Capital City open space as they are integrally linked to the image and function of Melbourne as a Capital City.

Princes Park, Flagstaff Gardens, Carlton Gardens North and Westgate Park are the other major regional open spaces in the municipality.

There is no walking distance catchment established for Regional open space as it is provided for the broader Melbourne community as well as City of Melbourne residents and workers. Refer to Figure 4(g) for distribution of Regional open space.




FIGURE 4(g) Regional open space distribution in the City of Melbourne

4.3.3c Municipal open space distribution

Municipal open space is generally located within a 2km radius of the majority of residents and workers with the exception of the Melbourne 3000 central city, Southbank, Port Melbourne, parts of Carlton and the southern area of Docklands. Royal Park (State open space) has Municipal open space facilities located in it and this will address the gaps in Municipal open space distribution in Carlton. To the south of the municipality in the City of Port Phillip, Albert Park provides diverse sport and recreation facilities for residents of Southbank and South Wharf. Residents of the central city and the southern area of Docklands are beyond a 2km travel distance to Municipal open space and Municipal open space facilities in other types of open space. This gap is to be met in the future in additional open space to be provided in Southbank, Docklands and West Melbourne as part of the redevelopment of areas of urban renewal. Refer to Figure 4(h) for distribution of Municipal open space.

Additional Municipal open space is proposed in West Melbourne to meet the forecast growth in West Melbourne, North Melbourne, Kensington, Melbourne 3000 central city and the southern parts of Carlton. Refer to Section 5.4.3 regarding future provision of Municipal open space.




FIGURE 4(h) Municipal open space distribution in the City of Melbourne

4.3.3e Neighbourhood open space distribution

Neighbourhood open space is well distributed in Carlton but the other areas lack this type of open space. Generally, Neighbourhood open space is between one and three hectares in size and provides a variety of unstructured and informal facilities for the local community to use. The size allows a higher diversity of facilities in the one location. University Square, Lincoln Square and Argyle Square are good examples of the Neighbourhood style open space in the municipality.

Areas that currently lack Neighbourhood open space include:

- Southbank
- North Melbourne
- West Melbourne

All of the areas that lack Neighbourhood open space are proposed for extensive redevelopment, potentially providing opportunities for additional Neighbourhood open space. Refer to Figure 4(i) for distribution of Neighbourhood open space and Section 5.4.3 regarding provision of additional open space of this type.




FIGURE 4(i) Neighbourhood open space distribution in the City of Melbourne

4.3.3f Local open space distribution

The majority of the Local open space is located in Kensington, established when the former Newmarket Saleyards were converted to residential use during the 1980s and 1990s. There is also a reasonable distribution of Local open space in North Melbourne and East Melbourne, while there is none in the Melbourne 3000 central city, South Yarra, Melbourne 3004 (St Kilda Road), Port Melbourne, Flemington, Ascot Vale and Carlton North. There is only one Local open space in both Southbank and West Melbourne.

Additional Local open space is going to be required to meet the needs of both the existing and future populations. This type of open space is generally large enough to provide for at least two different uses within it, and it is more easily established in existing developed areas than is Neighbourhood open space. The larger physical area also provides more sense of protection from the traffic and surrounding streets, when compared to the Small Local open space. Refer to Figure 4(j) for distribution of Local open space. Refer to the precinct recommendations for relevant actions regarding additional Local open space and Section 5.4.3 for a diagram of indicative locations for future open space of this type.




FIGURE 4(j) Local open space distribution in the City of Melbourne

4.3.3g Small Local open space distribution

Small Local open space is distributed through most of the precincts with the exception of Port Melbourne, Flemington and Ascot Vale. The majority of these are located in road reservations where open space has been established by changing the traffic priorities.

Additional Small Local open space is going to be required to meet the needs of both the existing and future population. This type of open space is mainly of a size that allows only one type of use or facility within it. The smaller size makes it feasible to establish in existing urban areas, pending the road layout and lot sizes. Refer to Figure 4(k) for distribution of Small Local open space and the precinct recommendations for relevant actions regarding additional Small Local open space and Section 5.4.3 for a diagram of indicative locations for additional open space of this type.




FIGURE 4(k) Small Local open space distribution in the City of Melbourne

4.3.3h Small Local Links

Small Local links are generally provided on an as-needs basis, usually to provide connections from the street network into open space, or to improve pedestrian accessibility within the road network. These are illustrated on Drawing MOSS-02, and an extract from this is included in Figure 4(m).



- DRAWING KEY**
- CAPITAL CITY OPEN SPACE
500 m safe walking distance where they are not restricted access (dashed line with pale colour)
 - STATE OPEN SPACE
500 m safe walking distance where they are not restricted access (dashed line with pale colour)
 - REGIONAL OPEN SPACE
with 500m safe walking distance to a regional open space (dashed line with pale colour)
 - MUNICIPAL OPEN SPACE
500m safe walking distance to a municipal open space (dashed line with pale colour)
 - NEIGHBOURHOOD OPEN SPACE
500 m safe walking distance without crossing major roads (dashed line with pale colour)
 - LOCAL OPEN SPACE
300 m safe walking distance without crossing primary or secondary arterials or railways (dashed line with pale colour)
 - SMALL LOCAL OPEN SPACE
200 m safe walking distance without crossing collector roads, secondary or primary arterials (dashed line with pale colour)
 - SMALL LOCAL LINK
less than 300m² in size (therefore no specific walking distance is applied)
 - OPEN SPACE PRECINCT BOUNDARY
 - MUNICIPAL BOUNDARY
 - TRAIN STATIONS & RAILWAYS
 - MAJOR ROADS

GAP ANALYSIS JUNE 2012
CITY OF MELBOURNE OPEN SPACE STRATEGY MOSS-02 V7

FIGURE 4(m) Open space gap analysis for the City of Melbourne

4.3.4 Gaps in open space distribution

Table 4(xi) Gaps in the distribution of open space

Refer to Drawing MOSS-02 in Figure 4(m) that illustrates the gap areas described in the table below (alphabetically). These descriptions are brief summaries only, and more detailed descriptions and recommendations for how the issues are to be addressed are contained the precinct descriptions in Sections 7 and 8 of this strategy.

Precinct	Existing gaps in distribution of open space
Carlton/Carlton North	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No physical gaps in distribution of open space, however the forecast future population density relative to open space means that without additional open space, the existing spaces will become overcrowded. Refer to the precinct description in Section 7.
Docklands	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No physical gaps in distribution of open space, however the community feedback via the open space surveys and the analysis relative to forecast future population density means that without additional open space, the existing spaces will become overcrowded. Refer to the precinct description in Section 7.
East Melbourne/Jolimont	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No physical gaps in distribution of open space. The existing and forecast future population density relative to the quantity of open space is adequately provided for with more than 35m² per person available for the existing and forecast population by 2026. The future impact of forecast population on the quality of existing open space will necessitate some capital works upgrades in the open space, however, no additional open space is forecast to be required in this sub-precinct over the next 15 years. The Jolimont Rail Corridor future growth area is forecast to occur post 2026, therefore the open space needs of this future growth are have not been included in this strategy.
Flemington/Ascot Vale	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Currently there is no public open space provided in this precinct, however the public are able to walk and cycle along the Maribyrnong River for the majority of the time when there are no major events on at Flemington Racecourse. The future growth corridor located along the Racecourse Rail Corridor identified the <i>Draft Municipal Strategic Statement (2010)</i> may increase the population in this precinct. This will create a demand for new open space to be provided in the precinct when this occurs. Refer to the precinct description in Section 8.

Precinct	Existing gaps in distribution of open space
Kensington	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The majority of Kensington west of the railway has good distribution of open space. East of the railway in the urban renewal area known as Arden Macaulay there is a lack of existing open space, with the need for additional open space as this area redevelops. • The quality and design of open space requires review and upgrade as described in the precinct. Refer to the precinct description in Section 7.
Melbourne 3000 central city	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Currently there are physical gaps in the distribution of open space in the central city as shown in Figure 4(m). While historically open space for the central city has been located on its outskirts, the relative increase in the proposed population densities living, working and visiting the central city is placing increased pressure on these spaces. Additional small green open spaces are required in the central city to provide easy access to green spaces for both the existing and future population so they can have some access to and contact with nature. Refer to the precinct description in Section 7.
Melbourne 3004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are no physical gaps in the distribution of open space, with the open space in this precinct providing for the residential, worker and visitor population in the central city as well as St Kilda Road. Relative to population density, the physical area of open space appears to be adequate, however improvements are required to cater to increasing use of these spaces by the forecast population from this and adjoining precincts including Melbourne 3000 and Southbank. Refer to the precinct description in Section 8.
North Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The eastern area of North Melbourne has physical gaps in existing open space distribution, with additional open space required to meet the needs of both the existing and forecast future population. West of Macaulay Road in the area known as the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area, there are currently no major physical gaps in distribution, however relative to the forecast future population, additional open space will be required. Refer to the precinct description in Section 7.
Parkville	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parkville is well provided for with open space and no physical gaps in its distribution. When measured against the existing and forecast population densities, there is nearly 85 sqm of open space available per person by 2026. The forecast increased population will place additional demands on facilities in open space and these are described in the precinct description in

Precinct	Existing gaps in distribution of open space
	Section 8.
Port Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Port Melbourne has one major area of open space in the western end of the precinct, with no other public open space in the remainder. There is a need to provide additional open space to meet the needs of both the existing and forecast future employee population. Refer to the precinct description in Section 8. Note that the Lorimer Street Precinct has not been included in the population forecasts and therefore planning for open space in this strategy. If this was to occur in the future, an assessment of the open space needs for this future population will need to be undertaken in addition to the works proposed in this precinct as part of this strategy.
Southbank/South Wharf	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Southbank has physical gaps in the distribution of open space. Adding to this, the proposed high density urban fabric contributes to the need for additional open space relative to existing and forecast population density. This means that additional open space is required to reduce the intensity of use in some existing open spaces and accommodate the significant increase in residential, employee and visitor population in Southbank. Refer to the precinct descriptions in Section 7.
South Yarra	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> South Yarra has no gaps in the distribution of open space. Relative to the population density, open space quantity is adequately provided for now and within the strategy timeframe of 2026, as described in the precinct description in Section 8. Future upgrade to existing open space will be required for the forecast population in South Yarra and also the forecast population from adjoining precincts including Melbourne 3004, Melbourne 3000 and Southbank.
West Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are physical gaps in the distribution of open space and relative to existing and forecast future population density, there is a need for additional open space as described in the precinct description in Section 7.


4.3.5 Conclusions from the open space gap analysis

Overall South Yarra, Parkville, Melbourne 3004 (St Kilda Road) and East Melbourne have an adequate quantity of open space that is well distributed and adequately caters for the existing and forecast future population within these precincts. All of the other precincts require additional open space to meet the

needs of either the existing population or the forecast population, and in some locations both.

Recommended solutions for addressing the inadequacies in the provision of open space are identified in the precinct recommendations included in Sections 7 and 8 of this strategy. This analysis is informed by the consultation outcomes, site assessments and analysis of the forecast population change.

A more equitable distribution of open space forms the basis of this analysis consistent with the hierarchy and benchmark for open space provision in this strategy. Refer to Section 3 for information regarding the hierarchy and Section 6 for information on the appropriate benchmarks for open space provision.



5. Key drivers for open space planning in the future

5.1 Introduction

This section of the strategy describes the main influences on planning for the future provision of open space in Melbourne over the next 15 years. This includes major issues such as the significant levels of forecast population growth and infrastructure planning. These will continue to be significant influences on the longer term planning for open space. The City of Melbourne has some unique characteristics that inform the directions for future open space planning in this capital city. These includes:

- The proposed magnitude of change in both the residential and worker population over the 15 year strategy period to 2026, with substantial increases forecast in areas identified for urban renewal in Future Melbourne and the Melbourne Planning Scheme's *Draft Municipal Strategic Statement (July 2010)*. The population forecasts used in this strategy are based on these planning directions.
- The high proportion of the worker population, which comprises a greater proportion of the population than residents in many precincts.
- The need for the open space in the municipality to continue to provide venues for staging major public cultural and sporting events for Victoria.
- The visitor population including international, interstate and Victorian visitors who are attracted to Melbourne for a range of reasons and use open space as part of their visit.
- Supporting the student population in Melbourne in the knowledge precincts.

- High density vertical living with a residential community that has very little private open space and relying on public open space instead to meet the intangible needs of contact with nature, socialise, play, exercise and relax and unwind.

5.2 Urban renewal and future change

5.2.1 Overview

The City of Melbourne is planning for urban growth in a number of locations across the municipality. The most significant change will occur in designated urban renewal areas like Docklands and Southbank, where conversion of former industrial land has been occurring for some years, the central city, which continues to intensify, and the emerging urban renewal areas of Arden Macaulay, City North, Dynon Rail Corridor, E-Gate and the Racecourse Rail Corridor which will also experience significant change. This change will result in substantial increases in the residential and worker populations.

5.2.1a Different levels of urban change anticipated

Not all parts of the municipality will redevelop at the same rate. The draft revised Municipal Strategic Statement sets out three levels of growth for different parts of the municipality. The description of these growth levels includes:

Urban renewal areas

Large sites and whole precincts currently underutilised or derelict that will undergo major change in terms of land use and urban design in accordance with adopted structure plans. There will be substantial increases in both the resident and worker populations. The areas which will undergo change by 2026 include:

Current

- Carlton Housing Estate
- City North (around Victoria Market and Elizabeth Street)
- Carlton and United Brewery site
- Docklands Second Decade
- Southbank

2015 onwards

- E-Gate (railway land)
- Kensington/North Melbourne (Arden Macaulay)
- Racecourse Rail Corridor

2020 onwards


- Dynon Road Site (land on north side)
- Jolimont Rail Corridor (post 2026)

Ongoing change areas

Areas where change will continue to occur on a site-by-site basis within the context of current planning controls. This may include changes of use as well as changes to the buildings, or new development.

Stable areas

Predominantly residential areas with extensive heritage controls. In-fill development and additions and alterations to existing buildings will continue in line with historic trends. The spatial distribution of these areas is shown in Figure 5(a) below.



Urban renewal areas such as Arden Macaulay, located in Kensington and North Melbourne, and City North are geographically large areas that cross established suburb boundaries. These urban renewal areas adjoin areas of lesser change, however there is likely to be progressive change in some of the mixed use precincts outside of the designated urban renewal areas. It is anticipated that

there will be ongoing evolution in the interactions between an urban renewal area and the adjoining areas.

The differences in the three types of areas account for the variations in population and employment growth as shown in Tables 5(i) and 5(ii) below.

5.2.1b Population forecasts

The .id Consulting residential forecasts for the key years of 2011, the start date for preparing the strategy, and 2026, the end year of the strategy's implementation period, are shown in the following table:

Table 5(i) Forecast residential population growth in the City of Melbourne

Suburb	2011 est. residential population	2026 est. residential population	Est. no. change 2011-2026	Est. % change 2011-2026
Carlton Carlton North	14,644	23,773	9,129	62%
Docklands	6,134	14,205	8,071	132%
East Melbourne	5,162	5,770	608	12%
Flemington Ascot Vale	0	4,950	4,950	N/A
Kensington Arden Macaulay	365	2,741	2,376	651%
Kensington Balance	10,095	10,488	393	4%
Melbourne 3000	21,080	35,264	14,184	67%
Melbourne 3004	1,630	2,064	434	27%
North Melbourne Arden Macaulay	1,970	8,028	6,058	308%
North Melbourne Balance	9,680	14,318	4,638	48%
Parkville	5,773	5,826	53	1%
Port Melbourne	0	0	0	0%
Southbank South Wharf	13,107	23,282	10,175	78%
South Yarra	4,702	4,724	22	0%
West Melbourne east of CityLink	3,820	9,400	5,580	146%
West Melbourne Dynon	0	0	0	0%
City of Melbourne	98,162	164,833	66,671	68%

Source: Residential figures were supplied by the City of Melbourne. Current at October 2011.

Note: There is no residential population in Port Melbourne and the industrial part of West Melbourne.

In summary, 50 per cent of the additional residential population will be located in the west/north-west, 29 per cent in the south/south-east/north-east and 21 per cent in the Melbourne 3000 city centre.

The overall level of residential change in each suburb area is illustrated in Figure 5(b).




FIGURE 5(b) Estimated residential population increase 2011–2026

The City of Melbourne also monitors growth in the non-residential sectors and has prepared estimates around employment numbers to the financial year 2022. Substantial employment is already located in the Melbourne 3000 city centre, Southbank, Docklands, St Kilda Road, Parkville and East Melbourne. Forecast estimates for workers are shown in the following table.

Table 5(ii) Forecast employment population growth in the City of Melbourne

Suburb	2011 est. employee population	2026 est. employee population	Est. no. change 2011-2026	Est. % change 2011-2026
Carlton/Carlton North	17,823	24,147	6,324	35%
Docklands	33,503	44,000	10,497	31%
East Melbourne	21,080	25,000	3,920	19%
Flemington Ascot Vale	367	500	133	36%
Kensington Arden Macaulay	1,459	12,000	10,541	722%
Kensington Balance	3,808	8,000	4,192	110%
Melbourne 3000	223,326	323,855	100,529	45%
Melbourne 3004	23,411	28,000	4,589	20%
North Melbourne Arden Macaulay	3,244	14,000	10,756	332%
North Melbourne Balance	6,135	9,000	2,865	47%
Parkville	27,109	29,221	2,112	8%
Port Melbourne	15,366	17,000	1,634	11%
Southbank South Wharf	37,796	46,000	8,204	22%
South Yarra	1,488	2,700	1,212	81%
West Melbourne east of CityLink	15,587	18,742	3,155	20%
West Melbourne Dynon Rail Corridor	0	1,000	1,000	1000%
City of Melbourne	431,502	603,165	171,663	40%

Source: Employment figures were supplied by the City of Melbourne and are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011 Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011)

In summary, 24 per cent of the additional employment population will be located in the west and north-west, 18 per cent in the south/southeast/northeast and almost 60 per cent in the Melbourne 3000 city centre.

The overall level of employment change in each suburb area is illustrated in Figure 5(c).




FIGURE 5(c) Estimated worker population increase 2011-2026

5.2.2 Key issues

5.2.2a Implications for open space planning for growth

The long term growth in the urban renewal areas has been forecast to 2040 and beyond, providing a longer-term benchmark against which to assess open space needs. The level of urban change proposed in parts of the municipality, especially in Docklands, Southbank, and in the west and north west areas of the municipality, is equivalent to the level of growth experienced in the metropolitan urban growth corridors, where generally larger areas of open space are set aside for parkland in the initial planning stages of the development and the urban densities are much lower. The residential population is forecast to almost double in the north-west and west of the municipality, where currently there is a lack of open space. These urban renewal areas will require a range of new open space including larger areas as well as smaller local spaces. Achieving these larger areas of open space will be difficult if they are not set aside early in the planning for the proposed areas of urban renewal.

The 2011–26 growth forecasts for each suburb including the urban renewal areas form the basis of assessing the detailed open space requirements in each of the precincts. Changes in population numbers and characteristics are used to assess open space need, and to then recommend the future open space provision that will be required to meet those needs.

5.2.2b Urban Renewal Areas

Urban renewal areas, with their substantial population growth, will experience increased demand for open space at local level as well as the broader Municipal and Neighbourhood level. Many of these areas currently have small residential populations and limited open space. Employment densities are low in the older industrial areas. Overall, the demographic and number of workers can be expected to change dramatically, resulting from redevelopment opportunities, the aging of the work force and structural changes in the economy.

Establishing new open space for emerging communities will be particularly important where accessible open space will otherwise be in short supply. Adding to the open space network will rely on obtaining some land from larger redevelopment sites in strategic locations, whether this land is currently owned by government or the private sector. The City of Melbourne will need a proactive approach to take advantage of these redevelopment opportunities, particularly through identifying potential sites for land contributions in advance of any discussions that take place about redevelopment proposals.

The need to ensure that well-distributed open space is added to the network is particularly important based on the growing body of research that confirms natural areas of open space have increasingly important positive influences on the community health and wellbeing as described in Section 4.1.4 as well as for urban heat island mitigation along with biodiversity.

5.2.2c Ongoing change and stable areas

For ongoing change and stable areas, population change will be more gradual or limited and will have less of an impact on open space. Opportunities to increase open space will be sought in some locations and will largely be based on a combination of existing and future population needs along with addressing climate change mitigation. This will generally include the provision of additional open space in and around gap areas and review of the design and function of existing open space.

5.2.3 Conclusions

The extent of change planned for the City of Melbourne will result in significant impacts on the levels of use of existing open space and creation of the need for additional open space across the municipality. The impacts of the forecast future change has been analysed at a precinct level and recommendations and specific actions to guide appropriate future open space provision and design are included in this strategy.

Additional Capital City open space

The expansion of the residential and mixed use areas of the city to the west and north means that additional areas of Capital City open space will be required to maintain Melbourne's reputation as the world's most liveable city. As described further in Section 5.3, the Capital City open space is already well utilised and additional spaces will be required to allow the municipality to keep expanding the range of events it can continue to host in the City of Melbourne. Refer to Section 5.3 in this strategy for further discussion.

Additional Municipal open space

The existing community sport and recreation facilities in the municipality are already at capacity and the substantial forecast increase in the residential and worker community means that more open space area will be required to adequately cater for this expansion. Refer to Section 5.4 in this strategy for further discussion.

Additional Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space

The forecast increased population across the municipality means that the local open space network also needs to be increased. The growth will focus in urban renewal areas where there is scope to improve the integration of the location and provision of additional Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space within the urban layout, given the substantial change that is forecast to occur in these areas. This includes the potential to establish a more pedestrian and cycle friendly environment. Designing for slower vehicle traffic speeds will greatly improve the viability of Small Local open spaces in these locations, along with increasing their accessibility for young children and older people. In the established areas the opportunities to achieve additional Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space will be more challenging and require careful thought and planning. For further information please refer to Section 6.1.5 in this strategy.

Purpose and intent of proposed new open space

This strategy articulates the need for additional open space to cater to the forecast population open space needs. Additionally, upgrades are proposed to some existing open spaces to cater to the forecast population needs, particularly in the ongoing and stable areas. Forging the new major growth areas to the west and the north into areas that have historically been set aside primarily for non-residential use and low density use means that additional open spaces will be needed ranging from Capital City open space to Municipal, Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space. This new open space is intended to meet the

criteria outlined in Section 6.3 of this strategy and does not include encumbered open space, or ancillary open space.

Both encumbered and ancillary open spaces are intended to be additional to the type and quantity of open space specified in this strategy. Ancillary open space includes open space located around community facilities such as community centres, neighbourhood houses, maternal child and health care, libraries etc. Encumbered open space includes areas subject to regular inundation, service easements and overhead structures where these characteristics will potentially restrict the adaptability of the open space to be utilised for a range of diverse purposes.

Function and viability of existing Small Local open space

Many of the Small Local open spaces in the municipality are significantly impacted by roads. This includes traffic speed, volume, emissions and the barriers they create for access to the open space. This is particularly noticeable in Southbank, and it was reinforced in the household survey outcomes where less people used streets for exercise than in other parts of the municipality. Changes to the adjoining roads including lowering traffic volumes and speed will potentially improve the amenity and use of the Local and Small Local open spaces. This is consistent with creating improved public spaces for people as outlined in the *Places for People 2004 (City of Melbourne and Gehl Architects)*.

5.3 Capital city role

5.3.1 Overview

5.3.1a The reason for Capital City open space

Melbourne is the capital city for the State of Victoria and declared as such in 1851. Today, Melbourne's open space contributes to the identity, character and liveability of Melbourne. The number of people living, visiting and working in the City of Melbourne on a daily basis is estimated at approximately 771,000 people and includes residents, workers, students and visitors.

The large open spaces to the east of the central city were set aside when Melbourne was originally laid out soon after settlement in the early 1840s. These large parklands today are key features of the municipality and contribute to Melbourne being one of the most liveable cities in the world. As Melbourne turned to face the Yarra River in the 1980s and revitalise the southern banks of the river, the river and the promenades along it have become integrally important to the City of Melbourne's character and synonymous with its identity.

Some of Melbourne's open spaces are well known and form part of the municipality's image and character including its liveability. The Capital City open space falls into three main categories:

- Large parks and gardens for events and predominantly informal use including:
 - Royal Botanic Gardens
 - King's Domain
 - Queen Victoria Gardens
 - Alexandra Gardens
 - Fitzroy and Treasury Gardens
 - Carlton Gardens (South)

- Venues for major sporting events including:
 - Melbourne Park
 - Olympic Park
 - Melbourne Cricket Ground

- Public gathering spaces and squares focussed around the Hoddle Grid and the Yarra River:
 - Birrarung Marr
 - Yarra River corridor between Spencer Street and Punt road
 - Federation Square
 - City Square
 - Southbank Promenade (Queensbridge Square)
 - Sidney Myer Music Bowl in King's Domain

For the spatial distribution of Capital City open space, please refer to Figure 4(e). Some of these spaces have restricted public access.

5.3.1b The difference between Capital City open space and State open space

There are some open spaces that contribute to the ability for the State of Victoria to stage major events but do not necessarily have the visitor and iconic status of Capital City open space which includes places such as Domain Parklands, Royal Botanic Gardens and Federation Square. State open space currently includes Royal Park, Yarra Park and Gosch's Paddock. These spaces include venues within them that either stage major events or assist with the staging of these and are important for the State of Victoria, but are not part of the iconic status of other spaces that interstate and overseas visitors would recognise as being synonymous with the image of Melbourne. In the future some of the additional Capital City open space identified in the strategy may by design become State rather than Capital City open space, and this distinction will be made if required, once the open space is established.

For both Capital City and State open space, the intention is that the facilities are designed to facilitate staging of events and attracting visitors at an international and national level.

5.3.2 Key issues

5.3.2a Forecast population growth and impact on the carrying capacity and values of the existing Capital City open space

The forecast growth for the municipality will increase the total population of the municipality, including residents, visitors, workers and students, in the order of approximately 300,000, from 700,000 to 1,000,000 per day. This forecast increase in population will place additional pressure on the existing open space, particularly if expansion of the restricted facilities continues over time, effectively reducing the amount of open parkland available for people to visit on an informal basis. In open space owned and managed by the City of Melbourne, it is feasible for future built facilities to be refused or limited to reduce the incremental loss of open parkland. On Crown land however, as is the case for all of the existing Capital City open space, decisions regarding built facilities in open space are not made by the City of Melbourne, therefore there can be no certainty over the future loss of open parkland over time.

This strategy is one of the key tools with which the City of Melbourne in conjunction with the Victorian Government can effectively plan for the future management of open space in the municipality and proactively retain the character for which it is well known. This strategy recommends adding new Capital City open space to the network to expand the area of parkland set aside for these purposes, thereby protecting the core values of the existing Capital City open space.

In addition to establishing new Capital City open space there is also a need to effectively plan for the local open space needs of the community within a safe and easy walking distance of these new Capital City open spaces. The purpose of the Capital City open space is to primarily cater to international, national and Victorian visitors needs, therefore local worker and resident community open space needs should primarily be catered for in other open spaces located nearby. Where this is not feasible, facilities suitable for local use will be incorporated into Capital City open space.

5.3.2b Loss of open parkland to built facilities

The City of Melbourne is in the unusual situation whereby the majority of existing open space is Crown Land, with the Victorian Government as the land owner. The City of Melbourne is appointed by the government as the Committee of Management for the majority of this land, however, responsibilities can change as has recently been demonstrated with the transfer of Yarra Park from City of Melbourne management to the Melbourne Cricket Ground Trust.

The main issue that arises is the potential loss of open parkland to large buildings. Two recent examples are:

- The expansion of the Royal Children's Hospital in Royal Park.
- Construction of a new indoor stadium in Olympic Park.

The issues arising from these two examples are different, with the first example being the construction of a building that is not related to sport and recreation uses. The second example is the construction of a building that is used primarily as a sport and recreation venue but is generally not available for unrestricted public access or informal public use. The sites on which both these buildings are constructed previously were either available for informal recreational use, or had the potential to be converted to this use in the case of the car park at Olympic Park. In the case of the second example, the building and its use fit within the purpose of the zoning of the land even though it does not allow informal use of it and the perception of open parkland is lost, whereas the purpose and use of the building in the first example does not, and this is the reason for the excision of this land from Royal Park and its public purpose zoning.

In both cases the City of Melbourne does not have direct control over these decisions and there are likely to be future examples of building expansion in open space. The conclusions regarding loss of open parkland are described in Section 5.3.3d.

5.3.2c The impact of major sport, entertainment and events on the local population

The major sport and event facilities in the City of Melbourne are primarily situated in and around Melbourne Park, Yarra Park, MCG, Olympic Park and the Yarra River. To continue to attract major events to Melbourne, these facilities undergo regular renovation and expansion. Over the years this has significantly changed the character and function of the open space, mainly through the expansion of built facilities to reasonably accommodate the changing needs and capacity at the different venues. Local community use of these open spaces has been affected by the expansion of constructed facilities and the increased number and size of events held in these open spaces. Issues include:

- Competing interests between local community amenity and staging major events in Capital City open space.
- Reduction in access to open parkland areas after the expansion of permanent built facilities or construction of temporary buildings for events in open space.
- Loss of public access to the parkland for the duration of the event. As the population in the municipality grows, particularly in the central city, this will result in increasing pressure for local use of Capital City, State and Regional open space unless additional types of open space are added to the network.
- Built facilities provided as part of staging these events including fencing, grand stands, vehicles and site traffic, toilets, temporary and permanent built form decreases the amount of public open parkland available either on a temporary or permanent basis for the local population.
- Long hours of use for events including the set up and removal of temporary equipment and structures for the event, and the continual bookings for each event space throughout the day.
- While the event is on, loss of intangible values the community identifies as important about open space including peace and quiet, openness, naturalness and as a place to unwind.

- Ongoing incremental damage to vegetation including turf, garden beds and trees during events, affecting maintenance, use and enjoyment of the open space by others outside of the events.
- Recovery and reinstatement time post major events being held, particularly during the height of the event season during spring, summer and autumn.
- Loss of informal community use of open space during the staging of major events.

5.3.2d Loss of open parkland to car parking associated with major venues

In more recent years, the City of Melbourne has promoted the use of alternative forms of transport to reach major event venues, particularly the sporting precinct of the MCG, Melbourne Park and Olympic Park. Open space is still used for car parking and this does restrict and limit the use of the space at other times. This is most notable in Yarra Park where large portions of the park are used for car parking when major events are staged at the MCG and adjoining venues.

In the future planning for Capital City open space, its location near to mass public transport is critical to encourage access via public rather than private transport. Where car parking is required, then provision of underground/undercroft parking to ensure it is not taking up valuable accessible open space at ground level is an important principle to encourage.

5.3.2e Lack of open space provided specifically for local community use

Part of the identity, character and liveability of Melbourne is attributed to open space and it continues to be an extremely important natural asset for Melbourne. While the Capital City, State and Regional open space is a unique and valuable feature of Melbourne, there is a relatively small quantity currently provided and managed primarily for the local community's use and needs. Security of tenure and access to open space is important in relation to both adaptation for climate change and within the context of proposed increased urban densities.

5.3.3 Conclusions

5.3.3a Additional Local open space

In some locations it is necessary to provide additional Local or Small Local open space near existing Capital City open space, to better provide for local community needs outside of the Capital City open space. Flexibility is required to allow for changes to infrastructure and design of Capital City open space to ensure that Melbourne continues to attract and host major events in its open space. This strategy directs the City of Melbourne to focus on providing other open spaces for the local population to use nearby to the Capital City open space while events are held, as this will better provide for the open space needs of the local population. Refer to precinct recommendations for specific actions.

5.3.3b Additional Capital City open space in the western areas of the municipality

The forecast population growth is greatest in the Melbourne 3000 city centre, Docklands, Southbank and north west of the central city. With the Victorian Government being a major landholder in the western urban renewal areas of Arden Macaulay, Dynon Road, Racecourse Rail Corridor and E-Gate, and the extent of redevelopment anticipated, there is an opportunity for the City of Melbourne and the government to work together to establish a robust and inspirational open space framework for future populations to enjoy and celebrate. This will build on Melbourne's reputation as one of Australia's pre-eminent capital cities and a desirable place to visit, work and live.

With the continued expansion of the residential and worker population in the north and west of the municipality, there is a need to identify opportunities to provide additional Capital City open space in the west. Future locations have been identified on Victorian Government owned land so that adequate sized and located open space can be considered. These are illustrated in Figure 5(d).




FIGURE 5(d) Indicative future Capital City open space in the City of Melbourne

There are also opportunities to convert some areas to Capital City open space over time, including at Docklands where there is potential for the waterfront to have this role in the future as Docklands expands. For the waterfront to be a public open space that all Victorians can enjoy, it would preferably be continuous, designed to provide general public access to Victoria Harbour and be of a sufficient size so that a diverse range of activities and public events can occur in the space to encourage its use.

The urban renewal areas of Arden Macaulay, E-Gate and Dynon Road have historically had no need for Capital City open space and none has been provided. Moonee Ponds Creek provides a regional link and connectivity through these precincts, however, the diversity of uses and character of the creek will always be limited by the presence of the elevated freeway and Upfield rail line over the majority of the creek through the municipality. At E-Gate the overhead freeway diverts away from the creek, opening up a potential opportunity for larger open space to be established adjacent to Moonee Ponds Creek without being directed overshadowed by it, however noise is likely to still be an encumbrance. Review of its viability in the context of freeway off-ramps will require further investigation and analysis. A broader opportunity is to establish larger areas of open space along the Maribyrnong River for people to enjoy the recreational values along with the size and expanse of the waterway in these reaches of the river.

The focus for urban renewal areas will be to continue to expand the strengths of the existing open space network into these areas so that they are identifiably part of the City of Melbourne. Extending the existing network of Capital City open space to the western parts of the municipality is an important component of the future open space network.

5.3.3c Design and management of Capital City open space

Many of the issues associated with events in Capital City open space can be overcome with effective management of events and planning for adequate provision of open space to cater to local needs as well as the capital city needs. One of the major issues will be that as Melbourne's population grows, particularly near the central city, the ability to stage events in some open space may be limited by the pressure for use by the increasing numbers of residents and visitors. In the precinct analysis in this strategy, this has been considered. There are proactive recommendations contained in Sections 7 and 8 to provide additional Local and Small Local open space to cater to the local population needs, retaining adequate open space for Capital City needs.

In some locations there is a need to review the design and management of the Capital City open space to provide for local resident and worker population needs within the Capital City open space, while allowing the space to continue to perform its primary Capital City role.

The Capital City open space is of benefit to the State of Victoria and the Victorian Government has a joint role with the City of Melbourne in promoting, designing and managing the Capital City open space. This partnership role is to be encouraged, particularly in relation to achieving additional Capital City open

space in the urban renewal areas, including in Docklands, E-Gate, Arden-Macaulay, Southbank, Dynon and Racecourse Railway Corridor.

Refer to Section 6 of this strategy for overall recommendations regarding the quality of open space.

5.3.3d Loss of open parkland

This strategy recognises that in some instances, there is a need to construct open space related facilities to support the City of Melbourne and the Victorian Government in continuing to promote and hold major events. Alternative locations for construction of additional built facilities should always be investigated prior to proposing that they be constructed on open parkland. This strategy supports retaining existing open parkland where possible, and it promotes the inclusion of natural elements in future design of existing and new open space including grassed areas, garden beds and large trees to off-set the increased urban densities and built form that will continue to occur as the population expands.

This strategy proposes new areas of Capital City open space and additional Municipal, Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space to assist with achieving fair and equal access to a reasonable level of open space.

5.4 Health and wellbeing

5.4.1 Overview

Open space significantly influences the health and wellbeing of the community, as described in Section 4. The existing population via the strategy household surveys has identified the need for additional open space in the municipality particularly in the Melbourne 3000 city centre, Docklands, Southbank and North Melbourne. Overall, nearly 70 per cent of the new population will be located in the west, north-west and the city centre. The remaining 30 per cent will be located primarily in the south, east and north-east. This increased intensification will need to carefully balance existing and future population open space needs.

The planned future population increase will place additional demands on existing open space and create demand for new open space. A large proportion of the forecast population is likely to be living and working in West Melbourne, the Melbourne 3000 city centre, Docklands, Southbank/South Wharf, and parts of North Melbourne, Kensington and Carlton. In some locations this coincides with precincts where there is an identified lack of open space for the existing population, and growth will impact directly on both the structured, unstructured and informal recreation needs of the community.

5.4.2 Key issues

5.4.2a Increased population densities in urban renewal areas

Current directions in planning for the City of Melbourne support a substantial increase in the residential and commercial/retail use in areas that traditionally have been dominated by industrial uses or warehousing. There is very little or no open space in many of the urban renewal areas, as originally open space was not provided in most of the industrial precincts.

5.4.2b Increased high density/vertical living

The forecast dwellings will result in dwelling types with limited areas of private open space available, increasing the need for the population to have outside open spaces that are large enough to provide contact with nature and places to exercise. Future open space design in the urban renewal areas will be important in contributing to the future community's health and wellbeing.

Recent research into children in vertical living - *Vertical Living Kids (2009)*, undertaken by the University of Melbourne for the Victorian Health Promotion Foundation, found that children living in high-rise housing within a 300 metre radius of local green space were more likely to access those spaces independently than children who had to travel further. While this research is available on children's use and access to open space, it is likely that vertical living for adults results in at least the same and potentially a greater reliance on public open space.

5.4.2c Urban heat island effect

In the urban renewal areas, the future population is likely to be accommodated in multiple level buildings, with a focus on compact urban form to promote walkable neighbourhoods. The combination of increased building height and increased hard surfaces creates a warmer microclimate within these areas, potentially affecting human health. Open space can potentially off-set some of the impacts of this increased urban heat as well as promote community health and wellbeing. The open space will be most effective if it is well distributed and contains a range of natural features including planted surfaces with space for broad-leafed canopy trees. This will minimise further solar heat absorption and provide other health benefits associated with trees. Refer to discussion in the Climate Change Section 5.5.

5.4.2d Structured community sport and recreation facilities

The City of Melbourne recreation services team has confirmed that the current community sporting facilities in the municipality are at capacity and there is already some undersupply of sporting fields to meet existing demands. In addition to the high demand for use of sports fields by community sports clubs for training purposes and competitions, they are also heavily used for casual sport

and recreation use, including an increase in corporate sports competitions with activities such as touch soccer, touch football and 20/20 cricket. The City of Melbourne has methods to address the current undersupply with construction of the synthetic pitches for training and informal use to reduce demands on the natural turf sporting fields to improve capacity. They are also encouraging clubs to conduct matches outside of the traditional weekend competitions, by promoting scheduling of these on Thursday and Friday nights to alleviate the pressure and intense use on the weekends. These measures are just able to cater to current demands but will not be able to cater to the forecast population growth.

The recreation services team has advised that the scale of the forecast population growth does result in the need for additional Municipal open space to provide additional sport and recreation facilities including additional sports fields.

5.4.2e Unstructured recreation facilities and infrastructure design

Cycling and walking

The strategy household survey outcomes and site assessments have identified there are conflicts between commuter/high speed cyclists using shared trails and people walking and using these same trails for a more relaxed outing. The conflicts arise from design issues including poor sightlines due to overhanging vegetation, or inherent trail alignment issues due to topographic or space constraints. Other issues relate to cycling and pedestrian behaviour on shared paths, including pedestrians feeling intimidated by the speed and volume of cyclists, and cyclists being concerned about deviating and needing to avoid large volumes of pedestrians or unexpected diversions such as dogs on and off leads running out in the way of cyclists. In Melbourne, some of the existing major gardens exclude cycling access to encourage walking and strolling in these contemplative spaces. The community via the surveys identified this as a key issue, with comments that the gardens should be made accessible for cyclists in the future. This strategy supports unstructured recreational use, however resolving the issue of cycling access in the major historical gardens needs to be considered at the master plan level to ensure all issue are carefully considered.

Other unstructured recreational use of open space

There are a variety of unstructured recreational activities that the community participate in including walking, running, jogging, cycling, walking, exercise, informal ball games such as kick to kick and futsal, playgrounds, BMX bike riding, skate boarding, and informal half court basketball. These unstructured activities are undertaken individually and in informal groups, without any structure associated with them such as a club or organisation, and not on a fee paying basis. Encouraging people to participate in physical activities to keep fit is important, and many of these activities require medium and large sized spaces to undertake them effectively. The strategy supports providing facilities in open space to encourage this unstructured use along with the structured or organised sporting activities. Facilities include level open grassed areas, hard court areas that are open and accessible, tennis hit up walls, skate facilities etc. Some of

these facilities have also been identified to be located into encumbered open space where unencumbered open space is limited.

Informal use and enjoyment of open space

Design and provision of open space that is accessible and enjoyable to use will encourage people outside to relax and unwind. This is very important for people's mental health and wellbeing. The design and location of these spaces influences the ability of people to be able to relax and unwind. Facilities and designs that encourage this includes seating in a variety of locations and styles suitable for the levels of use anticipated in the different spaces, picnic and barbecue facilities, strolling paths, trees, shade, drinking fountains, playgrounds, open grassed areas with a variety of settings including areas in the sun and shade. Conflicts arise between different people in open space if their activities are incompatible. There is a need to ensure that compatible uses are considered in the design of future open space, while ensuring there is a diversity of activities and designs that encourage people out into the space.

Indoor multi-purpose facilities

Additional indoor courts are required to cater for existing demand, however, the provision of additional multi-purpose indoor facilities should be investigated for areas of encumbered land to maximise retention of quality open parkland. These facilities should be provided in addition to the recommended establishment of unencumbered open space such as the new Municipal, Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space. Examples of encumbered land include the area under the Westgate Freeway in Southbank and the area along Moonee Ponds Creek under CityLink. Other potential facilities to promote on this encumbered land to expand participation in these activities include facilities for futsal and rock climbing.

There is also potential to locate facilities like BMX tracks and skate park facilities on the freeway land, given that overshadowing of this space precludes successful establishment of natural turf and planting. This land is located under the freeway in Southbank, adjacent to where a high number of young people now live and next to Moonee Ponds Creek where forecast future growth will occur through Docklands, West Melbourne, North Melbourne and Kensington.

Pavilions

The City of Melbourne does not encourage the expansion of sports pavilions, and there is a strong focus on promoting multiple use of pavilions in recognition that there are constraints on the amount of open parkland area available within the municipality. This approach will need to be strengthened within the context of forecast population growth.

Lighting

Provision of lighting in open space was requested frequently in the strategy household surveys. Additional lighting was requested in many of the major parks and gardens and the reasons are generally to improve their use in the winter and after hours particularly along paths, and also in some cases to improve the sense

of safety in the spaces. Additional lighting was also requested along the Yarra Trail. There are cost and environment implications of providing additional lighting in open space, and the detail of these would generally be considered during a Master Plan update process. Overall, the requests across the different open spaces suggests that people are using open space later in the evenings, particularly in winter, and the lack of lighting along paths is restricting this use. The environmental implications associated with increasing lighting in open space include increased energy use and impact on the native wildlife. These factors need to be balanced with the potential to improve accessibility to and extending the use of open space by the existing and forecast community.

5.4.2f Demographic change

The following assumptions are summarised from the updated population forecasts prepared for the City of Melbourne (.id Consulting) in November 2010. They indicate that overall there will be a gain of young adults throughout all areas of the municipality, with a loss of young families from a number of areas. This generally relates to the opportunities available in the housing market, as the predicted future dwellings in the City of Melbourne are mainly apartments. The Melbourne 3004 (St Kilda Road) area is the only location attracting an increase in retirees while Docklands is forecast to have an increase in 35 to 59 year olds. These assumptions will influence the type of facilities provided in open space in the future. As the City of Melbourne progressively upgrades open space, facilities will be adapted where required to suit any major changes to the demographic make up to improve accessibility to, relevance of and use of open space by the local community.

5.4.2g Student and knowledge precinct population

Students are largely residing in student accommodation, which can range from the residential rooms on campus at Melbourne University, to apartments and group houses. The presence of RMIT and Melbourne Universities – referred to as the knowledge precinct – will continue to attract students to the municipality along with those employed at the universities. Planning for student and worker open space needs will be important in these precincts, particularly at the local precinct level.

5.4.2h Contaminated land

Given the urban renewal areas are predominantly located on existing or former industrial land, some of the future open spaces identified in this strategy are likely to be contaminated. Land identified for open space use will need to demonstrate that the contamination can and will be treated to meet current best practice prior to confirming the suitability of an area for open space and prior to its transfer to the City of Melbourne as public open space.

5.4.2i Community gardens

The City of Melbourne's Draft Council Policy for Community Gardening describes the different types of community gardens. The policy includes guidelines for individuals and groups wishing to set up a community garden in public open space. While there are well established benefits of community gardening

including social, environmental and health benefits, they can result in restricting access to and use of some parts of public open space, which can become an issue in areas where public open space is limited. A policy or guideline is required to inform future decisions regarding establishment of new community gardens in public open space and their ongoing management.

5.4.3 Conclusions

5.4.3a Additional Municipal open space

An adequate quantity of new Municipal open space needs to be provided to achieve sufficient space to meet the structured, unstructured and informal recreational needs of the local population. These are shown in Figure 5(e). The future Municipal open space will also include environmental improvements such as mitigating urban heat build up and increasing biodiversity values where feasible.




FIGURE 5(e) Indicative future Municipal open space in the City of Melbourne

5.4.3b Additional Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space

Access to a system of local open spaces is required to support a healthy and active community. These spaces are in addition to the larger Municipal, Capital City and Regional open spaces. Where feasible, they are located within easy walking distance of the majority of the existing and forecast population. They will be developed as high quality spaces that improve the physical mental health and wellbeing of those living and working in the City of Melbourne in the future, assist mitigate urban heat build up and provide some natural spaces within the medium to high density urban precincts. These are shown in Figure 5(f).



FIGURE 5(f) Indicative future Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space

5.5 Climate change

5.5.1 Overview

Climate change mitigation and adaptation are environmental services that open space can provide, and open space will have an ongoing and increasingly critical role in the future. This is an emerging role for open space in addition to the other important roles it has in our urban environment including its recreational, aesthetic, social, heritage and ecological role.

The City of Melbourne is a local government leader in climate change mitigation research and strategies. The information in this section is based on review of the City of Melbourne's existing programs and is supported by the empirical research currently being undertaken at Monash University. The *Climate Change Adaptation Strategy (Maunsell, 2009)* identifies strategic directions and goals for the City of Melbourne in addressing climate change, including stormwater harvesting and increasing passive cooling efficiency in the municipality to reduce the urban heat island effect. The City of Melbourne has developed a comprehensive water management plan titled, *Total Watermark City as a Catchment*. This document refocuses reliance on the single centralised and reticulated water supply provided by Melbourne Water to consideration of the municipality as a catchment and a new source of water for different end uses. This is referred to as the 'water sensitive city' approach. Consistent with this approach, the *Water Management Plan (2009-2013) Parks, Gardens and Open Spaces, 2009* investigates suitable alternative management strategies and sustainable water supplies for open space.

The City of Melbourne has adopted a cohesive direction to address climate change and to create the water sensitive city. These approaches are integral to future planning, and open space has an important role to play in the successful implementation of these directions, along with the broader public realm as described in the *Draft Urban Forest Strategy (2011)*.

5.5.2 Key issues

5.5.2a Urban heat island effect

The typical urban heat island effect for Melbourne raises the temperature by up to four degrees, which impacts on human health and the natural environment. These warmer conditions are exacerbated during the warm summer months and particularly in heat wave conditions as experienced during late January and early February 2009. During this time an excess of 400 deaths (beyond that normally expected) were experienced in Melbourne, targeting the most vulnerable including the frail, vulnerable and elderly.

The basalt plains to the north-west of the City of Melbourne is the hottest region in Victoria during the daytime and contributes to Melbourne's increase in temperature, particularly with the dominance of hot north-westerly winds during

summer. The increased temperatures have the greatest health impacts at night, especially when the evening temperature does not fall below 24 degrees. It is generally the most vulnerable in our community who are subject to the health impacts, including the elderly, frail and those who cannot afford artificial cooling such as air conditioners. The presence of open space, shade trees and moisture in the landscape are all elements that contribute to passive cooling of urban areas.

Compact urban form exacerbates the City's heat trapping characteristics, minimising the radiant cooling in the evening when it is most required. Open space is able to off-set the impacts of high density urban development by providing the contrasting open areas that allow radiant passive cooling at night. Regularly spaced open areas across a dense urban form are thought to be an effective means of promoting passive cooling and Dr Nigel Tapper and the School of Geography and Environmental Science at Monash University are currently researching this aspect further.

The benefits of passive cooling need to be acknowledged in future urban layout and design, especially where opportunities will be created through urban renewal.

5.5.2c Shade and moisture in the landscape

The presence of broad-leaved canopy trees promotes evapotranspiration, which in turn improves localised cooling. Many of broad-leaved canopy trees are exotic in character, which is consistent with the current character of many of the parks, gardens and streets in the City of Melbourne. Trees provide the benefit of shading in the summer to create a more liveable microclimate in open space and in the urban environment. Trees planted along the main walking paths in open space, with open grassed areas in between these trees, is an ideal layout for open space from a passive cooling perspective. This allows shading of the main walking routes during the day, keeping the majority of people cooler in the open space. It also retains open areas that will efficiently cool during the evening as they are exposed to long wave radiation. Tree canopies can reduce efficiency of cooling at night by trapping heat under their canopies, and the open areas allow heat to escape by moving outward from under the canopies.

Adequate moisture in the landscape contributes to passive cooling and regulating impacts of the urban heat island effect. This is the reverse of the stormwater drainage system, which efficiently transports water away from the urban landscape to the major waterways and drainage systems. To mitigate impacts of the urban heat island effect, options to retain the stormwater in the urban landscape and soil profile, where feasible, will need to be sought to maximise the moisture and resultant evapotranspiration from the landscape.

Options for utilising sustainable water sources and supply and retaining moisture in the landscape via various measures that will assist with passive cooling include:

- Adequate levels of tree watering with sustainable water supply.
- Irrigation of turf areas to increase overall moisture levels in the soil and improve passive cooling.

- Storage of captured stormwater for re-use during periods of demand in wetlands, which contribute to passive cooling and habitat biodiversity.
- Storage of captured stormwater for re-use in below-ground water tanks where space is limited.
- Other above-ground decorative water features such as fountains, ponds etc that are driven by sustainable water supply where feasible.
- Stormwater catchment, treatment and storage below ground for re-use in open space.
- Maximising permeable surfaces to allow rainfall to penetrate into the soil and increase the soil moisture in open space.
- WSUD treatments that encourage stormwater penetration and treatment within the soil profile of open space.

5.5.2a Water use in open space

Due to the recent extended drought in Victoria, the City of Melbourne has focussed on researching and progressing alternative sustainable water supplies to irrigate and maintain its recreational and horticultural assets. The *Water Management Plan (2009 - 2013) Parks, Gardens and Open Spaces, 2009* outlines a number of feasible sustainable water supplies including:

- stormwater harvesting
- rainwater harvesting
- sewer mining.

Some projects demonstrating feasible sustainable water supplies have been undertaken, and while feasible, there are still issues that need to be considered in continuing to implement this program including:

- Space required to treat and store water in open space can potentially lead to loss of available area for other uses including sport and recreation use.
- Below ground tanks that limit what can be planted above, potentially restricting other uses.
- Financial costs associated with retrofitting these features into existing open spaces.
- Presence of contaminated fill in existing and proposed open space making the alternative water source either unviable or expensive to install.
- Implications of both the additional infrastructure and the works to install the facilities for the heritage values of open space.
- Implications for the existing character and use patterns of open space.

5.5.2d Water use in historic gardens

The issue of water use and water security is complex for open space in the City of Melbourne, particularly given the number of historic gardens, with mature exotic trees and water features. When the major historic gardens were laid out initially, water supply was a scarce resource, and it was not until the irrigation infrastructure was installed into the gardens during the 1920s that garden design was changed in response to the security of water supply.

Today, the issue of sustainable water supply is coupled with related issues including the health of many of the mature trees that are in the order of 120 years

old and the heritage layout of the gardens restricting potential opportunities for stormwater harvesting and reuse infrastructure. While the benefits of sustainable water use are high, this needs to be measured against the consequence of changing the heritage character in order to introduce new infrastructure associated with sustainable water supply.

Historic gardens are integral to Melbourne's identity and character and are highly valued by the community. During the process of investigating and proposing measures to address drought and water use, there is potential to lose sight of the integral character and value of these historic gardens. These historic garden landscapes do provide an important respite. The relative benefits of continuing to irrigate these sites with potable water, where no alternative sustainable sources are available, versus the health impacts as a result of decline and eventual loss of the established trees needs to be considered in the context of their contribution to their heritage character and urban heat island effect mitigation. The *Draft Urban Forest Strategy (2011)* addresses the tree management issues.

5.5.2e Trees in open space

Trees in open space are a highly valued community and environmental asset. The strategy household survey results identify trees as the most highly valued aspect of open space. The survey also identified that one of the most frequently requested improvements to open space is planting additional trees.

Trees contribute to the City of Melbourne by:

- Contribution to the open space character and value.
- Providing shade and moderation of the microclimate around them.
- The filtering capacity of them to clean the air.
- The native fauna habitat they provide.
- The human scale and natural form they contribute to the urban landscape.
- The diversity of texture and colour.

Additional trees are required in open space and the urban environment to mitigate climate change and other complementary benefits noted in the list above. Recent research suggests that trees are extremely effective in assisting to moderate and reduce the impacts of the urban heat island effect. Space to retain and plant large, broad leaved long-lived trees needs to be adequately provided to assist with these objectives, especially where they are not plentiful, such as in the urban renewal areas.

The *Draft Urban Forest Strategy (2011)* will focus on the future management of trees in both open space and streets, and it provides management direction on suitable replacement species, implementation priorities and maintenance and management requirements for trees under City of Melbourne management.

5.5.2f Green roofs as open space

Green roofs, especially in medium to high-rise areas, are not highly effective for passive cooling at ground level where the majority of people are located. The main influence of cooling that a green roof has is at roof level.

Green roofs have many positive features and are supported as private open space, and where no other alternatives exist, they may be considered for potential public open space, subject to overcoming the recognised limitations identified below. There are a number of inherent issues associated with green roofs as public open space on multi-level buildings including:

- Inherent difficulty of public access from street level to the roof garden, including being dependent on lifts, and the security arrangements for providing separate public access.
- Passive surveillance and safety of the open space.
- Equity of access.
- Inability to grow large trees.
- Physical discomfort from the elements such as wind and sunlight.
- Lack of connectivity to other open spaces.
- Public ownership and longevity and security of the public ownership and open space on the top of a building that may be demolished or reconfigured for alternative uses.
- Lack of intangible benefits of open space including the visual relief to built form at ground level

Legitimising green roofs as public open space is likely to result in less open space being provided at ground level, as it is easier to incorporate a roof garden into a development than set aside viable land area for open space. Roof gardens do not achieve the multiple benefits that open space at ground level can, and should be considered encumbered by the range of issues identified. In the longer term, if roof gardens are accepted as public open space, this may lead to a reduction in the provision of sustainable open space in the high density urban areas of Melbourne.

This strategy supports the provision of green roofs as private open space and as a positive contribution to overall greening in the city.

5.5.2g Green walls in open space

Green walls can potentially have some benefit in mitigating the urban heat island effect by reducing the heat-absorbing surfaces. They can also contribute positively to the local microclimate by increasing moisture and evapotranspiration opportunities. Issues associated with green walls include:

- The cost of installation and ongoing maintenance.
- Skills required for ongoing maintenance.
- Maintenance and management responsibilities if they are provided on private walls adjoining public open space.
- The technology required and longevity of them in the public space.
- The water use required to maintain them and relative environmental benefits.

5.5.3 Conclusions

Adequate distribution of open space through the high density precincts is required to achieve the positive influences of climate change mitigation including passive cooling. Particular attention will be needed in locations where the urban form will become denser and more people will live and work in the precinct. Integral to this is the integration of stormwater harvesting and reuse to create sustainable water supplies and increase the moisture retention in the landscape to promote passive cooling.

This strategy supports the principles of the *Draft Urban Forest Strategy (2011)* and the strategic approach to managing the trees throughout the public realm including in public open space. Additional trees in open space and the multiple benefits that derive from these is an integral part of the climate change mitigation role of open space in the municipality.

This strategy incorporates these principles including:

- In all future open space including the future Small Local open spaces, allow adequate space for large canopy trees to be included along with some open grassed areas to be incorporated into future open space design.
- The criteria for the provision of open space includes consideration of the passive cooling benefits that open space will provide in addition to recreational and other social and environmental needs.
- Suitable open space design guidelines are to be developed by the City of Melbourne to promote the use of green infrastructure and sustainable water use in open space design to promote passive cooling.

5.6 Open space partnerships with the Victorian Government

5.6.1 Overview

5.6.1a Crown land as open space

The City of Melbourne is highly dependent on Reserved Crown Land for its open space. About 93 per cent of the open space within the municipality is Reserved Crown Land. The City of Melbourne manages some of the reserves on behalf of the Victorian Government. Other reserves are managed by other government agencies or by legislated Trusts. The majority of these reserves are zoned for public open space.

The Crown Land reserves managed by the City of Melbourne include (listed in alphabetical order):

Larger reserves

- Alexandra Gardens
- Birrarung Marr

Smaller reserves

- Argyle Square
- Batman Park

- Carlton Gardens North and South
- Fawkner Park
- Fitzroy Gardens
- Flagstaff Gardens
- King's Domain
- Princes Park
- Queen Victoria Gardens
- Royal Park
- Treasury Garden
- Darling Square
- Docklands Park
- Enterprise Park
- Lincoln Square
- Newmarket Reserve
- Point Park
- Powlett Reserve
- Queensbridge and parts of Southbank
- University Square

The Crown Land reserves, or major facilities in these managed by other agencies or Trusts include (listed in alphabetical order):

- Goschs Paddock
- Karting Complex
- Malthouse Plaza
- Maritime Park
- Melbourne Cricket Ground
- Melbourne Park
- Olympic Park
- Royal Botanic Gardens
- State Hockey and Netball Centre, Royal Park
- Seafarers Rest
- The Shrine of Remembrance
- Westgate Park
- Yarra Park

5.6.1b Other public land

Ancillary open space

Sites such as Flemington Racecourse, the Melbourne General Cemetery, Melbourne Museum and Exhibition Reserve, churches and school grounds are defined as ancillary open space. These areas provide relief from intensive urban development and may provide areas with natural qualities including grass, trees and garden beds that contribute to the open space character and environmental benefits of the municipality.

Other public land not currently used as open space

Significant areas of public land are currently dedicated to other uses including Port-related activities, transport and special purposes. Some of these sites, or parts of them, are becoming redundant in terms of their existing function.

The draft revised Municipal Strategic Statement identifies some of these land areas for future urban renewal where significant land use change and growth is forecast. These areas of Victorian Government owned public land including E-Gate, Dynon corridor including the railway land, Jolimont Railway corridor, Flemington Racecourse corridor and areas in Southbank. These sites are in public ownership and when these areas come up for redevelopment, this strategy has identified some of these as locations for new Capital City and Municipal open space.

5.6.1c Role of the Minister for Planning

Clause 61.01 of the Melbourne Planning Scheme confers on the Minister for Planning approval authority over nominated locations in the City. The Minister, with advice from the City of Melbourne and Department staff, decides on individual planning permits for use and development. This power is fairly extensive and includes locations such as:

- Crown Casino
- Docklands
- Flemington Racecourse
- Royal Melbourne Showgrounds
- Melbourne Convention Centre
- Parts of Southbank
- Hamer Hall precinct
- Melbourne Park and nearby parklands
- Commonwealth Games Village
- Parkville Gardens
- Spencer Street Station precinct
- Melbourne University owned land
- Parkville hospital precinct
- Carlton housing precincts
- Land in Jolimont
- Developments with a gross floor area exceeding 25,000 square metres
- Development and use of land for or on behalf of a Minister of the Crown

5.6.2 Key issues

5.6.2a Ownership and management of open space

The City of Melbourne is currently appointed as Committee of Management under the Crown Land Reserves Act for the majority of the open space that is Crown land in the municipality. The responsible Minister can, however revoke an appointment of a Committee of Management at any time or remove any person appointed as a member of the committee. This generates some uncertainty for the City of Melbourne regarding planning for the management of the majority of the open space in the municipality.

Yarra Park is an example of a reserve where the City of Melbourne's management responsibility recently changed. Responsibility for Yarra Park was transferred to the MCG Trust in 2010, and it is being managed as an integral part of the MCG Precinct, with emphasis on the ongoing viability of the MCG. While this is important for the MCG, nearby residents depend on Yarra Park as their local open space, and their needs are not necessarily going to receive the same recognition as they did under the City of Melbourne's management. However, it is acknowledged that there is unlikely to be any further change to the City of Melbourne's Committee of Management responsibilities in the immediate future.

Where appointed as Committee of Management, the City of Melbourne manages the Crown Land reserves on behalf of the Victorian Government for the local population including residents, workers and visitors as well.

Other land managers

Another 17 per cent of the open space within the municipality is managed under specialised arrangements, and this creates a different set of issues. Conflict can arise between a local community and the Victorian Government, particularly when public use of a park becomes more restricted. This can occur through construction of special purpose facilities, expanded car parking and scheduling of major events. Examples include the ongoing development of sport and recreation precincts of Melbourne Park, Olympic Park, Yarra Park and Royal Park.

Tension exists between creating increased benefits for Melbourne and Victoria by facilitating and holding major events and a perceived loss of benefits by the local community. In terms of open space, there is a temporary loss of open parkland that is available to the community on a non-fee-paying and unrestricted basis. The change may include restricting access to certain groups or on a fee-paying basis, and conversion of open parkland to built facilities, car parking and other infrastructure. The management focus is on capitalising on the opportunities presented by the reserve, not on more localised sensitivities and open space needs.

5.6.2b Ancillary open space

Flemington Racecourse

The Flemington Racecourse comprises approximately 142 hectares of Crown land in Flemington, located to the north west of Kensington. The *Victoria Amateur Turf Club (Incorporating the Melbourne Racing Club) Act 1963* establishes the Turf Club as the trustee of the racecourse. Informal access to racecourse land along the Maribyrnong River is permitted at most times.

The club currently manages the racecourse primarily for racing and the club is permitted to erect any buildings necessary for its operations. Therefore, while the racecourse currently contributes to the sense of openness in this area of the municipality, it can potentially accommodate more buildings and structures, changing its contribution to the unbuilt character of this area. Public access informally along the river could potentially be restricted.

The draft revised Municipal Strategic Statement highlights the northern boundary of the racecourse site along the railway as an urban renewal area from 2020 onwards, referred to as the Racecourse Rail Corridor. Intensification of use to the north of the racecourse will create the demand for new, secured public open space, and this newest area will not be able to rely solely on the racecourse as it is ancillary open space.

Melbourne Showgrounds

The Melbourne Showgrounds comprises about 27 hectares in the north-west of Flemington Racecourse and is owned and managed by the Royal Agricultural Society of Victoria. The Royal Melbourne Agricultural Show is held annually at the site including the Royal Melbourne Wine Show, Australian International Beer

Awards, the Royal Melbourne Fine Food Awards and the Rural Ambassador Awards, along with a range of other events during the year including corporate functions and outdoor music events.

The showgrounds is freehold land, and while the site stages public events, it is not generally available to the public and therefore it is not classified as ancillary open space in this strategy. Located on the boundary of the urban renewal area, there is potential this site may be redeveloped in the longer term for other uses. If population moves into this site, there will be a need to review the provision of open space in this location.

Educational institutions

Typically school and university grounds can make a contribution as ancillary open space. This can include the lower intensity of built form creating a more open landscape that may contribute to neighbourhood character, facilities located in the school grounds being available for public use out of school hours, and in some cases, shared facilities between councils and schools. The University of Melbourne grounds do fulfil an ancillary open space function and are open and accessible for informal use. However, Melbourne University oval is generally not accessible for informal use, and typically students from the university use Princes Park for informal ball sports instead.

The community has raised the issue of improved access to the University of Melbourne's grounds and particularly community use of the oval. While this may be possible at an informal level, the University will need to maintain flexibility to use the grounds as required for educational purposes, and in the longer term access to this open space cannot be relied on.

5.6.2c Minister for Planning and other government agencies

If the City of Melbourne is to realise its strategic open space objectives and achieve additional open space, it will need a shared vision and strong partnership with the Victorian Government.

Strategic planning for urban renewal areas is largely controlled by the Victorian Government as are the decisions about where and how to supply public open space within these areas. This strategy should inform open space decisions including the location, size and function of new open spaces.

In urban renewal areas such as E-Gate and Dynon Road, which are still in Victorian Government ownership, this will involve determining which land will be made available for private development and which will be retained for public ownership, including open space. The Minister responsible for Crown land will have a role in these deliberations. The Minister for Planning, the Department of Planning and Community Development and Places Victoria also have roles in advising and determining the future land use of these areas and the zoning that will be applied.

In terms of the existing areas that are experiencing strong growth and will need additional open space, the Minister for Planning has responsibility for approving

planning applications in specified circumstances. This is likely to include larger redevelopment sites. Where land for new open space is needed from developers of these sites, the department will need to negotiate on behalf of the City of Melbourne to realise the additional local open spaces that will be required. There are both formal and informal processes for input from the City of Melbourne to these decisions which are ultimately made by the Minister.

To deliver new open space, the City of Melbourne and the Victorian Government will need to make a long term commitment to:

- Ensure that sufficient, appropriately located state government-owned land is set aside for new open space in redevelopment planning to create Capital City open space as well for the municipal and local open space needs of emerging neighbourhoods.
- Determine the development sites from which a land contribution will be needed and the location and characteristics of that new open space on the site, and negotiate its transfer as part of the planning and subsequent subdivision approval process.

5.6.3 Conclusions

5.6.3a Advocacy role with the Victorian Government

For the City of Melbourne to expand to the north and west and still retain its positive image and reputation for liveability, additional Capital City and Municipal open space is required in the western part of the municipality so that there is equitable distribution of this open space across it. This can only be achieved in partnership with the Victorian Government as this type of open space is above and beyond what is typically provided at a neighbourhood level, and it will require the strength of vision that was evident during the early days of settlement in Melbourne.

5.6.3b Ancillary open space

The strategy provides direction on the need for new open space to be provided in the vicinity of the Racecourse Rail Corridor urban renewal area, and not rely on Flemington Racecourse for all the open space needs of the future population in this area. This will include securing open space along the Maribyrnong River, and larger areas of open space additional to linear access to provide for a wider range of activities and uses adjacent to the river.

5.7 Open space contributions

5.7.1 Overview

The City of Melbourne charges developers an open space contribution for subdivisions approved under the *Subdivision Act 1988*. The City of Melbourne partly funds its open space program with these contributions as envisaged by the Act.

The open space contribution is based on undeveloped land value and currently ranges from 0 per cent to 5 per cent as set out in the Subdivision Act. A higher percentage rate can be established in the Melbourne Planning Scheme but a rate has not yet been included in the scheme.

Most subdivisions require a cash payment as the open space contribution from the developer, but where there is an identified need for land, a land contribution can be required. The decision about whether to request land or cash belongs to the approving authority. Land contributions must be set aside for public open space and zoned accordingly. Cash contributions are reserved for expenditure on open space land acquisition and the open space capital works program.

5.7.2 Key issues - open space contributions framework

5.7.2a Overview of the framework

As part of the preparation of this strategy, the practical implementation of land acquisition and open space capital improvement projects has been considered with respect to open space contributions. A framework for delivering the strategy precinct recommendations relies on a number of approaches including:

- Capital City open space: Land contributions by the Victorian Government to create new Capital City open space to protect and enhance Melbourne's liveability as the population grows.
- Municipal open space: New Municipal open space for emerging communities to be funded by the Victorian Government, City of Melbourne and developers. Setting aside of land for new Municipal open space is proposed in areas of future redevelopment on public land owned by the state government. This strategy proposes that public land is converted to open space by the Victorian Government as part of strategic redevelopment plans. (If the land is not converted to open space as part of these plans, then the City of Melbourne will need an alternative strategy to acquire it for new Municipal open space.)
- Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space: Open space contributions by developers to provide for the demand in Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space created by forecast residents and workers. This includes land contributions from developers to create new open space, and cash contributions for land purchase, open space establishment and upgrades.

- Ongoing open space improvements: Allocations by the City of Melbourne, including land conversion or purchase to expand the open space network and annual budget expenditure for open space establishment and upgrades.

5.7.2b Subdivision Act 1988 assessment

The assessment criteria included in Section 18(1A) of the *Subdivision Act 1988* are used to determine whether an open space contribution should be charged:

- (1A) The Council may only make a public open space requirement if it considers that, as a result of the subdivision, there will be a need for more open space, having regard to-*
- (a) the existing and proposed use or development of the land;*
 - (b) any likelihood that existing open space will be more intensively used after than before the subdivision;*
 - (c) any existing or likely population density in the area of the subdivision and the effect of the subdivision on this;*
 - (d) whether there are existing places of public resort or recreation in the neighbourhood of the subdivision, and the adequacy of these;*
 - (e) how much of the land in the subdivision is likely to be used for places of resort and recreation for lot owners;*
 - (f) any policies of the Council concerning the provision of places of public resort and recreation.*

This strategy has considered these criteria in analysing the open space needs of the City of Melbourne, especially with respect to the anticipated development and subdivision in the different parts of the municipality. Population growth has been identified as likely to lead to more intensive use of existing open space. New residential population growth will generally be in denser housing than currently exists, with less private open space for passive recreation, and this is also likely to increase pressures on existing open space. Workers in the City of Melbourne appear to use open space more intensively than in outer suburban locations as evidenced by site visits and the park satisfaction surveys. Mixed neighbourhoods with both residents and workers are the forecast trend in the future. The strategy clearly identifies that there is a need for new open space.

For each precinct, this intensification of use has been evaluated holistically in terms of the existing open space (places of public resort or recreation), which exist in the neighbourhood and the future demand and benefit that will derive to the occupants of new subdivisions in that precinct. Most subdivisions will be expected to pay a cash contribution toward the acquisition and establishment of new open space on behalf of their residents and workers, or else to upgrade existing open space that will be used by them. A limited number of subdivisions will be asked to contribute land.

5.7.2c Land versus cash contributions

The City of Melbourne will continue to collect an open space contribution at the time of subdivision. In those limited cases where a land contribution is required, then a decision is needed prior to subdivision, at the point that a planning permit for development is approved, in order to show the open space on an approved

plan. It is likely that a land contribution will involve the Victorian Government at times as previously described. Land contributions are linked to the strategy recommendations for additional open space. Cash contributions are for open space land acquisition and capital works projects. Overall the contributions will be used to provide benefit to the future populations that live and work in the City of Melbourne.


5.7.2d Setting an open space contribution rate

Clause 52.01 of the Melbourne Planning Scheme provides the opportunity to include a schedule of open space contribution rates that will apply to future subdivisions. The benefit of including a rate in the planning scheme is that the percentage rate will be known in advance by developers and give the City of Melbourne greater certainty about how it will fund the new open space including capital works improvements that will be needed by the forecast population.

The proposed percentage rate of 8 per cent and 5 per cent is based on the projects identified in this strategy, that is, land acquisition for open space, and open space establishment and upgrades. Some of the open space precincts will experience significant redevelopment and population growth. There will be an increasing demand for open space in these precincts as the population grows, and substantial resources will be required to meet this demand. Other open space precincts will experience less change, remaining stable or experiencing a level of infill development. In these precincts, the emphasis will be on delivering quality improvements in open space to maintain and enhance the existing network. In setting a percentage rate for open space contributions, the City of Melbourne has considered it appropriate to adopt multiple rates that reflect the relative changes in open space to be provided in different parts of the municipality.

5.7.3 Conclusions

The open space contributions framework, as described in Section 5.7.2, is closely linked to the strategy analysis and recommendations. It provides a critical component for delivering the strategy over the 15-year planning period. As open space contributions will be used, the open space strategic analysis and recommendations at the precinct level are appropriately guided by the matters raised by Section 18(1A) of the *Subdivision Act 1988*. Recommendations for implementing the contributions framework as part of the planning and subdivision approval processes are included in Section 9.3.



6. Municipal-wide recommendations

6.1 The role and purpose of different types of new open space

6.1.1 Overview

This strategy identifies where and what type of new open space is required as shown in the precinct recommendations in Sections 7 and 8. The following table illustrates the typical facilities and features that are appropriate for the different types of new open space and is not a prescriptive list but suggests the types of facilities to be considered for each. The role and function of these spaces is to be consistent with the hierarchy described in Section 3 in this resource document.

Table 6(i) Guide for appropriate facility and feature provision in new open space

Facilities and features are listed in alphabetical order. This is a guide only for what is appropriate for different types of open space, however not all open spaces will have all the features and facilities listed here within them. The actual facilities and features provided in new open space will vary depending on its location, design, character, recreation needs, community input and environmental values.

Facilities	Capital City	State	Regional	Municipal	Neighbour-Hood	Local	Small Local
Barbecues	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Community structured sport and recreation facilities	✓	✓	✓	✓			
Dog off-lead areas			✓	✓	✓		
Drinking taps	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Major sport venues and associated facilities	✓	✓					
Events - major	✓	✓	✓				
Events - medium	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Garden beds - feature	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Garden beds - planting for mitigating urban heat build up	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Large open grassed areas for structured and unstructured sporting use	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Lighting	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Paths - pedestrians/informal	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Paths - shared	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Playground - regional	✓	✓	✓				
Playground - large			✓	✓			
Playground - medium				✓	✓	✓	
Playground - minor					✓	✓	✓
Rubbish bins	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Seating	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Shelters	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Skate/BMX facilities - major	✓	✓	✓	✓			
Skate/BMX facilities - minor					✓	✓	
Toilets	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Trees - large canopy shade	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Unstructured recreation facilities eg. tennis wall, multipurpose courts etc.			✓	✓	✓	✓	
Water features/wetlands	✓	✓	✓	✓			
WSUD infrastructure and sustainable water supply	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

6.1.2 Additional Capital City open space

This strategy has identified that there are some existing spaces that are essential to the role of Melbourne as the capital city of the State of Victoria. The strategy recognises this and also acknowledges that Capital City open space does not always cater well to the local community. In the strategy, some of the future Capital City open space is located where additional Municipal open space is also required. The role of these two types of open space is different and one does not replace the need for the other.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.1.2-A	<p>New Capital City open space The City of Melbourne is to advocate to the Victorian Government to set aside land area for future Capital City open space at the time the state owned land is being considered for redevelopment. The purpose of the Capital City open space is to support facilities that promote the State of Victoria to international, national and Victorian visitor population and retain Melbourne's reputation as one of the most liveable cities in the world.</p>	CoM Vic Govt	Very High & Ongoing
6.1.2-B	<p>Use analysis for new Capital City open space The City of Melbourne is to undertake research into the potential use for each of the new Capital City open spaces identified in the strategy particularly in regard to future event spaces. This will then be used to inform future negotiations with the Victorian Government to assist in refining the size and design of the proposed new Capital City open space when future development on government owned land occurs.</p>	CoM	Very High & Ongoing

6.1.3 Additional Municipal open space

The sport and recreation needs of the forecast population will necessitate provision of additional Municipal open space. The City of Melbourne Recreation Services team advised that many sports facilities at the City of Melbourne are already at capacity and will be unable to accommodate the sport and recreation needs of the forecast new population. The strategy identifies areas where future Municipal open space is required, all located on Victorian Government owned land. They are co-located in some instances with Capital City open space, however the role of Capital City open space is different from that of the Municipal open space. Municipal open space is primarily for community sport and recreation facilities. The average size of the proposed Municipal open space is 4.5 hectares, however, the actual final size is to be determined once there is more information available about the type of facilities required.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.1.3-A	<p>Community sport and recreation analysis for the forecast population</p> <p>The City of Melbourne is to undertake research into the structured and unstructured community sport and recreation facilities for the proposed new system of Municipal open space outlined in this strategy. The City of Melbourne Recreation Services team to undertake the necessary research into the forecast demographics and recreation trends to inform this work, and liaise with the Urban Landscapes team regarding unstructured recreational use to be provided for in this open space. Refer to Section 6.3.1 regarding assessment criteria for suitability of land as public open space.</p>	CoM (Vic Govt)	Very High & Ongoing
6.1.3-B	<p>New Municipal open space</p> <p>The City of Melbourne is to advocate to the Victorian Government to set aside land area for future Municipal open space at the time the state owned land is being planned for redevelopment. The purpose of the Municipal open space is to primarily provide community sport and recreation facilities that support the future population on both the Victorian Government redevelopment sites and in the broader catchment. The preferred size and purpose for each of the new Municipal open spaces will be defined by the City of Melbourne and refined in consultation with the Victorian Government. Refer to Section 6.3.1 regarding assessment criteria for suitability of land as public open space.</p>	CoM Vic Govt	Very High & Ongoing

6.1.4 Additional Neighbourhood open space

New Neighbourhood open space is required in some of the urban renewal areas as described in Sections 7 and 8 of this strategy. These spaces are a minimum of 1 hectare in size, to allow adequate space for a range of activities and uses to occur within the space. Refer to Section 3 for further information about the role and size of Neighbourhood open space. Due to the larger physical land area required for these spaces it will be necessary to plan ahead to identify locations where these spaces can be achieved.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.1.4-A	<p>Sub-precinct analysis for options to achieve the planned location of new Neighbourhood open space</p> <p>The City of Melbourne is to undertake a more detailed analysis within the open space sub-</p>	CoM	Very High

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
	precincts that require additional Neighbourhood open space as identified in this strategy. Refer to 6.3.2 for a description of the purpose of this sub-precinct analysis. There may be a combination of different methods required to achieve the 1 hectare land size. Refer to Table 6 (ii) regarding assessment criteria for suitability of land as public open space and 6.3.3 for optional methods to achieve the land area required. Refer to Action 6.1.6-A regarding consideration of open space links and connectivity during this sub-precinct analysis.		

6.1.5 Additional Local and Small Local open space

New Local and Small Local open space will be required in a range of locations throughout the municipality as identified in the Sections 7 and 8 of this strategy. These spaces vary in size and in some locations, are identified as potential expansions to existing open spaces. Refer to Section 3 for further information the role and size of Local and Small Local open space. The City of Melbourne is to undertake assessments of the actual location of additional Local and Small Local open spaces in the sub-precincts already identified in Sections 7 and 8. These may require a combination of public and private land early in the process to inform developers as to whether the city will be requesting a land or cash open space contribution.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.1.5-A	Sub-precinct analysis for options to achieve the planned location of new Small Local open space in Melbourne 3000 central city The City of Melbourne is to undertake a more detailed analysis within the open space sub-precincts in the Melbourne central city that require additional Small Local open space as identified in this strategy. Refer to 6.3.2 for a description of the purpose of this sub-precinct analysis. There may be a combination of different methods required to achieve a reasonable land area for these open spaces. Refer to Table 6 (ii) regarding assessment criteria for suitability of land as public open space and 6.3.3 for optional methods to achieve the land area required.	CoM	Very High
6.1.5-B	Sub-precinct analysis for options to achieve the planned location of new Local and Small Local open space in locations outside the Melbourne 3000 central city The City of Melbourne is to undertake a more detailed analysis within the open space sub-	CoM	Very High

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
	precincts that require additional Small Local open space as identified in this strategy. Refer to 6.3.2 for a description of the purpose of this sub-precinct analysis. There may be a combination of different methods required to achieve a reasonable land area for these open spaces. Refer to Table 6 (ii) regarding assessment criteria for suitability of land as public open space and 6.3.3 for optional methods to achieve the land area required. Refer to Action 6.1.6-A regarding consideration of open space links and connectivity during this sub-precinct analysis.		

6.1.6 Links between existing and new open space

Connectivity between open space is an important criteria to consider in the location of future open space. Many of the improved connections will be via the street network and linear open space links. In the future open space sub-precinct analysis (refer 6.1.4 and 6.1.5), include an assessment of existing and potential open space links as part of this analysis.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.1.6-A	Improve links between existing and new open space As the sub-precinct analysis described in 6.1.4-A and 6.1.5-B occurs, identify the potential links between the existing and future open spaces as one of the criteria to be considered in location of future open space.	CoM	Very High

6.2 Quality of existing and new open space

6.2.1 Overview

The quality of the existing and new open spaces is integral to their value to the community and environment as part of the open space network. This strategy has identified the need for improvements to some existing open spaces either in response to the site assessments and community feedback via the strategy household survey or for the forecast population. This strategy has also identified a program of delivering new open spaces as part of the future planned growth of the municipality. Some of these open spaces may be designed and built by agencies other than the City of Melbourne.

6.2.2 Health and wellbeing

6.2.2a Community sport and recreation

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.2a(i)	Upgrades and replacement of existing facilities Prior to each upgrade and replacement of facilities, assess the use levels and need for the facility relative to other competing uses. If it is assessed as still being required, then ensure the upgrade meets best practice design principles, and integrates multiple-use objectives for structured and unstructured recreational use where feasible.	CoM	Ongoing
6.2.2a(ii)	New structured sport and recreation facilities Prior to the construction of any new facilities, the proposal will be required to demonstrate the need for the facility and how it will be integrated with and meet multiple-use objectives of the open space, current trends in sport and recreation participation and will contribute to the use and value of open space.	CoM	Ongoing
6.2.2a(iii)	Non open space dependent recreation and leisure built facilities located in open space Proposals for upgrading existing or proposing new non-open space dependent recreation facilities will be discouraged. Applications for these will need to clearly demonstrate the net positive benefits of the proposal to the purpose, use and enjoyment of the open space.	CoM	High & Ongoing
6.2.2a(iv)	New non open space dependent buildings in open space Any future proposals for new non open space dependent buildings in open space are to be discouraged, and any proposal is to clearly demonstrate the net positive benefits it will provide to the purpose, use and enjoyment of the open space in order to be considered.	CoM	High & Ongoing

6.2.2b Unstructured recreation

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.2b(i)	Accessibility and diversity of facilities Future open space upgrades and new open space design is to maximise accessibility. This is to include all-ability access, and a diversity of facilities that encourage a range of activities which appeal to different age groups, genders, cultures and physical abilities in the proposed catchment of the open	CoM Developer Govt Agencies	Ongoing

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
	space. When designs for individual open spaces are considered, include a regional context diagram to describe the context of this space within the catchment of other open spaces to ensure the role and design complements the network.		
6.2.2b(ii)	Unstructured recreation facility design Future facility design in open space is to meet current best management practice in relation to sustainability, accessibility and recreational use that it is appropriate in the community and urban context of the open space.	CoM Developer Govt Agencies	Ongoing

6.2.2c Informal use

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.2c(i)	Informal use Informal use of open space is to be catered for in new open space and in upgrades to existing spaces. This includes achieving a balance between facilities that encourage physical activity and those that allow for social interaction, contemplation and relaxation in open space.	CoM Developer Govt Agencies	Ongoing
6.2.2c(ii)	Information about open space and facilities Develop an information strategy to encourage greater awareness of the different open space opportunities available to the working and residential communities throughout the City of Melbourne. Methods to provide this information are to be consistent with other communication strategies and mechanisms the City of Melbourne has in place, including via existing community based groups and organisations involved in open space.	CoM	High and Ongoing

6.2.2d Natural features in open space

As urban densities continue to increase in the City of Melbourne, open space will increasingly be valued for the break this provides to urban form. The feedback on the Draft Strategy identified that both workers and residents value the natural character and sense of space, being outside and contact with nature. Large canopy shade trees, open grassed areas and planting in open space provide a point of contact with nature in the city for residents and workers.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.2d(i)	Natural features in open space In future master plan and design plans for open	CoM	Very High &

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
	space, provide natural features in open space where feasible, particularly in the high density neighbourhoods. This is to provide the community with ready and easy access to open space with natural features as a contrast to increasing urban density.		Ongoing

6.2.2e Events

Events are a significant feature of the City of Melbourne and contribute to its image as a hub of major sporting and cultural events. The open space hosts the majority of these events and therefore plays a major role in events continuing to be successfully held in the City of Melbourne.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.2e(i)	Events and their impact on open space Prepare an Event Spaces Strategy to provide direction on the most appropriate locations for different event types held across the municipality.	CoM Event organisers Govt Agencies	High
6.2.2e(ii)	Major festivals and events in Capital City, State and Regional open space Events are a major component of the role of the City of Melbourne as a capital city. The continued sustainable scheduling of major events into this hierarchy of open space, both existing and proposed is to be encouraged.	CoM Event organisers Govt Agencies	Ongoing
6.2.2e(iii)	Smaller events and community festivals in Municipal and Neighbourhood open space Smaller events and community festivals that include community participation and meet health and wellbeing objectives are to be encouraged. These are appropriate in Municipal and Neighbourhood open spaces where they can readily accommodate the event size and meet best practice principles including sustainable transport options.	CoM Event organisers	Ongoing

6.2.2f Major sport and major event venues

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.2f(i)	Loss of open parkland to built form in the Capital City and State open space The City of Melbourne is to advocate to the Victorian Government to minimise further loss of open parkland to built form in existing Capital City and	CoM Vic Govt	Ongoing

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
	State open space. This includes careful analysis of the need for additional built facilities, options regarding their location outside of open space and options for modifications to existing built form to increase capacity without expansion of building footprint in open space.		
6.2.2f(ii)	Loss of open parkland to car parking The City of Melbourne is to continue to advocate for improvements to public transport as the primary form of transport to reach major events. Where car parking is proposed for the major event venues, minimise its provision at ground level in public open space.	CoM Vic Govt	Ongoing

6.2.3 Climate change

6.2.3a Urban heat mitigation

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.3a(i)	Passive cooling All future open space designs are to incorporate the principles of passive cooling into them where feasible. This includes increasing the amount of porous surfaces, planting long-lived broad spreading canopy trees, turf, incorporating stormwater harvesting and WSUD features and achieving a combination of canopy trees and open areas for long-wave radiant cooling overnight.	CoM Developer Govt Agencies	Very High & Ongoing

6.2.3b Sustainable water use

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.3b(i)	Sustainable water use in open space The City of Melbourne is to continue to implement water saving measures in open space design and management where appropriate. All new open space designs by others are to demonstrate they are designed with water sensitive measures including opportunities for urban water cycling, harvesting and re-use of rainwater, stormwater, greywater and blackwater.	CoM Developer Govt Agencies	Very High & Ongoing

6.2.3c Carbon sequestration

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.3c(i)	<p>Vegetation selection</p> <p>All future open space design is to maximise the use of long-lived trees for long-term shade and carbon capture. Where new open space can incorporate existing long-lived trees these should be incorporated, and the new open space designed to retain the trees.</p>	CoM Developer Govt Agencies	Very High & Ongoing

6.2.3d Sea level rise and severe storm events

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.3d(i)	<p>Future open space provision</p> <p>Where new open space is proposed along waterways, drainage reserves and low lying areas, mapping of the 1:100 and 1:10 year flood levels is to be included, based on the current best practice management in relation to sea level rise and storm events. Analysis of the impact of areas to be inundated on recreational use are to be described by a relevant qualified professional to allow the City of Melbourne to determine the proportion of open space to be identified as unencumbered open space.</p>	CoM	Very High & Ongoing

6.2.4 Biodiversity

6.2.4a Waterway corridors

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.4a(i)	<p>Moonee Ponds Creek</p> <p>Proposed open space along the Moonee Ponds Creek is to be designed to improve the biodiversity values of the creek corridor where appropriate. Liaise with Melbourne Water and other relevant agencies including VicTrack and CityLink as required regarding future proposals for open space. Future open space needs to clearly identify areas that are encumbered as described in Recommendation 6.3.2d(i) and other considerations including noise levels and overshadowing.</p>	CoM MW AAV/RAP Govt Agencies	Ongoing
6.2.5b(ii)	<p>Maribyrnong River</p> <p>Proposed expansion to the open space corridor and ongoing improvements are to be designed to improve biodiversity values along the river corridor</p>	CoM MW AAV/RAP	Ongoing

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
	where appropriate. Liaise with Melbourne Water and other relevant agencies including Flemington Racecourse and adjoining municipalities as required regarding future proposals for the open space adjoining the river. Future proposals for the additional open space are to clearly identify areas that are encumbered as described in Recommendation 6.3.2d(i).	Govt Agencies Adjoining councils	
6.2.5b(iii)	Yarra River In future open space upgrades along the Yarra River, investigate opportunities to improve the biodiversity values, and where appropriate improve the existing cultural heritage and historical character of the waterway.	CoM MW AAV/RAP Govt Agencies	Ongoing

6.2.4b Wetlands

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.4b(i)	Habitat diversity and sustainable water reuse In future open space design consider the benefits to habitat values and diversity that water quality treatment wetlands can provide when assessing the most appropriate sustainable water reuse methods and infrastructure for open space.	CoM Developer Govt Agencies	Ongoing

6.2.5 Cultural heritage

6.2.5a Indigenous cultural heritage values

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.5a(i)	Protecting Indigenous cultural heritage values Future open space upgrades and provision of new open space will continue to respect, protect and where appropriate interpret the Indigenous cultural heritage values of open space.	CoM AAV/RAP Developer Govt. Agencies	Ongoing

6.2.5b Non-Indigenous historical values

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.2.5b(i)	Protecting non-Indigenous historical values Future open space upgrades and provision of new open space will continue to respect, protect and interpret the historical values of the open space.	CoM Developer Govt. Agencies	Ongoing

6.3 Provision of additional open space

6.3.1 Assessment criteria for suitability of land as public open space

All land area proposed for new open space will first be assessed against a list of criteria to confirm if it is suitable for that purpose. This will ensure that the future land areas of new open space meet best practice. It will be at the responsible authority's discretion as to whether an area of land offered by a developer as open space is accepted and adequately meets the criteria included in this strategy. The City of Melbourne will apply these criteria to any future land that they convert or acquire for open space.

The assessment criteria in this table is to be used to determine the suitability of any future land proposed as new open space and can also be used to assess existing open spaces where review of their status and condition is required.

Table 6(ii) Assessment criteria for suitability of land area for public open space

Criteria	Description
Accessibility	Physical access into the site including the inherent topography, at ground level and capable of supporting large mature canopy trees (not an elevated part of a building) and the ability to make the site safe and accessible to people with limited mobility or with a disability (Note - refer also to Transport, Visibility, Condition and Location in this list of criteria for other access related issues).
Adjoining land use	The influence of adjoining land use on the recreational, ecological, social and cultural value of the open space. This includes consideration of existing and future planned land use and associated urban densities, noise, built form and height.
Amenity	Visual and passive amenity values relates to the influence open space has on the liveability of neighbourhoods, providing visual relief from built form, the break open space provides from noise levels associated with traffic and other urban land use activities and adequate levels of sunlight (a minimum of 3 hours of direct sunlight between 9am and 3pm during mid-winter and at least 5 hours of direct sunlight between 9am and 3pm on September 22).
Climate change mitigation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability for the site to have long-lived broad spreading canopy trees planted and space to become fully established without encroachment into their canopy. • Ability for the site to incorporate sustainable water supply and reuse and maximise moisture retention to allow passive cooling of the local microclimate including areas for long-wave radiant cooling at

Criteria	Description
	<p>night.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability for the site to remain as useable and functional open space in the context of sea level rise and larger storm events which are likely to increase in the future.
City of Melbourne policies	The land is subject to other planning processes and policies that may be consistent or inconsistent with all or part of the site becoming public open space.
Condition	The existing physical condition of the land is to be suitable for use as public open space including that there are no inherent issues such as contamination and significant financial or safety implications for the City of Melbourne if the land becomes public open space.
Ecological	Includes the site's existing biodiversity values and the potential to contribute to the protection and enhancement of these values along with a site's contribution to existing or future ecological diversity.
Equity	The City of Melbourne community including residents and workers should have reasonable access to public open space.
Financial	The costs to the City of Melbourne in obtaining and improving the land as open space, along with the costs associated with the ongoing maintenance and management of it.
Heritage character	Indigenous and non-Indigenous cultural heritage and historical values that could be enhanced and protected in the open space. These values will influence the future use and design and management of the open space.
Landscape character	Its contribution to the character and attractiveness of the neighbourhood.
Location/linkages	The site's contribution to the wider open space network including forming open space corridor links. This includes consideration of the other strategic planning projects in the municipality including linear open space corridors, and links and connections to improve accessibility into existing or proposed future open space.
Ongoing maintenance and management	The ability for the City of Melbourne to re-zone the land for open space purposes, and to effectively maintain and manage the land as open space.
Ownership	Where the land is already in public ownership, potential for conversion to open space should be considered, where it can successfully be rezoned for this purpose. This may include City of Melbourne assets or land owned by other authorities. Conversion of public land is preferred where it eliminates the need to purchase

Criteria	Description
	<p>land for public open space and this method will likely be used in a range of areas across the municipality as redevelopment progresses.</p> <p>Where land is privately owned, land can be acquired through open space contributions, generally at the time a site is redeveloped. Private land acquisition may be needed in some locations to create a functional open space of the size and configuration required for its intended role.</p>
Recreation	<p>The potential for the site to accommodate a range of organised, unstructured and informal recreational uses. These can include field sports, play, walking, jogging, cycling, exercising, informal ball games, socialising, picnicking, sitting and dog walking.</p>
Services/ easements	<p>Extent of other services and easements that would affect the development and use of the land as open space including roadways, overhead structures, water supply, power supply, flood mitigation and drainage.</p>
Size	<p>The minimum size for the site to meet its intended purpose, on its own or in combination with adjoining land. Refer to minimum size parcels for each type of open space as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capital City of open space, unlimited • State open space, unlimited • Regional open space, unlimited • Municipal open space, generally a minimum of 3 hectares, subject to the proposed municipal recreation facility located in it, however for new open space a minimum size of 4.5 hectares is preferred. • Neighbourhood open space, minimum of 1 hectare. • Local open space, minimum 0.26 and up to 0.99 hectares. • Small Local open space, minimum 0.03 and up to 0.25 hectares, with a minimum width of 20 metres in at least one direction. • Small Local Link space, with a minimum width of 5 metres.
Transport	<p>The range of transport options for residents to easily access the site including proximity to public transport, linear shared trails, major roads and the street network, relevant to the size and anticipated catchment for the proposed open space.</p>
Visibility	<p>The site's visual prominence to maximise its use and contribution to the broader community. Generally, land is preferred which has at least two access points and local roads to at least two sides and is provided at natural surface level.</p>

6.3.2 Sub-precinct analysis for additional open space

This strategy has identified in each precinct where additional open space is required over the 15-year lifespan of the strategy. Some of the additional open space is to be provided primarily for the existing population, with the majority proposed to meet the needs of the forecast population. The method to secure additional land area as open space can be a combination of different mechanisms including contribution of land area by larger redevelopment sites coupled with purchase of undeveloped land and conversion of public land. This is explained further in Section 6.3.3.

This strategy recommends undertaking sub-precinct open space analysis in the locations where additional open space is planned as identified in this strategy, to identify potential opportunities to secure additional land that meets the criteria outlined in Table 6(ii). Refer to the recommendations noted in Section 6.3.1.

6.3.3 Methods to acquire/secure additional open space

It is anticipated that the City of Melbourne will acquire additional open space via a range of methods including:

- As a land contribution as part of future subdivision of land for development in the city.
- Conversion of land owned by the City of Melbourne from its existing use to open space (eg. a road)
- Conversion of land owned by another government agency to open space.
- Purchase of undeveloped land, particularly where this may be negotiated with a land developer to add to an area of land being contributed on the same development site.
- Purchase of undeveloped land, particularly where this may be negotiated with a land developer to add to an area of land being contributed on the same development site, or on an adjoining site so that a contiguous open space is created.

There are likely to be situations where an additional open space may require a combination of the above methods to achieve a suitable land area for use as open space – refer to Table 6(ii).

6.4 Changes to forecast population and development over the strategy timeframe

6.4.1 Changes to forecast growth

The strategy recommends projects to improve existing open space and add to the open space network. These are linked to the residential and employee population forecasts and the development projections prepared for the City of Melbourne. If there is a substantive change in the location or intensity of new

development, then project priorities in proximity to those locations can be upgraded and implementation of these projects can be accelerated, taking into account the timing of the open space contributions that are collected. Alternatively, if change occurs at a slower pace or at a lower intensity than anticipated, then project priorities and implementation timetables may need to be revised.

If there is a substantial change to the population forecasts and location of where additional growth is proposed in the precincts that have not been forecast to receive substantial growth, then additional open space may be required beyond that outlined and included in the strategy. If this occurs, an analysis of the forecast population change, the demographics and the existing open space will be required to assess if any new open space is required and the type of additional open space.

6.4.2 Potential disposal of open space

6.4.2a New open space replacing the need for some areas of existing open space

Although not identified as being required in any locations in the municipality, if the City of Melbourne identifies any existing open space for potential disposal, the following process would be followed as a minimum:

- a) Assess the subject open space within a neighbourhood context. This analysis will need to assess all other existing and proposed open space within the walking catchment of the subject site to clearly understand each open space size and level of existing and potential use (which may include an environmental role as well as community use) to determine the subject site's existing or future role in the local open space network.
- b) Assess the site in relation to the Criteria listed in Table 6(ii).
- c) Consult with the community who would potentially be affected by any disposal of the subject open space in accordance with the distances nominated in Table 3(ii).
- d) If after steps (a), (b) and (c) the open space is still considered excess to requirements and the City of Melbourne intends to proceed with disposal of open space, ensure the funds from the disposal of open space is spent on open space projects only, as required by the *Subdivision Act 1988*. Refer to Section 20 of the Act for limitations. *Melbourne 2030* (Parklands Code) states that any change in land use or nature of occupancy should be compensated by replacement with open space of equal or greater size and quality.

6.4.3b Potential overlap in the provision of open space

The consultation and site assessment work completed for the project has identified that residents in the City of Melbourne highly value their open space. The strategy household survey outcomes included a large number of comments that no further loss of open space should occur to development and that there is a need to provide additional open space.

Opportunities may arise during the course of the strategy implementation to acquire/secure a new piece of land that is highly suitable for open space and may potentially make a less accessible piece of open space nearby surplus to requirements. If this was to arise in the future, all of these cases, each would need to be assessed at a detailed level, utilising the assessment criteria in Table 6(ii), and all other relevant considerations outlined in *Section 6.1*. All cases would involve consultation with the local community.

6.5 Development interface with open space

The amenity and function of open space is influenced by the land use, design, layout, built form and landscaping treatments on adjoining sites. Section 6.3 discusses the criteria for siting new open space. These criteria have been adapted to address development proposals on adjoining sites and they should also be applied as relevant to any site that has potential to influence the function of the open space.

Development should positively contribute to open space by providing a degree of passive surveillance without interfering with the enjoyment, function and use of the open space. Development should not create adverse conditions in open space such as undue shadowing, increased wind effects, intrusion of unwanted light and noise, use of car parking or traffic access for private uses, interference with vegetation and dispersal of weeds, and loss of visibility.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
6.5-A	<p>Adjoining development and development that may influence nearby open space</p> <p>City of Melbourne and other approving authorities to require applicants for development to demonstrate compliance with the guidelines below.</p>	CoM Vic Govt	High & Ongoing

6.5.1 Guidelines for development adjoining or nearby open space

Accessibility to open space

- Design and layout of the site development should foster good public access to open space. Barriers to access should be avoided.

Amenity and function of the open space

- Design and layout of the site is to provide passive surveillance of open space. Buildings are to face open space and avoid blank walls (especially on lower floors) and high fences at the interface with open space. Passive surveillance should be effective but not intrusive for park users or occupants of adjoining buildings.
- Design of the built form and site use should at a minimum maintain the amenity, function and use of the open space. Factors that could degrade open space amenity, function and use include excessive noise, light spill,

traffic movements, car parking demand, wind effects or shadowing, and proximity of built form and building design that allows undue transfer of noise from the open space into the development limiting the recreational function and uses that can reasonably occur in open space. The applicant may be required to supply studies demonstrating whether there will be positive or adverse effects on open space.

- The open space must receive a minimum of 3 hours of direct sunlight between 9am and 3pm during mid-winter and at least 5 hours of direct sunlight between 9am and 3pm on September 22. Where this minimum is not currently met, the development must not create additional shadowing of the open space.

Characteristics and values of open space

- Design is to be compatible with and enhance where possible the recreational, ecological, social and cultural values of the open space.
- Built form and landscape treatments should maintain the ecological processes and biodiversity values of the open space and allow for opportunities to enhance these values.
- Design of built form and landscape treatments should be compatible with heritage values of the open space.
- Landscape treatments should be compatible with the landscape character of the open space and its contribution to the character and attractiveness of the neighbourhood.

Climate change mitigation in open space

- Built form and landscape treatments should be planned to allow for the growth and maturity of vegetation in open space, especially long-lived broad spreading canopy trees.
- Where feasible, proximity of built form to the open space to encourage sufficient long-wave radiant cooling to occur from the open space overnight.
- The development should allow for the use of sustainable water supply and reuse in the open space.

City of Melbourne policies about open space

- The development meets any planning policies pertaining to amenity and open space.

Delineation of open space and private land

- Design and layout of adjoining sites, including location of buildings, structures and furniture, are to provide clear physical and visual separation between public open space and private land to reduce appropriation of and encroachment on public space.

Ongoing maintenance and management of open space

- Development will not increase the ongoing cost and responsibility for the City of Melbourne (or other authority) to maintain and manage the open space.

Open space contribution from development

- Development site is to be assessed for its suitability for an open space land contribution to create or add to existing open space, or to create formal public linkages. Note: Eligible sites will be charged an equivalent cash contribution where land is not required.

Services/easements to be provided to an adjoining site

- Access and easements for water and power supply, other utilities and drainage should be provided on the development site so that they do not interfere with use or management of the open space.

Setback from site boundary

- Larger sites should allow for a setback from the boundary, relative to the height of the built form, where height will adversely affect the amenity, function and use of the open space.

Transport and car parking arrangements for the development

- Traffic access, traffic patterns and car parking demand should not decrease the accessibility, use and amenity of the open space.

Visibility of open space

- Public view lines are to be maintained to and from the open space.



7. Recommendations for precincts with urban renewal areas

7.1 Introduction

City of Melbourne comprises fourteen different precincts and the analysis and planning for open space has been undertaken at the precinct level. For the purposes of this strategy the precincts are generally aligned to suburb boundaries, with a few precincts being a combination of suburbs where they are small including Southbank with South Wharf and Ascot Vale with Flemington. Within the precincts there are some sub-precincts where further differentiation is required to undertake effective analysis of future open space need.

Some of these contain urban renewal areas with extensive land use and population change forecast. The precincts in this section and are in alphabetical order and include:

- 7.2 Carlton | Carlton North (City North)
- 7.3 Docklands
- 7.4 Flemington | Ascot Vale (Racecourse Rail Corridor)
- 7.5 Kensington (Arden Macaulay)
- 7.6 Melbourne 3000 (City North)
- 7.7 North Melbourne (Arden Macaulay)
- 7.8 Southbank
- 7.9 West Melbourne (City North, E-Gate, Arden Macaulay, Dynon)



7.2 Carlton | Carlton North

7.2.1 Overview

Carlton is an inner suburb of Melbourne immediately north of the Melbourne 3000 central city area. Carlton North is located immediately north of Carlton and includes the Melbourne General Cemetery, Princes Park and Hardy Reserve within the municipality. Carlton/Carlton North is characterised by the Victorian era architecture, wide formal street layouts, formal squares, Princes Park and Carlton Gardens.

Carlton was settled at the start of the gold rush in 1851 as Melbourne expanded rapidly with the influx of thousands of gold miners. The suburb was laid out in 1852 by Robert Hoddle in an orderly grid with Carlton Gardens, Argyle Square and Lincoln Square all reserved in the original layout. The suburb grew rapidly from 1850s to the late 1800s incorporating the key areas of open space and other key landmark sites including the Melbourne General Cemetery, University of Melbourne, the Trades Hall, Carlton and United Breweries and a series of churches. Also during this period eight hectares of land was set aside in the Carlton Gardens to build Royal Exhibition Buildings to house the Melbourne International Exhibition held in 1880/1. These buildings were then used for the inauguration of the Commonwealth of Australia at Federation in 1901 and today the buildings and the gardens are included on the World Heritage List.

By the turn of the century many of the wealthier property owners from the gold rush moved out of Carlton and it changed to become home to mostly artisans, workmen and small industries. Emigrants found employment and affordable housing in Carlton between the world wars when an influx of migrants moved into Carlton including the Italians who have a strong presence and have influenced the Lygon Street precinct, including the more recent Piazza Italia in Argyle Square.

The presence of the University of Melbourne and Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology has resulted in Carlton accommodating a large student population, with people aged between 20 and 24 the most populous age group in the suburb. The University grounds do provide sport and recreation facilities on site, however


the demographics influence the function and use of the public open space network as well.

As with many inner suburbs the government targeted parts of Carlton for slum reclamation in the 1960s with high-rise estates replacing Victorian terrace houses. Today these same sites are currently the subject of a major public housing redevelopment project expanding the number of people living in these areas. The Office of Housing describes this as the largest public and private housing redevelopment in Victoria's history with the aim of delivering the most environmentally sustainable public housing in Melbourne. This will include removal of 192 old walk up housing flats and replacement with 246 new public and social housing apartments and 600 new private apartments

Carlton is recognised for its heritage character and the older established areas are identified as a stable area in the revised draft Municipal Strategic Statement. The City North urban renewal area proposes some intensification of development in the south-east areas of Carlton. This is largely associated with the future construction of the proposed metro system and Parkville Metro station located near the corner of Grattan Street and Royal Parade. The focus of this change is proposed to support and expand the research and educational facilities in Carlton, Parkville and Central Business District, referred to as the Knowledge Precinct.

The Carlton Housing Redevelopment project and the City North urban renewal area will bring substantial additional population to Carlton including visitors, residents and workers and is described in more detail in this chapter.

7.2.2 Existing open space



7.2.2a Open space quantity, hierarchy and character

There are 13 existing open spaces in Carlton comprising 22.42 hectares and two in Carlton North comprising 32.86 hectares. Combined they total 56.31 hectares.

In Carlton open space represents 12.3 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 3.9 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne. In Carlton North open space represents 36.6 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and the 5.8 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne.

Table 7.2(i) Number, size and type of open space for Carlton/Carlton North

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
1	Capital City	8.81	16%
3	Regional	39.98	71%
5	Neighbourhood	6.30	11%
2	Local	0.74	1%
2	Small Local	0.23	0.4%
3	Small Local Link	0.26	0.5%
15	Totals	56.31	100%
1	<i>Restricted open space</i>	4.36	

The majority of open space in Carlton is provided in Carlton Gardens and the three larger squares being Argyle Square, Lincoln Square and University Square. All these open spaces are located south of Grattan Street. Carlton Gardens is unique and inscribed on the UNESCO World Heritage List *'The Royal Exhibition Building and its surrounding Carlton Gardens, as the main extant survivors of the a Palace of Industry and its setting, together reflect the global influence of the international exhibition movement of the 19th and early 20th centuries. The movement showcased technological innovation and change, which helped promote a rapid increase in industrialisation and international trade through the exchange of knowledge and ideas'*.

The formal layout of the southern Carlton Gardens extends from the forecourt to the building and includes classically inspired features such as the Hochgurtel fountain, main axial tree lined paths, the formal garden beds (parterres) and the French fountain in the forecourt. To the north of the Melbourne Museum the Carlton Gardens consist of broad tree lined avenues and lawns. These two distinctly different characters of the Carlton Gardens have been classified differently with Carlton Gardens South given a Capital City role and Carlton Gardens North given a Regional role reflecting the more regional and local use of this part of the gardens.

The squares in Carlton are unique in character and each has a distinct design and relationship to the adjoining land use. Argyle Square, located on Lygon Street includes the recent Piazza Italia in the north and the formal public garden layout to the south with mature Elm trees reflecting its original design layout from the late 1800s. Lincoln Square includes the Bali Memorial from 2002, whilst

University Square retains the formal Elm avenues to the south with a more recent design to the north incorporating underground car parking for the University of Melbourne. Macarthur and Murchison Squares are also formal in character, smaller and located north of Carlton Gardens.

The majority of open space in Carlton North is located in Princes Park, established as part of the original Royal Park Reserve. Early tree planting to its perimeter included Lemon Scented Gums, Mahogany Gums and English Elms many of which are still present today. Soon after the plantings occurred, the Carlton Football Club located to Princes Park in 1897 and has been based there continuously since this time. The perimeter walking path is also extremely popular for jogging, walking and cycling, with the ovals used for informal games and dog walking as well.

In summary Carlton and Carlton North combined have a well established and diverse open space system.

Table 7.2(IIa) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification Carlton

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	AREA (m ²)
ARGYLE SQUARE	Neighbourhood	Square	Events	13,298
BARKLY ST CLOSURE	Small Local Link	Linking Space		433
BURTON RESERVE	Small Local Link	Significant road reservation	Linking space	1,881
CANNING & NEILL STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Informal use		1,897
CANNING & PALMERSTON STEET RESERVE	Small Local	Informal use		403
CARLTON GARDENS NORTH	Regional	Heritage	Informal use, Sporting	71,160
CARLTON GARDENS SOUTH	Capital City	Heritage	Botanical, Events	88,121
LINCOLN SQUARE	Neighbourhood	Square	Recreation	13,263
MACARTHUR SQUARE	Local	Square	Formal	3,590
MURCHISON SQUARE	Local	Square	Formal	3,767
NEILL STREET RESERVE	Neighbourhood	Linking space	Informal use	10,300
PITT ST CLOSURE	Small Local Link	Linking Space		243
UNIVERSITY SQUARE	Neighbourhood	Square	Formal, Heritage	15,810

Table 7.2(iib) Existing open space, hierarchy & character classification Carlton North

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
HARDY RESERVE	Neighbourhood	Linear	Heritage	10,290
PRINCES PARK	Regional	Sporting	Heritage, Restricted sport/recreation, Recreation, Informal use, Events	328,644

Table 7.2(iic) Existing restricted open space in Carlton North

Open Space	Hierarchy	Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
OPTUS OVAL	Regional	Restricted sporting/recreation		43,756
TOTAL RESTRICTED				43,756

7.2.2b Distribution

The open space in Carlton is generally well located with no physical gaps in its distribution as illustrated in Figure 7.2~2 on the following page. The southern area of the precinct lacks diversity of smaller open spaces and at the fine grain level, accessibility to open space requires review.

7.2.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

The Melbourne General Cemetery is located in Carlton North directly adjoining Princes Park. The Cemetery was established in 1850 and set out in accordance with latest concepts of landscape design and plantings at that time. The government botanist Baron Ferdinand von Mueller was responsible for the exotic and native plantings at the Cemetery.

Some of the wider streetscapes in Carlton contribute to the landscape character and pedestrian amenity, particularly those with wide grassed central medians and mature canopy shade trees.

The Melbourne University Campus has number of courtyards and external spaces which can be accessed and used informally by the public as well as being available to students. The formal sporting facilities including the oval and athletics track are not currently available for informal public use. It is noted that the campus grounds accommodates thousands of students on a daily basis with in a large number living on site. This population is additional to the existing and forecast population growth nominated in this Strategy.

Adjoining Carlton/Carlton North to the west is Royal Park, which can be accessed via a pedestrian underpass connection to Royal Park from Princes Park, or by crossing Royal Parade, however the survey outcomes confirm there is a lower

level of use of Royal Park. Hardy Gallagher and Park Street Reserve in the City of Yarra provide a continuous linear open space connection east to Merri Creek along part of the former inner circle railway reserve.




Figure 7.2~ 2 Gap analysis for Carlton/Carlton North

7.2.3 Existing Carlton/Carlton North community

7.2.3a Who lives and works in Carlton/Carlton North?

The current population of Carlton comprises a large student population along with resident and worker populations associated with the University as well as in other sectors. The on campus student population is not included in the population figures below. Students living on Melbourne University grounds and are part of the Parkville population forecasts.

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 14,644 (*Source: .id Consulting population forecasts, 2011*)
- Existing worker population 2011: 17,823 (*Source: Census of Land Use and Employment, 2010*)

Currently, there are more workers than residents in Carlton, with an additional visitor population to the cultural, education and entertainment facilities associated with the Royal Exhibition Buildings, Melbourne Museum, Lygon Street and the education precinct.

Demographics

The demographics are only available for the existing residential population and not the worker population. The most populous age group in 2006 was the 20 to 24 year olds followed by the 25 to 29 year olds. Overall there is a higher proportion of people aged between 20 and 34 years when compared to the City of Melbourne average. The 0 to 14 year olds and the 34+ age ranges are proportionally lower in Carlton when compared with the averages across the whole City. This reflects the location in the education precinct.

7.2.3b How existing residents currently use open space?

Carlton

A total of 158 household surveys were received from residents of Carlton that represents 9 per cent of the total number of surveys received. This is notably less than the proportion of Carlton residents in the City of Melbourne population, which is 16 per cent.

Table 7.2(iii) Most frequently visited open space reserves

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
112(71%)	Carlton Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (29) • Exercising (19) • Relaxing (14) • Recreation (13) • Travel through (11) • Jogging/running (10) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow cycles • Additional BBQs • More dog off-lead areas • Additional lighting • More picnic tables and seats
44 (28%)	Princes Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (12) • Jogging/running (12) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • More drinking fountains

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walking (12) Dog walking (6) Recreation (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improve paths More dog off-lead areas Additional toilets
38 (24%)	Argyle Square	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Travel through (12) Dog walking (4) Café/kiosk facilities (3) Entertainment & events (3) Relaxation(3) Walking (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Personal safety concerns More entertainment More shade required
24 (15%)	Lincoln square	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Travel through (10) Close to home (4) Picnics (2) Walking (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional BBQs More shelters required
19 (12%)	Royal Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walking (7) Cycling (4) Exercising (3) Fresh air (2) Jogging/running (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improve general maintenance of park Additional lighting Additional dog off-leads areas Additional shelters, tables and seating
13 (8%)	Fitzroy Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relaxation (3) Travel through (3) Walking (3) Jogging/running (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policing of rules on pathways General maintenance of grassed area Maintenance of water fountain
11 (7%)	University Square	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Travel through(5) Ambience, beauty (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> General grounds maintenance Additional seating More BBQs
Open space outside the local area			
35 (22%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Picnics (7) Recreation (6) Walking (6) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional seating Improve toilet maintenance and cleaning
23 (15%)	Other – Outside City of Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Play with children Recreation Relaxation 	
17 (11%)	Fitzroy Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Peaceful (4) Walking (4) Exercising (2) Recreation (2) Travel through (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional seats Vegetation to be well maintained

Types of open space used (top four)

97% Major gardens
89% Local streets for exercise
85% Royal Botanic Gardens
82% Urban Squares or Plazas

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

91% Walking paths
78% Open grassed areas
59% Seats

44% Drinking fountains

Values (top four)

85% Trees

77% Just being outside

70% Quiet and peaceful

65% Place to relax and unwind

Facilities (top four)

91% Walking paths

78% Open grassed areas

59% Seats

44% Drinking fountains

41% Public toilets

General comments/improvements

- Open space is highly valued as a key feature of the municipality including for its diversity, garden character and as a contrast to the urban character.
- Resolve conflicts between the cyclists and pedestrians on shared paths.
- Additional open space is required; ensure no further loss of open space to development.
- More community gardens required.
- Additional trees and native vegetation.
- Additional connecting cycle paths required.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A of the Strategy (separate volume).

Carlton North

A total of 93 household surveys were received from residents nominating they live in Carlton North that represents 5 per cent of the total number of surveys received. Whilst people nominated they live in Carlton North, it is likely they live in Carlton, as there are almost no residential properties in Carlton North in the City of Melbourne. The results from these residents are likely to be in the northern area of Carlton.

Table 7.2(iv) Most frequently visited open space reserves

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within Local area			
64 (69%)	Princes Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (21) • Exercising (19) • Jogging/running (17) • Dog Walking (11) • Cycling (8) • Playground and equipment (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional Lighting • More dog waste bin/bags • Improve paths • Additional toilets • More trees
36 (39%)	Other – outside City of Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog walking (2) • Playground and equipment (2) • Recreation (2) 	

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
24 (26%)	Edinburgh Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (8) • Dog walking (6) • Picnics (4) • Exercising (3) • Playground and equipment(3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional dog off-lead areas
20 (22%)	Carlton Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (5) • Museum (4) • Playground and equipment (4) • Travel through (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More facilities for cycling • Additional lighting
18 (19%)	Royal Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (7) • Dog walking (4) • Cycling (2) • Golf (2) • Jogging/running (2) • Walking (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drinking fountain maintenance
Open space outside local area			
26 (28%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking(8) • Picnics (7) • Ambience, beauty (6) • Gardens • Trees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional carparking
16 (17%)	Other – Outside City of Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycling • BBQs • Café & kiosk facilities • Family friendly/child friendly 	
12 (13%)	Carlton Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel through (4) • Exercising • Museum • Relaxation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional toilets • More cycling facilities • Additional lighting

Types of open space used (top four)

- 92% Major gardens
- 90% Regional Parks
- 89% Small Local Parks
- 88% Local streets for exercise

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

- 91% Walking paths
- 85% Open grassed areas
- 49% Cycle paths
- 48% Playgrounds

Values (top four)

- 83% Trees
- 76% Just being outside
- 76% Quiet and peaceful
- 70% Place to relax and unwind

Facilities (top four)

- 91% Walking paths
- 85% Open grassed areas
- 49% Cycle paths

48% Playgrounds

General comments

- Open space is highly valued as a key feature of the municipality, including for its diversity and garden character.
- The existing character of open space is highly valued.
- Open space is appreciated for the benefits it provides.
- Stormwater harvesting and sustainable water use in public gardens.
- Ensure no further loss of open space to development.
- Additional trees and native vegetation.
- More community gardens.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A, a separate volume of this strategy.

7.2.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

7.2.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. Residential population growth figures are sourced from .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031 and the worker population growth figures are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011).

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040*
Residential	14,644	19,320	21,956	23,773	N/A
Years	2011	2016	2026	N/A	2040*
Worker	17,823	N/A	24,147	N/A	N/A

The forecast future population growth is significant with an overall change in residential population forecast between 2011 and 2026 of 62 per cent, with an additional 9,129 residents between 2011 and 2026. The worker population is forecast to increase approximately one third again over the existing population with an additional 6,324 additional workers between 2011 and 2026.

Demographics

An increase in age range diversity is forecast for Carlton with a greater proportion of people in the 30 to 44 year age range and an increase in the 0 to 9 year age group. There will continue to be a predominance of 20 to 24 year age range to reflect the education precinct, with a slight decline in the 50 to 74 year age range.

7.2.4b Population change impacts on open space

The additional quantity of people living and working in Carlton will place increased pressures and demands on the existing open space. The pressures will include increased volumes of people in the open space utilising the facilities, leading to overcrowding in open space, lack of access to existing facilities and deterioration in the quality of natural features such as grass areas due to compaction.


The forecast change in demographics will affect the type and design of open space in Carlton. Young children are typically less mobile, with a more comfortable access range being within 200 to 300 metres of where they live, and without needing to cross major roads and arterials. This will likely create an increased demand and use of Local and Small Local open space and play facilities in these and the larger open spaces. The increased younger population will likely increase the demand for both open grassed areas and unstructured recreation facilities.

7.2.4c Where change will occur

A large proportion of the forecast population increase in Carlton will be concentrated into a few key larger development sites shown in Figure 7.2~3. A large concentration of additional people into specific sites will place localised increased demand on open space located nearby. If there is no open space nearby or within safe walking distance, then this creates the need to provide additional open space for the new population. The large sites are located in the north, east and south of the precinct.

The forecast increased population will place additional demand on existing open spaces within the catchment and generate the demand for new open space at the local level in some specific locations. The existing population will also use the new open space and this has been considered in the recommendations and actions.

The larger development sites are shown spatially by .id Consulting as the basis for their residential population forecasting, and this is included in Figure 7.2~3.



7.2.4d Open space distribution and future need in Carlton/Carlton North

Within Carlton/Carlton North the major roads form barriers to safe and easy access to open space. Future open space provision and needs in Carlton have been analysed on the basis of the following:

- Safe and easy walking access to open space.
- Review the volume and demographic change of the forecast additional population in relation to the location, the existing amount, the design and accessibility of open space.
- Identify opportunities for additional open space within the context of the anticipated locations for future development over the next 15 years as outlined in the existing development sites identified by .id consulting and the broader growth directions included in the *City North Structure Plan (Draft, 2011)*.
- Increase the amount of green spaces that incorporate water capture, storage and reuse within the space thereby contributing to urban heat island effect mitigation.

To assess this, Carlton has been divided into a series of open space sub-precincts based on walkability to open space. These are illustrated on Figure 7.2~4.



FIGURE 7.2-4 Open space sub-precincts for Carlton | Carlton North

Table 7.2 (iv) City North urban renewal area open space sub-precincts

Note, for City North urban renewal precincts in North Melbourne, Parkville and the Central Business District please refer to the relevant precinct chapters in this Strategy.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
CN3	<p>This sub-precinct is located near the proposed new Parkville Metro station south of Grattan Street opposite the University of Melbourne. The sub-precinct extends south to Queensberry Street, west to Elizabeth Street and east to Swanston Street.</p> <p>Existing open spaces</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lincoln Square • University Square <p>Both these Squares were set-aside in the original plan for Carlton in 1852. They are both popular open spaces dedicated to informal use, along with the Bali Memorial in Lincoln Square. Only a few development sites have been shown in this sub-precinct, however the more recent City North Structure Plan locates the future Parkville Metro Station near this sub-precinct and it is anticipated there will be significant increase in activity with increased impacts on the existing open space.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a need to provide additional Local open space in the western area of this sub-precinct to cater to the likely longer term increased densities and intensification of use near the proposed Parkville Metro Station. Already, both squares receive a high level of use and this is anticipated to increase. • Design review and prepare landscape master plans for both squares, with consideration of the different characters and complementary uses that these two spaces can provide within the context of an additional Local open space as well.
CN4	<p>This sub-precinct contains the Carlton & United Brewery site along with smaller sites to the west. Queensbury Street forms the northern extent, Elizabeth Street the west, Victoria Street to the south and Swanston Street to the east.</p> <p>Currently there is no open space within safe walking distance of the existing community.</p> <p>The major change forecast for this sub-precinct is the CUB site and potential redevelopment along Elizabeth Street as shown in the <i>City North Structure Plan (Draft, 2011)</i>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a Small Local open space in the vicinity of the CUB site to provide accessible open space for this and other development sites space in this sub-precinct.

Table 7.2 (v) Carlton open space sub-precincts

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
C1	<p>The Keppel and Cardigan Street Precinct of the Carlton Housing Redevelopment project is located in this sub-precinct. The sub-precinct extends from College Crescent in the north to Elgin Street in the south and is between Swanston and Lygon Streets. Currently there is no open space within this sub-precinct, however there are wide road reserves with generous grassed central medians that contribute to the landscape character.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a Small Local open space within easy and safe walking distance of existing and forecast future population in the Carlton Housing Redevelopment project. An accessible space for both existing and future population is important that is clearly public and available for everyone to visit and enjoy, and may include utilising some part of the existing wide road reserves to achieve this.
C2	<p>The Lygon and Rathdowne Precinct of the Carlton Housing Redevelopment project is, located in this sub-precinct and is in the first stages of redevelopment. The sub-precinct extends from Princes Street in the north to Elgin Street in the south, and between Lygon and Rathdowne Streets.</p> <p>Neill Street Reserve is the existing open space in this sub-precinct and is located immediately south of the housing redevelopment project site. Some additional public open space is going to be provided as part of this redevelopment at the Australian Unity site.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upgrade Neill Street Reserve to increase the diversity of character and facilities to strengthen this existing Neighbourhood open space. • Future design of additional Small Local open space that is being contributed as part of the Carlton Housing Redevelopment Project is to provide a different and complementary character from Neill Street Reserve.
C3	<p>The Elgin and Nicholson Street Precinct of the Carlton Housing Redevelopment Project is located in the south-east corner of this sub-precinct. The sub-precinct extends from Princes Street in the north to Elgin Street in the south, and between Rathdowne and Nicholson Streets. The existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Canning and Neill Street Reserve • Pitt Street Closure • Canning and Palmerston Street 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support the provision of the additional Small Local open space in this sub-precinct as part of the Elgin/Nicholson Public Housing Redevelopment project. • Continue to improve the design of Canning and Neill Street Reserve to continue to improve the use of this open space.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>Reserve</p> <p>Canning and Neill Street Reserve is the largest of all three and provides an informal character, with the other two areas providing primarily a linking space function.</p>	
C4	<p>Extending from Elgin Street in the north to Grattan Street in the south and between Swanston and Lygon Streets, this sub-precinct includes the former Royal Womens Hospital site and the western side of the Lygon Street commercial precinct. There is currently no open space in this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a Small Local open space within easy and safe walking distance of the existing and forecast additional population in this site.
C5	<p>Located to the east of sub-precinct C4, this incorporates the east side of the Lygon Street commercial precinct, and extends to Rathdowne Street. Currently there is no open space in this sub-precinct, however Carlton Gardens North is directly adjacent to the east of Rathdowne Street and Drummond Street has a large central median with mature street trees that contributes to its character. This precinct is referred to as a minimal change.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to improve Carlton Gardens North through implementation of the existing Master Plan.
C6	<p>Located directly north of Carlton Gardens, this sub-precinct includes Macarthur Square and Murchison Square and retains its heritage character from the Victorian era. The large central medians of Faraday Street and Barkly Street also contribute to the landscape character of the precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to manage the existing open spaces to protect the Victorian character and values, balanced with contemporary values and use of open space.
C7	<p>Argyle Square is located in this sub-precinct, with the associated commercial precinct on the west side of Lygon Street. The sub-precinct is located between Lygon and Swanston Streets with Grattan Street to the north and Queensberry Street to the south. Pelham Street provides on-street links from this sub-precinct east to</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • While there will be increased urban density and population in this sub-precinct, the future population will be within easy walking distance of Argyle Square. • Therefore continue to manage Argyle Square for ongoing increased intensity of use, with upgrades to the facilities and condition as required.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	Carlton Gardens and west to Lincoln Square.	
C8	<p>Located directly adjoining Carlton Gardens, this sub-precinct is positioned between Lygon and Rathdowne Streets and south of Grattan Street. Lygon Street commercial area is located in this precinct, along with a range of other mixed uses and there is no existing open space.</p> <p>Drummond Street's wide central median does contribute to the overall landscape character of the precinct. Two smaller development sites are identified in this sub-precinct opposite Carlton Gardens.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carlton Gardens South and North are both in close proximity to this sub-precinct with signalled intersections from major roads to improve safety and accessibility of crossing Rathdowne Street. Continue to manage Carlton Gardens in accordance with the approved Master Plan including retaining Carlton Gardens North primarily for local neighbourhood use with Carlton Gardens South the location for major events. This will ensure the community have good access to the open space. If in the future the use of Carlton Gardens North changes, then an alternative neighbourhood open space would be required for the local community in this sub-precinct and in sub-precincts C5, C9 and C10. • Argyle Square is located within reasonable walking distance to the west of this sub-precinct, with a pedestrian crossing at Pelham Street.
C9	<p>Located between of Queensberry Street and Victoria Street, this sub-precinct is within 500 metres of Carlton Gardens South, with direct access along Queensberry Street to reach it. There is no open space located within this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to rely on access to Carlton Gardens South for open space and recreational needs of the local population in this sub-precinct.
C10	As for sub-precinct C9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As for sub-precinct C9.

7.2.5 Carlton/Carlton North Actions

7.2.5a Summary of overall intent

Provision and distribution

The historical framework of open space in Carlton and Carlton North is integral to the suburbs character and values. The forecast substantial additional population growth throughout the municipality and more specifically in Carlton, will place increased levels of use on these important open spaces. Additional open space at the local level is identified to provide a supportive framework so that it allows the major reserves to retain their character and value, without becoming overused or accommodating too many uses and functions in the future.

This additional space is required for a range of reasons including recreational use, people's mental health and wellbeing, climate change mitigation and protecting and improving environmental values (eg water quality treatment). The proposed additional open space provides a supportive neighbourhood and local open space network that is primarily for the Southbank/South Wharf population rather than the broader visitors to the precinct.

Quality and design

There is potential to improve the recreational quality and diversity of facilities in some of the existing open space in Carlton. The additional open space can be designed to provide a diversity of facilities for the existing and future population and contribute positively to urban heat island effect mitigation and environmental quality.

A summary of the Actions is illustrated in Figure 7.2~5 on the following page.




FIGURE 7.2~5 Summary of strategy recommendation for Carlton | Carlton North*

* Refer also to page 13 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 7.2~5 and photos.

7.2.5b Precinct Actions for Carlton/Carlton North

A Additional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.2A-1	Provide additional Local open space in sub-precinct CN3 to cater to the existing and future population.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.2A-2	Provide an additional Small Local open space in sub-precinct CN4 the vicinity of the CUB site primarily for the forecast substantial additional population.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.2A-3	Provide Small Local open space in sub-precinct C1 for both the existing and forecast future population as part of the Carlton Estate Redevelopment.	CoM, Developer	Medium
7.2A-4	Provide an additional Small Local open space in sub-precinct C3 for both the existing and forecast additional population in the Carlton Housing Redevelopment Project.	CoM, Developer	High
7.2A-5	Provide a Small Local open space in sub-precinct C4 for both the existing and forecast future population. This sub-precinct currently has no open space.	CoM, Developer	High

B Additional open space links

Not applicable

C Capital City open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.2D-2	Carlton Gardens South Continue to implement Carlton Gardens Master Plan providing facilities for the local population as well as the broader regional needs within the context of the World Heritage values of the gardens.	CoM	Ongoing

D Regional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.2D-1	Carlton Gardens North Continue to implement Carlton Gardens Master Plan providing facilities for the local population as well as the broader regional needs within the context of the World Heritage values of the gardens.	CoM	Ongoing
7.2D-3	Princes Park Continue to implement the Princes Park Master Plan and accommodate and manage existing and future	CoM	Ongoing

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
	structured sporting needs of the existing and forecast additional population in the future.		

E Municipal open space

Not applicable

F Neighbourhood open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.2F-1	Argyle Square Continue to maintain with minor upgrades as required to accommodate the increased use from the forecast local population in the City North urban renewal precincts (CN3 and CN4).	CoM	Ongoing
7.2F-2	Lincoln Square Prepare a landscape master plan for this open space in conjunction with a master plan for University Square and the proposed Local open space in sub-precinct CN3. Preparing all three together will allow for assessment and provision of a diversity open space facilities and types that will meet the needs of the existing and forecast substantial additional population.	CoM	High
7.2F-3	University Square Prepare a landscape master plan for this open space in conjunction with a master plan for Lincoln Square and the proposed Local open space in sub-precinct CN3. Preparing all three together will allow for assessment and provision of a diversity open space facilities and types that will meet the needs of the existing and forecast substantial additional population.	CoM	High
7.2F-4	Neill Street Reserve Continue to implement the upgrades to this open space in the context of the Carlton Housing Redevelopment, with an emphasis on improving accessibility and diversity.	CoM, DOHA	Very High
7.2F-5	Hardy Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

G Local open space


No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.2G-1	Macarthur Square Continue to maintain and manage the heritage values balanced with contemporary recreational needs and values.	CoM	Ongoing
7.2G-2	Murchison Square Continue to maintain and manage the heritage values balanced with contemporary recreational needs and values.	CoM	Ongoing

H Small Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.2H-1	Canning & Palmerston Street Reserve Investigate future minor upgrade including playground within the context of other facilities provided in new open space in sub-precinct C3.	CoM	Ongoing
7.2H-2	Canning & Neill Street Reserve Minor upgrade to improve function and use within the context of other facilities provided in new open space in sub-precinct C3.	CoM	Medium

J Small Local Link

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.2J-1	Burton Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.2J-2	Pitt Street Closure Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.2J-3	Barkly Street Closure Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing



7.3 Docklands

7.3.1 Overview

Docklands is located around Victoria Harbour and the Yarra River extending from Spencer Street west to just beyond the Bolte Bridge. The precinct includes some of the Port of Melbourne land to the west of Moonee Ponds Creek and Bolte Bridge that is set aside for port related activities, and public access is restricted for security reasons. Docklands extends to Lorimer Street in the south and Footscray Road in the north. The precinct also includes the north bank of the Yarra River west of Spencer Street, Flinders Wharf and the Seafarers Bridge.

Docklands sits on the site of the former large extensive West Melbourne Swamp at the mouth of Moonee Ponds Creek that was once an open hunting ground and meeting place for several Aboriginal communities – the Wurundjeri, the Boonerwung, the Taungurong, the Djadja Wurrung and the Wathaurong people. During the early years of Melbourne, the Yarra River was too shallow for ships to navigate. Ships therefore docked at nearby ports Hobsons Bay and transferred cargo to smaller vessels that could navigate the river upstream to Queens Street. The boats originally docked alongside the banks of the Yarra River downstream of the former falls at Queens Street, which separated the fresh water from salt water in the river. The presence of fresh water upstream of the falls was the reason Melbourne was originally established in this location.

As Melbourne grew during the gold rush from the 1850s onwards, the need for a port facility close to the city increased. A British engineer Sir John Goode proposed major changes to the Yarra River including channel straightening, widening and deepening from Flinders Street to the Maribyrnong, removing the falls at Queen Street, and construction of Victoria Harbour and dock facilities on the site of the former West Melbourne Swamp. These works were all undertaken and Victoria Dock opened in 1893,

Victoria Dock expanded during its history however, as ships increased in size the port facilities moved downstream with the construction of Appleton Dock and then finally Webb Dock at the mouth of the Yarra River. During the 1980s successive Victorian governments had the vision of expanding the central business district

west into the dockland areas. The construction of the Bolte Bridge in the late 1990s restricted the ability for large ships to enter Victoria Dock.

From the late 1990s onwards Docklands has been under redevelopment as a major urban renewal project managed by the Victorian government in partnership with private developers. The intention is for Docklands to become a modern residential, business, commercial and entertainment precinct. The Docklands precinct presents some inherent challenges in establishing new open space given its natural and industrial history. This includes potential contamination from former activities and difficulty in establishing trees due to the soil types and strong winds.

Two key documents currently guide the continued redevelopment of Docklands and these are:

- *Docklands First Decade, 2010*
- *Docklands Second Decade (in preparation)*

7.3.2 Existing open space

7.3.2a Open space, quantity, hierarchy and character

Docklands currently has 12 existing open spaces with a total area of 15.2 hectares. This represents approximately 3.9 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 2.1 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne.

Table 7.3(i) Number, size and type of open space in Docklands

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
1	Capital City	0.09	1%
8	Regional	14.60	96%
1	Local	0.34	2%
2	Small Local	0.19	1%
12	Totals	15.22	100%




Figure 7.3~1 Existing open space in Docklands

The majority of open space in the Docklands is located around the Yarra River, Victoria Harbour and Moonee Ponds Creek and is provided for the wider population who are attracted to the entertainment and commercial precinct in Docklands. While this open space has a regional context, it is likely that some of it may change its function and use to Capital City open space, however currently it serves mainly a regional function.

With the exception of Docklands Park, the open spaces around Victoria Harbour are predominantly linear in nature and provide for informal activities such as walking, cycling, seating and participating in cultural activities including busking and performances. Due to existing and forecast future high visitor levels to the majority of these spaces, they are largely paved surfaces with trees without many open grassed and planted areas. The strategy household survey outcomes have

identified that people are seeking greener spaces in Docklands. Identifying opportunities to introduce some natural surfaces and green areas into future open space in Docklands has formed part of the analysis for this precinct.

The *Docklands Second Decade Plan* seeks to continue to strengthen the focus of activity around Victoria Harbour and the Yarra River including active engagement with the water. The harbour edge therefore is going to continue to attract increasingly higher levels of use, making the need to establish a more interactive edge to the water along and providing some green spaces and shade even more important.

Docklands Park is designed as a Regional open space that is used by the local population as well. This is a green space with trees, grassed areas, playground, water features, barbecues and picnic facilities. Some of the Local and Small Local open space in Victoria Harbour include more sheltered and protected spaces including Victoria Green and Collins Landing. The other smaller open spaces in Batmans Hill and Southern Cross Station precincts are mostly located on major roads restricting the potential beneficial uses and values of these open spaces for residents living and working in this high-density urban setting. Weather conditions, particularly the cool winds in winter, make the provision of some more sheltered spaces for the local community an important consideration in future open space for Docklands.

The lack of open space with structured and unstructured sport and recreation facilities is noticeable in Docklands and this strategy recommends the need for future provision of some of these facilities in additional open space.

Table 7.3(ii) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
COLLINS LANDING	Regional	Waterfront/harbour	Urban plaza	5,188
DOCKLANDS PARK	Regional	Informal	Water feature, Linear	22,291
FLINDERS & SPENCER STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Linking space		463
MOONEE PONDS CREEK RESERVE	Regional	Waterway	Nature conservation, Significant road reservation	42,279
NEW QUAY PROMENADE	Regional	Waterfront/harbour	Linking space	17,612
POINT PARK	Regional	Waterfront/harbour	Informal	10,689
QUEENS WAREHOUSE	Small Local	Undeveloped		1,454
SEAFARERS REST	Regional	Waterway	Heritage	5,256
SOUTHERN CROSS STATION PLAZA	Capital City	Forecourt	Seating/Viewing	884
VICTORIA GREEN	Local	Informal		3,357
VICTORIA HARBOUR PROMENADE	Regional	Waterfront/harbour	Linking space	11,655

7.3.2b Distribution

The existing open space is mainly located around Victoria Harbour, along the Yarra River and Moonee Ponds Creek. The Victoria Harbour precinct contains the greatest diversity of open space with Local open space as well as the linear spaces. As can be seen graphically in Figure 7.3~2, the majority of open space is adjacent to the Harbour, Yarra River and Moonee Ponds Creek.

The *Docklands Second Decade* identified one of the key issues with Docklands is to improve diversity of facilities to appeal to different age groups including children. Introducing diversity of open space including with some informality and naturalness will be beneficial for the community's mental health and wellbeing.




Figure 7.3~2 Gap analysis for Docklands

7.3.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

The Yarra River provides open space connectivity to a network of open space along the Yarra River in Southbank, Melbourne Central City and the St Kilda Road precinct. The Strategy household surveys identify that residents of

Docklands already visit other open along the Yarra including Southbank and Flagstaff Gardens to the north.

The Moonee Ponds Creek has been included as Regional open space in the quantities with its regional values including its floodplain, waterway and nature conservation and linear shared trail connectivity. This open space is significantly influenced by the presence of the overhead freeway limiting natural sunlight, sense of openness and impact of traffic noise on the recreational use. The Moonee Ponds shared trail is nominated as the least visited open space in the strategy household survey.

7.3.3 Existing Docklands community

7.3.3a Who lives and works in Docklands?

The current residential population of Docklands is predominantly professional singles or couples and also younger in age than the Australian average. This is consistent with the inner city location and the main dwelling type available, which are one and two bedroom high-rise apartments. The much larger proportion of the existing population is the worker population, mainly employed in finance, insurance, media and IT professions with 85 per cent of the floor area given over to office use and 13 per cent retail. The number of people in Docklands is bolstered by a regular flow of visitors to the sporting, retail and restaurant precincts (Docklands First Decade, 2010).

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 6,134 (*Source: .id Consulting Population Forecasts, 2011*)
- Existing worker population 2011: 33,503 (*Source: Census of Land Use and Employment, 2010*)

Currently, there are more than five times as many workers as there are residents in Docklands, with an additional visitor population, particularly to the sporting, retail and restaurant precincts.

Demographics

The demographics are only available for the existing residential population and not the worker population. The most populous age group in 2011 is forecast to be the 25 to 29 year olds, followed by the 30 to 34 year olds reflecting the young professional population. Combined the 20 to 44 age group represents just over 50 per cent of the total population. When compared to the City of Melbourne population the average, there are a higher proportion of young children under the age of 10 in Docklands, and less young people aged between 10 and 24, and substantially less people in the 70+ age range. This generally means the main difference is that fewer students are living in Docklands, with more 25 to 45 year olds with and without young children.

7.3.3b How existing residents currently use open space

A total of 54 household surveys were received from residents of Docklands that represents 3 per cent of the total number of surveys received. This is slightly less than the proportion of Docklands residents in the City of Melbourne population, which is 5 per cent.

Table 7.3(iii) Most frequently visited open space reserves

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
28 (52%)	Docklands Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (5) • Playground and equipment (5) • Walking (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambience/aesthetics needs improving • Cyclist/pedestrian conflict on shared paths • Playground & equipment for age range is required • Additional seats
12 (22%)	Docklands	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close to home • Exercising • Views • Work – close to home 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More trees and vegetation • Improved access • Ambience/aesthetics needs improving • Keep present open space free from development • Tennis facilities
8 (15%)	Point Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog walking (4) • Exercising (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Additional facilities for dogs • More seats and shade
8 (15%)	South Bank Promenade	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (5) • Café and kiosk (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cyclist/pedestrian conflict on shared paths
8 (15%)	Victoria Green (proposed)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel through (4) 	
Open space outside the local area			
18 (33%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambience, beauty (4) • Walking (4) • Relaxation (9) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional shelters with tables and seats • Additional toilets • More entertainment
6 (11%)	Bayside Beaches	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycling • Exercise • Relaxation • Dog walking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More BBQs • Additional toilets & shelters • More trees
27 (50%)	Other-outside City of Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog off lead • Ambience, beauty 	

Types of open space used (top four)

91% Open space along Yarra River

83% Royal Botanic Gardens

83% Medium sized parks

72% Major Gardens

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

72% Walking paths

57% Picnic tables

50% Barbeques
50% Public Toilets

Values (top four)

69% Just being outside
69% Place to relax and unwind, and being outside
69% Trees
59% Quiet and peaceful

General comments

- Additional open space is required in Docklands area.
- No further loss to development.
- More trees and native planting.
- Litter in open space is an issue.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A, a separate volume of this strategy.

7.3.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

7.3.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. Residential population growth figures are sourced from .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031 and the worker population growth figures are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011).

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040*
Residential	6,134	9,596	12,521	14,205	17,000
Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Worker	33,503	N/A	N/A	44,000	N/A

* Source: Docklands First Decade, 2010 (2040 date is not nominated specifically, this is given as a total capacity.)

The forecast future population growth is significant with an overall change in the residential population between 2011 and 2026 of more than double, with an additional 8,070 residents between 2011 and 2026. The worker population and currently are forecast to increase by approximately one third, with an additional approximate 10,500 workers between 2011 and 2026. In summary there is forecast to be a higher growth rate in the residential population compared with the worker population over the next 10 years. Currently there are nearly five times more workers than residents in Docklands, and by 2026 the balance will even out slightly with three times more workers than residents by 2026.

Demographics


An increase in age range diversity is forecast for Docklands with the *Docklands Second Decade (in preparation)* developing a vision around attracting families to live in Docklands and providing the necessary support services including schools and open space.

7.3.4b Population change impacts on open space

The additional quantity and changing demographics of people living and working in Docklands will place increased pressure and demands on the existing open space. The pressure will include increased volumes of people in the open space utilising the facilities, leading to overcrowding in open space and lack of access to existing facilities.

The forecast change in demographics will affect the type and design of open space in Docklands. Currently 24 to 44 year olds are the dominant age group and do not place high demands on a diversity of facilities, generally utilising the informal facilities for walking, jogging, exercise and cycling. Young children, families and older people will place more demands on access to a range of open spaces being within 200 to 300 metres of where they live without needing to cross major roads and arterials. This will likely create an increased demand and use of Municipal, Neighbourhood, Local and Small Local open space through the precinct in addition to the linear open space already established around the harbour and along the waterways.

7.3.4c Where change will occur



The forecast population increase in Docklands will be located in the areas that are yet to be developed. These are shown spatially in the following figure prepared by the City of Melbourne and Places Victoria.

The forecast increased population will place additional demand on existing open spaces along with generating the demand for new open space. The existing population will also use the new open space, and this has been considered in the recommendations and actions.

7.3.4d Open space distribution and future need in Docklands

Within the Harbour precincts of Docklands the major roads have been minimised with main traffic diverted onto Wurundjeri Way and Footscray Road. The east-west roads including Collins Street, Latrobe Street and Dudley Street will become busier as Docklands develops, and have therefore been treated as barriers to safe and local access to open space, particularly for young children and people who are less mobile. Future open space provision and needs in Docklands have been analysed on the basis of the following:

- Safe and easy walking access to open space.
- Review of the volume and demographic change forecast for the additional population, both in relation to the existing quantity of open space and its design.
- Identify opportunities for additional open space within the context of the anticipated locations for future development over the next 15 years as outlined in the roll out plan.
- Identify opportunities to increase the diversity of open space provided, given the existing lack of diversity of open space character.

To assess this, Docklands has been divided into a series of open space sub-precincts based on walkability. These are illustrated on Figure 7.3~4.

These sub-precincts are based on the ability of people working or living in Docklands to easily reach open space. A summary of open space provision in the open space sub-precincts is described in Table 7.3(iv)




FIGURE 7.3~4 Open space sub-precinct diagram for Docklands

Table 7.3 (iv) Docklands open space sub-precincts

The following analysis and conclusions are based on a realistic amount of open space that can be provided within the existing and future urban context. This includes the statement in *Docklands the First Decade (2010)* that 98 per cent of the land is already committed to development in accordance with previously agreed directions.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
D1	<p>New Quay, Studio City and Waterfront City are all located on the north side of Victoria Harbour and in this sub-precinct. Existing open spaces in this precinct includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Quay Promenade • Moonee Ponds Creek linear open space <p>Both these open spaces are linear, with New Quay Promenade offering a built urban character and</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a large Local or small Neighbourhood open space in this sub-precinct, in a location with a northern aspect which provides shelter from the predominant winds, and space to include a range of unstructured recreational and informal facilities. • Provide a Municipal open space with a focus on structured sport recreational uses in the location already highlighted for potential future open space near Moonee Ponds

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	harbour views, and Moonee Ponds Creek offering a more natural character. The overhead freeway along Moonee Ponds Creek significantly compromises the recreational value of this open space.	Creek. Future designs will need to address the overhead freeway regarding sunlight access and noise. This is an ideal location for activities that generate noise which can be difficult to locate in close proximity to high-density use.
D2	<p>This sub-precinct includes the area known as Victoria Harbour, and the following open space:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Victoria Green • Docklands Park • Collins Landing <p>This sub-precinct contains the greatest diversity of open space types and character. Victoria Green provides a sheltered smaller green area of open space, while Collins Landing provides an urban style space adjacent to the Yarra. Docklands Park provides a diversity of facilities and has been targeted in its design to a regional use, including by its linear form, scale and design.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a new Small Local open space in the vicinity of Corporation Road. This open space is to extend south to the Yarra River to provide another public access point to the River in addition to Collins Landing. The space should offer a more active range of facilities that complement the other open spaces in this sub-precinct. • Provide an additional Local open space to the western end of this sub-precinct to primarily cater to the forecast new population in this precinct, providing both water access and a diversity of recreation facilities and uses.
D3	This sub-precinct is located in the Com Tech Port precinct, defined to the north by Dudley Street, the south by Latrobe Street, the west by Harbour Esplanade and the east by Wurundjeri Way. Currently there is no open space in this sub-precinct. Recent plans identify a future school site in this sub-precinct.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a need for a Small Local open space in this sub-precinct to provide safe and easy access to open space for the future population. The future open space is to be sited away from major roads and is to offer good sunlight access and shelter from the wind. This space will also have a role in urban heat island effect mitigation, and include large canopy shade trees and maximise porous surfaces.
D4	<p>Defined by the Etihad Sports Stadium this sub-precinct is well connected to Southern Cross Station via pedestrian bridge and faces Victoria Harbour.</p> <p>There is no public open space located in this sub-precinct, however Docklands Park adjoins the precinct to the south-west.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The configuration and layout of this sub-precinct does make it feasible to provide a local open space that is easily accessible and visible within it. • Docklands Park will continue to provide for the open space needs of the existing and future population in this sub-precinct. This will place increasing demands on the use of facilities in Docklands Park, and some future upgrade may be required to ensure the local community open

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
		space needs are adequately provided for in the future.
D5	<p>Located between Bourke Street and Collins Street, this sub-precinct is almost fully developed with a potential future library site located on the Harbour Esplanade frontage.</p> <p>There is no open space in the sub-precinct, however Docklands Park is located to the west.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The existing and future population will continue to rely on and use Docklands Park, recognising they will need to cross Harbour Esplanade to reach it. Ensure any future works improve the cycle and pedestrian links between this sub-precinct and Docklands Park. Refer also the discussion regarding Docklands Park in sub-precinct D4.
D6	<p>This sub-precinct is located to the south of Collins Street and is defined by Wurundjeri Way to the south and east, Collins Street to the north and Harbour Esplanade to the west. Queens Warehouse is the only existing open space and is currently undeveloped. This sub-precinct extends east of Wurundjeri Way to include a future development site in the south east corner of this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide an additional Small Local open space in the south-east area of the sub-precinct. The future open space is to be located away from major roads as a contrast to other available open space nearby, and is primarily for the future population in this area. Queens Warehouse open space is to be designed to cater to the open space needs of the local population in the main area of this sub-precinct.
D7	<p>Southern Cross Station sub-precinct includes the Station and the railway yards extending from Dudley Street in the north to Flinders Street in the south. There are two open spaces including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spencer Street Station Plaza Flinders & King Street Reserve <p>Both spaces are small in size, with the Flinders & King Street Reserve primarily providing visual relief in urban form, while the Spencer Street Station Plaza is a pedestrian space opposite the iconic Southern Cross Station.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No additional open space is required in this precinct due to railway function and use. Continue to retain and improve pedestrian amenity in and around Southern Cross Station and connections west to Docklands.
D8	<p>On the south side of the Yarra River, this sub-precinct is referred to as Yarras Edge. It extends from the Yarra River south to the West Gate Freeway and Boundary Street, and from the Bolte Bridge to Grimes Charles Bridge. Point Park is the existing open space, designed for regional use with</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An additional Local open space is proposed towards the western end of this sub-precinct and central enough to ensure it is not in the shadow of Bolte Bridge. This Local open space is to be connected to a public open space along Yarra River so that public access is feasible in the future. The design is to complement the facilities

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>grassed mounds, large gravel areas and tree planting.</p> <p>Publicly accessible private open space is located between Webb Bridge and Point Park</p>	<p>already provided in Point Park.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a linear open space along the southern edge of the Yarra River. • Review the design of Point Park in the future to ensure it continues to adequately meet the open space needs of the expanding population in this area.
D9	<p>This sub-precinct includes the Northbank Promenade Redevelopment project, which provides a trail connection along the north bank of the Yarra River between Spencer Street and Collins Landing in Docklands. Existing open space includes Seafarers Rest and there is good connectivity south over the Yarra River via Seafarers Bridge.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete the current Northbank Promenade Redevelopment project from Seafarers Rest west under Wurundjeri Way to Collins Landing.

7.3.5 Docklands Actions

7.3.5a Summary of overall intent


Provision and distribution

Complete the open space links and connections to establish a connected system of open space along around Victoria Harbour, the Yarra River frontage and Moonee Ponds Creek. Increase the diversity in the provision of open space within Docklands to provide for a range of activities, particularly to meet the future vision of attracting families and older people into live here.

This diversity includes a range of open space sizes to accommodate different activities, as well as locations that provide protected spaces to shelter from the wind when the foreshore areas along the harbour and river are exposed. The additional open space is required to better meet the open space needs of the existing population, the future forecast population, improve the amenity and introduce some green spaces that assist with urban heat island mitigation/climate change adaptation in areas away from the Harbour. The proposed additional open space provides a supportive neighbourhood and local open space network for the Docklands community in addition to the regional open spaces already provided for the broader population.

Quality and design

There is potential to improve the recreational quality and diversity of facilities in some of the existing open space in Docklands. The additional open space can be designed to provide a diversity of facilities for the existing and future population and contribute positively to recreational and environmental quality.



* Refer also to page 15 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 7.3-5 and photos.

7.3.5b Precinct Actions for Docklands

A Additional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.3A-1	Develop a new Local open space in the plaza area associated with the New Quay Area and previously the site of the exhibition tent in sub-precinct D1. The land has already been committed, and new open space to include natural elements including grass and trees to provide some green open space in this area of Docklands.	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	Very High
7.3A-2	Provide a Municipal open space with a focus on structured sport and recreation facilities near Moonee Ponds Creek in sub-precinct D1. This is for	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	Very High

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
	the existing and future population.		
7.3A-3	Provide an additional Local open space already agreed to by the Victorian government in sub-precinct D1 with access to the Yarra River. This is primarily to cater to the future population.	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	Medium
7.3A-4	Provide an additional Small Local open space already agreed to by the Victorian government in the north east of sub-precinct D1.	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	Medium
7.3A-5	Provide an additional Local open space already agreed to by the Victorian government in sub-precinct D2 with access to the Yarra River. This is primarily to cater for the future population.	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	Medium
7.3A-6	Provide an additional two Small Local open spaces on the waterfront adjacent to Harbour Esplanade as already agreed to by the Victorian government. This is to cater to existing and future population. One is located north of Central Pier and the other is south of Central Pier.	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	High
7.3A-7	Provide an additional Local open space on the western end of sub-precinct D2 near Bolte Bridge as already agreed to by the Victorian government.	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	High
7.3A-8	Provide an additional Small Local open space central sub-precinct D2 within easy walking distance of future development and with access to the Yarra River frontage for good connectivity.	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	High
7.3A-9	Provide an additional Small Local open space central sub-precinct D3 to cater for the existing and future population.	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	High
7.3A-10	Provide additional Small Local open space in the south-east area of open space sub-precinct D6. This is for the future population.	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	High
7.3A-11	Provide an additional Local open space towards the western end of open space sub-precinct D8. Ensure it is central to this area and not in shadow or affected by the Bolte Bridge and the design complements the other existing open space nearby - Point Park.	Places Vic, CoM, Developer	High

B Additional open space links

Not applicable

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.3B-1	Southern Cross Station Continue to retain and improve pedestrian amenity in and around Spencer Street Station including the connections to Docklands to encourage access. No	CoM	Ongoing

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
	costs associated with this Strategy.		
7.3B-2	Achieve a public promenade along Victoria Harbour to connect New Quay Promenade to the Moonee Ponds Creek and proposed Municipal open space, sub-precinct D1. Capital City open space not costed in this Strategy.	Places Vic, (CoM)	Very High, Ongoing
7.3B-3	Achieve a public promenade along Victoria Harbour connecting the two proposed Small Local open spaces adjacent to harbor Esplanade in sub-precinct D2. Public realm, not costed in this Strategy	Places Vic, (CoM)	Ongoing
7.3B-4	Achieve a public promenade along Victoria Harbour connecting the two proposed Small Local open spaces adjacent to Harbour Esplanade in sub-precinct D2. Capital City open space not costed in this Strategy	Places Vic, (CoM)	Ongoing
7.3B-5	Achieve a public promenade along Victoria Harbour and Yarra River connecting the Victoria Harbour Promenade around to Collins Landing in sub-precinct D2. Capital City open space not costed in this Strategy	Places Vic, (CoM)	Ongoing
7.3B-6	Achieve a public promenade along the south side of the Yarra River connecting Point Park to the proposed the Bolte Bridge sub-precinct D8. Capital City open space not costed in this Strategy	Places Vic, (CoM)	Ongoing
7.3B-7	Northbank Promenade Redevelopment project Complete the Northbank Promenade Redevelopment project between Seafarers Rest and Collins Landing under Wurundjeri Way. Funding is already secured for this project.	DPCD CoM	Very High

C Capital City open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.3C-1	Southern Cross Station Plaza Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

D Regional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.3D-1	Collins Landing Investigate the potential to connect this to any future public open space along the Yarra River.	CoM	Ongoing

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.3D-2	Docklands Park Prepare a Landscape Master Plan for Docklands Park in consultation with the community to update add facilities that meet the recreational needs of the local community in the open space. This is particularly important for the population in open space sub-precincts D4 and D5 who have no other open space located nearby.	CoM, Places Vic	Medium
7.3D-3	Moonee Ponds Creek Continue to maintain and strengthen riparian values where feasible - Regional works that are not costed in this Strategy.	CoM	Ongoing
7.3D-5	New Quay Promenade Continue to maintain and ensure future extension to the west to connect to the future Municipal open space.	CoM Places Vic Developer	Very High
7.3D-6	Point Park Continue to maintain.	CoM	High
7.3D-7	Seafarers Rest Complete proposed upgrade including the Northbank Promenade Project as noted in 7.3B-7.	CoM	Ongoing
7.3D-8	Victoria Harbour Promenade Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

E Municipal open space
Not applicable

F Neighbourhood open space
Not applicable


G Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.3G-1	Victoria Green Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

H Small Local open space

No.	Action	Respons- ibility	Priority
7.3H-1	Flinders & Spencer Street Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.3H-2	Queens Warehouse Implement existing design plans for this open space and continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

J Small Local Link
Not applicable



7.4 Flemington | Ascot Vale

7.4.1 Overview

Flemington/Ascot Vale is located north-west of Kensington adjoining the Maribyrnong River. Within the City of Melbourne, this includes Flemington Racecourse and Melbourne Showgrounds.

Established in the 1882 the Melbourne Showgrounds is approximately 27 hectares in size and home to the Royal Melbourne Show. The original land grant of 30 acres was progressively increased by purchase of freehold properties to 67 acres (27 hectares). The site is managed by the not-for-profit organisation the Royal Agricultural Society of Victoria as a major indoor and outdoor event venue. Major agricultural events held at the showgrounds annually include the Australian International Beer Awards, Royal Melbourne Horse Show and the Royal Melbourne Wine Show. A major redevelopment of the site in 2005 resulted in two hectares being surplus to requirements.

Flemington Racecourse comprises 142 hectares of Crown land with the Victorian Racing Club being the trustees of the site. Racing has been held every year at the site since 1840 and in 1848, 352 acres of Crown Land was reserved as a public racecourse. The site is listed on the Victorian Heritage Register (VHR2220), and is nominated to be of aesthetic, architectural, historical and social significance to the State of Victoria. This includes for the collection of structures relating to racing in Victoria since the nineteenth century, as the oldest racecourse in Victoria operating continuously since 1840 and as the site of the running of the Melbourne Cup since its inception in 1861. Other features noted of to be of aesthetic significance include the expansive landscape setting with views of the central city, significant works of art including murals and sculptures and the gardens particularly the rose displays which are cultivated to be at their most spectacular during the Spring Racing Carnival when the Melbourne Cup is run.

Flemington Racecourse extends down to the Maribyrnong River and while it is not formally reserved as open space, the public can use the path adjacent to the river for the majority of the time.

Open space flanks the western banks of the Maribyrnong River in the City of Maribyrnong, and the Stockbridge footbridge just south of this precinct near Riverside Reserve provides connectivity over the river.

7.4.2 Existing open space




Figure 7.4~1 Existing open space plan Flemington/Ascot Vale

Currently there is no existing public open space in Flemington | Ascot Vale precinct within the City of Melbourne. Flemington Racecourse adjacent provides ancillary open space, with informal access permitted in parts of the site and along the Maribyrnong River when racing is not in progress.

7.4.2a Open space quantity, hierarchy and character

There is no existing open space in Flemington/Ascot Vale.

7.4.2b Distribution




Figure 7.4~2 Gap analysis in Flemington/Ascot Vale

7.4.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

Flemington Racecourse is ancillary open space allowing informal access along the Maribyrnong River and around the wetland within the racecourse when it is not in use. Access along the river provides connectivity between along the north-east bank of the Maribyrnong to Fisher Parade, which forms the municipal boundary. North of Fisher Parade, there is no continuous public open space connection along, however the City of Moonee Valley have included this as a long term link in the Moonee Valley Open Space Strategy.

The Flemington Racecourse railway line provides good public access to both land uses in the precinct, however this currently does not function as ancillary open space.

7.4.3 Existing Flemington/Ascot Vale community

7.4.3a Who lives and works in Flemington/Ascot Vale

There is currently no residential population in this precinct, however there is a small worker population.

7.4.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

7.4.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. Residential population growth figures are sourced from City of Melbourne (2011) internal communications and the worker population growth figures are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011).

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Residential	0	N/A	N/A	4,950	0
Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Worker	367	N/A	N/A	500	N/A

The forecast future residential population growth is significant with an overall change in residential population between 2011 and 2026 from 0 to 4,950. The worker population is forecast to increase by approximately 36 per cent, with an additional 133 workers between 2011 and 2026.

Demographics

No information available.

7.4.4b Population change impacts on open space

The additional quantity of people forecast to live in Flemington | Ascot Vale will create a demand for new open space. It will also increase the use and need for the linear open space link along the Maribyrnong River on the Flemington side.

No information is available on the forecast demographics.

7.4.4c Where change will occur

The forecast population will be located in the Racecourse Rail corridor area as shown on the revised draft Municipal Strategic Statement. Essentially this is located along the boundary between Ascot Vale and Flemington and includes the railway land.

7.4.4d Open space distribution and future need in Flemington | Ascot Vale

Future open space provision and needs in Flemington | Ascot Vale have been analysed on the basis of the following:

- Safe and easy walking access to open space.
- Review the volume and demographic change of the forecast additional population in relation to the existing amount and design of open space.
- Identify opportunities for additional open space within the context of the anticipated locations for future development over the next 15 years.
- Increase the amount of green spaces that incorporate water capture, storage and reuse within the space thereby contributing to urban heat island effect mitigation.

To assess this, the precinct has been divided into two of sub-precincts based on walkability to open space. These are illustrated on Figure 7.4~3.




Table 7.4 (iv) Flemington | Ascot Vale open space sub-precincts

The following preliminary analysis is based on development of a mixed use zone in the Racecourse Rail corridor and on part of the Melbourne Showgrounds site.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
RC1	<p>This sub-precinct is the Racecourse Rail Corridor urban renewal area as highlighted in Future Melbourne. This includes primarily the railway land and some additional in the south between Fisher Parade and the railway.</p> <p>No information is available on the intention for this urban renewal area, but it is assumed that development will increase the residential and worker population in this area.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a Regional open space adjacent to the Maribyrnong River south of Fisher Parade. This is to include liaison with the Victorian Racing Club and the Victorian government in order to achieve the provision of open space to cater to primarily to forecast future population in the Racecourse Rail Corridor urban renewal area and the broader community regarding access to the Maribyrnong River. • Provide open space connectivity between the future Regional open space and the urban renewal area along the railway corridor.
AV1	<p>This sub-precinct includes the majority of the Melbourne Showgrounds site, excluding the area within the urban renewal area. There is no existing open space. The site is currently primarily used as an event space with the more recently developed retail use fronting Epsom Road.</p> <p>The sub-precinct is well serviced by public transport with the railway line including two stations including Flemington Showgrounds Station and Flemington Racecourse Station.</p> <p>The land use is predominantly set aside for event management.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At this stage there is no anticipated need for additional public open space in this sub-precinct as the existing site development is relatively open. • If the intensity of development and population on the site grows there is likely to be a need for open space at least Local open space to cater to the forecast future population.
F1	<p>This sub-precinct encompasses Flemington Racecourse and the small area of land in currently providing holiday accommodation. Currently there is no open space within the sub-precinct, however parts of the racecourse are used informally as ancillary open space. The Maribyrnong River is included in this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liaise with the Victorian Racing Club to provide a linear open space connection along the Maribyrnong River that allows ongoing function and use of the Racecourse, and offers some security of public access at most times. This will then require upgrade and improvement including the connectivity to the south and Riverside Park. • Continue to liaise with the Victorian Racing Club to continue to maintain informal access and use of the

7.4.5 Flemington/Ascot Vale Actions

7.4.5a Summary of overall intent

Provision and distribution

To strengthen the focus of this precinct on open space along the Maribyrnong River in the future in consultation with the Victorian Racing Club and the Victorian government, as planning occurs for the Racecourse Rail Corridor urban renewal area. In the interim, continue to achieve improvements to the linear open space connection on the north side of the Maribyrnong River between Fisher Parade and Riverside Park.

Quality and design

There is potential to for the additional open space to contribute to the environmental and recreational quality of open space along the Maribyrnong.




FIGURE 7.4~4 Summary of strategy recommendations for Flemington/Ascot Vale*

* Refer also to page 17 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 7.4~4 and photos.

7.4.5b Precinct Actions for Flemington | Ascot Vale

A Additional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.4A-1	Provide future Capital City and Neighbourhood open space for the forecast community in the Racecourse Rail Urban Renewal Area adjacent to the Maribyrnong River, downstream of Fisher Street. The proposed site is located in the vicinity of the existing car park area adjacent to the River.	CoM, DSE, VRC, Developer	Very High
7.4A-2	Provide linear open space along the Maribyrnong River adjacent to the Flemington Racecourse to connect Kensington with Flemington and Ascot Vale. Liaise with the Victorian Racing Club to achieve a linear public open space reserve along the Maribyrnong River without impacting on the operations and viability of Flemington Racecourse. Undertake improvements to the environmental and recreational quality and design of this linear open space.	CoM, DSE, MW, Victorian Racing Club	Medium
7.4A-3	Provide new Small Local open space within easy walking distance of the forecast corridor in the southern part of the Racecourse Rail corridor urban renewal area.	CoM, Developer	High
7.4A-4	Provide new Small Local open space within easy walking distance of the forecast corridor in the area around Flemington Showgrounds Station as part of the Racecourse Rail corridor urban renewal area.	CoM, Developer	High

B Open space links

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.4B-1	Provide a shared trail link generally along the railway line from Epsom Road to the Maribyrnong River, connecting to the shared trail along the railway east of Epsom Road, linking to Royal Park.	CoM, VicTrack	Ongoing



7.5 Kensington

7.5.1 Overview

Kensington is located approximately four kilometres north-west of the Melbourne 3000 central city. A large part of the west of Kensington was originally the Newmarket Saleyards and Abattoir, established in 1858 and which operated for more than 130 years to 1987. The land was originally a Crown land grant to the City of Melbourne in 1856, and the Saleyards were established soon after.

The remainder of Kensington developed as a working class suburb around the Saleyards and these residential buildings remain today with a combination of Victorian era buildings including a timber and brick terraces and some semi-detached dwellings.

Kensington Railway runs through the precinct with the main commercial precinct located around the Kensington Station and Macaulay Road. The area to the east of the railway includes the proposed Arden Macaulay urban renewal area. The renewal area is currently predominantly industrial land use, with some residential use south of Macaulay Road. The Kensington component of this urban renewal area extends east to Moonee Ponds Creek and the North Melbourne component of the urban renewal area extends west of Moonee Ponds Creek.

The City of Melbourne is preparing a Structure Plan to guide the future direction of change for the urban renewal area, with the *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan, May 2011* informing this resource document. The draft structure plan sets out a framework for change that increases in the population in the entire Arden Macaulay urban renewal area from approximately 3,000 residents and 3,300 workers to up to 25,000 residents and 30,000 workers by 2040. The larger proportion of this change will occur in the North Melbourne part of Arden Macaulay, however there is forecast to also be a substantial increased population in the Kensington part as well. The reason for encouraging future growth in this area is its proximity to the central city and strategic link to Footscray in the west, facilitated by the construction of a new Metro rail link currently being proposed by the Victorian government.

The Victorian Department of Transport is planning to develop the Melbourne

Metro Rail Tunnel, which is a proposed new rail tunnel between Dynon in the west and St Kilda Road near The Domain. The underground link has the potential to benefit the entire metropolitan rail network by creating more rail capacity in the inner-city. One of the stations is to be located in North Melbourne in the southern part of the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area, referred to as Arden Central in the *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan*. This station will be connected to Melbourne Central and Flinders Street, and will increase the viability of the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area as a desirable and connected place to live and work.

The draft revised Municipal Strategic Statement identifies the Dynon urban renewal area along the southern boundary of Kensington. This includes the industrial land adjoining the Maribyrnong River and an industrial site east of the JJ Holland Park. The majority of the Dynon urban renewal area incorporates the industrial land south of Kensington in West Melbourne between the Werribee Railway Line and Dynon Road. Refer to West Melbourne Precinct description, in Section 7.9 for further information.

7.5.2 Existing open space

7.5.2a Open space, quantity, hierarchy and character

There are 20 existing open spaces in Kensington with a combined total area of 24.80ha. This represents approximately 11.6 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 4.5 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne.

Table 7.5(i) Number, type and size of open space in Kensington

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
1	Regional	0.88	4%
2	Municipal	12.01	48%
1	Neighbourhood	2.91	12%
7	Local	7.87	32%
9	Small Local	1.13	5%
0	Small Local Link	0	0%
20	Totals	24.80	100%



Figure 7.5~1 Existing open space in Kensington

JJ Holland Park is the largest open space in Kensington followed by Riverside Park and Newmarket Reserve. According to the strategy household survey JJ Holland Park is the most popular open space with nearly 50 per cent of respondents from Kensington nominating they visit it for a diverse range of reasons including walking, visiting the playground, dog walking, exercising and travelling through. The Maribyrnong River is the next most popular open space for walking, cycling, jogging and dog walking.

While Riverside Park is large and located next to the Maribyrnong River, a levee bank constructed between the river and the open space visually and physically separates it from the river and which may contribute to its limited appeal and use. Facilities include paths, seats, tennis courts open grassed areas and a saltmarsh that is regenerating in the southern area of the park. Newmarket Reserve includes a sports field and bowling club, and the main roads to all boundaries, makes it less accessible for informal use by the community.

The majority of open space in Kensington is located in the former Newmarket Saleyard and includes the Kensington Banks Reserves, connected via the Stock Route Reserve. This connectivity of open space is unique to this area, and also reflects the history and former character of the stockyards. Within the area that was formerly the Newmarket Saleyards, more distinctive open spaces include the Womens Peace Garden, Westbourne Road Reserve and Peppercorn Park.

East of the railway includes Parsons Street, Robertston Street and Eastwood/Rankings Reserves. Bellair Street Reserve is located adjacent or within the railway land.

Table 7.5(ii) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification

Open space	Hierarchy	Primary character classification	Secondary character classification	Area (m ²)
BAYSWATER ROAD PARK	Local	Informal	Linking space	2,695
BELLAIR STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Informal	Linear	1,523
EASTWOOD STREET & RANKIN ROAD RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation	Seating/viewing	968
J J HOLLAND PARK	Municipal	Sporting	Informal	102,682
KENSINGTON BANKS SMALL RESERVES	Local	Linear	Heritage, Significant road reservation	37,080
KENSINGTON HALL RESERVE	Local	Informal	Linking space	4,926
KENSINGTON SMALL RESERVES (7)	Local	Linear	Heritage	1,855
LIDDY STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Informal	Play	1,228
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE	Regional	Waterway	Linear	3,366
MCCRACKEN STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation		375
NEWMARKET RESERVE	Municipal	Sporting	Heritage	17,420
PARSONS STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Informal	Heritage	1,551
PEPPERCORN PARK	Small Local	Heritage	Linking space	2,245
RIVERSIDE PARK	Neighbourhood	Sporting	Service Easement, Water feature	29,073
ROBERTSON STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Informal		900
ROGAN LANE RESERVE	Small Local	Linking space		696
STOCK ROUTE RESERVE	Local	Heritage	Linear	6,944
WARUN BIIK PARK (NORTH AND SOUTH)	Local	Linear		2,952
WESTBOURNE ROAD RESERVE	Local	Linear	Formal	17,480
WOMENS PEACE GARDEN	Local	Formal	Seating/viewing	6,599

7.5.2b Distribution




Figure 7.5~2 Gap analysis for Kensington

Open space is located mainly in the western area, with gaps in open space towards the centre and east of the precinct. The lack of open space to the east, particularly in and around the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area will be exacerbated by future population growth if no additional open space is provided with this growth.

The open space along the Maribyrnong River is narrow and only provides for linear trail access. Currently there is no open space that provides a diversity of uses adjacent to the waterway. The land use adjoining the river comprises mainly industrial and business uses, and if these sites redevelop there is potential to increase the width and diversity of open space in this area.

7.5.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

The railway reserve generally north of Chelmsford Street provides ancillary open space. Paths along both sides and trees and grass extend from Racecourse Road in the north, south to Chelmsford Street, where the reserve then narrows with no space for planting or paths to continue. The other ancillary open space is Kensington Community High School and Kensington Primary School.

The *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan May 2011* identifies the undercroft areas of CityLink along Moonee Ponds Creek as having potential to accommodate some recreation facilities in the future. While some facilities may be appropriate this land is considered to be encumbered open space, which is roofed, with limited sunlight and high levels of traffic noise and is therefore not suitable to be considered as public open space within the meaning and context of this Strategy. This area is also subject to flooding from Moonee Ponds Creek.

Footscray Park and other linear open space adjoins the Maribyrnong River on the west side and this is accessible via the stockyard bridge near Riverside Park. The river frontage adjacent to Flemington Racecourse in Flemington is also accessible for public use.

7.5.3 Existing Kensington community

7.5.3a Who lives and works in Kensington?

The existing population of Kensington combines a range of household types including families, young professionals and employees in the retail, business, port and industrial sectors. The number of visitors to Kensington is not quantified as they are for other precincts, due to the limited major visitor attractions within Kensington.

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 10,460 (*Source: .id Consulting population forecasts 2011*)
- Existing worker population 2011: 5,267 (*Source: Census of Land Use and Employment, 2010*)

Demographics

The demographics are only available for the existing residential population and not the worker population. While there will be an increased number of all age groups in the population due to the substantial forecast growth, the proportion of young families will decline, while older families and young adults will increase. In terms of the most numerous, the 20 to 39 years will represent nearly 50 per cent of the total population. Overall there is forecast to be an increase in lone person household types in Kensington, however when compared to the City of Melbourne average, Kensington is forecast to have a higher proportion of children and families, with a lower proportion of young adults.

7.5.3b How existing residents currently use open space

A total of 260 household surveys were received from residents of Kensington that represents 15 per cent of the total number of surveys received. This is slightly more than the proportion of Kensington residents in the City of Melbourne population, which is 11 per cent.

Table 5.4(ii) Most frequently visited open spaces

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
127 (49%)	JJ Holland Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog walking (26) • Playground (24) • Walking (23) • Exercising (21) • Travel through (15) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Completion of existing works • Recent improvements appreciated • Additional toilets • More trees and shade areas • Picnic areas including BBQ
82 (32%)	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (35) • Exercising (20) • Cycling (18) • Jogging/running (16) • Dog walking (14) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Clean river/creek regularly • Improve paths • Regular removal of rubbish • Maintain drinking fountain
45	Kensington Banks Small Reserves	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Playground (8) • Walking (8) • Dog walking (7) • Cycling (4) • Exercise (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More BBQs • Enforce dogs on-lead areas • Maintain drinking fountains • Maintain garden beds and grass area • Additional lighting and seating
33	Other – Outside City of Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog walking (4) • Exercising including walking and jogging • Relaxation 	
28	Bayswater Road Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Playground and equipment(19) • Playing with children (3) • Picnics and social interaction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional Toilets • More BBQs • More shade areas • Additional trees • Better playground facilities
27	Stock Route Reserve	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (11) • Walking (11) • Travel through (6) • Cycling (4) • Dog walking (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Regular maintenance and cleaning • Personal safety concerns
26	Royal Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (8) • Cycling (5) • Exercising (4) • Jogging/running (4) • Recreation (4) • Travel through 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Additional playground facilities • Path maintenance • Additional cycling paths to alleviate conflicts with

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
			pedestrians
Open space outside the local area			
72	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (19) • Picnics (16) • Ambience, beauty (12) • Relaxation (12) • Recreation (9) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional carpark • Additional picnic tables & seats • Improve toilet maintenance and cleaning
38	Other – Outside City of Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Playground and equipment (4) • Dog walking (2) • General exercising 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional toilets • Improved toilet maintenance
34	Carlton Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Playground and equipment (9) • Walking (7) • Ambience, beauty (4) • Large open space (4) • Picnics (4) • Relaxation (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More cycling paths for safety • Additional toilets

Types of open space used (top four)

- 91% Small Local Parks
- 90% Open space along Maribyrnong River
- 89% Local streets for exercise
- 86% Royal Botanic Gardens

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

- 90% Walking paths
- 85% Open grassed areas
- 61% Seats
- 54% Cycling paths

Values (top four)

- 78% Trees
- 75% Just being outside
- 68% Quiet and peaceful
- 67% Health and fitness

General comments

- Open space is highly valued as a key feature of the City
- Open space is appreciated for the benefits it provides
- Shade areas over children's playground
- Additional trees and native vegetation
- Value the high level of maintenance of open space

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A of this strategy (separate volume).

7.5.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

7.5.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. Residential population growth figures are sourced from .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031 and the worker population growth figures are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011). 2040 capacity figures are available for the urban renewal areas only, therefore have not been included in this overall table for Kensington.

Table 7.5(iv) Kensington (including Arden Macaulay)

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Residential	10,460	10,924	12,079	13,229	N/A
Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Worker	5,267	N/A	N/A	20,000	N/A

Table 7.5(v) Arden Macaulay urban renewal area only

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Residential	365	516	1,608	2,741	25,000*
Years	2011	2016	2026	N/A	2040
Worker	1,459	N/A	12,000	N/A	30,000*

* Source: Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan May 2011 and includes the North Melbourne component of Arden Macaulay urban renewal area

The forecast future population growth is significant with an overall change in residential population between 2011 and 2026 of more than 7 times, with an additional 2,376 residents between 2011 and 2026. The worker population is forecast to increase by nearly 10 times with an additional 12,000 additional workers between 2011 and 2026.

Demographics

The forecast increase in young adults from 15 to 24 age range, indicates a forecast increase in students, a slight decline in young families, and an increase in the 45+ age range.


7.5.4b Population change impacts on open space


The substantial increase in the quantity of people living and working in Arden Macaulay urban renewal area of Kensington will place increased pressure and demands on the existing open space. The pressures will include increased volumes of people in the open space utilising the facilities, leading to

overcrowding, lack of access to existing facilities and deterioration in the quality of natural features such as grass areas due to compaction.

The forecast change in demographics will have some effect on type and design of open space in Kensington, however overall there are increases in all age groups with the exception of the 80+ age group. The proportional increase in young adults and those over 40 years of age in this precinct will place higher demands on informal facilities for walking, jogging, exercise, cycling and open grassed areas for ball games. The forecast increase in apartments will change the household type to less families with children however, the presence of the existing semi-detached and detached housing will continue and families will remain part of the demographic mix. Typically the young and old are less mobile, and open space should preferably be located within 200 to 300 metres of where they live, without needing to cross major roads and arterials. Forecast growth will create an increased demand and use of Local and Small Local open space, interspersed throughout the precinct, in addition to the existing linear open space along the Moonee Ponds Creek and other larger open spaces.

7.5.4c Where change will occur





The forecast population increase in Kensington will be primarily be located in the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area between Kensington Railway and Moonee Ponds Creek. It is also anticipated there will be a change immediately to the south of the railway in West Melbourne which may increase the use of the open space in Kensington, particularly JJ Holland Park and along the Maribyrnong River. Much of the remaining areas of Kensington have either recently been redeveloped as part of the former Newmarket Saleyards redevelopment or is protected by heritage overlays or public housing. The sites shown below in Figures 7.5~3 and 7.5~4 are those that .id consulting refer to as the basis for the City of Melbourne residential population forecasting used in this strategy.

The forecast increased population will place additional demand on existing open space within the catchment along with generating the demand for new open space. The existing population will also use the new open space, and this has been considered in the recommendations and actions.

7.5.4c Open space distribution and future need in Kensington

Some major roads and railways form barriers to safe and easy access to open space. Future open space provision in Kensington has been analysed on the basis of the following:

- Safe and easy walking access to open space including consideration of road crossings.
- Analysis of the demographic change forecast increase in population in relation to the existing quantity, distribution and design of open space.
- Identify opportunities for additional open space within the context of the anticipated locations for future development over the next 15 years as outlined

in the existing *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan* and the development sites identified by .id consulting.

- Increase the amount of green spaces that incorporate water capture, storage and reuse within the space thereby contributing to urban heat island effect mitigation.

To assess this, Kensington has been divided into a series of sub-precincts based on walkability to open space. These are illustrated on Figure 7.5~5.

These sub-precincts are based on the ability of people working or living in Kensington to easily reach open space. A summary of the open space sub-precincts is described in Table 7.5(vi).



FIGURE 7.5~5 Open space sub-precinct diagram for Kensington

Table 7.5(vi) Kensington Arden Macaulay open space sub-precincts

The following analysis and conclusions are based on a realistic amount of open space that can be provided within the existing and future urban context. For Kensington the Municipal open space is already at capacity and it is anticipated the forecast population will utilise the proposed open space in the Dynon urban renewal area (refer to West Melbourne). The strategy household survey outcomes confirm that people use the JJ Holland Park but they are not accessing Royal Park on a regular basis. Open space within safe and easy walking distance of existing and future residents east of the railway in the urban renewal area is where deficiencies currently occur.

The analysis and provision has also assessed the desired objectives and outcomes of the *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan*.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
AM6	<p>Defined to the north by Racecourse Road, east by Moonee Ponds Creek, the west by the urban renewal area boundary (current industrial land use zone) and to the south by Macaulay Road.</p> <p>The land use is predominantly non-residential in this sub-precinct.</p> <p>Stubbs Street is a major north-south road that restricts east-west pedestrian access.</p> <p>Currently access to the shared trail along Moonee Ponds Creek is only via Racecourse and Macaulay Roads.</p> <p>No existing open space is located in this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a need to provide a new Local open space in the north of this precinct, west of Stubbs Street, to cater primarily for the forecast population. • In the southern area of the AM6, there is a need to establish a new Neighbourhood open space to cater for the new population and also for the existing population to the west in Sub-precinct K4. • Increase the width of open space along Moonee Ponds Creek to include a walking and cycling trail and links to the main shared trail to the east at Racecourse Road and Macaulay Road bridges. This will also improve access to the existing rail network. • These spaces are primarily for the local community use and designed to include WSUD and reuse within the site to assist with urban heat island mitigation. • The population in this sub-precinct is anticipated to also use the Robertson Street Reserve that will place additional demand and therefore an upgrade to the open space will be required.
AM7	<p>Defined to the north by Macaulay Road, east by Moonee Ponds Creek, south by Arden Street and west by</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a new Neighbourhood open space to primarily cater to the forecast future population along with

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
	<p>the Kensington railway.</p> <p>Existing land use in predominantly industrial with a pocket of residential use between Hardiman and Chelmsford Streets.</p> <p>Currently there is no formal open space, with ancillary open space along the railway, and a narrow riparian edge along Moonee Ponds Creek.</p>	<p>the existing population south of Chelmsford Street and north of Arden Street.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the width of the open space corridor along Moonee Ponds Creek to allowing walking and cycle access along the west side and safe connections east to the main shared trail via Macaulay Road and Arden Street bridges.
AM8	<p>Defined to the north by Arden Street, east by Moonee Ponds Creek, the south by Dynon Street and west by the railway.</p> <p>Existing land use is industrial, and the Draft Structure Plan appears to indicate the majority of this area will remain in industrial use south east of Lloyd Street.</p> <p>The proposed building heights indicate 30m height limits in this precinct including over the SP Ausnet Power site, indicating there is likely to be increased use at this site.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional Small Local open space north west of Lloyd Street and south of Arden Street may be required, pending final hierarchy and status of Arden Street in the future. • Additional Small Local open space to be provided south-east of Lloyd Street if this land redevelops. • Increase the width of the open space corridor along Moonee Ponds Creek to allowing walking and cycle access along the west side and safe connections east to the main shared trail via Arden Street bridge, and potentially via an additional crossing to the south in the vicinity of the railway/Dynon Road. • Investigate linear open space connection west to JJ Holland Park, the Maribyrnong River and proposed Municipal open space in the Dynon urban renewal area providing the broader east-west link with Moonee Ponds Creek.

Table 7.5(vii) Kensington balance of suburb open space sub-precincts

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
K1	<p>Bounded to the north by Epsom Road, the west by Smithfield Road, the east by Kensington Road and the south by the Maribyrnong River.</p> <p>The area is characterised by a combination of the former Newmarket Saleyard redevelopment with linked open space reserves.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When the industrial/business land adjacent to the Maribyrnong redevelops, seek to widen the open space corridor to extend the Capital City open space network to the west as discussed in Section 5.3 of this strategy.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
	<p>There is some older style row terrace residential use, which is well integrated with the newer housing areas and with good access to the open space system.</p> <p>There is one area of industrial/business use in the south adjacent to the Maribyrnong River which is likely to redevelop in the future as part of the Dynon urban renewal area. Currently the presence of this land use limits visual and physical access to the Maribyrnong River from this sub-precinct.</p> <p>The area is well provided for with existing open space.</p>	
K2	<p>This sub-precinct is bordered to the north by Racecourse Road, the west by Epsom and Smithfield Roads, the east by the Kensington railway and the south by Macaulay Road.</p> <p>This sub-precinct is dominated by residential land use with retail and commercial use associated with the Kensington shopping centre on Macaulay Road in the south of the precinct.</p> <p>Newmarket Reserve is the largest open space, along with a range of open spaces provided in the Newmarket Saleyards redevelopment. The older established residential area of this precinct does not have any open space.</p> <p>The railway provides some ancillary open space along the eastern boundary of the sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assume that this area will not be subject to a significant increase in population due the lack of key redevelopment sites identified in the .id consulting forecast mapping, and the presence of well-established residential housing. • There are no significant gaps in open space provision in this sub-precinct however, upgrades to the design and management of some open spaces is required to better meet the needs of the existing population.
K3	<p>Bounded to the north by Macaulay Road, the west by Kensington Road, the east by Kensington Railway and the south by Footscray railway line.</p> <p>Existing land use is a combination of established residential use (late 1800s and early 1900s), public housing, which has recently been redeveloped, and industrial use in</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally this precinct has adequate provision of open space, with the only gap area being located south east of Tennyson Street. This area is currently industrial use, and any future change that may occur will result in the need for additional Small Local or Local open space, pending the scale of population change.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
	<p>the south east.</p> <p>The southern area of this sub-precinct may be influenced by the Dynon urban renewal area.</p> <p>The largest and most popular open space in Kensington is located in this precinct being JJ Holland Park. JJ Holland Park provides structured and unstructured sport and recreation facilities that are well used by the community. Warun Bilik Park is a linear open space providing connectivity to the west. Bellair Street Reserve is located along the railway easement and provides local open space for people living in the vicinity of the Macaulay Road retail precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional Municipal open space is required to meet the needs of the forecast population. This is proposed to be located south of this sub-precinct in the Dynon urban renewal area. • Additional linear open space will be sought through the southern boundary of this sub-precinct to provide good east-west connectivity between the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area and the Maribyrnong River. Refer to Section 5.3 of this Strategy for further information.
K4	<p>Bounded to the north by Racecourse Road/Municipal boundary, the west by Kensington Railway, the east by the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area boundary and the south by Macaulay Road.</p> <p>Existing land use is predominantly residential established in the late 1800s and early 1900s.</p> <p>This area is not forecast to receive substantial change due to the presence of established residential land use.</p> <p>There are only three existing Small Local open spaces and this sub-precinct lacks reasonable access to any larger areas of open space.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The additional open space proposed in sub-precinct AM6 will also cater to the open space needs of this existing population. There are limited opportunities within sub-precinct K4 to provide additional open space due to existing patterns of development.

7.5.5 Kensington Actions

7.5.5a Summary of overall intent

Provision and distribution

The existing open space network in the western part of Kensington adequately meets the needs of the existing population, however the design of some of these spaces requires review to better cater to their needs. The eastern part of Kensington lacks open space within easy and safe walking distance of the existing population. There is an opportunity to address this with the substantial change proposed as part of the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area, by

integrating new open space, including Neighbourhood sized open space into these future areas to cater for existing and future population needs. A network of smaller additional open spaces is also recommended to provide for the recreational needs of the population and assist with urban heat island mitigation/climate change adaptation. These recommendations have been prepared within the context the future change outlined in the *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan* and the population forecasts for the Dynon Road urban renewal area.

Quality and design

There is potential to improve the recreational quality and diversity of facilities in some of the existing open space in Kensington. The additional open space can be designed to provide a diversity of facilities for the existing and future population and contribute positively to health and wellbeing, biodiversity and urban heat island effect mitigation and environmental quality.



* Refer also to pages 18 and 19 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 7.5~6 and photos.

7.5.5a Precinct Actions for Kensington

A Additional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.5A-1	Provide an additional Local open space in the northern area of sub-precinct AM6 primarily for the forecast future population.	CoM, Developer	High
7.5A-2	Provide a new Neighbourhood open space in the southern area of sub-precinct AM6 for the existing population in sub-precinct K4 and forecast future population.	CoM, Developer	High
7.5A-3	Provide a new Neighbourhood open space in sub-precinct AM7 primarily for the forecast future population and the existing population south of Chelmsford Street.	CoM, Developer	High
7.5A-4	An additional Small Local open space in sub-precinct AM8 located west of Lloyd Street and south of Arden Street may be required, if Arden Street is upgraded to a major road through this sub-precinct. If this does not occur, then the additional open space will not be required.	CoM, Developer	Medium
7.5A-5	Negotiate to achieve a Capital City open space on the Maribyrnong River providing connectivity to the river for the substantial forecast future population in the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area. Refer to Section 5.3 of this Strategy for further information.	CoM, Developer, DSE	High

B Additional open space links

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.5B-1	Linear open space along Moonee Ponds Creek The City of Melbourne is to facilitate the process to liaise with Melbourne Water and DSE to provide increased linear open space along Moonee Ponds Creek to improve the regional trail connection through this section, along with biodiversity values in an area that has been neglected by government agencies due to historical land use decisions adjoining the creek. This open space is encumbered open space given this overshadowing and noise from CityLink.	DSE, CoM, MW	Ongoing
7.5B-2	Linear open space link between Moonee Ponds Creek and Maribyrnong River via JJ Holland Park Investigate the potential to establish a linear open space link to future Capital City open space along the Maribyrnong River connecting the future	CoM, DSE/ DPCD	Medium

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
	population to the River. Refer to Section 5.3 of this Strategy.		

7.5.5b Individual open space actions for Kensington

C Capital City open space

Not currently applicable. Refer to Actions 7.5A-6 regarding new Capital City open space

D Regional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.5D-1	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve Continue to maintain and seek expansion to this open space reserve in the longer term. Refer to Action 7.5A-5.	CoM, DSE, MW, PV	Ongoing
7.5D-2	Moonee Ponds Creek linear open space Continue to maintain and improve the quality of the existing open space reserve including improvement to the natural environmental qualities where appropriate. Refer to Action 7.5B-1 regarding expansion to this open space reserve.	CoM, DSE, MW,	Ongoing

E Municipal open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.5E-1	JJ Holland Park Continue to maintain. In the longer term upgrade the facilities for informal use as demand for use increases with redevelopment occurs in the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area.increases with redevelopment occurs in the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area.	CoM	Medium
7.5E-2	Newmarket Reserve Implement current Master Plan. In the longer term, review the facilities to ensure it is meeting the needs of the additional population.	CoM	High

F Neighbourhood open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.5F-1	Riverside Park Investigate the potential to upgrade this open space	CoM	Medium

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
	to provide additional organised sports facilities if required in the future.		

G Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.5G-1	Bayswater Road Park Prepare a design plan to guide the future design and upgrade of this open space to cater to both the existing and future population.	CoM	Medium
7.5G-2	Kensington Banks Reserves Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.5G-3	Kensington Hall Reserve Prepare design to guide future minor upgrade works including tree planting and playground.	CoM	High
7.5G-4	Kensington Small Reserves Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.5G-5	Stock Route Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.5G-6	Warun Biik Park Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.5G-7	Westbourne Road Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.5G-8	Womens Peace Garden Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

H Small Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.5H-1	Bellair Street Reserve Minor upgrade works to improve the function and use of the reserve, including improved access.	CoM (VicTrack)	High
7.5H-2	Eastwood Street & Rankin Road Reserve Minor upgrade including additional seating to improve use and character.	CoM	Medium
7.5H-3	Liddy Street Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.5H-4	McCracken Street Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.5H-5	Parsons Street Reserve Undertake minor upgrades to improve seating and planting.	CoM	High

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.5H-6	Peppercorn Park Continue to maintain in the short-term, review design layout in the longer term with appropriate protection of heritage values.	CoM	Low
7.5H-7	Robertson Reserve Prepare a design plan to guide the future upgrade of this open space to cater to the increased population in the sub-precinct AM6.	CoM	High
7.5H-8	Rogan Lane Reserve Minor upgrade including improved seating area.	CoM	Low



7.6 Melbourne 3000 (Hoddle Grid)

7.6.1 Overview

The City of Melbourne is located on the traditional land of the Kulin Nation. For the Wurundjeri, Boonerwung, Taungurong, Djajawurrung and the Wathaurong groups who form the Kulin Nation, Melbourne has always been an important meeting place for events of social, educational, sporting and cultural significance.

After initial settlement of Melbourne in 1835, Robert Hoddle, a senior surveyor with the New South Wales government arrived in Port Phillip in 1837. He prepared a plan for the new town of Melbourne and placed a grid over the landscape on the north side of the Yarra River. The grid's alignment responded to the original course of the Yarra River rather than a magnetic north alignment, and today the Yarra River is a key environmental feature of Melbourne and provides the central spine of connected open space. The Hoddle Grid was set out in 1837 and included wide blocks and large road reserves. Melbourne initially developed slowly with early settlers arriving from Europe, where industrialisation of cities was occurring, expressing the need to provide public open space as breathing spaces for people living in the city. Governor La Trobe had a vision of a green belt of parks encircling Melbourne and these were set aside as Crown Land reserves from 1842 onwards around the Hoddle Grid. Today, some of these original reserves remain and are the major contributory landscapes to the character, vibrancy and life of Melbourne as the world's most liveable city.

In the future we are now facing a range of issues that have occurred as a result of the successful urbanisation of Melbourne including a substantial increase in its population; the effects of urban heat build up as part of more extreme weather patterns resulting from climate change; and the need for the existing and future populations to easily access green and natural spaces in the city to improve community health and wellbeing as urban densities increase across the municipality.

Open space has the potential to have a key role in meeting some of these challenges including mitigating the effects of urban heat build up by providing cooler green spaces with natural features that allows the urban areas to cool during the evening. These same spaces can also contribute positively to people's health and wellbeing, encouraging people outdoors to exercise, relax and in summer, find cooler spaces to retreat to in urban areas. Other benefits of

additional small open spaces in the central city includes providing social meeting places for the community to improve a sense of place and social responsibility within the central city.

There are numerous plans guiding the future growth and direction in Melbourne 3000, with the documents relevant to open space referred to in preparing this strategy include:

- *City North Structure Plan Draft* (City of Melbourne, 2011)
- *Revised draft Municipal Strategic Statement* (City of Melbourne, 2010)

7.6.2 Existing open space




Figure 7.6~1 Existing open space in Melbourne 3000

7.6.2a Open space quantity, hierarchy and character

There are 14 existing open spaces in Melbourne 3000 with a total area of 12.74 hectares. This represents approximately 4.7 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 2.2 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne.

Table 7.6(i) Number, size and type of open space

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
9	Capital City	11.96	94%
1	State	0.33	3%
4	Small Local	0.45	3%
14	Total	12.74	100%

The Yarra River has shaped the city and significantly contributes to the character of the open space located along it. The presence of the waterway provides open vistas along it from the open space, water-based recreational uses, an environmental corridor and a natural and dynamic feature in the heart of the city.

The majority of open space in the Melbourne 3000 is located along the Yarra River and is provided for the wider population who are attracted to the major event spaces of Federation Square, Birrarung Marr and the Yarra River. Additional to Birrarung Marr, open spaces along the River include the North Bank of the Yarra, Enterprize Park and Batman Park. The larger open spaces have quite different and distinct characters from each other and from Southbank, with Batman Park having an informal and open grass character which contrasts with the more built form of Enterprize Park. Birrarung Marr is designed primarily as an event space with the casual users mainly using the seating near the trees along the river bank or the grassed embankments when there are no events in progress. The linear connectedness encourages activities such as walking, cycling and running through all these spaces additional to the informal uses such as seating, visiting the playground (in Birrarung Marr) and relaxing.

The north bank of the Yarra overshadowed in the winter the railway, making the linear open space on the north bank less popular than the south side of the river. The footbridges between the north and south provide excellent connectivity and access between Melbourne 3000 and Southbank.

Federation Square is a popular gathering space and is used by visitors and the local community. The State Library Forecourt is also a popular meeting and seating place that is slightly elevated providing good views down over Swanston Street, and a comfortable aspect located on the south east side of the intersection meaning it catches the sun at all times of the year. This appears to be well used by visitors to the city. The City Square receives casual use either associated with the adjoining cafes or people resting in the space and at other times participating in events. Its location on the east and south side of the intersection means that it has good natural light without being unduly overshadowed by buildings.

Table 7.6(ii) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification

Open space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
BATMAN PARK	Capital City	Waterway	Informal use, Linear	14,260
BIRRARUNG MARR	Capital City	Events	Waterway, Linear, Informal use	82,900
CITY SQUARE	Capital City	Events	Urban plaza, Linking space	2,888
COHEN PLACE PLAZA	Capital City	Urban plaza	Linking space	229
EIGHT HOUR RESERVE	Capital City	Civic space	Heritage, Significant road reservation	900
ENTERPRIZE PARK	Capital City	Waterway,	Civic space, Linking space	7,129
FEDERATION SQUARE	Capital City	Urban plaza	Civic space, Linking space	6,600
LATROBE & EXHIBITION STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Water feature	Significant road reservation	270
NORTH BANK YARRA (BANANA ALLEY TO BIRRARUNG MARR)	Capital City	Waterway	Linear	3,340
ROYAL SOCIETY	Small Local	Civic space	Heritage	2,247
SCOTS CHURCH	Small Local	Water feature		44
ST PAULS CATHEDRAL	Capital City	Civic space	Seating/viewing	1,331
STATE LIBRARY FORECOURT	State	Civic space	Heritage, Seating/viewing	3,340
VICTORIA MARKET RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation		1,916

7.6.2b Distribution

The distribution of open space is largely located along the Yarra River or south Flinders Street and to the eastern side of Swanston Walk. While not located in the Hoddle Grid area, Flagstaff Gardens, Carlton Gardens and Treasury Gardens adjoin the west, north and east boundaries of the Grid along with a series of other smaller open spaces to the east. While there is a need to cross major streets, all the intersections have traffic lights with pedestrian signals, so the walking distances extend from these to within the Hoddle Grid. Therefore there is only one small area in the Melbourne 3000 precinct where people are located further than reasonable walking distance to open space in the area around the Queen and Bourke Street intersection. Refer to Figure 7.6~2.




Figure 7.6~2 Gap analysis for Melbourne 3000

7.6.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

The road reserves in Melbourne 3000 are a generous width and generally the smaller laneways and streets are comfortable for walking. In the household survey outcomes 85 per cent of respondents note they walk in the streets for exercise. Some church grounds provide ancillary open space, additional to those already included as open space.

The major areas of adjoining open space provide the main spaces that people visit and use and while not located in the Melbourne 3000 precinct, they are synonymous with the original layout and purpose of the early planning for Melbourne. The strategy household survey outcomes confirm the regular use of these major gardens by residents of the central city.

7.6.3 Existing Melbourne 3000 community

7.6.3a Who lives and works in Melbourne 3000?

The working community in Melbourne 3000 is approximately 10 times the size of the residential community. The estimated daytime population in Melbourne including visitors to the city along with workers and residents is in the order of 770,000. The residential component of the population is small by comparison at just over 20,000, however these trends are changing and there is a steady growth in people living and working in the central city.

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 21,080 (Source: .id Consulting population forecast, 2011).
- Existing worker population 2009/10: 224,947 (Source: Census of Land Use and Employment (CLUE), 2010).

Demographics

The demographics are only available for the existing residential population and not the worker population. The most populous age group in 2006 was the 20 to 24 year olds with a small proportion of people under 15 years of age and the 40+ age range. This correlates to the available housing type, which has a high proportion of apartments rather than single detached housing and semi-detached housing. The dominant household type is lone person that is almost 40 per cent followed by couples without children.

7.6.3b How existing residents currently use open space

A total of 220 household surveys were received from residents of Melbourne 3000 that represents 12 per cent of the total number of surveys received. This is significantly less than the proportion of Melbourne 3000 residents in the City of Melbourne population, which is 19 per cent.

Table 7.6(iii) Most frequently visited open space reserves

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
66 (30%)	Fitzroy Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (15) • Exercising (14) • Recreation (10) • Relaxation (8) • Ambience, beauty (7) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Improve Cafes/kiosks • No cyclists • More Dog off-lead areas • Additional drinking

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel through (7) 	fountains
66 (30%)	Flagstaff Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (13) • Exercising (9) • Travel through (9) • Recreation (7) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More BBQ's • Additional trees
57 (26%)	Carlton Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recreation (10) • Walking (9) • Exercising (8) • Relaxing (6) • Trees (6) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting (6) • Allow cycling • Remove flower & garden show • Concerns for personal safety
48 (22%)	Treasury Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (11) • Exercising (9) • Relaxation (8) • Recreation (6) • Dog walking (5) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • More BBQs • Drinking fountains maintained
43 (20%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (11) • Walking (10) • Jogging/running (7) • Relaxation (6) • Recreation (5) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintenance of drinking fountains • Unsuitable paths for mobility • Improve cafes/kiosks • More seats
37 (17%)	Birrarung Marr	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (10) • Recreation (5) • Exercising (4) • Jogging/running (4) • Playground and equipment (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More drinking fountains • Improve and upgrade paths
37 (17%)	Federation Square	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Entertainment & events (19) • Café and kiosk facilities (5) • Meeting people/friends (5) • Travel through (5) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More trees
Open space outside the local area			
37 (17%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambience, beauty (8) • Walking (8) • Relaxation (7) • Recreation (6) • Jogging/running (4) 	
32 (15%)	Other – Outside City of Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Activities • Beach, sea air • Natural environment • Cycling • Café and kiosk facilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional toilets • Improved access
20 (9%)	Bayside Beaches	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (7) • Café and kiosk facilities (3) • Fresh air (3) • Recreation (3) • Swimming (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More cycling paths for safety • Additional toilets • Maintenance and rubbish removal

Types of open space used (top four)

91% Open space along Yarra River
 91% Major Gardens
 90% Royal Botanic Gardens
 88% Local streets for exercise

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

92% Walking paths
 72% Open grassed areas
 59% Seats
 52% Café/kiosk

Values (top four)

79% Trees
 71% Just being outside
 71% Quiet and peaceful
 65% Place to relax and unwind

General comments

- Open space is highly valued as a key feature of the central city.
- Open space is appreciated for the benefits it provides.
- More open space required in central city including green open space.
- Incorporate roof garden/vertical garden into all new developments.
- Additional trees and native vegetation.
- Value the high level of maintenance of open space.
- Appreciate the improvements that are occurring.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A, a separate volume of this strategy.

7.6.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs**7.6.4a Forecast future population****Who will live and work there in the future?**Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. These forecast residential population forecasts are taken from the .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031 and the worker population forecasts are sourced from the Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011).

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Residential	21,080	N/A	N/A	35,264	N/A
Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040*
Worker	223,326	N/A	N/A	323,855	N/A

The forecast future population growth is significant with an overall change in residential population between 2011 and 2026 of 67 per cent, with an additional 14,184 residents between 2011 and 2026. The worker population is forecast to increase by approximately 45 per cent, with a substantial increase of 100,529 additional workers between 2011 and 2026.

Demographics

An increase in diversity of ages is forecast for the residential population with an increase in the less than 15 year age range and also the 65+ age group. The implications of this change is that additional open space will need to provide an increased range of facilities and with nearly 115,000 additional workers and residents in the city over this time.

7.6.4b Population change impacts on open space

The additional quantity of people living and working in Melbourne 3000 will place increased pressures and demands on the existing open space. The pressures will include increased volumes of people in the open space utilising the facilities, leading to overcrowding in open space, lack of access to existing facilities and deterioration in the quality of natural features such as grass areas due to compaction. The population already relies on travelling outside the immediate Hoddle Grid area for most of their open space needs and this is likely to continue.

The forecast change in demographics will affect the proximity of open space to the population and the design of open space. Currently the younger population dominated by 20 to 24 year olds do not place high demands on a diversity of facilities and playgrounds and are generally utilising the informal facilities for walking, jogging, exercise and cycling. Young children and older adults are typically less mobile, with a more comfortable access range being within 200 to 300 metres of where they live, and without needing to cross major roads and arterials. This will likely create an increased demand and use of Local and Small Local open space, interspersed through the precinct, in addition to the existing linear open space along the Yarra River and the larger gardens already located around the Hoddle Grid.

The other impact of this change is the increased urban density that is likely to occur in accommodating the just under 115,000 additional people. This in turn will potentially further exacerbate the build up of urban heat in the precinct, and therefore providing additional spaces that assist with creating cooler microclimates to mitigate the urban heat build up is also a key driver for the provision of additional open space.

7.6.4c Where change will occur

The forecast population increase in Melbourne 3000 is shown in the diagram as occurring in the north, west and south east of the precinct. These are shown spatially by .id consulting on their diagram in Figure 7.6~3 and this data was used as the basis for the forecasts supplied to this project by the City of Melbourne, current October 2011. This illustrates the residential redevelopment projects and not the business use projects.




FIGURE 7.6~3 Residential development sites in Melbourne, 3000
Source: .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031

The forecast increased population will place additional demand on existing open spaces within the catchment along with generating the demand for new open space. The existing population will also use the new open space, and this has been considered in the recommendations and actions.

7.6.4d Open space distribution and future need in Melbourne3000

Future open space provision in Melbourne 3000 needs to be well integrated with its inherent character and value, and not interrupt the Hoddle Grid or the ongoing improvements to the active streetscapes and public places that has been achieved in implementing the directions in *Places for People* (City of Melbourne and Gehl Architects). The vision is to create new small green spaces that are inviting for people to use as a break from work or home, a place to pause and relax. These spaces will be close to where people are living and working so they are convenient to visit and within a few minutes walk. The spaces will spread the use of open space across more spaces, whereas currently the major gardens are experiencing increasing levels of use that are difficult to manage. The will also provide:

- Safe and easy walking access to open space.

- Increase the amount of green spaces that incorporate water capture, storage and reuse within the space thereby contributing to urban heat island effect mitigation.
- Provide local spaces that encourage people to meet informally and provide a stronger connection and contribution to their local neighbourhood.
- Provide spaces that are always accessible, even when major events are being held in the Capital City open spaces.
- Create opportunities to increase the diversity of open space design in the city centre.

A description of the likely change and potential opportunities to introduce these green spaces in to the city centre are described by open space precinct.




FIGURE 7.6~4 Open space sub-precinct diagram for Melbourne 3000

Table 7.6 (iv) Melbourne 3000 open space sub-precincts

The following analysis and conclusions are based on meeting the objectives described in 7.6.4d. Please note that sub-precinct M1 is illustrated in Figure 8.3~4 and described in Table 8.3 (iv).

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
M2	This sub-precinct includes the Queen Victoria Market and surrounding mixed use area	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a need for additional open space in this sub-precinct and the location of this is to be investigated

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>including a range of recent developments with increasing residential use. The sub-precinct extends from William Street east to Elizabeth Street and from Victoria Street south to Latrobe Street and is included in the City North Structure Plan area. Existing open space includes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Victoria Market Reserve <p>This reserve is a large roundabout that is mainly a visual feature with sculptural installation and trees. Flagstaff Gardens directly adjoins this sub-precinct to the west and the public spaces around the Victoria Market do provide ancillary open space.</p> <p>The land use includes retail, business and residential use. Six residential redevelopment sites are shown in this sub-precinct.</p>	<p>in the vicinity of the Queen Victoria Market, where it complements the existing cultural and retail activity while also catering to the open space needs of the growing community.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investigate the potential to create an additional Small Local open space in conjunction with the Elizabeth Street and Victoria Street intersection redesign.
M3	<p>This sub-precinct incorporates the education precinct of RMIT, the City Baths and a range of other land uses. Part of this sub-precinct north of A'Beckett Street and west of Swanston Street falls within the City North Structure Plan. Victoria Street is the boundary to the north extending south to La Trobe Street. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eight Hour Reserve • La Trobe & Exhibition Street Reserve • Royal Society <p>All the open spaces in this sub-precinct are located to the north near Victoria Street at the intersections and change direction from the Hoddle Grid to magnetic north. They all are impacted by traffic movement and noise of major traffic flow. Eight Hour Reserve contains a monument to the Eight Hours' day movement and is located opposite the Victorian Trades Hall Council, and</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The additional 12 residential redevelopment sites located in this sub-precinct will increase the pressure and use of existing open space and the existing spaces have limited scope for expansion to their facilities. • As with sub-precinct M2, investigate the potential to create an additional Small Local open space in conjunction with the Elizabeth and Victoria Streets intersection redesign. This redesign will need to achieve a significant reduction in traffic movement and noise to add a valuable area of open space for this population. If this is not achievable, investigate other options to achieve a new Small Local open space that offers a sense of protection and relief from traffic movement and noise within the sub-precinct.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>Royal Society is the grounds around the Royal Society Hall, established in 1854, while the La Trobe and Exhibition Street Reserve has a small water feature. All offer seating and a place to be outside. Ancillary open space includes the grounds at RMIT, and adjoining open space includes Carlton Gardens to the north-east end of the sub-precinct and the State Library Forecourt to the south.</p> <p>There are 12 residential redevelopment sites shown in this sub-precinct with more than 1,000 new dwellings proposed.</p>	
M4	<p>This sub-precinct is located between Spencer and William Streets with Latrobe Street to the north and Bourke Street to the south. Currently there is no open space within the precinct, however Flagstaff Gardens adjoins the precinct to the north. The sub-precinct has 4 major residential redevelopment sites nominated in it identified to deliver more than 1,000 dwellings.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Investigate the potential to establish a new Small Local open space in this sub-precinct to provide a green oasis within the heart of the sub-precinct to provide an additional small and more intimate space along with Flagstaff Gardens. The additional population in this sub-precinct will create demand on facilities and space already provided in Flagstaff Gardens.
M5	<p>Located between William and Elizabeth Streets and Latrobe and Bourke Streets, this sub-precinct has no existing open space located within it. Flagstaff Gardens is located to the north and east of this sub-precinct, however it is a reasonable distance to walk from parts of it. This sub-precinct incorporates the only gap area identified in the central city near the intersection of Queen and Bourke Streets. One redevelopment site is located within the sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Investigate provision of two additional Small Local open spaces within this sub-precinct, with one to be located towards the southern area of it to address the existing gap area in the vicinity of Queen and Bourke Streets.
M6	<p>This sub-precinct is located between Elizabeth and Russel Streets, and between La Trobe and Lonsdale Street and incorporates a range of land uses including</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Investigate the potential to secure at least part of the St Francis Catholic Church grounds as public open space as part of the future network of green oases within the central

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>major retail and business uses. Existing open space:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State Library Forecourt <p>This open space is well used, as described in 7.6.2a. Ancillary open spaces include the St Francis Catholic Church.</p>	<p>city.</p>
M7	<p>Located between Russel and Spring Streets, and extending from La Trobe to Bourke Streets, this sub-precinct includes a range of business and residential uses. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohen Plaza <p>Open space directly adjoins the eastern end of this sub-precinct including Parliament Gardens and a range of other smaller spaces, and Carlton Gardens South adjoins it to the north. Cohen Plaza provides a central meeting place in Little Bourke Street. Ancillary open space includes the Wesley Uniting Church. Three redevelopment sites are identified in this sub-precinct, with approximately 500 new dwellings.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investigate the potential to secure at least part of the Wesley Uniting Church grounds as public open space as part of the future network of green oases within the central city. • Upgrade some of the existing public open spaces in East Melbourne to provide for the additional future population in this sub-precinct.
M8	<p>Located in the south-west area of the central city, this sub-precinct is located between Spencer and William Streets, south of Bourke Street to Flinders Street. There is no open space in the sub-precinct, however there are two small spaces on the western side of Spencer Street and both Enterprize Park and Batman Park are located to the south of the precinct along the Yarra River.</p> <p>The land use is predominantly office use, with the entertainment precinct along King Street and some visitor accommodation. Five redevelopment sites are located in this precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investigate the provision of an additional Small Local open space in this sub-precinct east of King Street. • The forecast additional population in this sub-precinct will continue to use adjoining open space, particularly Batman Park and the open space along the Yarra River. The future population is to continue to contribute to the upgrade of these adjoining open spaces.
M9	<p>Located between Queen and Elizabeth Street and south of Bourke Street to Flinders Street,</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investigate provision of a Small Local open space in this sub-precinct for both the existing and

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>this sub-precinct has no open space, and Flinders Street station provides a physical barrier to easily access open space along the Yarra from within it. Land use includes office with other mixed use including residential use. Ancillary open space includes the grounds of the Immigration Museum. The northern part of this sub-precinct is located in a gap area.</p> <p>One redevelopment site is located in this sub-precinct.</p>	<p>forecast future population, particularly given the lack of open space adjoining this sub-precinct.</p>
M10	<p>Located in the heart of the main retail precinct, this sub-precinct extends from Queen Street to Russel Street and from Lonsdale Street south to Collins Street. Bourke Street Mall and Swanston Street provide ancillary open space in this sub precinct. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cafe L'Incontro Forecourt • The Scotts Church <p>These spaces provide some seating with the Scotts Church space centred on a small water feature, and the Cafe L'Incontro Forecourt is centred on the cafe and sculpture. To the south is the City Square and to the north is the State Library Forecourt. There are three redevelopment sites in the sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The presence of the ancillary open space of Swanston Street connecting the main north south link to open space adjoining the sub-precinct, including City Square, Federation Square and Birrarung Marr means that no additional open space is identified to be provided in this sub-precinct.
M11	<p>This sub-precinct incorporates the open space along the north side of the Yarra River and key spine of open space centred around Swanston Street. It includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Birrarung Marr • Federation Square • City Square • St Pauls Cathedral • Batman Park • Enterprize Park • Yarra River North Bank <p>Five redevelopment sites are</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residents, workers and visitors to the central city use the open space. It is recognised as significantly contributing to the character and liveability of the city and is highly valued. • The existing spaces are to continue to be maintained, with the any future upgrade of Batman Park to ensure it retain an informal character that provides a contrast to the other spaces along the Yarra River in the central city area. • In any future redevelopment of land

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	located in this precinct.	within this sub-precinct options to improve visual and physical access to the Yarra River from the Flinders Street are to be investigated. Redevelopment will also need to demonstrate that it is not resulting in further overshadowing of the existing open space in this sub-precinct.
M12	<p>This sub-precinct includes the south-east corner of the central city, extending from Russel to Spring Streets and from Bourke to Flinders Street. No open space is located within the sub-precinct, however Treasury Gardens and other smaller open spaces in East Melbourne directly adjoin the east, and the open space along the Yarra River is accessible.</p> <p>This sub-precinct includes predominantly office use with a number of large hotels and some residential use. Four redevelopment sites are identified in Figure 7.6~3.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The existing and future population in this sub-precinct is recognised to continue to use Treasury Gardens and other open space to the east of the sub-precinct. The pedestrian connectivity to the east and over Spring Street is to continue to be maintained and improved where feasible in recognition of this use. • Similarly, investigate future opportunities to improve pedestrian connectivity between open space along the Yarra River and any future redevelopment associated with Jolimont Railway.

7.6.5 Melbourne 3000 Actions

7.6.5a Summary of overall intent


Provision and distribution

The centre of Melbourne was originally designed to have a ring of open space around the central Hoddle Grid rather than within it. The inherent strength of the larger open spaces around the Grid will continue to be main areas of open space for this community into the future. Gardens and forecourts to buildings have formed an integral part of the public realm, and additional small green public open spaces in the Hoddle Grid are to be added as the population intensifies in the future. These green spaces are intended to be small green oases providing retreat spaces within the Grid, and not interrupt the strength and value of the Grid but expand on the existing highly valued open spaces such as the State Library Forecourt. Opportunity sites include church grounds and sites on the south-east side of intersections where sunlight access is likely to be more consistent.

Quality and design

The future small green spaces will be designed to encourage people outdoors close to where they live and work, providing places to pause, observe and rest. The green character will be driven by sustainable water harvesting and reuse

with the intention to create cool spaces in summer that have a positive role in urban heat mitigation.



* Refer also to page 20 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 7.6-5 and photos.

7.6.5b Precinct Actions for Melbourne 3000

A Additional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.6A-1	Provide additional Small Local open space associated with the Elizabeth and Victoria Streets intersection redesign.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.6A-2	Investigate the potential to provide Small Local open space in the vicinity of the Queen Victoria Market that complements existing cultural and retail activity, while also catering to the needs of the growing community of City North urban renewal area. This has the potential to include upgrades to existing open spaces and/or utilisation of road reserves.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.6A-3	Provide new Small Local open space in the sub-precinct M5 to establish a green space that encourages people to spend time outside.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.6A-4	Provide new Small Local open space in the sub-precinct M6 to establish a green space that encourages people to spend time outside.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.6A-5	Provide new Small Local open space in the sub-precinct M9 to establish a green space that encourages people to spend time outside.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.6A-6	Provide new Small Local open space in the sub-precinct M10 to establish a green space that encourages people to spend time outside.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.6A-7	Investigate the potential to secure at least part of the Church grounds on the corner of Elizabeth and Lonsdale Streets as public open space as part to form the network of future green oases within the central city.	CoM, Developer, Church	Very High
7.6A-8	Investigate the potential to secure at least part of the Welsh Church grounds on Little Bourke Street, between Swanston and Russell Streets as public open space as part to form the network of future green oases within the central city.	CoM, Developer, Church	Very High

B Additional open space links

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.6B-1	In future redevelopment sites south of Flinders Street, investigate opportunities to improve pedestrian access and connectivity from the Hoddle Grid to the Yarra River. This includes Flinders Street Station and any future redevelopment of any railway land including Jolimont Railway. Any future	CoM & Developer	Very High & Ongoing

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
	redevelopment is to not cause any further overshadowing of the existing public open space along the Yarra River.		
7.6B-2	Continue to investigate improvements to pedestrian connectivity over Spring Street between Treasury Gardens and the south east area of the central city.	CoM	Ongoing

C Capital City open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.6C-1	Batman Park Prepare a design plan to guide future upgrade to this space, considering the existing and future population needs and keeping elements of informality to this space as a contrast to the more formal spaces. This space will need to function for neighbourhood use as well as Capital City space. Costs included in this Strategy are only for the neighbourhood use component of the works.	CoM, MW, PV	High
7.6C-2	Birrarung Marr Continue to maintain and potentially improve to better manage events in this space and also increased informal use.	CoM	Ongoing
7.6C-3	City Square Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.6C-4	Cohen Place Plaza Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.6C-5	Eight Hour Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.6C-6	Enterprize Park Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.6C-7	Federation Square Continue to advocate on behalf of the community for use and access to this important public place.	FS CoM	Ongoing
7.6C-8	North Bank Yarra (Banana Alley to Birrarung Marr) Continue to maintain and improve connectivity to this if feasible in future redevelopment, refer to Action 7.6B-1	CoM	Ongoing
7.6C-9	St Paul's Cathedral Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

D Regional open space

Not applicable

E Municipal open space

Not applicable

F Neighbourhood open space

Not applicable

G Local open space

Not applicable

H Small Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.6H-1	Latrobe & Exhibition Street Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.6H-2	Royal Society Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.6H-3	Scots Church Continue to maintain.	CoM, Church	Ongoing
7.6H-4	Victoria Market Reserve Investigate the potential to expand the area of this open space to create a useable and functional open space for the increasing residential population in close proximity to this open space.	CoM, Developer	Ongoing

J Small Local Link

Not applicable

K State open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.6K-1	State Library Forecourt The City of Melbourne is to continue to advocate retaining this important forecourt space as a public open space in any future proposals for change.	State Library, CoM	Ongoing



7.7 North Melbourne

7.7.1 Overview

North Melbourne is located approximately two kilometres north-west of the Melbourne central city. Formerly known as Hotham, North Melbourne was settled during the gold rush era, with the Post Office constructed in 1860. The area was settled as a predominantly working class suburb and by 1861 the population of North Melbourne was 7,000. By the 1930s North Melbourne was considered overcrowded and classed as slums, hence the focus of government housing redevelopment in the suburb. North Melbourne includes distinctive residential precincts and then mixed use areas with a range of older industrial style buildings, some of which are still used for warehousing, storage and manufacturing today and others that have been more recently converted to business or residential use.

Generally the residential areas are centrally located in the suburb, north of Arden Street and extend west to Dryburgh Street and Melrose Street, and east to Murphy Street. West of Dryburgh Street the land use changes to mixed use including storage, offices, warehouses and workshops, and west of Macaulay Road the land use is dominated by light industrial, railway and storage without any residential use. This industrial land west of Macaulay Road is the area that is forecast to change substantially over the next 30 years to a mixed-use precinct with higher density development and is referred to as the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area. Additionally the south-eastern area of North Melbourne is also highlighted for significant change with increased densities and is referred to as part of the City North urban renewal area.

The urban character of North Melbourne varies from Victorian era row terraces, to converted turn of the Century industrial buildings and warehouses, along with a range of higher-density public housing developments. These vary from five to fifteen storey buildings and from the late 1940s through to contemporary times.

For the suburb as a whole, the residential population of North Melbourne is expected to nearly double over the next 15 years from 11,650 in 2011 to 22,346 in 2026. The worker population is forecast to increase more than three times its size from 9,379 in 2011 to around 31,000 in 2022.

The City of Melbourne is preparing Structure Plans to guide the future direction of

change in this precinct. The *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan, May 2011* sets out a framework for change to increase in the local population in the western area of this precinct from approximately 3,000 residents and 3,300 workers to 25,000 residents and 30,000 workers by 2040. The reason for encouraging future growth in this area is its proximity to the central city and strategic link to Footscray in the west, facilitated by the construction of a new Metro rail link proposed by the Victorian government. While part of this forecast population will be located in Kensington, a large proportion will be in the North Melbourne part of the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area.

The Victorian Department of Transport is planning to develop the Melbourne Metro Rail Tunnel, a new rail tunnel between Dynon in the west and St Kilda Road near Domain. The underground link has the potential to benefit the entire metropolitan rail network by creating more rail capacity in the inner-city. One of the stations is to be located in North Melbourne in the southern part of the Arden Macaulay Urban Renewal Area, referred to as Arden Central in the *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan*. This station will be connected to Melbourne Central and Flinders Street, and will increase the viability of the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area as a desirable and connected place to live and work. The Victorian Government has \$40 million in development funding from the Federal Government to prepare the planning study for the project, and the *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan* estimates the Arden Metro Station will be operational by 2020.

The *Draft City North Structure Plan, May 2011* is an urban renewal area that extends across five precincts including North Melbourne, West Melbourne, Melbourne 3000 central city, Carlton and Parkville. The directions of this Structure Plan are described in the Carlton Precinct, Chapter 7.2.

7.7.2 Existing open space

7.2.1a Open space quantity, hierarchy and character

There are 12 existing open spaces in North Melbourne with a combined total area of 8.16 ha. This represents approximately 3 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 1.47 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne.

Table 1.2(i) Number, type and size of open space in North Melbourne

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
1	Municipal	4.52	55%
1	Neighbourhood	1.43	18%
3	Local	1.55	19%
5	Small Local	0.59	7%
2	Small Local Link	0.07	1%
12	Totals	8.16	100%




Figure 7.7~1 Existing open space in North Melbourne

Royal Park is a 180 hectare open space directly adjoining this precinct to the north and is the most frequently visited open space for existing residents in North Melbourne according to the strategy household survey. Originally established in 1854, the shape and form of Royal Park has changed over time. Royal Park is the largest open space in the City of Melbourne and is unique given its celebration of the original Australian landscape. The southern area of Royal Park is within one kilometre of the northern parts of North Melbourne, however, Flemington Road is a major barrier to easy and safe access to this open space.

Within the North Melbourne precinct the larger areas of open space are located in the west and to the east existing open space is made up of small spaces within the road reserves. The strategy household survey outcomes identify that

residents are concerned about the lack of open space within the precinct and about future development.

North Melbourne Recreation Reserve is the home ground for the AFL North Melbourne football team and recent upgrades to the training facility provides an active sporting facility, part of which is available to the general public. The oval is available for casual use when the Club does not require it for training. The North Melbourne Football club has been located at Arden Street Oval since 1882 and is an integral part of the identity and character of North Melbourne. The North Melbourne outdoor heated pool is located in the eastern part of this reserve, and is available for use by the general public on a fee-paying basis and is therefore noted as restricted open space.

North Melbourne Community Centre Reserve is the other large open space in North Melbourne, and is surrounded by high-density housing. The reserve includes open grassed playing field, playground, community centre and forecourt integrating community art and a community garden. Other nearby smaller open spaces include Clayton Reserve, Gardiner Reserve and Pleasance Gardens, all providing informal and unstructured recreational access and facilities including paths, seats, shade trees and playgrounds.

The six Small Local open spaces in the eastern area of North Melbourne are all located at street intersections, and this restricts their diversity, character and use to primarily being part of the larger streetscape. The City of Melbourne is currently investigating ways to enlarge some of these spaces and this is supported by the strategy.

The wide road reserves are a unique feature of North Melbourne and these have facilitated the establishment of significant street trees that contribute to the natural landscape character of the precinct. The other ancillary open space is North Melbourne Primary School.

Table 7.7(ii) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classifications	Area (m ²)
BEDFORD STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation	Seating/viewing	1,013
CANNING STREET & MACAULAY ROAD RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation		1,762
CHAPMAN STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation	Linking space	1,480
CLAYTON RESERVE	Local	Informal use		6,531
COURTNEY STREET RESERVE	Small Local Link	Significant road reservation		456
CURZON STREET RESERVE	Small Local Link	Linking space	Significant road reservation	265
ERROL STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation		529
GARDINER RESERVE	Local	Informal use		5,285
LEVESON STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation	Linking space	1,079

Table 7.7(ii) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification cont'd

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classifications	Area (m ²)
NORTH MELBOURNE COMMUNITY CENTRE	Neighbourhood	Recreation	Informal use, Restricted sport and recreation, Community garden	14,311
NORTH MELBOURNE RECREATION RESERVE	Municipal	Sporting	Restricted sport and recreation, Informal use	45,221
PLEASANCE GARDENS	Local	Public garden	Informal use	3,712

The most popular open spaces for residents of North Melbourne are located outside the precinct including Royal Park to the north and Flagstaff Gardens to the south. The open spaces located within the precinct are visited as well. The household survey results confirm that residents are travelling outside North Melbourne to visit open space, mainly for the more active pursuits of walking, jogging, exercising and cycling.


7.7.2b Distribution

Open space is located mainly in the western area of the precinct along major roads including Macaulay and Boundary Roads. There are gaps in the distribution of open space east of Dryburgh and south of Arden Street. In the east, the gaps in open space distribution will be exacerbated by the planned future population growth as part of the City North urban renewal area, with increased building density in areas without good access to open space. Refer to Figure 7.7~2 on the following page.

7.7.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

The wide road reserves in North Melbourne are well used for exercise as indicated in the household survey outcomes. This is likely due to the width of the road reserves and presence of existing mature street tree plantings providing good pedestrian amenity and interest. This provides good connectivity across the precinct and between open space including open space outside the precinct boundaries including Royal Park and Flagstaff Gardens.

The *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan May 2011* identifies the undercroft areas of CityLink and the Moonee Ponds Creek as having potential to being redesigned to accommodate some recreation facilities in the future. While constructed sport and recreation facilities may be viable in this area in the future, this is considered to be encumbered open space, which is roofed, with limited sunlight and high levels of traffic noise and is therefore not suitable to be considered as public open space within the meaning and context of this Strategy. This area is also subject to flooding from Moonee Ponds Creek.



7.7.3 Existing North Melbourne community

7.7.3a Who lives and works in North Melbourne?

The current population of North Melbourne combines a range of household types including families, young professionals and student resident population, with workers in the retail, business and manufacturing sectors. The number of visitors to North Melbourne is not quantified as they are for other precincts, due to the limited major visitor attractions within North Melbourne.

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 11,650 (*Source: .id Consulting population forecasts 2011*)
- Existing worker population 2011: 9,379 (*Source: Census of Land Use and Employment, 2010*)

Demographics

The demographics are only available for the existing residential population and not the worker population. The most populous age group in 2011 was the 20 to 29 year olds and overall there are a higher proportion of people aged between 20 and 34 years representing approximately 43 per cent of the total population for North Melbourne. When compared to the City of Melbourne average, North

Melbourne has a higher proportion of children less than 14 years of age and 35 years and over. This is likely due to the higher proportion of family households in North Melbourne. This correlates to the available housing type, which has a higher proportion of single detached housing and semi-detached housing when compared with some other areas of Melbourne such as Southbank and the Melbourne 3000 central city.

7.7.3b How existing residents currently use open space

A total of 186 household surveys were received from residents of North Melbourne that represents 10 per cent of the total number of surveys received. This is slightly less than the proportion of North Melbourne residents in the City of Melbourne population, which is 13 per cent.

Table 7.7(i) Most frequently visited open space reserves

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
115 (62%)	Royal Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walking (39) Exercising (30) Jogging/running (22) Dog walking (14) Cycling (10) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional trees Additional lighting More native planting Additional toilets Additional dog off-lead areas
66 (35%)	Flagstaff Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Travel through (15) Walking (15) Exercising (9) Playground and equipment (9) Travel through (7) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional lighting Concerns about safety, fearful of using open space More opportunities for bicycles Increase facilities for refreshments
33 (18%)	Princes Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jogging/running (14) Walking (12) Exercising (9) Recreation (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional lighting Additional facilities for refreshments Additional facilities for dogs
25 (13%)	Gardiner Reserve	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Playground and equipment (8) Close to home (5) Dog walking (4) BBQs (3) Picnics (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional toilets Playgrounds for an age range More shade required
24 (13%)	Carlton Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Travel through (6) Walking (5) Travel through (4) Playground and equipment (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional Cafes/kiosks More opportunities for cycling Off-lead area for dogs
20 (11%)	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dog walking (7) Exercising (5) Walking (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional toilets More trees required More indigenous vegetation
Open space outside local area			
63 (34%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ambience, beauty (14) Walking (12) Picnics (10) Relaxation (9) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved access Personal safety concerns Improve general maintenance

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (8) • Meeting friends (5) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More sporting facilities including cycling paths
35 (19%)	Carlton Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Museum (8) • Travel through (5) • Ambience, beauty (4) • Playground (4) • Gardens (3) • Walking (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More paths for cyclists, including connections and safer routes • Policing of path use rules
27 (15%)	Fitzroy Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambience, beauty (3) • Exercising (3) • Peaceful (3) • Picnics (3) • Relaxation (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional seating • More cycling facilities including paths and lock up facilities • Additional lighting

Types of open space used (top four)

91% Local streets for exercise

90% Royal Botanic Gardens (although more than 50% was on a yearly basis only)

87% Major gardens and Regional Parks

74% Small Local Parks (highest proportion of daily use)

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

90% Walking paths

76% Open grassed areas

56% Seats

49% Drinking fountains

Values (top four)

83% Trees

74% Quiet and peaceful

72% Place to relax and unwind, and being outside

67% Spacious

General comments

- Additional open space is required in the North Melbourne area.
- Open space is highly valued as a key feature of the municipality.
- Open space is important in an urban setting, including as densities increase.
- Improved cycling facilities both on road and off road required.
- Additional trees required.
- Value the high level of maintenance of open space.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A, a separate volume of this strategy.

7.7.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

7.7.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. Residential population growth figures are sourced from .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031 and the worker population growth figures are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011). 2040 capacity figures are available for the urban renewal areas only, therefore have not been included in this overall table for North Melbourne.

Table 7.7(ii) North Melbourne (including Arden Macaulay)

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Residential	11,650	13,811	17,892	22,346	N/A
Years	2011	2015/16	2021	N/A	2040
Worker	9,379	N/A	N/A	23,000	N/A

Table 7.7(iii) Arden Macaulay urban renewal area only

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Residential	1,970	2,366	5,151	8,028	25,000*
Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Worker	3,244	N/A	N/A	14,000	30,000*

* Source: Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan May 2011

The forecast future population growth is significant with an overall change in residential population between 2011 and 2026 of 92 per cent, with an additional 10,697 residents between 2011 and 2026. The worker population is forecast to increase by more than 145 per cent with an additional 21,621 workers between 2011 and 2026.

Demographics

An increase in young adults in the 20 to 29 age range, including students is forecast to live in North Melbourne, with decline in young families.


Population change impacts on open space

The substantial increase in the quantity of people living and working in North Melbourne will place increased pressures and demands on the existing open space. The pressures will include increased volumes of people in the open space utilising the facilities, leading to overcrowding, lack of access to existing facilities

and deterioration in the quality of natural features such as grass areas due to compaction.

The forecast change in demographics will have some effect on type and design of open space in North Melbourne, however overall there are substantial increases in all age groups with the exception of the 80+ age group. The proportional increase in young adults in this precinct will place higher demands on informal facilities for walking, jogging, exercise, cycling and open grassed areas for ball games. In the future the increase in apartments will change the forecast household type to less families with children, however, the presence of the existing semi-detached and detached housing in the precinct will continue and families will remain in the precinct. Typically the young and old are less mobile, with a the access range to open space being within 200 to 300 metres of where they live, without needing to cross major roads and arterials. This will likely create an increased demand and use of Local and Small Local open space, interspersed throughout the precinct, in addition to the existing linear open space along the Moonee Ponds Creek and other larger open spaces.

7.7.4b Where change will occur



The forecast population increase in North Melbourne will be primarily be located in the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area and towards the east of the precinct in the area associated with the City North urban renewal area. Most of the remaining areas of North Melbourne are either public housing or existing private housing that is currently protected by heritage overlays. The sites shown in Figure 7.7~3 are those that .id consulting refer to as the basis for the City of Melbourne residential population forecasting used in this strategy.

The forecast increased population will place additional demand on existing open spaces within the catchment along with generating the demand for new open

space. The existing population will also use the new open space, and this has been considered in the recommendations and actions.

Some major roads in North Melbourne form barriers to safe and easy access to open space. Future open space provision and needs in North Melbourne have been analysed on the basis of the following:

- Safe and easy walking access to open space including consideration of road crossings.
- Analysis of the demographic change forecast increase in population in relation to the existing quantity, distribution and design of open space.
- Identify opportunities for additional open space within the context of the anticipated locations for future development over the next 15 years as outlined in the existing *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan* and *Draft City North Structure Plan* and the development sites identified by .id consulting.
- Increase the amount of green spaces that incorporate water capture, storage and reuse within the space thereby contributing to urban heat island effect mitigation.

To assess this, North Melbourne has been divided into a series of sub-precincts based on walkability to open space. These are illustrated on Figure 7.7~4.

These sub-precincts are based on the ability of people working or living in the North Melbourne to easily reach open space. A summary of open space provision in the open space sub-precincts is described in Table 7.7(iv)




FIGURE 7.7~4 Open space sub-precinct diagram for North Melbourne

Table 7.7(iv) North Melbourne Arden Macaulay open space sub-precincts

The following analysis and conclusions are based on a realistic amount of open space that can be provided within the existing and future urban context. For North Melbourne large State and Regional open spaces are located within one kilometre of the North Melbourne. The household survey outcomes confirm that people use these open spaces now. Open space within safe and easy walking distance of existing and future residents is where current deficiencies are. Additionally the Municipal open space is at capacity and the forecast population structured sporting needs are to be met in the proposed additional Municipal open spaces in E-Gate and Dynon urban renewal area.

If the open space planning principles similar to those of the Growth Areas Authority were applied to the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area, it would result in a larger amount of open space identified to be required and would impact the other desired objectives and outcomes of the *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan*. Therefore the analysis has been undertaken to provide additional open space within 300 metres of the majority of existing and proposed population.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
AM1	<p>This sub-precinct is located between the railway and Boundary Road. Racecourse Road defines the north and Macaulay Road the south. Existing land use is predominantly warehouses, light industrial, storage and vacant sites. Currently there is no residential land use in this sub-precinct.</p> <p>The existing footbridge over Boundary Road at Mark Street provides pedestrian access between the residential area east of Boundary Road.</p> <p>Dominance of east-west road layout without north south connectivity, except via Boundary Road.</p> <p>No open space is located in this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a need to provide two new Local open spaces in the north and south of this precinct, with excellent north-south connectivity to improve circulation and access within this precinct. • Future open spaces to be located central within the precinct with some sense of place and escape from traffic noise and movement. • These spaces are primarily for the local community use and designed to include WSUD and reuse within the site to assist mitigate urban heat build up. • The population in this sub-precinct is anticipated to also use the North Melbourne Community Centre open space including the larger informal grassed area, the synthetic turf multiuse area and the community garden. This will place additional demand and therefore an upgrade to the open space will be required.
AM2	<p>Centered around the North Melbourne Community Centre, this sub-precinct is located between Boundary Road and Melrose Street, with Alfred Street to the north and</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Draft Structure Plan suggests that some of the public housing may be redeveloped in this precinct, however, it is assumed that no significant change to residential

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
	<p>Canning Street to the south.</p> <p>Existing land use is predominantly residential with high-density apartments varying from two and three storey row townhouses up to 15 storey apartment buildings. The majority of this precinct is public housing. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North Melbourne Community Centre open space includes sports field, synthetic turf areas for basketball/netball and soccer, community garden and a playground/ seating area. 	<p>density will occur.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North Melbourne Community Centre will require upgrade to cater to the increased use of this open space by the additional population in sub-precinct AM1.
AM3	<p>This sub-precinct includes Clayton Reserve and North Melbourne Recreation Reserve and is bounded to the north by Macaulay Road, west by railway/CityLink, south by Arden Street and east by Macaulay Road.</p> <p>Existing land use is mixed use including some residential, business and storage.</p> <p>The Draft Structure plan identifies major redevelopment in this precinct including the extension of Macaulay Road. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North Melbourne Recreation Reserve has been recently upgraded and includes the indoor recreation facility and the pool. • Clayton Reserve has a framework of established mature canopy trees, seats and open grass and is a popular dog off-lead area, with potential for it to be upgraded in the future. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional Local open space to be provided to the south-west area of this sub-precinct to provide for the future intensification of this sub-precinct. The new open space design and facilities are to complement the existing use and future upgrade to Clayton Reserve. • North Melbourne Recreation Reserve has recently been upgraded to accommodate increased public use of the sports facilities in this site. This includes the sports field, half court and indoor recreation centre. Whilst this is a valuable feature for the broader North Melbourne precinct, this does not cater to other social and informal uses of open space. • Future changes in this sub-precinct will result in increased demand for open space from the residential and non-residential community and therefore Clayton Reserve will require upgrade. • The new open space and upgrade to Clayton Reserve will include WSUD and reuse within the site, assisting with urban heat island mitigation.
AM4	<p>Bounded to the north by Canning Street, the west by Macaulay Road, east by Sheil Street and south by Haines Street, this sub-precinct is almost entirely state owned land and</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No additional open space is anticipated to be required in this sub-precinct. • Major upgrade will be required to Canning Street and Macaulay Road

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
	<p>the site of the former Government Printer.</p> <p>The existing land use is a combination of residential, business and storage use, and the built form on the site appears to be recently constructed.</p> <p>The <i>Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan</i> identifies a height limit of 30 metres on this site, and if this site redevelops it is assumed it would be in the longer term, rather than with the 15 year timeframe of this Strategy. The Plan does identify the potential for Macaulay Road to become a major retail strip.</p> <p>Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Canning Street/Macaulay Road Reserve is located in the north of this precinct and has established mature canopy trees in mown grass with a path. • Gardiner Reserve directly adjoins this sub-precinct to the south, and is a popular open space with mature exotic trees, play facility, picnic and BBQ area and open grassed areas. 	<p>Reserve to address the future redevelopment of the retail strip. Future upgrade to integrate WSUD and reuse within the site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upgrade Gardiner Reserve south of this precinct. This includes improving the interface to residential land use on the Haines Street frontage, and investigate enlarging it through additional road closure in the no through road extension of Dryburgh Street. Future upgrade to integrate WSUD and reuse within the site, assisting with mitigating urban heat build up.
AM5	<p>Referred to as Arden Central in the <i>Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan May 2011</i>, this sub-precinct extends south of Arden Street, to Miller Street, just south of the North Melbourne suburb boundary. It extends from the railway in the west to Laurens Street in the east.</p> <p>The majority of this precinct is railway land and there is no open space. The <i>Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan</i> identifies Arden Central as a major extension of the central city as a high-density mixed use area focused nearby the proposed Arden Metro Station.</p> <p>Currently there is no open space in this precinct, and a large area of this land is included in a Land Subject to Inundation Overlay. The Structure</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With the proposed high-density mixed use redevelopment in this precinct associated with the future Arden Central Metro, a new Capital City open space of at least a Neighbourhood open space size would be required. This would ideally located around or within easy walking distance of the Metro Station, and on the north side of the station to capture a northern aspect and good sunlight access. This is primarily for the new population. • Establish an additional Small Local open space within this precinct to the south of the Metro Station to provide accessible open space for the local population and to assist mitigate urban heat build up. This is for the new population.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
	Plan acknowledges that a Masterplan for this area is required to address the flood management implications of this site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establish open space connectivity between the new Capital City open space and the existing Moonee Ponds Creek Linear path (Capital City Trail). This can be via a well designed on-road trail network and does not necessarily require additional land as open space.
AM6	<p>Referred to as the Laurens Street precinct, this sub-precinct encompasses the existing mixed-use area between Laurens and Dryburgh Streets extending from Arden Street south to Railway Place, just beyond the North Melbourne suburb boundary in West Melbourne.</p> <p>The existing land use is a mix of light industrial, warehouse, storage, business, retail and some residential use and the existing open space is within the West Melbourne part of this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establish a new Local open space, or two Small Local open spaces north of Dynon Road in this sub-precinct to cater to both existing and proposed future population.

Table 1.4-2 City North - North Melbourne open space sub-precincts

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
CN1	<p>Between Flemington Road and Courtney Street, this mixed use precinct is identified in the Draft City North Structure Plan to have the potential to redevelop into predominantly residential use with commercial or business use at street level. The sub-precinct extends along the frontage to Peel Street south of Flemington Road extending one block back to Capel Street as well.</p> <p>Existing land use is mixed including some residential, business, warehouses, medical, retail, education and commercial.</p> <p>Future population change in this precinct is anticipated to be significant, particularly in the eastern area of it. The .id consulting</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A new Local open space is required in this sub-precinct for the existing and primarily the new population. The existing development pattern means that it may be difficult to achieve the size of a Local open space and therefore likely that expansion of an existing Small Local open spaces may be required via a land contribution with allocation of part of the road reserve and combined achieves a larger area of open space. The larger size will allow more flexibility with the space and improve its role in urban heat island mitigation and water quality management and re-use. Upgrade the existing open spaces to cater to increased use, along with review of and contribution to the landscape character of the area.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
	<p>population forecast mapping identifies a number of larger redevelopment sites through this precinct.</p> <p>There is a series of existing Small Local open spaces in the road reserves through the north of this sub-precinct due to the presence of large road reserves. To the south of this sub-precinct is a gap area in open space provision. The existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bedford Street Reserve, with canopy trees, open grassed area and seats. • Chapman Street Reserve, which comprises grassed area with mature canopy trees and path. • Courtney Reserve, which is a linking space with recently planted trees and no other infrastructure. 	
CN2	<p>This triangular sub-precinct is located between Peel Street and Elizabeth Street, extending from Haymarket roundabout south to Victoria Street, and is included in the Draft City North Structure Plan area. This Structure Plan notes this area is an opportunity for increased density with building heights up to 24 metres along Victoria Street and provide for greater residential population, and some commercial and retailing use. Currently there is no open space in this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a Small Local open space in the heart of this sub-precinct as a small community space for local use as a contrast to the proposed Victoria Square that is likely to have a Capital City focus.
CN3	<p>Major roads surround this small triangular sub-precinct including Elizabeth Street, Royal Parade, Flemington Road and Gratton Street. There is currently no open space and the site is being redeveloped.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The forecast population at this site will utilise future open space included in CN4 - refer to Carlton precinct, Section 7.2 of this strategy.

Table 1.4-3 North Melbourne balance of suburb open space sub-precincts

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
<p>NM1</p>	<p>Bounded to the north by Flemington Road, the west by Melrose Street (boundary of Arden Macaulay Urban Renewal Area), the east by Curzon Street and the south by Arden Street</p> <p>The area is characterised by predominantly residential land use with a combination of in tact Victorian row-terrace housing, interspersed with residential redevelopment or office and or medical use fronting Flemington Road. Residential redevelopment includes a number of Office of Housing redevelopments, which vary in density from two to five stories.</p> <p>This area is largely covered by Heritage overlays and it is assumed there will only be minor increases in population. This assumption is reinforced by the .id consulting forecast mapping, which does not locate any major redevelopment sites identified within it.</p> <p>Pleasance Gardens and Gardiner Reserve are two existing Local open spaces within the precinct. Royal Park is within 500 metres walking distance, however, Flemington Road poses a significant barrier to safe access.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upgrade the two Local open spaces in this sub-precinct, including investigating opportunities to increase them in size. This will need to carefully consider urban character, health of existing trees and vehicle circulation prior to confirming the feasibility of this. • Provide an additional Small Local open space in the north west area of this precinct, to provide some open space within walking distance of the existing population.
<p>NM2</p>	<p>Located immediately south of NM1, this sub-precinct is bordered to the north by Arden Street, the west by Dryburgh Street, the east by Curzon Street and the south by Victoria Street.</p> <p>This sub-precinct is dominated by residential land use but does have other mixed use interspersed throughout including business, office, retail and warehouses.</p> <p>There is no existing open space in this precinct, creating a gap area.</p> <p>No large road reserves or central medians are present, and there are</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assume that this area will not be subject to a significant increase in residential population due to presence of Heritage Overlays and lack of key redevelopment sites identified in the .id consulting forecast mapping. • The lack of existing open space within the precinct needs to be addressed with the provision of an additional Local open space as a minimum. While there are no large redevelopment sites, the City of Melbourne will investigate conversion of part of a road reserve for this

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusion
	large established trees in some but not all streets.	purpose. This is primarily for the existing population.
NM3	<p>Bounded to the north by Courtney Street, the west by Curzon Street, the east by Cecil Street and the south by Victoria Street. Existing land use is mixed including residential, business, warehouse, medical, retail, education and commercial.</p> <p>Future population change in this precinct is anticipated to be significant, particularly in the eastern area of it. The .id consulting population forecast mapping identifies a number of larger redevelopment sites through this precinct.</p> <p>There is a series of existing Small Local open spaces in the road reserves through the north of this sub-precinct due to the presence of large road reserves. To the south of this sub-precinct is a gap area in open space provision. The existing open spaces include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Errol Street Reserve, with large mature native and exotic trees and the entire area mulched. • Leveson Street Reserve, open grass with scattered native trees used as a cut through but with no path or other infrastructure provided. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two additional Local open spaces are required. This may include expanding on the existing Small Local open spaces to achieve a larger area, or new areas. Spatially they are to be located to the north-west and the south-east to provide easy access. • Upgrade existing open spaces to investigate increasing their accessibility and use.
NM4	<p>Located north Racecourse Road this small sub-precinct is surrounded by major roads including Flemington Road and the City Link freeway. The land use is a combination of business use and residential and there is no existing open space. The railway land associated with Flemington Bridge station provides some ancillary open space. There is good connectivity to the Moonee Ponds Creek trail and the shared trail link to the north into Royal Park.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The small size of this sub-precinct means that the existing and future population will continue to use the shared trail connections to Moonee Ponds Creek and Royal Park.

7.7.5 North Melbourne Actions

7.7.5a Summary of overall intent

Provision and distribution

The existing areas of open space in the western part of North Melbourne generally meet the needs of the existing population, however the design of some of these spaces requires review to potentially better cater to their need. In the eastern part of North Melbourne there is currently a lack of open space within easy and safe walking distance of parts of the precinct. Within the proposed urban renewal areas, the substantial change presents and opportunity to integrate open space into these future areas to have a meaningful role in the contributing to the health and wellbeing of the future population. This will include additional open spaces and the redesign of existing spaces to better meet peoples needs, along with meeting other objectives including improved biodiversity, habitat connectivity and assist mitigate urban heat build up. These recommendations have been prepared within the context the future change outlined in the *Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan, May 2011* and *Draft City North Structure Plan, May 2011*.

Quality and design

There is potential to improve the recreational quality and diversity of facilities in some of the existing open space in North Melbourne. The additional open space can be designed to provide a diversity of facilities for the existing and future population and contribute positively to health and wellbeing, biodiversity and urban heat island effect mitigation and environmental quality.

Refer to Figure 7.7~5 of the strategy recommendations on the following page.




Figure 7.7~5 Summary of strategy recommendations for North Melbourne *

* Refer also to pages 22 and 23 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 7.7~5 and photos.

7.7.5b Precinct Actions for North Melbourne

A Additional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7A-1	Provide an additional Local open space in the northern area of sub-precinct AM1 with excellent north south connectivity to a new Local open space in the south of this sub-precinct AM1. Ensure the future design of these spaces provides a respite from traffic noise and includes the principles of UHI mitigation. This open space is primarily for the new population.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.7A-2	Provide an additional Local open space in the southern area of sub-precinct AM1 with excellent	CoM, Developer	Very High

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
	north south connectivity to the new Local open space in the north of this sub precinct AM1. Ensure the future design of these spaces provides a respite from traffic noise and includes the principles of UHI mitigation. This open space is primarily for the new population.		
7.7A-3	Provide an additional Local open space in the south-west area of the sub-precinct AM3 to provide primarily for the new population due to intensification of use. The new open space facilities and design are to complement those provided in Clayton Reserve as part of its proposed upgrade and include WSUD.	CoM, Developer	High
7.7A-4	Provide an additional Capital City open space or larger near the future Arden Metro Station in Arden Central, sub-precinct AM5. This will be provided wholly for the new population and redevelopment of the Victorian Government owned land. The new Metro Station is scheduled to be opened around 2020, therefore this action is given a lower priority. The negotiation to achieve this land area will require a Very High priority.	DSE, CoM	Very High & Low
7.7A-5	Provide an additional Small Local open space in Arden Central, sub-precinct AM5, located south of the Metro Station to be accessible to the Moonee Ponds Creek shared trail.	CoM, Developer	Low
7.7A-6	Provide a new Local open space in the Laurens Street area, sub-precinct AM6. This will cater to both the existing and future population in the URA..	CoM, Developer	High
7.7A-7	Provide a new Local open space in sub-precinct CN1 in the area near Flemington Road and Peel Street. This is to primarily provide for the forecast future change outlined in the Draft City North Structure Plan, and the existing population.	CoM, Developer	Medium
7.7A-8	Provide an additional Small Local open space in the heart of this triangular sub-precinct CN2 between Peel and Elizabeth Streets. This is likely to utilise part of a road reserve to establish the open space.	CoM, Developer	High
7.7A-9	Provide an additional Small Local open space in the north-west area of this sub-precinct NM1 to address an existing gap area and primarily for the existing population.	CoM, Developer	High
7.7A-10	Provide an additional Local open space in sub-precinct NM2 to address an existing gap area for the existing population. This is likely to be conversion of part of a road reserve due to the presence of heritage overlays limiting the likely redevelopment of sites within this sub-precinct.	CoM, Developer	Medium

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7A-11	Provide two additional Local open spaces in sub-precinct NM3 to address both the existing and future population needs in this area. It is anticipated there will be substantial growth in this sub-precinct.	CoM, Developer	Very High

B Additional open space links

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7B-1	Improve on-street links along Molesworth Street between Pleasance Gardens and Curzon Reserve.	CoM	Medium
7.7B-2	Improve on-street links along Courtney Street between Errol Street Reserve and Bedford Street Reserve.	CoM	Medium
7.7B-3	Improve east-west connectivity between the proposed Capital City open space near the proposed Arden Metro on Queensberry Street, including crossing over Dryburgh Street.	CoM	Medium
7.7B-4	Linear open space along Moonee Ponds Creek The City of Melbourne is to facilitate the process to liaise with MW and DSE to provide increased linear open space along Moonee Ponds Creek to improve the regional trail connection through this section, along with biodiversity values in an area that has been neglected by government agencies due to historical land use decisions adjoining the creek. This open space is encumbered open space given the overshadowing and noise from CityLink.	DSE, CoM, MW	Ongoing
7.7B-5	Links between future open space in Arden Macaulay URA and the Capital City Trail In future urban design provide clear and safe pedestrian cycle connections via the future street network from the existing and proposed open space in North Melbourne and the Capital City Trail along Moonee Ponds Creek	CoM, Developer	High
7.7B-6	Sub-precinct AM1 ensure that internal north-south pedestrian links area designed into future developments to provide an alternative north-south pedestrian access route to Boundary Road.	CoM, Developer	High
7.7B-7	Investigate the potential to provide safe cycle/pedestrian north-south link along railway reserve through Arden Macaulay precinct, north to Royal Park and south to future Capital City open space in West Melbourne.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.7B-8	Improve open space links between Clayton Reserve and new Small Local open space to be provided in sub-precinct AM3	CoM, Developer	Very High

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7B-9	Improve pedestrian connectivity between sub-precinct AM4 and Gardiner Reserve to the south.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.7B-10	Establish safe on-road cycle and pedestrian links to the existing Capital City Trail in sub-precinct AM5	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.7B-11	Investigate improving pedestrian access across Flemington Road to Royal Park to improve open space accessibility for the North Melbourne population.	CoM, VicRoads	High

7.7.5c Individual open space actions for North Melbourne

C Capital City open space
None provided in North Melbourne

D Regional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7D-1	Moonee Ponds Creek Linear open space Continue to maintain and improve the quality of the existing open space reserve including improvement to the natural environmental qualities where appropriate. Refer to Action 2.5B-1 regarding expansion to this open space reserve.	CoM, DSE, MW	Ongoing

E Municipal open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7E-1	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve Continue to maintain this open space.	CoM	Ongoing

F Neighbourhood open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7F-1	North Melbourne Community Centre Prepare a design plan to guide the future design and upgrade of this open space to cater to both the existing and new population needs in the sub-precinct AM1, located immediately west of this open space.	CoM, Developer, DOH	High

G Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7G-1	Clayton Reserve Prepare and implement a Master Plan for this Local Open Space to better provide for the existing and future population in sub-precinct AM3.	CoM, Developer	Medium
7.7G-2	Gardiner Reserve Prepare a design plan to guide the future design and upgrade of this open space to cater to both the existing and new population needs in the sub-precinct AM4. This includes investigating increasing the size of this open space by extension into Dryburgh Street road reserve.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.7G-3	Pleasance Gardens Investigate the potential to increase the size of Pleasance Gardens to improve its viability and use as open space. Prepare and implement a Concept Plan for this Local open space, protecting heritage values, improving its character, complementing the nearby Gardiner Reserve, and investigation options to increase its size.	CoM, Developer	Medium


H Small Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7H-1	Bedford Street Reserve Prepare a design plan to guide the upgrade to this open space to provide some facilities to cater to the existing and future population. Investigate the potential to expand the size of this reserve during the design plan process.	CoM	High
7.7H-2	Canning St and Macaulay Rd Reserve Prepare a design plan to guide major upgrade to this open space to address the future redevelopment of Canning Street and Macaulay Road to a retail strip as described in the Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan.	CoM, Developer	Low
7.7H-3	Chapman Street Reserve Prepare a design plan to guide the future upgrade of this open space to improve its use for the existing population in sub-precinct NM3. Consider its proximity to the school as part of the design process.	CoM	Very High
7.7H-4	Errol Street Reserve Implement the existing Landscape Concept Plan for this Small Local open space including increasing its size as per the current plans, in sub-precinct NM3.	CoM	High

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7H-5	<p>Leveson Street Reserve Prepare a design plan to guide the future upgrade of this open space including path access, seating and other uses where required to cater to the increased population in the surrounding precinct.</p>	CoM	Very High

J Small Local Link

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.7J-1	<p>Courtney Street Reserve Undertake a minor upgrade to this reserve to improve its function and character as a linking space including path and seating.</p>	CoM	Medium
7.7J-2	<p>Curzon Street Reserve Continue to maintain the mature canopy trees in the open space.</p>	CoM	High



7.8 Southbank | South Wharf

7.8.1 Overview

Southbank is located on the southern side of the Yarra River, with St Kilda Road as eastern boundary, Dorcas Street to the south-east and Montague Street and West Gate Freeway to the west and south-west.

Southbank began as a large government reserve on the floodplain separating the original Hoddle grid from Emerald Hill (South Melbourne). The area remained largely undeveloped until well into the 1870s. Following reclamation of marshy land, Southbank developed rapidly into an industrial precinct with some government uses including the Victoria Barracks. Around 1900 the Yarra River was widened and St Kilda Road approach to Princes Bridge was straightened defining an open space area known as Snowden Gardens along the river bank in Southbank. Alexandra Gardens and Snowden Gardens formed a pair of open spaces either side of St Kilda Road and the land south of Snowden Gardens was used as a fairground. In 1954 the Melbourne Metropolitan Board of Works promoted redevelopment of this site into an arts precinct with the National Gallery of Victoria (opened in 1968) and the Victorian Arts Centre (1969 to 1984). The urban renewal of Southbank was initiated by the Victorian Government in the late 1980s changing it from an industrial precinct to the mixed use precinct it is today. Southbank promenade was one of the first projects constructed in the late 1980s and Southgate opened in 1991. A number of cultural institutions moved into the precinct with the Victorian College of the Arts occupying most of the former police complex and more recently the Australian Centre for Contemporary Art and the Malthouse Theatre. Southbank now has some distinctive precincts within it including the Arts precinct, the Southbank promenade including the Melbourne Casino, the Melbourne Convention and Exhibition Centre and the recently completed South Wharf area. Other areas of Southbank have changed rapidly with a combination of high density residential and business uses, some of which lack open space and are dominated by major roads.

Two key documents currently guide the continued redevelopment of Southbank and these are:

- *The Southbank Structure Plan 2010* (City of Melbourne, 2010) - Implementation led by the City of Melbourne in partnership with DPCD
- *Southbank Plan* (DSE, 2006) - Implementation led by DPCD in partnership with the City of Melbourne

7.8.2 Existing open space




Figure 7.8~1 Existing open space in Southbank | South Wharf

There are 14 existing open spaces in Southbank and South Wharf that combined total 5.89 hectares in area. This represents approximately 3.8 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 1.0 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne.

Table 7.8(i) Number, size and type of open space

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
4	Capital City	2.10	36%
2	Regional	1.42	24%
1	Municipal	1.25	21%
2	Local	0.73	12%
3	Small Local	0.34	6%
2	Small Local Link	0.04	1%
14	Totals	5.89	100%

The majority of open space in the Southbank is located along the Yarra River and is provided for the wider population who are attracted to the major cultural and leisure precincts in Southbank and categorised as Capital City open space. The open spaces along the River include the Southbank Promenade, Queensbridge Square, Riverside Quay and Maritime Park. These open spaces are predominantly linear in nature and provide for informal activities such as walking, cycling, seating, informal play and participating in cultural activities such as busking and performances. Due to the high visitor levels to the majority of these spaces, they are largely paved surfaces with trees, but without many open grassed and planted areas, which create cooler localised microclimates within them. Identifying opportunities to introduce some natural surfaces and green areas into future open space in Southbank has formed part of the analysis for this precinct. The Southbank Structure Plan 2010 continues to strengthen the Capital City role that Southbank will continue to have in relation to the Arts and entertainment precincts, and the open space associated with this will continue to receive high levels of use, which are not always consistent with the local population open space needs.

The only Municipal open space is the linear reserve along Normanby Road, which provides a walking and cycling link into the City used by local residents and people from adjoining areas however this does not provide any active sporting facilities. The lack of Neighbourhood open space is noticeable in Southbank and there is a need to provide this type of open space in the precinct in the future. The Local and Small Local open spaces are also poorly provided; with many people needing to cross major roads to reach Local and Small Local open space. These smaller spaces are also mainly located on major traffic routes, restricting the potential beneficial uses and values for residents living and working in a dense urban setting.

Table 7.8(ii) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
CITY ROAD PARK	Small Local Link	Significant road reservation	Linking space	275
EUREKA RESERVE	Small Local	Urban Plaza		455
GRANT STREET RESERVE	Local	Informal use	Linking space	2,540
KINGSWAY & MORAY STREET RESERVE	Small Local Link	Significant road reservation	Undeveloped	110
MALTHOUSE PLAZA	Capital City	Civic space	Urban plaza, Events	2,514
MARITIME PARK	Regional	Waterway	Heritage	11,670
MILES & DODDS STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Informal use	Significant road reservation	588
NORMANBY ROAD RESERVE	Municipal	Linear	Significant road reservation	12,513
QUEENSBIDGE SQUARE	Capital City	Waterway	Civic, Urban plaza, Events	9,113
RAILWAY REVEGETATION AREA	Small Local	Railway easement	Undeveloped	2,354

Table 7.8(ii) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification cont'd


Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m2)
RIVERSIDE QUAY RESERVE	Capital City	Urban plaza	Linking space	2,990
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE	Capital City	Linear	Waterway, Events, Informal use	7,630
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE WEST	Capital City	Linear	Waterway, Water feature, Events, Informal use	1,290
STURT STREET RESERVE	Local	Informal use	Significant road reservation	4,808

Linear open space along the Yarra River characterises the majority of open space in Southbank. While this is extremely popular and well used, this does not provide a wide diversity of informal and organised recreation activities for the residential and worker population given the constrained space, the need for the design of these spaces to continue to support high levels of use. The smaller open spaces are generally provided in the road reserves and dominated by traffic movement and noise. These smaller spaces do not appear to be well used as identified in the strategy household survey outcomes (refer to 1.3.2 for further information on this). Providing areas of open space that have some protection from the traffic movement and noise and allow for more casual use will be a key outcome of this Open Space Strategy for Southbank.

7.8.2b Distribution

The distribution of open space is largely either located along the Yarra River or to the eastern area of Southbank. While not located in the precinct, Domain Parklands is located to the east of Southbank across St Kilda Road and within 400 metres of Southbank east of Sturt Street. Therefore residents west of Sturt Street have less easily accessible open space as they are generally beyond 400 metres of the existing larger open spaces east of St Kilda Road.

The Southbank Plan (DSE, 2006) identified one of the key issues with Southbank is the focus of the quality urban design and built form outcomes in the areas directly adjoining the Yarra River and St Kilda Road with the remainder of the precinct of a lower standard. This is also true for the open space provision and design in this precinct.



7.8.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

The road reserves do provide some ancillary open space, however the household surveys identified that these are not well used by residents of Southbank when compared with some other precincts in the City of Melbourne. This is likely due to the high levels of traffic in the majority of streets, and lack of pedestrian amenity and interest.

The *Southbank Structure Plan 2010* identifies the undercroft areas to the West Gate Freeway as having potential to being redesigned to provide some ancillary open space accommodate some recreation facilities in the future. While indoor and/or constructed sport and recreation facilities may be viable to construct in this area in the future, this is considered to be encumbered open space, which is roofed, with limited sunlight and high levels of traffic noise and is therefore not suitable to be considered as public open space within the meaning and context of this Strategy.

7.8.3 Existing Southbank community

7.8.3a Who lives and works in Southbank?

The current population of Southbank combines a young professional and student resident population with workers in the cultural and recreation sectors. The number of people in Southbank is bolstered by a regular flow of international, Victorian and interstate visitors (up to 5,500 a day) to the precinct including to the National Gallery of Victoria, the Victorian Arts Centre, the Australian Centre for Contemporary Art, the Malthouse Theatre, Southbank retail and restaurant precinct, Crown Entertainment Complex and the Melbourne Exhibition Centre (DSE, 2006).

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 13,107 (*Source: .id Consulting population forecasts 2011*)
- Existing worker population 2010: 37,796 (*Source: Census of Land Use and Employment, 2010*)

Currently, there are almost three times as many workers as there are residents in Southbank, with an additional visitor population, particularly to the entertainment and cultural facilities in the precinct.

Demographics

The demographics are available for the existing residential population and worker population to 2026. The most populous age group in 2006 was the 20 to 24 year olds and overall there is a higher proportion of people aged between 20 and 34 years when compared to the City of Melbourne average. The 0 to 14 year olds and the 65+ age ranges are proportionally lower in Southbank when compared with the averages across the whole City. This correlates to the available housing type, which has a high proportion of apartments rather than single detached housing and semi-detached housing.

7.8.3b How existing residents currently use open space

A total of 83 household surveys were received from residents of Southbank/South Wharf that represents 4.5 per cent of the total number of surveys received. This is less than the proportion of Southbank/South Wharf residents in the City of Melbourne population, which is 12.3 per cent. This is likely to be due to the high number of residential apartment buildings, and the lack of ability to distribute copies of the surveys directly to individual apartments.

Table 7.8(iii) Most frequently visited open space reserves

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
47 (57%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (20) • Recreation (9) • Walking (9) • Ambience (7) • Peaceful (7) • Relaxation (7) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • More drinking fountains • Additional shelters • Improved seating
20 (24%)	Yarra River open space/trail	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (6) • Events (2) • Recreation (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Conflicts between cyclists & pedestrians
18 (22%)	Southbank Promenade	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (3) • Close to home (2) • Relaxation (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Playground required • More open space • Conflicts between cyclists & pedestrians
11 (13%)	Birrarung Marr	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (3) • Playground (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional seats
11 (13%)	Federation Square	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Events (4) • Activities (2) • Cafes (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycle access/conflicts with pedestrians
10 (12%)	King's Domain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large open space (2) • Ambience/beauty (2) • Recreation (2) 	
9 (11%)	The Tan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (5) • Jogging/running (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Path surface needs improvement • Additional lighting
8 (10%)	Alexandra Gardens	Walking (2)	
Open space outside the local area			
8 (10%)	Bayside beaches	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (4) • Walking (2) 	
7 (8%)	Other outside the City of Melb	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog walking, recreation, skateboarding, travel through (1) 	
6 (7%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	Dog walking, exercising, trees, gardens, picnics (1)	

Types of open space used (top four)

93% Open space along Yarra River

88% Royal Botanic Gardens

78% Urban squares and plazas

75% Major gardens and Local streets for exercise

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

89% Walking paths

62% Open grassed areas

54% Cafe/Kiosk

43% Seats

Values (top four)

79% Trees

73% Quiet and peaceful

69% Place to relax and unwind
58% Being outside

General comments

- Additional open space is required.
- Conflicts between cyclists and pedestrians to be addressed.
- Incorporate roof gardens in all new developments.
- Additional safe cycling paths required to encourage people to cycle instead of driving cars.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A, a separate volume of this strategy.

7.8.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

7.8.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. Residential population growth figures are sourced from .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031 and the worker population growth figures are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011). The 2040 figures only are taken from the capacity figures included in the Southbank Structure Plan 2010.

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040*
Residential	13,107	19,025	21,085	23,282	74,000
Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040*
Worker	37,796	N/A	N/A	46,000	56,000

* Source: Southbank Structure Plan, 2010

The forecast future population growth is significant with an overall change in residential population between 2011 and 2026 of 78 per cent, with an additional 10,175 residents between 2011 and 2026. The worker population is forecast to increase by approximately 22 per cent, with an additional 8,204 additional workers between 2011 and 2026.

Demographics

An increase in age range diversity is forecast for Southbank/South Wharf, changing from the predominance of 20 - 24 year age range to an increase in the 0 - 14 and 65+ age ranges.

7.8.4b Population change impacts on open space

The additional quantity of people living and working in Southbank will place increased pressures and demands on the existing open space. The pressures will include increased volumes of people in the open space utilising the facilities, leading to overcrowding in open space, lack of access to existing facilities and deterioration in the quality of natural features such as grass areas due to compaction.

The forecast change in demographics will affect the type and design of open space in Southbank. Currently the younger population dominated by 20 to 24 year olds do not place high demands on a diversity of facilities and playgrounds and are generally utilising the informal facilities for walking, jogging, exercise and cycling. Young children and older adults are typically less mobile, with a more comfortable access range being within 200 to 300 metres of where they live, and without needing to cross major roads and arterials. This will likely create an increased demand and use of Local and Small Local open space, interspersed throughout the precinct, in addition to the existing linear open space along the Yarra River.

Additionally the demand for participation in structured sport and recreation is anticipated to increase with an increase in young families and place demand on need for additional Municipal open space.

7.8.4c Where change will occur

The forecast population increase in Southbank will be distributed over much of the area in large and small development sites. These are shown spatially by .id consulting as the basis for their residential population forecasting, and this is included in Figure 7.8~3.




FIGURE 7.8~3 Residential development sites in Southbank | South Wharf
Source: .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031

The forecast increased population will place additional demand on existing open spaces within the catchment along with generating the demand for new open space. The existing population will also use the new open space, and this has been considered in the recommendations and actions.

7.8.4d Open space distribution and future need in Southbank

Within the Southbank/South Wharf the major roads form barriers to safe and easy access to open space. Future open space provision and needs in Southbank have been analysed on the basis of the following:

- Safe and easy walking access to open space.
- Review the volume and demographic change of the forecast additional population in relation to the existing amount and design of open space.
- Identify opportunities for additional open space within the context of the anticipated locations for future development over the next 15 years as outlined in the existing Structure Plan and the development sites identified by .id consulting.
- Increase the amount of green spaces that incorporate water capture, storage and reuse within the space thereby contributing to urban heat island effect mitigation.

To assess this, Southbank has been divided into a series of sub-precincts based on walkability to open space. These are illustrated in Figure 7.8~4.




FIGURE 7.8~4 Open space sub-precinct diagram for Southbank | South Wharf

These sub-precincts are based on the ability of people working or living in the Southbank/South Wharf to easily reach open space. The household survey results confirm that residents are travelling outside Southbank to visit open space, and other than Southbank Boulevard, existing open spaces within Southbank are not well used. The key values people have for open space are the trees and a place to unwind and relax. The majority of open spaces in Southbank do not provide this due to either the high levels of use or the small size, dominance of vehicle movement and noise. A summary of open space provision in the open space sub-precincts is described in Table 7.8(iii)

Table 7.8 (iv) Southbank/South Wharf open space sub-precincts

The following analysis and conclusions are based on a realistic amount of open space that can be provided within the existing and future urban context. Ideally, if the open space planning principles similar to those of the Growth Areas Authority were applied to Southbank, it would result in a larger amount of open space identified to be required and would impact the other desired objectives and outcomes of the *Southbank Structure Plan 2010*.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
SB1	<p>This sub-precinct includes the river frontage in the vicinity of Southgate. Refer Fig 7.8~4 for extent. Open space located in this sub-precinct includes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Southbank Promenade • Queensbridge Square • Riverside Quay Reserve • Eureka Reserve <p>Three of the four open spaces are Capital City open space and are available for local community use, but predominantly designed for a high level of regional and international visitor use.</p> <p>Additionally, Alexandra Gardens is located immediately east of this sub-precinct, with some of it within the 400-metre catchment, accessed via the open space along the Yarra River under St Kilda Road.</p> <p>The land use includes cultural and entertainment precincts including Southgate and the Hamer Hall, along with other uses including business and residential.</p> <p>Three major redevelopment sites are located in this sub-precinct, as</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a need to provide a Small Local open space in the western end of the precinct in the vicinity of major development sites and beyond the 400-metre catchment to Alexandra Gardens. The design of this future open space is to include some green and natural features as a contrast to the urban spaces that are already provided in this precinct, and address urban heat island effect mitigation. The new Small Local open space is to be designed to meet the open space needs of both the existing and future population. • Design review of Eureka Reserve to introduce elements that cater to local use and mitigate urban heat.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	shown on Figure 7.8~4.	
SB2	<p>The Sturt Street cultural spine is located central to this precinct, with the Arts Centre and National Gallery of Victoria to the east and a range of mixed use to the west of Sturt Street. Currently there is no open space.</p> <p>One land major redevelopment site is located in the sub-precinct, as shown on Figure 7.8~4.</p> <p>As noted in the <i>Southbank Structure Plan 2010</i>, the Sturt Street Cultural spine including the closure of Sturt Street is included in the plan.</p> <p>This sub-precinct is within a 400 metre walking catchment of Domain Parklands.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Sturt Street Cultural spine open space project as shown in the Southbank Structure Plan (2010) is anticipated to occur and will be future Capital City open space that promotes the cultural precinct. The local population will use this future open space, however the design will be primarily for the high levels of use by a broader population.
SB3	<p>This sub-precinct is the Crown Entertainment Complex and Hotel. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Southbank Promenade West that extends along the Yarra River frontage with water features, tree planting seating and paved shared cycle and pedestrian access. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The existing open space is well used and will continue to provide access along the Yarra River for the local and broader community. No additional open space is proposed in this sub-precinct given that no key development sites are located here, and the area is wholly utilised as a hotel and entertainment facility.
SB4	<p>South Wharf is a separate area within Southbank. The sub-precinct includes the Melbourne Exhibition Centre, Melbourne Convention Centre, Hotels and other retail and residential uses. The existing open space is located along the Yarra River with the final extent of this subject to confirmation.</p> <p>One major development site is identified in this precinct, and this is located in Maritime Park.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a need for a Small Local open space in this sub-precinct, additional to Maritime Park and linear open space along the River, which is predominantly for regional users rather than providing facilities for the local community.
SB5	<p>This sub-precinct is defined on all boundaries by major roads and the existing population has no safe and easy access to open space Two major redevelopment sites are located in it.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide additional Local open space on the north side of this sub-precinct by reconfiguring Southbank Boulevard. The preference is to investigate locating traffic lanes on the north side of the central median to allow the central median to be

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
		<p>connected back to the footpath on the south side and provide a wider and more useable open space for the existing and future population.</p>
SB6	<p>As for SB5, the existing population has no safe and easy access to open space, and one large redevelopment site is located in it.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As for sub-precinct SB5, provide additional Local open space on the north side of this sub-precinct by reconfiguring Southbank Boulevard. The preference is to investigate locating traffic lanes on the north side of the central median to allow the central median to be connected back to the footpath on the south side and provide a wider and more useable open space for the existing and future population.
SB7	<p>This sub-precinct includes the Victorian College of the Arts and Victoria Barracks. There are three existing open spaces including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Malthouse Plaza Miles & Dodds Street Park Grant Street Reserve <p>Malthouse Plaza is the forecourt to the Australian Centre for Contemporary Art, and is also associated with the Malthouse Theatre to the south, and is therefore categorised as a Capital City open space. The other two open spaces are designed primarily for the local population, however, they do not appear to be well used.</p> <p>Three redevelopment sites are located in the south of this precinct on Dorcas Street.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review the design of Grant Street Reserve in the future to introduce additional shade and diversity of facilities to meet the changing demographics. Investigate the potential to expand the size of Miles and Dodds Street Park to a Local open space size to improve its design and value to better cater to local recreational use and contribution to urban heat island effect mitigation. Alternatively establish a new Local open space in the south of this sub-precinct to cater for both the existing and new population, if this existing open space cannot be expanded. The Victorian College of the Arts (VCA) and Victoria Barracks are two large sites with the potential to redevelop in the future, and present the opportunity to achieve a Neighbourhood sized open space to meet the needs of both the future and existing population in Southbank. Neither of these sites are identified as major redevelopment sites within the timeframe of this Strategy, however the should the opportunity arise that both or either are redeveloped, then a Neighbourhood sized open space land parcel is to be negotiated as part of the redevelopment.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
SB8	<p>This sub-precinct is located south of Whiteman Street, with a combination of mixed land use including a major Hotel located west of Queens Bridge Street and a combination of business and residential uses to the east between Queens Bridge Street and City Road.</p> <p>The sub-precinct lacks any open space and there is one major redevelopment site identified east of Queens Bridge Street.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Small Local open space is required in this sub-precinct, preferably east of Queens Bridge Street to provide a green space for the existing and future population.
SB9	<p>Located south east of City Road this sub-precinct extends to the West Gate Freeway/CityLink tunnel entry. The mixed land use includes business and residential, with the former Boyd School site located in this precinct. This school site is to be redeveloped by the City of Melbourne. Two other major development sites are identified in this sub-precinct.</p> <p>Currently there is no open space in this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The former school site, referred to as the Boyd School site offers an opportunity to develop a Neighbourhood open space if this site is combined with part of the adjoining road reserves. This open space will cater to the existing and future population, who currently have no safe access to any open space and are more than 500 metres from the Yarra River and the Domain Parklands open space. There is also potential in the longer term that the population in sub-precinct SB8 could also access this Neighbourhood open space relatively easily if the improvements for City Road as described in the <i>Southbank Structure Plan 2010</i> are implemented.
SB10	<p>This mixed-use precinct is located between Kings Way and Clarendon Street includes predominantly residential and business uses. The closest open space for people in this sub-precinct is Southbank Promenade, however they need to cross Whiteman Street to access it.</p> <p>One major development site is located in this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An additional Small Local open space is required to provide some open space within safe and easy access for the existing and future population.
SB11	<p>Located between City Road and the Westgate Freeway this small sub-precinct has three major redevelopment sites. Existing open space includes:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An additional Small Local open space is required, located away from major roads and overpasses, to provide an inviting green open space with good sunlight access as a contrast to the

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kingsway & Moray Street Reserve <p>The existing open space is located under Kings Way and is not a useable area of open space.</p>	<p>urban form and character. This is required for both the existing and future population and to assist mitigate urban heat.</p>
SB12	<p>Directly adjoining South Wharf, Normanby Road defines the northern boundary and this road and light rail reserve provides the only open space in the sub-precinct. There are three major redevelopment sites proposed in the sub-precinct and two existing open spaces as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Normanby Road Reserve • Railway Revegetation Area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the configuration and design of the existing open space to increase its recreational use and value, along with mitigation of urban heat island. This is consistent with recommendations in the <i>Southbank Plan (2006)</i>, which identified this as a key open space improvement project. Improving the function and use of this open space is required for both the existing and future population in this precinct. The linear connectivity function this open space currently provides will be retained in any future design
SB13	<p>Located between the Westgate Freeway and the off-ramp, the land use is predominantly retail with no open space and no key redevelopment sites. The <i>Southbank Structure Plan 2010</i> identifies the potential future deck over the CityLink tunnel entrance and redevelopment of this sub-precinct, however this is not forecast to occur within the timeframe of this Open Space Strategy. Any future increase in population will change the open space needs in this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant increase in population is likely to occur in this sub-precinct between 2011 and 2026 based on the exiting forecasts. The existing population from this precinct will continue to access Sturt Street Reserve immediately south of the off-ramp. • In the longer term, if the feasibility study of the proposed decking of the CityLink tunnel entrance occurs and increased development in this sub-precinct occurs, then additional Municipal open space will be required to cater to the existing proposed new population.
SB14	<p>Located between the Kings Way Westgate Freeway off-ramp and Sturt Street, this sub-precinct has no key redevelopment sites proposed. Sturt Street Reserve is located adjacent to Kings Way, which has been recently redesigned and upgraded. The <i>Southbank Structure Plan 2010</i> identifies the potential future deck over the CityLink tunnel entrance and redevelopment of this</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The existing population in this sub-precinct will continue to use Sturt Street Reserve. • Any major redevelopment within this sub-precinct will increase demand and use of open space, and create need for additional open space to be provided towards the north of the sub-precinct and located away from major roads. This open space is not included in this strategy as it is anticipated to occur beyond 2026.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	sub-precinct, however this is not forecast to occur within the timeframe of this Open Space Strategy. Any future increase in population will change the open space needs in this sub-precinct.	

7.8.5 Southbank/South Wharf Actions

7.8.5a Summary of overall intent

Provision and distribution

There is a connected system of open space along the Yarra River frontage that is well established and used, however the broader area of Southbank behind both the River and St Kilda Road lacks open space. Southbank/South Wharf will continue to develop over the 15-year timeframe of this Strategy and therefore additional open space is required to better meet the open space needs of the existing population, the future forecast population, amenity relief provided by green spaces and assist mitigate urban heat. The proposed additional open space provides a supportive network of open space for this expanding community with additional Municipal, Neighbourhood and Local open spaces that are primarily for the Southbank/South Wharf population rather than visitors to the precinct. These recommendations have been prepared within the context of the Capital City open space network expansion identified in the *Southbank Structure Plan 2010* and *Southbank Plan 2006*.

Quality and design

There is potential to improve the recreational quality and diversity of facilities in some of the existing open space in Southbank. The additional open space can be designed to provide a diversity of facilities for the existing and future population and contribute positively to urban heat island effect mitigation and environmental quality.

Refer to Figure 7.8~5 for illustrated recommendations on the following page.




Figure 7.8~5 Summary of strategy recommendations for Southbank | South Wharf *

* Refer also to page 26 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 7.8~5 and photos.

7.8.5b Precinct Actions for Southbank | South Wharf

A Additional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.8A-1	Provide an additional Small Local Open space in the western end of sub-precinct SB1 primarily for the	CoM, Developer	Very High

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
	existing and also for the future population.		
7.8A-2	Support the development of the Sturt Street Cultural Spine open space as recommended in the Southbank Structure Plan 2010.	DPCD, CoM	High
7.8A-3	Provide an additional Small Local open space in sub-precinct SB4 for both the existing and future population.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.8A-4	Provide an additional Local open space on the north side of sub-precinct SB5 by reconfiguring Southbank Boulevard to provide for both the existing and future population.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.8A-5	Provide additional Local open space on the north side of sub-precinct SB6 by reconfiguring Southbank Boulevard to provide for both the existing and future population.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.8A-6	Investigate the potential to expand the size of Miles and Dodds Street Park to increase it to a Local open space size and undertake a major upgrade to better cater to the recreational needs of sub-precinct SB7.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.8A-7	Long-term opportunity to seek a Neighbourhood open space in either the VCA or Victoria Barracks sites if these redevelop within the Strategy timeframe. Note: the costs for this project have not been included in this strategy, as it is not forecast to occur within the current timeframe.	DPCD, CoM	Ongoing
7.8A-8	Provide additional Small Local open space in sub-precinct SB8, preferably east of Queens Bridge Street for the existing and future population.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.8A-9	Establish a Neighbourhood open space at the former Boyd School Site in sub-precinct SB9 for both the existing and future population.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.8A-10	Provide an additional Small Local open space in sub-precinct SB10 for both the existing and future population.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.8A-11	Provide an additional Small Local open space in sub-precinct SB11 for both the existing and future population.	CoM, Developer	Very High
7.8A-12	In the long-term, if proposed decking of the CityLink tunnel occurs, review the need for open space in sub-precincts SB13 and SB14.	CoM	Long-term
7.8A-13	In the long-term, if proposed decking of the CityLink tunnel occurs, provide Capital City open space, which can potentially share the same space as the proposed Municipal open space described in 7.8A-14.	CoM, DSE, Developer	Low

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.8A-14	In the long-term, if proposed decking of the CityLink tunnel occurs, provide Municipal open space, which can potentially share the same space as the proposed Capital City open space described in 7.8A-13. This is to provide organised sport and informal recreation facilities for the existing and future population of Southbank.	CoM, DSE, Developer	Low

B Additional open space links
Not applicable

C Capital City open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.8C-1	Malthouse Plaza Continue to maintain this open space as the forecourt to the Australian Centre for Contemporary Art.	CoM	Ongoing
7.8C-2	Queensbridge Square Continue to maintain for Capital City purposes.	CoM	Ongoing
7.8C-3	Riverside Quay Reserve Undertake minor upgrade including investigation into opportunities to improve community use and increase greening of this open space.	CoM	High
7.8C-4	Southbank Promenade Continue to maintain for Capital City purposes, with minor upgrade of facilities for local community use where appropriate, once alternative commuter cycling routes have been established along City Road as per the Southbank Structure Plan 2010 recommendations.	CoM	Medium
7.8C-5	Southbank Promenade West Continue to maintain for Capital City purposes.	CoM	Ongoing

D Regional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.8D-1	Maritime Park Continue to retain access along the Yarra River through this open space. Additional recommendations may be required subject to further site visits.	CoM	Ongoing

E Municipal open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.8E-1	<p>Normanby Road Reserve</p> <p>Investigate the potential to redesign Normanby Road and Whiteman Road reserves to establish an improved configuration of useable open space to provide a diversity of recreational facilities and improved urban heat island effect mitigation. This is consistent with the open space project outlined in the Southbank Plan (DSE, 2006), and is for both the existing and future population. This includes the Railway Revegetation Area open space.</p>	CoM	Medium

F Neighbourhood open space

Not applicable

G Local open space


No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.8G-1	<p>Grant Street Reserve</p> <p>Review the design to introduce additional shade and diversity of facilities to meet the changing demographics.</p>	CoM	Medium
7.8G-2	<p>Sturt Street Reserve</p> <p>Continue to maintain and upgrade facilities as the population increases to meet increased levels of use.</p>	CoM	Low

H Small Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.8H-1	<p>Eureka Reserve</p> <p>Review design to include facilities for local community use and urban heat island effect mitigation.</p>	CoM	High
7.8H-2	<p>Miles and Dodds Street Reserve</p> <p>Refer to Action 7.8A-6 regarding expansion of this existing open space to make it more useable.</p>	Refer 7.8A-6	Refer 7.8A-6
7.8H-3	<p>Railway Revegetation Area</p> <p>Refer to Action 7.8E-1 regarding reconfiguration of open space in the vicinity of Whiteman Street and Normanby Road.</p>	Refer 7.8E-1	Refer 7.8E-1

J Small Local Link

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.8J-1	City Road Park Continue to maintain and upgrade as part of the City Road streetscape upgrade works when these occur, as outlined in the Southbank Structure Plan 2010.	CoM	Medium
7.8J-2	Kingsway & Moray Street Reserve Review the need for this open space when a new Small Local open space is established in sub-precinct SB11 (refer Action 7.8A-11).	CoM	Ongoing



7.9 West Melbourne

7.9.1 Overview

West Melbourne extends from the western end of the Melbourne 3000 central city to the Maribyrnong River and south to the northern bank of the Yarra River. A large proportion of this area is dedicated to port and railway related activities, with large areas not accessible to the public, particularly Coode Island located south of Footscray Road, currently owned and operated by the Port of Melbourne Authority with Appleton and Swanston Docks.

West Melbourne was originally low lying swamps with the Yarra River flowing into the Maribyrnong north of its current alignment. During the 1880s a channel was dug to shorten and deepen the Yarra River with its original alignment to the north (through the current West Melbourne) filled in over the subsequent years. This allowed a more direct shipping route that was more easily navigated along the Yarra River. The land known as Coode Island was used for a range of uses over its history including as an animal quarantine station, as an aircraft supply factory and aerodrome until after WWII. In 1960 petrochemicals began being stored at the site along with the expansion of port related activities. Coode Island will not be assessed for provision of open space given the current land use and management outside the responsibilities of the City of Melbourne.

To the north of Coode Island, between Footscray Road and Dynon Road, the land uses are port related with container terminal and storage, along with other major industrial and warehouse uses including the site of the Footscray Market. Further north between Dynon Road and the Werribee Railway Line industrial land use continues including the frontage to the Maribyrnong River. This area is included in the Dynon urban renewal area, as shown in the draft revised Municipal Strategic Statement.

East of CityLink, West Melbourne is a combination of railway land use and an established urban area with a diverse mix of residential and other land uses. A number of former warehouses are undergoing conversions to residential and business uses. This area is within 1 kilometre of the Hoddle grid and has good access and transport connections to it.

The railway land extending west of North Melbourne railway station to Moonee Ponds Creek is a major urban renewal area referred to as E-Gate. This is

Victorian government owned land, and is currently the subject of proposals for mixed use redevelopment.

The City of Melbourne draft revised Municipal Strategic Statement outlines the vision for future growth in West Melbourne and includes the Dynon urban renewal area and E-Gate.

7.9.2 Existing open space




Figure 7.9~1a Existing open space in West Melbourne (west of CityLink)




Figure 7.9~1b Existing open space in West Melbourne (east of CityLink)

7.9.2a Open space quantity, hierarchy and character

There are 15 existing open spaces in West Melbourne that combined total 14.5ha in area. This represents approximately 2.3 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 2.6 per cent of the total open space in the municipality.

Table 7.9(i) Number, size and type of open space

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
4	Regional	10.79	74%
2	Municipal	2.76	19%
1	Local	0.51	4%
8	Small Local	0.51	3%
54	Totals	14.56	100%

Open space in West Melbourne is located around the existing mixed use area east of the North Melbourne railway station with Flagstaff Gardens being the major area of open space and a system of other Local and Small Local open spaces. Flagstaff Gardens is one of the earliest gardens established in Melbourne and contains a diversity of facilities appealing to regional visitors and the local community. Hawke and Adderley Street Park is the most popular smaller park primarily for dog walking, playground and meeting people. Whilst physically smaller than Eades Park, it is located away from major roads and provides a more relaxing ambience protected from traffic noise. Nearly half of the respondents to the strategy household survey identified there is a need for additional open space in West Melbourne.

The other main areas of open space are located in the west of the precinct adjacent to the Maribyrnong River and the regional linear trail link along Dynon Road. Additionally, a site called the Wildlife Sanctuary is located west the west of the depot/transfer station on Dynon Road and is a series of wetlands with established native vegetation that is primarily for environmental conservation purposes. The linear open space along the Maribyrnong River is popular for walking, cycling and fishing and is likely to be mainly used by the worker population and residents in Kensington, as the survey outcomes from West Melbourne do not identify the Maribyrnong River as a well used open space. The Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve extends south to Footscray Road and does not continue south into the Port of Melbourne land.

While the open space reserve along the Maribyrnong River is narrow in width, it does provide views across the river and connectivity to footbridges to the west making the open space on the west side of the river accessible. The river is a major landscape and recreational feature that has the potential to have an increased recreational focus in the future.

Table 7.9(ii) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification

Open space	Hierarchy	Primary character classification	Secondary character classification	AREA (m2)
DYNON ROAD RESERVE	Regional	Significant road reservation	Linear	20,817
EADES PARK	Local	Informal use	Linking space, Significant road reservation	5,127
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS	Regional	Heritage	Recreation, Restricted sport and recreation, Informal use, Events	73,350
HAWKE & ADDERLEY STREET PARK	Small Local	Informal use		812
HAWKE & CURZON STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Informal use	Significant road reservation	1,408
HAWKE & KING STREET RESERVE	Small Local Link	Significant road reservation	Linking space, Informal use	596
HOWARD AND WILLIAMS STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation	Linking space	253
KING & VICTORIA STREET RESERVE	Small Local Link	Significant road reservation		507
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE	Regional	Waterway	Linear, Nature conservation	10,993
MOONEE PONDS CREEK	Regional	Waterway	Linear, Significant road reservation, Service easement	4,789

Table 7.9(ii) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification cont'd.

Open space	Hierarchy	Primary character classification	Secondary character classification	AREA (m2)
RAILWAY & MILLER STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation		1,110
RAILWAY VIEWING PLATFORM	Small Local Link	Seating/viewing		110
SHEPHERD BRIDGE RESERVE	Regional	Waterway	Linear, Significant road reservation	2,705
SPENCER & DRYBURGH STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Service easement	Linking space	273
WILDLIFE SANCTUARY (DEPOT)	Municipal	Nature conservation	Service easement	22,790

7.9.2b Distribution

The distribution of open space is largely either located along the Maribyrnong River or to the eastern area of West Melbourne. There is no residential population west of the railway and therefore the open space in the west is being used primarily by regional visitors, workers and residents from Kensington. The major roads in the eastern part of the precinct make safe and easy access to existing open space difficult and is likely to correlate with the strategy household survey outcome in which a number of respondents requested additional open space.




Figure 7.9~2a Gap analysis for West Melbourne (west of CityLink)




Figure 7.9~2b Gap analysis for West Melbourne (east of CityLink)

7.9.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

West Melbourne east of the North Melbourne railway station has some wider road reserves that do provide some ancillary open space function, particularly for walking and these are noted in the strategy household survey outcomes, in Section 7.9.3. The grade change along the railways, coupled with the industrial nature of the western area of the precinct make these currently unsuitable for use as ancillary open space.

7.9.3 Existing West Melbourne community

7.9.3a Who lives and works in West Melbourne?

West Melbourne has a variety of land uses with mixed use east of the North Melbourne railway line and mainly port related industrial land use to the west. The residential population in the east is dominated by young adults, which currently includes people in the 25 to 29 year age category.

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 3,820 (*Source: .id Consulting population forecasts 2011*)
- Existing worker population 2011: 15,587 (*Source: Census of Land Use and Employment, 2010*)

Currently, there are approximately four times as many workers as there are residents in West Melbourne.

Demographics

The demographics are only available for the existing residential population and not the worker population. The most numerous age group for West Melbourne is young adults, particularly 20 to 29 years of age, with a dominance of household types being couples without dependents, followed by lone person households and group households, with a lower proportion of family household types.

7.9.3b How existing residents currently use open space

A total of 42 household surveys were received from residents of West Melbourne that represents 2 percent of the total number of surveys received. This is less than the proportion of West Melbourne residents in the City of Melbourne population, which is 4 percent. During the second phase of consultation on the Draft Strategy the most requests for additional open space of all precincts came from West Melbourne.

Table 7.9(iii) Most frequently visited open space reserves

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
28 (67%)	Flagstaff Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel through (8) • Close to home (4) • Exercising (4) • Playground and equipment (4) • Walking (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • More seats • No bicycles
9 (22%)	Hawke & Adderley Street Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog walking (4) • Playing with children (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More dog drinking facilities
8 (19%)	Docklands	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More open space

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
7 (17%)	Eades Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog walking • Family friendly/child friendly • Playground and equipment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Personal safety concern • More sporting facilities • Additional seats
7 (17%)	Hawke & Curzon Street Reserve	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel through (3) • Dog walking (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More basketball rings and playgrounds • More native vegetation
7 (17%)	Royal Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog walking (3) • Exercising (3) • Walking (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional dog off-lead areas • Improve grass areas • No further loss to development
Open space outside the local area			
12 (29%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gardens (3) • Large open space (3) • Ambience, beauty (2) • Picnics (2) • Recreation (2) • Trees (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More cafés/kiosks • Additional carparks • Connecting cycle paths
6 (14%)	Fitzroy Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambience, beauty (2) • Playground and equipment (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional carparking • Homeless people a concern
4 (10%)	Carlton Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (2) 	

Types of open space used (top four)

88% Open space along Yarra River

88% Major gardens

88% Local streets for exercise

86% Royal Botanic Gardens

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

93% Walking paths

71% Open grassed areas

50% Drinking fountains

48% Seats

Values (top four)

83% Trees

67% Quiet and peaceful

64% Place to relax and unwind

62% Just being outside

General comments

- Additional open space is required in the West Melbourne area.
- Open space is important as densities increase.
- Value the high level of maintenance of parks.
- Storm water harvesting for public gardens.
- Reduce vehicle congestion.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A, a separate volume of this strategy.

7.9.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

7.9.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. Residential population growth figures are sourced from .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031 and the worker population growth figures are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011).

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Residential	3,820	4,200	6,012	9,400	N/A
Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Worker	15,587	N/A	N/A	19,742	N/A

The forecast future population growth is significant with an overall change in residential population between 2011 and 2026 of 146 per cent over the existing population, with an additional 5,579 residents between 2011 and 2026. The worker population is forecast to increase by 4,155 between 2011 and 2026 representing a 27% change.

Demographics

An increase in young people from 15 to 24 years is forecast for West Melbourne, with a corresponding increase in lone person households, and a slight decline in the middle age groups from 30 to 64. It is important to consider, however, that while there will be an overall increase in population across all age groups given the substantial nature of the change.

7.9.4b Population change impacts on open space

The additional quantity of people living and working in West Melbourne will place increased pressure and demand on the existing open space. The pressure will include increased volumes of people in the open space utilising the facilities, leading to overcrowding in open space, lack of access to existing facilities and deterioration in the quality of natural features such as grass areas due to compaction.

The forecast change in demographics will have an influence on the type and design of open space in West Melbourne. The increase in young adults living in lone household dwellings suggests that they will have less private open space,

and will increasingly rely on open space as a means of social contact and participation in sport and informal recreation. This will likely create an increased demand and use of open space interspersed throughout the precinct, and linear connections to provide for activities such as jogging, walking and cycling.

7.9.4c Where change will occur

The forecast residential population increase in West Melbourne will be located east of Moonee Ponds Creek in E-Gate and the distributed through the existing mixed use area. These are shown spatially by .id consulting as the basis for the City of Melbourne residential population forecasting, and this is included in Figure 7.9~4 below.




FIGURE 7.9~4 Residential development sites in West Melbourne
Source: .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031

Other than the open space along the Maribyrnong River, the existing open space in West Melbourne (Dynon) is not designed for the local population to use this for a diverse range of uses. Future open space will need to be considered and provided in this the west area of West Melbourne to better cater for the existing and future worker population.

The forecast increased population in the east part of West Melbourne will place additional demand on existing open spaces within the catchment along with generating the demand for new open space. The existing population will also use the new open space, and this has been considered in the recommendations and actions.

7.9.4c Open space distribution and future need in West Melbourne

Within the West Melbourne the major roads form barriers to safe and easy access to open space. Future open space provision and needs in West Melbourne have been analysed differently for the east and west on the following basis:

West Melbourne (existing mixed use precinct)

- Safe and easy walking access to open space.
- Review the volume and demographic change of the forecast additional population in relation to the existing amount and design of open space.
- Identify opportunities for additional open space within the context of the anticipated locations for future development over the next 15 years as outlined in the development sites identified by .id consulting.
- Increase the amount of green spaces that incorporate water capture, storage and reuse within the space thereby contributing to urban heat island effect mitigation, particularly in the major redevelopment site of E-Gate.

West Melbourne (future Dynon urban renewal area)

- Reasonable access to open space (within 500 metres) of workplace.
- Provide municipal open space for the increase in population in the Arden Macaulay urban renewal area and the City North urban renewal area.
- Identify opportunities for additional open space within the context of the anticipated locations for future development over the next 15 years to guide future structure plans for the Dynon urban renewal area.
- Increase the amount of green spaces that incorporate water capture, storage and reuse within the space thereby contributing to urban heat island effect mitigation.

To assess this, West Melbourne has been divided into two major precincts, with further sub-precincts based on accessibility to open space. These are illustrated on Figures 7.9~5 and 7.9~6.



FIGURE 7.9-4 Open space sub-precinct diagram for West Melbourne

Table 7.9 (iv) E-Gate open space sub-precinct

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
EG1	<p>This sub-precinct is known as the E-Gate site and extends from the CityLink in the west, to Dynon Road in the north, Footscray Road in the south and North Melbourne railway in the east. Existing open space is located along the Moonee Ponds Creek, accessible via an existing shared trail on the east side of the creek. In this sub-precinct CityLink is located up to 50 metres west of Moonee Ponds Creek, reducing the overshadowing impacts of the elevated freeway at this location. Both banks of Moonee Ponds Creek are open to the sky with potential to support indigenous vegetation.</p> <p>The remaining land is dedicated to railway and tramway use with a significant change in grade from the existing urban area east of the North Melbourne railway.</p> <p>This site is an urban renewal area with an eventual 2,000 dwellings proposed for the site up to 2029. This will equate to an approximate future residential population of over 4,000 people.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is potential for a significant open space to be established at this site to benefit both the local and broader population in the western area of the municipality. It is recommended that a Capital City open space be established adjacent to Moonee Ponds Creek, of a size and design capable of holding major events and contributing to the future core character and values of the urban renewal areas in the north west. This Capital City open space can be connected to the north and south via Moonee Ponds Creek shared trail along with other key on-road cycle and pedestrian connections from Harbour Esplanade in Docklands north along Footscray Road to the site. • New Municipal open space is to be established in this site to cater to the future population at E-Gate and also in the Melbourne 3000 central city, Docklands and solve demand from Arden Macaulay urban renewal area. • Linear graded linking space from North Melbourne station to the future Capital City open space, preferably achieved as open space, or with dedicated pedestrian and cycle access via a wide boulevard treatment. • Two Small Local open spaces located centrally in the development and towards the south, accessible via residential street network, but not located on any major roads, so they provide respite from traffic noise and activity.

Table 7.9 (v) West Melbourne open space sub-precincts

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
WM1	<p>This sub-precinct adjoins the North Melbourne railway and future E-Gate site (EG1). It is defined to the north-east by Spencer Street, the west by North Melbourne railway and the south by Dudley Street. The northern part is included in a heritage overlay and it is therefore anticipated future change will be in the southern area of this sub-precinct. All existing open space is Small Local open space formed from street closures and include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hawke & Adderley St Park • Spencer and Dryburgh St Reserve • Railway & Miller Reserve <p>Two of the three reserves are dominated by busy traffic and provide some visual contribution but are not very useable. Hawke & Adderley Street Park is the only open space in this sub-precinct that is designed for use.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide an additional Local open space to the south of the precinct to cater to the existing and future population. This could potentially utilise part of one of the larger road reserves to create the Local open space. The location will need to be away from major roads to provide for sense of protection and refuge away from traffic flow.
WM2	<p>This small sub-precinct south of Victoria Street and included in a heritage overlay and is not anticipated to experience significant future change.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the design of Hawke & Curzon Street Reserve including investigation into increasing its size, along with upgrade to diversify its function and use.
WM3	<p>This small sub-precinct is located between Spencer and King Streets. The road layout does not encourage internal access away from major roads.</p> <p>Currently there is no open space in this sub-precinct, however, it is located close to Flagstaff Gardens, and opposite Eades Park.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to improve the existing open spaces in the surrounding sub-precincts to provide for the existing and future population in this sub-precinct.
WM4	<p>This sub-precinct is located south of Victoria Street extending from Hawke Street in the west to William Street. The sub-precinct is included in a heritage overlay and therefore future change is assumed to be minimal. The existing open spaces include:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve the design of Eades Park, investigating options to address traffic noise to improve its function and use for existing residents and the forecast future population, particularly from sub-precinct WM3. • Investigate increasing the size of Howard & William Street Reserve by

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eades Park • Hawke & King Street Reserve • Howard & William Street Reserve <p>Eades Park and Hawke & King Street Reserve are both located on major arterial roads, and while they have an important role in the character of the precinct, the traffic noise and impact reduces their function for informal use.</p>	<p>reconfiguring the road reserve to increase function and use. This reserve is in a smaller street and is not as impacted by traffic noise.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to maintain Hawke & King Street Reserve as predominantly a visual green space.
WM5	<p>Located south of Dudley Street and Spencer Street this sub-precinct is bordered by major roads including Latrobe Street to the south, Spencer Street to the east, Dudley Street to the north and Adderley Street in the west. The sub-precinct includes the Remand Centre and other business uses. There is currently no open space.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investigate the potential to establish a Small Local open space utilising part of the large road reserve such as Batman Street to create an open space primarily for the worker population. Any future open space should not directly face the major roads, to provide some sense of protection from traffic flow and noise. This future open space has the potential to integrate water quality treatment and re-use including contributing to urban heat island effect mitigation.
WM6	<p>This sub-precinct is located opposite Flagstaff Gardens and is bordered by major roads including King Street that separates the precinct from Flagstaff Gardens. This area is already intensively developed.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investigate the potential to establish a Small Local open space utilising part of the large road reserve in Batman Street to create an open space. This future open space has the potential to integrate water quality treatment and re-use including contributing to urban heat island effect mitigation.

Table 7.9 (v) Dynon urban renewal area open space sub-precincts

Refer also to Kensington for recommendations regarding the Dudley urban renewal area north of the South Kensington Station and Werribee Railway line.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
WD1	<p>Directly adjoining the Maribyrnong River, this sub-precinct extends east to Kensington Road and south of Dynon Road. The land use is industrial and existing open space includes the Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve that is a narrow linear open space providing trail</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In future redevelopment of these sites, achieve additional width of open space to provide more space to increase the riparian zone and the diversity of recreational opportunities. • Future development to face the waterway providing passive

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>access along the river. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve • Shepherd Bridge Reserve • Wildlife Sanctuary (Depot) <p>The first two open spaces directly adjoin the Maribyrnong River and provide linear trail access to the north along the waterway. The Wildlife Sanctuary (Depot) is located east of the River and is currently a system of wetlands that are associated with the Depot/Transfer station.</p>	<p>surveillance and improved interface to the waterway corridor.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retain the Wildlife Sanctuary (Depot) site, and if there is substantial increase in worker population in this precinct, consider integrating improved public access into this reserve. In the interim, manage this open space primarily for its environmental values.
WD2	<p>This sub-precinct is located north of Dynon Road to the Werribee railway line and includes the Melbourne Freight Terminal. There is no open space located in this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Future redevelopment as part of the Dynon urban renewal, land is to be set aside land to establish a new Municipal open space to provide the structured and unstructured sport and recreational needs of the forecast population in Arden Macaulay and City North urban renewal areas along with the Dynon urban renewal area. • Pending future size of urban redevelopment of Dynon, consider provision of at least a Local open space for the future population in this area.

7.9.5 West Melbourne Actions

7.9.5a Summary of overall intent

Provision and distribution

West Melbourne will continue to develop over the 15-year timeframe of this strategy. Flagstaff Gardens will continue to be a major open space destination for the existing and future population of West Melbourne. The proposed Capital City open space in the E-Gate area will become a destination in the future. The proposed municipal open space in E-Gate and Dynon urban renewal areas will provide for the structured and unstructured sport and recreational needs of the forecast populations in these areas and also in the Arden Macaulay and City North urban renewal areas. The supporting local open space network is to be improved and expanded to provide accessible open space without the need to cross major roads to reach it. This local open space network will also assist with urban heat island mitigation/climate change adaptation.

In the Dynon urban renewal area the focus will be on providing some accessible green spaces for workers to utilise during breaks and before and after work. The linear open space corridor along the Maribyrnong River is to be strengthened by increasing the width of this corridor to provide for a greater diversity of uses and improve the interface to the adjoining land use including passive surveillance of the open space.

Quality and design

There is potential to improve the recreational quality and diversity of facilities in some of the existing Local and Small Local open spaces in West Melbourne, while continuing to upgrade and protect Flagstaff Gardens to meet its regional role as well as supporting neighbourhood uses as well. The additional open space is to be designed to provide a diversity of facilities for the existing and future population and contribute positively to urban heat island effect mitigation and environmental quality. The open space along Moonee Ponds Creek and the Maribyrnong River both lend themselves well to improved environmental and natural values and qualities.




Figure 7.9-5 Summary of strategy recommendations for West Melbourne *

* Refer also to pages 27 and 28 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 7.9-5 and photos.

7.9.5b Precinct Actions for West Melbourne

A Additional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.9A-1	Establish a Capital City open space adjacent to Moonee Ponds Creek in the E-Gate site capable of holding major events and designed to contribute the core character and values of the urban renewal areas in the north-west Melbourne. Refer to conclusions in sub-precinct EG1.	CoM, DSE, DPCD	Very High
7.9A-2	Establish a new Municipal open space adjacent to Moonee Ponds Creek in the E-Gate site capable of providing organised sports facilities including sports fields to cater to E-Gate, Docklands and Arden-Macaulay.	CoM, DSE, DPCD	Very High
7.9A-3	Establish a new Municipal open space in the Dynon urban renewal area capable of providing organised sports facilities including sports fields to cater primarily to the new population in Arden-Macaulay, and any future population in the Dynon Rail corridor.	Council, DSE, DPCD	Very High
7.9A-4	Establish an additional Small Local open space towards the south of the E-Gate site, accessible via the residential street network to provide for the forecast future local population in addition to the Capital City and Municipal open space in EG1.	CoM, DSE	High
7.9A-5	Provide an additional Local open space in the south of sub-precinct WM1 to provide for the existing and future population.	CoM, Developer	High
7.9A-6	Investigate increasing the size of Howard & William Street Reserve by reconfiguring the road reserve to provide primarily for the existing population and the forecast future population in sub-precinct WM4. Refer also to Action 7.9H-7.	CoM, Developer	Medium
7.9A-7	Negotiate in future redevelopment of the sites adjacent to the Maribyrnong River in sub-precinct DY1 to achieve additional width of open space to improve environmental and recreational values of this corridor. Interface to the corridor to be improve access and passive surveillance, consistent with the Maribyrnong River Valley Design Guidelines 2010 or any similar guidelines that replace these.	CoM, Developer, DSE	Medium
7.9A-9	Establish two Local open spaces as a minimum in sub-precinct WM1 and WM2 to provide for future worker population in the future redevelopment of this precinct as part of the Dynon urban renewal area.	CoM, Developer	Medium
7.9A-10	Negotiate in future redevelopment of the sites adjacent to the Maribyrnong River in sub-precinct WMI to achieve additional width of open space to	CoM, Developer, DSE	Low

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
	improve environmental and recreational values of this corridor. Interface to the corridor to be improve access and passive surveillance, consistent with the Maribyrnong River Valley Design Guidelines 2010 or any similar guidelines that replace these.		
7.9A-11	Establish a new Small Local open space utilising part of Batman Street or similar to provide for the existing and future population and to assist with urban heat island effect mitigation in sub-precinct WM5.	CoM, Developer	Medium
7.9A-12	Establish a new Small Local open space utilising part of Batman Street or similar to provide for the existing and future population and to assist with urban heat island effect mitigation in sub-precinct WM6.	CoM, Developer	Medium

B Additional open space links

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.9B-1	Continue to improve the Dynon Road linear open space corridor link including future safe connections into redeveloped industrial sites to encourage cycling access for workers to the Dynon urban renewal area.	CoM, DSE	Medium

C Capital City open space

Not applicable

D Regional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.9D-1	Dynon Road Reserve Continue to maintain as a regional linear trail connection through West Melbourne to the Maribyrnong River shared trail.	CoM	Ongoing
7.9D-2	Flagstaff Gardens Continue to implement existing Master Plan prepared for the Gardens.	CoM	Ongoing
7.9D-3	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve Investigate increasing the width of this open space corridor as per Action 7.9A-7.	CoM	Ongoing

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.9D-4	Moonee Ponds Creek Continue to improve the riparian zone where appropriate, and maintain the shared trail. Refer to Action 7.9A-1 regarding additional Capital City open space adjoining Moonee Ponds Creek in West Melbourne.	CoM, (MW)	Ongoing
7.9D-5	Shepherd Bridge Reserve Continue to maintain the trail and improve the landscape character consistent with the Maribyrnong River Design Guidelines 2010 or similar.	CoM	Ongoing

E Municipal open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.9E-1	Wildlife Sanctuary (Depot) Continue to maintain and manage for nature conservation and environmental improvement values.	CoM,	Low

F Neighbourhood open space

Not applicable

G Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.9G-1	Eades Park Upgrade this open space to improve the design and use of this reserve to better cater to the needs of the local population, including options to reduce the impact on traffic movement and noise from King Street on the open space.	CoM	Medium

H Small Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.9H-1	Hawke & Adderley Street Park Investigate road closure to expand this park to improve its function, design and use.	CoM	Very High
7.9H-2	Hawke & Curzon Street Reserve Investigate options to increase the useability and function of this open space while retaining the urban character of the adjoining area.	CoM	High

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.9H-3	Howard & Williams Street Reserve Prepare a Landscape Design Plan for this open space, investigating the potential to expand the size of this reserve utilising the existing road reserve providing some informal open space facilities in this sub-precinct. Refer also to Action 7.9A-6 regarding increasing the size of this open space.	CoM	Medium
7.9H-4	Railway & Miller Street Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.9H-5	Spencer & Dryburgh Street Reserve Continue to maintain, with minor improvements including additional tree planting and seating if required.	CoM	Medium

J Small Local Link

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
7.9J-1	Hawke & King Street Reserve Investigate options to improve the function and useability of this open space reserve.	CoM	Medium
7.9J-2	King & Victoria Street Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
7.9J-3	Railway Viewing Platform Continue to maintain in the short term. Review function and design within the context of the future E-Gate redevelopment in sub-precinct WM1.	CoM	Medium



8. Recommendations for remaining precincts

8.1 Overview

As described in Section 7.1, the City of Melbourne comprises fourteen different precincts and the analysis and planning for open space has been undertaken at the precinct level.

The precincts included in Section 8 are those where the level of change is less than for the urban renewal areas. These are defined as either ongoing change areas or stable areas. The precincts in this section are in alphabetical order and include:

- 8.2 East Melbourne
- 8.3 Melbourne 3004(St Kilda Road) | South Yarra
- 8.4 Parkville
- 8.5 Port Melbourne



8.2 East Melbourne

8.2.1 Overview

East Melbourne was laid out in a rectangular grid from Gisborne Street to Hoddle Street from the early 1840s, however the suburb did not develop until the gold rush with the first Crown Land sale held in June 1852. The major public gardens and parks were established early in East Melbourne's development. East Melbourne was settled during the gold rush with many grand large mansions built by wealthy families. Over the years, East Melbourne has retained many of these large properties with some being demolished and redeveloped as apartments in the 1960s and 1970s.


The early urban layout dated 1864 shows many of the major open spaces including Fitzroy Gardens (Fitzroy Square originally), Treasury Gardens, Yarra Park (which included Melbourne Park and Olympic Park), the MCG and the former Flinders Park, which is now known as Birrarung Marr.

The Melbourne Cricket Club was originally established in 1838 and hosted its first match in 1856 between Victoria and New South Wales and the first test match between England and Australia in 1877 at the MCG. By 1858 the first game of Australian Rules Football was played at Richmond Paddock, located in Yarra Park followed by the Melbourne Football Club devising rules for the game which became the codified rules of Australian Rules Football in 1859. Football was played on the MCG for the first time in 1859 and since the late 19th Century, the MCG has been the symbolic home for football. The Victorian Football League was founded in 1897 and held its grand final for the first time at the MCG in 1902 and almost every year since then.

Around the turn of the century the Yarra River was straightened and an area of the Yarra Park known as Friendly Society and Garden, became part of the Royal Botanic Gardens, forming the ornamental lakes. The realignment of the Yarra also redefined the southern edge of Olympic Park and Gosch's Paddock.

Today, East Melbourne has a diverse mix of residential and employment areas including major hospitals, Parliament House, a range of Victorian government departments and an accommodation and entertainment precinct associated with the MCG.

8.2.2 Existing open space



8.2.2a Open space quantity, hierarchy and character

East Melbourne has 18 existing open spaces that total 68 hectares in area. This represents approximately 35.1 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 12.3 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne. There are two restricted areas of open space that are not included in the table below and these are the MCG and Richmond Cricket Ground.

Table 8.2(i) Number, size and type of open space

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
2	Capital City	31.94	47%
1	State	25.86	40%
1	Municipal	2.05	3%
6	Local	4.3	6%
8	Small Local	1.13	2%
18	Totals	65.27	100%
2	<i>Restricted open space</i>	11.13	

East Melbourne is well provided for with open space and includes some of the key iconic Capital City open spaces including Fitzroy Gardens, Treasury Gardens and the MCG. Yarra Park is also a significant State open space in recognition of the supporting role this provides to staging events at the MCG, as well as being an important open space for residents and workers of East Melbourne and the city. While the MCG is not calculated into the total quantity of open space, the history, the character and the use levels of Yarra Park are all influenced by its presence.

Fitzroy Gardens and Treasury Gardens are extremely popular for workers, with Fitzroy Gardens being particularly well used by residents and visitors. Yarra Park is the second most popular open space in East Melbourne according to the household survey outcomes, particularly for walking and exercising. Powlett Reserve caters primarily for the local community, with the tennis courts attracting people from further afield which gives it a Municipal rather than Neighbourhood hierarchy. The playground, paths and open grassed areas provide well for local community use.

Immediately east of the Hoddle Grid is a series of Local and Small Local open spaces associated with the Parliament House precinct. Workers and visitors are the dominant catchment for these smaller spaces with lower levels of use from the local residential community.

Darling Square is a popular Local open space given its central location in a predominantly residential part of the community. Other Local open spaces include the Jolimont Reserve and Wellington Park located along the railway reserve with elevated views, seating and mature shared trees.

In summary East Melbourne has a variety of open space reserves, well distributed with a diversity of characters and facilities. These spaces serve the

East Melbourne community and workers and residents from the eastern end of the central city.

Table 8.2(iia) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m2)
BURSTON RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation	Seating/viewing	2,040
DARLING SQUARE	Local	Square	Linking space, Heritage, Botanical	8,194
FITZROY GARDENS	Capital City	Heritage	Public Garden, Botanical, Water feature	261,000
GILLOTT RESERVE	Small Local	Informal	Seating/viewing	1,360
GORDON RESERVE	Local	Civic space	Heritage, Linking space, Significant road reservation, Water feature	3,785
JOLIMONT RESERVE	Local	Linear	Linking space, Railway easement/siding, Seating/viewing, Botanical	8,790
PARLIAMENT GARDENS	Local	Formal	Heritage, Botanical, Water feature	7,351
PARLIAMENT OF VICTORIA FORECOURT	Small Local	Civic space	Linking space	341
PARLIAMENT PLACE	Small Local	Urban plaza	Seating/viewing	659
POWLETT RESERVE	Municipal	Heritage	Botanical, Restricted sport and recreation, Formal	20,478
ROYAL COLLEGE OF SURGEONS GARDENS	Small Local	Civic space	Heritage, Seating/viewing	4,533
ST ANDREWS PLACE RESERVE	Small Local	Civic space	Informal	945
TREASURY GARDENS	Capital City	Heritage	Botanical, Linking space, Seating/viewing, Water feature	58,380
WEEDON RESERVE	Local	Significant road reservation	Heritage, Botanical	6,035
WELLINGTON CRESCENT	Small Local	Significant road reservation		533
WELLINGTON PARK	Local	Linear	Railway easement/siding, Seating/viewing, Botanical	8,799
WILLIAM HAINES COURT	Small Local	Linking space	Urban plaza	882
YARRA PARK	State	Botanical	Heritage, Formal	258,600


Table 8.2(iib) Existing restricted open space in East Melbourne

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m2)
MELBOURNE CRICKET GROUND	Capital City	Restricted sporting/recreation	Heritage	83,950
RICHMOND CRICKET GROUND	Capital City	Restricted sporting/recreation		27,300
TOTAL RESTRICTED				111,250

8.2.2b Distribution

The open space is well distributed across East Melbourne with a variety of character types and sizes of open space available to the local community. The larger open spaces in East Melbourne are well used by the residential, worker and visitor population in the central city.

Refer to Figure 8.2~3 for spatial distribution of open space in East Melbourne.



8.2.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

Victoria Parade with the mature avenue of Elm trees along the central median provides ancillary open space along the northern boundary. The local street network includes avenues of trees and some large central medians that also contribute to the open space character and provide comfortable pedestrian links between existing open spaces.

Birrarung Marr adjoins the southern boundary of East Melbourne and is accessible via a pedestrian bridge over Brunton Avenue and the railway. The strategy household survey outcomes identify that residents also use Royal Botanic Gardens from East Melbourne.

8.2.3 Existing East Melbourne community

8.2.3a Who lives and works in East Melbourne?

Currently the most populous age group in East Melbourne is the 25 to 29 year age range. Compared with the City of Melbourne average, there is a lower proportion of people in the 20 to 24 year age group and a higher proportion of older people of 25 to 64 years.

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 5,162 (*Source: .id Consulting population forecasts 2011*)
- Existing worker population 2011: 21,080 (*Source: Census of Land Use and Employment 2010*)

Currently, there are almost four times as many workers as there are residents in East Melbourne, with an additional visitor population to Fitzroy Gardens, Parliament House and Treasury Gardens and the MCG.

Demographics

The demographics are only available for the existing residential population and not the worker population. The most populous age group in 2006 was the 25 to 29 year olds and while this age range will continue to be the most populous it is forecast to decline. The 0 to 14 year olds and the 65+ age ranges are forecast to increase in East Melbourne.

8.2.3b How existing residents currently use open space

A total of 152 household surveys were received from residents of East Melbourne that represents 9 per cent of the total number of surveys received. This is more than the proportion of East Melbourne residents in the City of Melbourne population, which is 6 per cent.

The City of Yarra open space strategy identified that Gosch's Paddock and Yarra Park are frequently used by the community living and working in Cremorne and Fitzroy Gardens used by the community living and working in Fitzroy.

Table 8.2(iii) Most frequently visited open space reserves

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
131 (86%)	Fitzroy Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (36) • Exercising (23) • Travel through (21) • Work – travel en route (19) • Ambience, beauty (16) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Cyclist/pedestrian conflict on shared paths • Improve cafes/kiosks • No cycling
75 (49%)	Yarra Park (also called the MCG)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (23) • Exercising (17) • Dog Walking (16) • Recreation (5) • Relaxation (5) • Travel through (4) • Jogging/running (2) • Playground and equipment (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce car parking for vegetation • Improve grassed areas • Concern for speed of cyclists on shared paths
37 (24%)	Powlett Reserve	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Playground with grandchildren (7) • Travel through (7) • Playground and equipment (4) • Tennis (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More BBQs • Improve grassed area • Additional lighting • More trees • Additional seats
36 (24%)	Treasury Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel through (14) • Walking (11) • Recreation (5) • Ambience, beauty (4) • Exercising (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintenance for cycling paths • More trees
28 (18%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (12) • Walking (10) • Ambience, beauty (5) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fountains to be working
24 (16%)	Darling Square	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog walking (6) • Relaxation (4) • Meeting people/friends (3) • Sitting (3) • Walking (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve garden beds • Remove rubbish regularly
Open space outside the local area			
37 (24%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recreation (7) • Walking (7) • Jogging/running (5) 	
27 (18%)	Other – Outside City of Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (3) • Cycling (2) • Recreation (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cyclist/Pedestrian conflict on shared pathways
16 (11%)	Yarra Trail	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycling (6) • Walking (4) • BBQs (3) 	

Types of open space used (top four)

96% Major Gardens

94% Royal Botanic Gardens

90% Local streets for exercise

87% Open space along the Yarra River

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

95% Walking paths

87% Open grassed areas
61% Seats
57% Café/kiosk

Values (top four)

91% Trees
88% Quiet and peaceful
81% Place to relax and unwind
75% Just being outside

General comments

- Open space is highly valued as a key feature of the City of Melbourne.
- Open space is appreciated for the benefits it provides.
- Sporting use and facilities dominate open space.
- Yarra Park should not be used for car parking so that it can be returned to grass and parkland.
- No further loss of open space to development.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A, a separate volume of this strategy.

8.2.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

8.2.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. Residential population growth figures are sourced from .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031 and the worker population growth figures are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011). The 2040 figures are not available for East Melbourne, however there is a longer-term plan for redevelopment over the Jolimont railyards that is forecast to occur beyond the timeframe of this strategy.

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Residential	5,162	N/A	N/A	5,770	N/A
Years	2011	2016	2026	N/A	2040
Workers	21,080	N/A	25,000	N/A	N/A

The forecast future population growth has an overall change in residential population between 2011 and 2026 of 12 per cent, with an additional 608 residents between 2011 and 2026. The worker population is forecast with an additional 3,920 additional workers between 2011 and 2026.

Demographics

An increase in the fewer than 15 year olds and the 65+ year age group is forecast for the residential population. It is worth noting that the proportion of residential population change is less than the worker population.


8.2.4b Population change impacts on open space

The additional quantity of people living and working in East Melbourne will place increased pressures and demands on the existing open space. The pressures will include increased volumes of people in the open space utilising the facilities, however, due to the existing quantity of open space comprising more than 30 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, the proportional increase is not anticipated to require additional open space, but will create demand for additional facilities in some open spaces.

The forecast change in demographics will create some additional demand for a diversity of facilities in open space to cater to increased diversity of age groups using the space. There is forecast to be an increase in people under 15 years of age and older adults are typically less mobile and will require additional facilities in open space in a range of open space so they have good access to open space facilities within 300 metres of home.

8.2.4c Where change will occur

The forecast population increase in East Melbourne will be distributed both north and south of Wellington Parade. These are shown spatially by .id consulting as the basis for their residential population forecasting, and this is included in Figure 8.2~3.



The scale of forecast increased population will place additional demand on existing facilities in open space without creating the need for additional open space. This assumes that redevelopment in the Jolimont Rail Corridor does not proceed within the timeframe of this strategy.

8.2.4d Open space distribution and future need in East Melbourne


Within East Melbourne, Wellington Parade is the major east-west road that forms a barrier to safe and easy access to open space. Future open space provision and needs in East Melbourne have been analysed on the basis of the following:

- Safe and easy walking access to open space.
- Review the volume and demographic change of the forecast additional population in relation to the existing amount and design of open space.

To assess this, East Melbourne has been divided into a series of sub-precincts based on walkability to open space. These are illustrated on Figure 8.2~4.

Table 8.2 (iv) East Melbourne open space sub-precincts

The following analysis and conclusions are based on the forecast population growth figures supplied and included in this strategy. If these forecasts change substantially, or the Jolimont Rail Corridor redevelopment occurs, then the analysis for the East Melbourne EM3 and EM4 will need to be reviewed.



Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
EM1	<p>This sub-precinct directly adjoins the Hoddle Grid, incorporating the Victorian Parliament Precinct. Key open space located in this sub-precinct includes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treasury Gardens • Parliament Gardens • Series of smaller urban plazas and civic spaces associated with government buildings and public transport. <p>These spaces are mainly used by people working and living in the eastern end of the Hoddle Grid and the parliament precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As population increases in the central business district there will be increased use of the range of open spaces in this sub-precinct. There is potential to improve the design and facilities in some of these open spaces to accommodate this increased use including St Andrews Place, Parliament Place and Royal College of Surgeons Gardens. • Treasury Gardens will continue to experience increased use and will require works to upgrade this.
EM2	<p>Extending east of Landsowne Street, this sub-precinct includes some major hospital and health facilities and extends east to Hoddle Street and south to Wellington Parade.</p> <p>Some major redevelopment sites are located in this sub-precinct including the Mercy Hospital site and other locations near the Wellington Parade area, which already includes a business precinct and major hotel.</p> <p>The major areas of open space include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fitzroy Gardens • Powlett Reserve • Darling Square • Weedon Reserve <p>This sub-precinct has the major area of existing residential community living within it, and also major employment precinct along Wellington Parade. Additionally the area is used by the population from the eastern end of the Hoddle Grid, particularly with the use of Fitzroy Gardens.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The majority of existing open spaces are in good condition, and are well used by the community. • Fitzroy Gardens will require ongoing upgrade to cater to the increased levels of use it will receive from the community in East Melbourne along with the community from the central city and visitors. • Weedon Reserve has the potential to improve its function and use being located near to major business area of Wellington Parade and accessible to some of the redevelopment sites shown in Figure 8.2~3.
EM3	<p>This sub-precinct includes a large area of Jolimont railway yards and the Wellington Crescent area.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wellington Park will need to be upgraded to provide an improved variety of informal activities in this

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>Wellington Parade and Flinders Street define the precinct to the north, Batman Avenue and the railway to the south and Jolimont Road to the east. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wellington Park • Wellington Crescent <p>The sub-precinct extends into the Melbourne 3000 postcode, with a recent large redevelopment in the eastern part that has increased the population substantially in this sub-precinct.</p>	<p>open space to cater to the existing and forecast population.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Jolimont Railway Corridor redevelopment is not included in the population forecasts as it is anticipated to only occur post 2026. If this did occur during the timeframe of this strategy, it is likely at least additional Local open space would be needed in this sub-precinct, as the linear nature of Wellington Park limits the ability to accommodate a wide range of activities for a large number of people in it.
EM4	<p>Known as Jolimont this sub-precinct includes Yarra Park and the MCG. Jolimont Road defines the east, Punt Road the west, Wellington Parade the north and Jolimont railway the south. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yarra Park • MCG • Jolimont Reserve • Weedon Reserve (southern part) <p>Only one key redevelopment site is identified in Figure 8.2~3 and it is anticipated that any future change will be incremental. The major influence in this sub-precinct is the regular impact of visitors to the major sporting venues, particularly car parking through Yarra Park.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City of Melbourne is to continue to advocate on behalf of the local community to maintain reasonable levels public access to Yarra Park to manage the impact of the high visitor numbers to this precinct, including the issue of car parking in the reserve. • Upgrade the local open spaces to improve their condition and diversity of facilities to provide alternative spaces for the community to use when major events are held at the MCG and Yarra Park. This includes improvements to Jolimont Reserve and Weedon Reserve.

8.2.5 East Melbourne Actions

8.2.5a Summary of overall intent

Provision and distribution


East Melbourne is an established area with significant State and Capital City open space including Fitzroy Gardens, Treasury Gardens, Yarra Park and the MCG. Established neighbourhoods are forecast to grow with a larger worker population and slight increase in the residential population. East Melbourne will also continue to experience an increase in visitor population and people from the

central city utilising the large spaces particularly Fitzroy and Treasury Gardens. Maintaining connectivity into Birrarung Marr and open space along the Yarra is important to retain and improve where feasible.

The precinct is well provided for with a variety of open space types and character and these will continue to be managed and maintained. The Jolimont Railway Corridor urban renewal area is forecast for redevelopment beyond the timeframe of this strategy. It is likely that additional open space may be required as part of any redevelopment.

Quality and design

Demand for informal recreation from the forecast additional worker population will continue to be accommodated in the key open spaces with ongoing upgrades required to some of the existing spaces, including the smaller open spaces.



* Refer also to page 16 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 8.2~5 and photos.

8.2.5b Precinct Actions for East Melbourne

A Additional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.2A-1	Additional Local open space may be required if the Jolimont Railway corridor redevelops, subject to further information and future.	CoM, Developer	Low

B Additional open space links

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.2B-1	Links over the Brunton Avenue and the Railway Continue to improve connectivity between Yarra Park and the open space on the south side of the railway including Melbourne Park.	CoM	Ongoing

C Capital City open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.2C-1	Fitzroy Gardens Continue to implement the updated Fitzroy Gardens Master Plan.	CoM	Ongoing
8.2C-2	Treasury Gardens Continue to maintain and manage the increased use the Gardens will receive particularly during lunchtime for office workers.	CoM	Ongoing

D Regional open space

Not applicable

E Municipal open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.2E-1	Powlett Reserve Continue to maintain this reserve.	CoM	Ongoing

F Neighbourhood open space

Not applicable

G Local open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.2G-1	Darling Square Continue to implement minor upgrades, including stormwater reuse.	CoM	Medium
8.2G-2	Gordon Reserve Continue to implement the Conservation Management Plan for this reserve.	CoM	Ongoing
8.2G-3	Parliament Gardens Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
8.2G-4	Weedon Reserve Prepare a design plan to guide future upgrade of Weedon Reserve including investigating the potential to improve accessibility and use of Weedon Reserve for people north of Wellington Parade.	CoM	Medium
8.2G-5	Jolimont Reserve Prepare a design plan to guide future minor upgrades to this open space to improve access and use.	CoM	Medium
8.2G-6	Wellington Park Prepare a design plan to guide future minor upgrades to this open space to improve access and use for the local community.	CoM	High

H Small Local open space


No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.2H-1	Burston Reserve Review existing design and undertake minor upgrades and tree placement works.	CoM	High
8.2H-2	Gillott Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
8.2H-3	Parliament Place Minor upgrade to improve access, function and use of this open space, with consideration of adjoining café.	CoM	Medium
8.2H-4	Royal College of Surgeons Gardens Minor upgrade to provide additional seating for future population.	CoM	Low
8.2H-5	St Andrews Place Reserve Minor upgrade to improve access, function and use of this open space.	CoM	Medium
8.2H-6	Wellington Crescent Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

J Small Local Link

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.2J-1	Parliament of Victoria Forecourt Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
8.2J-2	William Haines Court Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

K State open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.2K-1	Yarra Park City of Melbourne to continue to advocate on behalf of the local community to maintain public access and use of Yarra Park while recognising its role in supporting the Melbourne Cricket Ground.	CoM, MCG	Ongoing



8.3 Melbourne 3004 (St Kilda Road) | South Yarra

8.3.1 Overview

8.3.1a St Kilda Road precinct

The construction of the public bridge over the Yarra River in 1844 allowed traffic to cross the river on a dirt track that established a connection between Melbourne and the establishing area of St Kilda on the general alignment now known as St Kilda Road. Large areas of public land down St Kilda Road were reserved for public purposes in the mid 1850s including the extensive areas of the Domain Parklands, which originally formed part of larger reserve for the future Government House, along with the Royal Botanic Gardens. The government offered some of the large reserves of public land to the church as educational facilities with the site of Melbourne Grammar School offered to the Anglican Church and Wesley School offered to the Baptist Church.

By the 1860s St Kilda Road was planned as a wide European-style boulevard between the establishing bayside resort area of St Kilda and the city. In the 1860s, large private mansions as well as public parklands and major government institutions were established along it including the Domain Parklands, Fawkner Park and Victoria Barracks.

The Royal Botanic Gardens was originally established in 1843 with the appointment of a curator, the Royal Botanic Gardens increased in size. In 1857 the internationally renowned botanist Dr Ferdinand Von Mueller was appointed as Director and he set out the gardens and planted thousands of pine trees and specimen trees. Von Mueller was replaced by William Guilfoyle in 1873, and one of Guilfoyle's most notable achievements was transforming the lagoon into an ornamental lake. This was assisted by the realignment of the Yarra River in the late 1890s under the direction of Carlo Catani, with construction of Alexandra Avenue separating the Royal Botanic Gardens from regular flooding by the Yarra River. The south bank of the Yarra River was planted with Elms, Poplars and other trees laid out by Carlo Catani, and similarly he directed the layout out and planting of Alexandra Gardens.

In 1921 meetings were held to discuss the need for establishing a memorial to the men and women of the First World War. The location, design and declaration of the Shrine of Remembrance in its prominent location on the visual axis down Swanston Street makes this a key feature within the precinct.

In 1935 as Melbourne celebrated its centenary, 17 hectares of the Government House grounds were transferred to public parkland to mark the occasion. This area, known as King's Domain, was constructed as a new park by an employment scheme during the 1930s depression to Hugh Linaker's design. Additional to King's Domain, the large system of open space in this precinct includes the Royal Botanic Gardens, the grounds of Government House, King's Domain South, Queen Victoria Gardens, Alexandra Gardens and the Shrine of Remembrance. The system of parklands excluding the Royal Botanic Gardens and the grounds of Government House is collectively referred to as Domain Parklands.

King's Domain was frequently used for outdoor performances and concerts, and the idea for the Sidney Myer Music Bowl was first initiated by prominent businessman Simcha Baeviski Myer (founder of the Myer Department store and the charitable fund Sidney Myer Foundation). The Sidney Myer Music Bowl was constructed in the late 1950s as a collaborative design. This is listed on the Victorian Heritage Register, recognised for its contribution to the historic, cultural, aesthetic and technical importance to Victoria. Initially managed by the Sidney Myer Foundation, the Sidney Myer Music Bowl is managed by the Victorian Arts Centre.

In the 1960s local planning policies changed the zoning along St Kilda Road from residential to commercial use to create more office space and extend the central business district area south. Public projects such as the construction of the National Gallery of Victoria in the 1960s were some the measures to encourage redevelopment along St Kilda Road. During the 1970s the Victorian government continued to encourage redevelopment in St Kilda Road area and also commenced construction of the Victorian Arts Centre, finally opening in the early 1980s. Redevelopment of Southbank along the Yarra River followed this in the 1990s.

Today, St Kilda Road precinct in the City of Melbourne has a variety of land uses including residential and commercial uses. The community enjoys access to the large extensive parklands including Fawkner Park and Domain Parklands.

8.3.1b South Yarra precinct

South Yarra was settled by European settlers in the 1830s around the time of the establishment of Melbourne. The area was originally settled as a retreat from the main town of Melbourne. As the Royal Botanic Gardens and Domain Parklands were established from the 1850s onwards, and Melbourne expanded rapidly with the start of the gold rush, the surrounding land in South Yarra became highly desirable for the wealthy to live and many large mansions were built, particularly in the vicinity of Domain Road.

During the 1920s and 1930s, many of the large gardens of the older mansions were subdivided and blocks of apartments became a feature of the area, particularly in the Domain Precinct and remained as desirable places of residence due to their close proximity to the extensive parklands.

Fawkner Park and the Royal Botanic Gardens are key features that contribute to the character and value of South Yarra.

8.3.2 Existing open space



Figure 8.3~1 Existing open space in Melbourne 3004/South Yarra

8.3.2a Open space quantity, hierarchy and character

The Melbourne 3004 has a total 115.15 hectares of open space. This represents approximately 39.3 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 19.8 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne.

South Yarra has a total of 41.64 hectares of open space. This represents approximately 42.8 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 7.2 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne.

Table 8.3(i) Number, size and type of open space

Melbourne 3004

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
11	Capital City	107.88	94%
1	State	7.18	6%
1	Small Local	0.09	0%
13	Totals	115.15	100%
3	<i>Restricted open space</i>	36.53	

South Yarra

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
2	Regional	41.56	100%
1	Small Local	0.08	0%
3	Totals	41.64	100%

In addition to the open spaces nominated in the tables above there are three restricted areas of open space of significant size and quantity totalling approximately 36.5 hectares. These are not included in the total areas given they are generally only available to public use on a fee paying basis. Please refer to the Table 8.3(iib) for details of these.

In Melbourne 3004, open space flanks both sides of the Yarra River with all these spaces provided primarily for the broader population as Capital City open space. The Capital City Trail is located along the south side of the Yarra River and this changes to the Main Yarra Trail at Anderson Street. There are shared paths on the north side that are also well used. Morrell Bridge at the end of Anderson Street provides a direct link over the Yarra to Gosch's Paddock and the Olympic Park and Melbourne Park precinct. Gosch's Paddock is primarily used for AFL training and unstructured recreation uses.

The major system of open space to the south of the Yarra River includes a range of large open spaces set aside primarily for the Victorian community including the Royal Botanic Gardens, Shrine of Remembrance, King's Domain, the Sidney Myer Music Bowl, Alexandra Gardens and Victoria Gardens. These spaces are

highly valued and used by the local community in addition to receiving thousands of visitors a year. The Tan track around the Royal Botanic Gardens receives a high level of use, particularly before and after work and during lunch times. The Royal Botanic Gardens are world renown and a major visitor destination point located in the city. These Gardens are managed by the Royal Botanic Gardens Board of Victoria and directly responsible to the Minister for Environment.

Fawkner Park in South Yarra is an important open space for the broader community, particularly for a range of community sport and recreation uses, along with the wide avenues and connections and links this provides through the reserve. This is classified as a Regional open space, recognising its significance to a broader community catchment.

In summary, these two precincts of Melbourne 3004 and South Yarra have developed around significant areas of open space that are core to the identity and character of Melbourne. The major parklands are extremely well used and appreciated by the local and broader Victorian community along with many international and national visitors.

**Table 8.3(ii) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification
Melbourne 3004**

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
ALEXANDRA GARDENS	Capital City	Events	Heritage, Recreation, Informal use	52,190
ALEXANDRA PARK (south side of river only)	Capital City	Linear	Waterway	49,250
GOSCH'S Paddock	State	Sporting	Heritage, Restricted sporting/recreation	71,800
KINGS DOMAIN	Capital City	Heritage	Events, Informal use	216,500
KINGS DOMAIN SOUTH	Capital City	Heritage	Sporting, Informal use, Events	144,800
MARQUIS OF LINLITHGOW RESERVE	Capital City	Heritage	Significant road reservation	2,356
NORTH BANK YARRA RIVER	Capital City	Linear	Waterway	30,150
QUEEN VICTORIA GARDENS	Capital City	Heritage	Events, Water feature, Informal use	48,550
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS	Capital City	Botanical	Heritage, Water feature, Nature conservation, Informal use, Events	384,700
SHRINE OF REMEMBRANCE	Capital City	Heritage	Events	129,900
STAPELY PARADE RESERVE (adjacent to north bank Yarra River)	Capital City	Linear	Waterway	11,222
TOORAK & ST KILDA ROAD RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation		921
YARRA BOATSHEDS	Capital City	Sporting	Heritage, Waterway	9,166

Restricted open space in Melbourne 3004

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
MELBOURNE PARK	Capital City	Restricted recreation/entertainment	Events, Sporting	230,600
OLYMPIC PARK	Capital City	Restricted recreation/entertainment	Events, Sporting	107,599
SIDNEY MYER MUSIC BOWL	Capital City	Restricted recreation/entertainment	Events, Seating/Viewing	27,090
TOTAL RESTRICTED				365,289

Table 8.3(iib) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification South Yarra

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
FAWKNER PARK	Regional	Sporting	Heritage, Events, Restricted Sporting, Recreation, Informal use	410,820
RIVER BANK CROWN LAND	Regional	Linear	Waterway	4,806
GOLDEN ELM ELM RESERVE	Small Local	Linking space	Botanical, Significant road reservation	756

8.3.2b Distribution

All areas of the precinct are within walking distance of open space with the extensive system of parklands in Melbourne 3004 and South Yarra. Refer to Figure 8.3~2 on the following page.

8.3.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

St Kilda Road contributes to the overall character of the precinct with the mature exotic trees and grassed medians of this grand boulevard. Melbourne Grammar School grounds contribute visually to the open space character along Domain Road, however there is no community access to and use of these grounds after school hours. Similarly the sports grounds that face St Kilda Rod and Punt Road of Wesley College in the southern end of the precinct contributes to the unbuilt and open character of the precinct, however they are not accessible for use by the general community.

Other adjoining public realm that contributes the landscape character of the precinct includes the forecourt areas of the Arts Centre, National Gallery of Victoria, Victorian College of the Arts and the Victoria Barracks.

There is good access to adjoining areas open space along the Yarra River and via the bridge connections over the Yarra and Jolimont Railway.




Figure 8.3~2 Gap analysis for Melbourne 3004/South Yarra

8.3.3 Existing Melbourne 3004 and South Yarra community

8.3.3a Who lives and works in Melbourne 3004 and South Yarra?

Melbourne 3004

The current residential population of St Kilda Road 3004 is relatively small, given only a small proportion of the overall precinct land area is residential for use. The residential population within the precinct is concentrated primarily located south of Toorak Road. The number of people in the Melbourne 3004 area is bolstered by a regular flow of visitors to the precinct including to the Royal Botanic Gardens and Domain Parklands the health precinct associated with the Alfred Hospital.

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 1,630 (*Source: .id Consulting population forecasts, 2011*)
- Existing worker population 2011: 23,411 (*Source: Census of Land Use and Employment (CLUE), 2010*)

Currently, there are more than twenty times as many workers as there are residents in Melbourne 3004, and they represent the dominant user group in the open spaces, particularly before work, during lunchtime and after work.

Demographics

The demographics are only available for the existing residential population and not the worker population. The most populous age group in 2006 was the 85+ age group, and generally with a higher older population in the 60+ age range. There is a reasonable high proportion of 24 to 29 age range, representing young professionals. Currently there is a low representation of the less than 15 year age group. This correlates to the available housing type, which has a high proportion of apartments rather than single detached housing and semi-detached housing, with the dominant household type being lone person households followed by couples without dependents.

South Yarra

The current residential population of South Yarra is nearly three times that of Melbourne 3004. The residential population within the precinct is located between Domain Parkland and Fawkner Park in a range high to medium density housing.

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 4,702 (*Source: .id Consulting population forecasts, 2011*)
- Existing worker population 2010: 1,488 (*Source: CLUE, 2010*)

The worker population is three times smaller than the residential population, and in the future is forecast to almost double in size.

Demographics

The demographics are only available for the existing residential population and not the worker population. The most populous age group in 2006 was the 24 to 29 age group with nearly 30 per cent of the population aged between 25 and 34 years. There are a low proportion of people under 15 years and more than 50 per cent of the population live in lone person households.

8.3.3b How existing residents currently use open space

Melbourne 3004

A total of 66 household surveys were received from residents of Melbourne 3004 that represents 4 per cent of the total number of surveys received. This is more than the proportion of Melbourne 3004 residents in the City of Melbourne population, which is 1 per cent.

It is interesting to note that 35 per cent of people nominate Albert Park, which is located in the City of Port Phillip.

Table 8.3(iii) Most frequently visited open space reserves

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
43 (65%)	Fawkner Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (16) • Recreation (11) • Exercising (10) • Dog walking (5) • Close to home (4) • Travel through (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enforce of dog on-lead areas • Additional lighting • Improve paths
37 (56%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (13) • Walking (12) • Recreation (8) • Relaxation (5) • Picnics (4) 	
23 (35%)	Albert Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising(11) • Walking (9) • Recreation(3) • Relaxation (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More drinking fountains • Condition of paths limits access and use
12 (18%)	King's Domain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recreation (5) • Exercising (4) • Walking (3) • Travel through (2) 	
Open space outside the local area			
9 (14%)	Bayside Beaches	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycling (4) • Recreation (3) • Walking (3) 	
8 (12%)	Yarra Trail	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycling (6) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More paths for cyclists, including connections and safer routes
6 (9%)	Fitzroy Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel through (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved café and kiosks

Types of open space used (top four)

95% Royal Botanic Gardens

83% Open space along Yarra River

83% Local streets for exercise
82% Regional Parks

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

97% Walking paths
82% Open grassed areas
58% Seats
56% Café/kiosk

Values (top four)

88% Trees
57% Quiet and peaceful
57% Spacious
67% Place to relax and unwind

General comments

- Open space is highly valued as a key feature of the municipality.
- Appreciate the improvements occurring.
- Value the high level of maintenance of open space.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A, a separate volume of this strategy.

South Yarra

A total of 238 household surveys were received from residents of South Yarra that represents 13 per cent of the total number of surveys received. This is more than the proportion of South Yarra residents in the City of Melbourne population, which represents 6 per cent.

Table 8.3(iii) Most frequently visited open space reserves:

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
157 (66%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (47) • Walking (41) • Recreation (25) • Relaxation (22) • Ambience, beauty (20) • Picnics (20) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Fitness trail required • More BBQs • Additional cycling paths • More signage
144 (61%)	Fawkner Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (34) • Walking (28) • Dog walking (25) • Travel through (17) • Playground and equipment (13) • Recreation (13) • Relaxation (13) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • More BBQs • Cyclist/pedestrian conflict on share paths • More entertainment and more seats
60 (25%)	Yarra Trail	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (13) • Exercising (12) • Work – travel en route (10) • Cycling (8) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surface of paths limits mobility • More drinking fountains • Additional lighting • Litter in open space

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BBQs (7) • Travel through (7) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More trees • Additional playgrounds
51 (21%)	Other – outside City of Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dog walking (6) • Jogging/running (3) • Exercising (2) • Fresh air (2) 	
31 (13%)	The Tan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (8) • Jogging/running (8) • Walking (5) • Dog walking (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Surface of paths limits mobility
18 (8%)	King's Domain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recreation (8) • Exercising (6) • Dog walking (3) • Walking (3) • Travel through (2) 	
Open space outside the local area			
34 (14%)	Other – outside City of Melbourne	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (3) • Meeting people/friends (2) 	
33 (14%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambience, beauty (8) • Relaxation (7) • Walking (7) • Recreation (6) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide access for disabilities
25 (11%)	Fitzroy Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (5) • Ambience, beauty 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve Access
6 (9%)	Fitzroy Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Travel through (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved café and kiosks

Types of open space used (top four)

- 95% Royal Botanic Gardens
- 83% Open space along Yarra River
- 83% Local streets for exercise
- 82% Regional Parks

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

- 97% Walking paths
- 82% Open grassed areas
- 58% Seats
- 56% Café/kiosk

Values (top four)

- 88% Trees
- 57% Quiet and peaceful
- 57% Spacious
- 67% Place to relax and unwind

General comments

- Open space is highly valued as a key feature of the municipality.
- Appreciate the improvements occurring.
- Value the high level of maintenance of open space.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A, a separate volume of this strategy.

8.3.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

8.3.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. Residential population growth figures are taken from the .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031. The worker population forecasts are taken from the Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011).

Melbourne 3004

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040*
Residential	1,630	N/A	N/A	2,064	N/A
Years	2011	2016	2026	N/A	2040*
Worker	23,411	N/A	28,000	N/A	N/A

The forecast future population growth represents a 27 per cent increase in the residential population between 2011 and 2026, with an additional 434 residents. The worker population is forecast to increase by approximately 28 per cent with an additional 6,501 workers between 2011 and 2026.

Demographics

An increase in age range diversity is forecast for Melbourne 3004 with more people under the age 15 and in the 65+ age range.

South Yarra

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040*
Residential	4,702	N/A	N/A	4,724	N/A
Years	2011	2016	2026	N/A	2040*
Worker	1,488	N/A	2,700	N/A	N/A

The forecast future residential population growth is negligible and remains relatively stable between 2011 and 2026. The worker population is forecast to increase by an additional 1,250 workers between 2011 and 2026.

Demographics


An increase in age range diversity is forecast for South Yarra with an increase in people under the age of 15 years, representing an increase in family households in the precinct.

8.3.4b Population change impacts on open space

The additional quantity of people living and working in Melbourne 3004 and South Yarra will place increased demands on the existing open space. The demands will include increased volumes of people in the open space utilising the facilities in open space, and particularly the forecast change in demographics where the diversity of facilities will need to change to better meet the increase in younger people less than 15 years of age particularly. The increase in workers in the precinct, particularly in Melbourne 3004 will lead to further use and potential overcrowding of some facilities at peak use times particularly during lunch time, before and after work.

8.3.4c Where change will occur

The forecast population increase in Melbourne 3004 and South Yarra will be distributed mainly in Melbourne 3004. These are shown spatially by .id Consulting as the basis for the residential population forecasting, and this is included in Figure 8.3~3.



8.3.4d Open space distribution and future need in Melbourne 3004 and South Yarra

A summary of open space provision in the open space sub-precincts is described in **Table 8.3(iv)**



FIGURE 8.3-4 Open space sub-precinct diagram for Melbourne 3004|South Yarra

Table 8.3 (iv) Melbourne 3004 | South Yarra open space sub-precincts

The following analysis and conclusions are based on the analysis of the forecast population change on existing open space.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
M1	<p>This sub-precinct includes the sports and entertainment precinct of Melbourne Park and Olympic Park. Batman Avenue defines the west, the railway defines the north, Punt Road the east and the Yarra River the south. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Melbourne Park • Olympic Park • Gosch's Paddock • North Bank Yarra River <p>The majority of open space in this precinct is classified as restricted open space that is only accessible on a fee-paying basis and therefore is not included in the total area calculations for open space. Accessible open space includes the majority of Gosch's Paddock and the linear open space along the Yarra River.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gosch's Paddock is frequently used by the local community living and working the municipality, and therefore the City of Melbourne will continue to advocate to retain informal public access to this open space when it is not being used for elite sport training purposes. • Continue to recognise the significant contribution these major sports and entertainment venues have in staging major events in Melbourne, contributing to the city and state's image and profile both nationally and internationally.
SKR1	<p>This open space sub-precinct incorporates all the open space south of the Yarra River extending to Domain Road. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alexandra Gardens • Queen Victoria Gardens • King's Domain • Royal Botanic Gardens and The Tan • Shrine of Remembrance • King's Domain South • South bank of the Yarra River 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to manage and maintain these spaces for the local and broader visitor populations. • Review and implement the Domain Parklands Master Plan to continue to improve these areas to cater to the forecast increased levels of use from the local and visitor population. • Retain the Edmund Herring Memorial Oval in King's Domain South for community sport and recreation use, particularly in light of the substantial increase in population in the adjoining precinct of Southbank.
SKR2	<p>This sub-precinct is the predominantly commercial and residential area between St Kilda Road and Fawkner Park extending from Toorak to Commercial Road.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fawkner Park will continue to experience increased levels of use as the worker and residential population grows in this sub-precinct. This will result in increased need to provide additional and more

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Toorak & St Kilda Road Reserve <p>The existing open space is primarily for the protection of mature canopy trees and contribution to the streetscape rather than being useable open space. This sub-precinct directly adjoins the extensive Fawkner Park and it is well used by the existing population given it is located directly adjoining this population.</p>	<p>diverse facilities in Fawkner Park to cater to this growth and change.</p>
SKR3	<p>Located south of Commercial Road the dominant land uses include the Alfred Hospital, Wesley College and the Victorian College for the Deaf. There is no open space located in this sub-precinct.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The local and visitor population from this sub-precinct will continue to use Fawkner Park. As with sub-precinct SKR2, this will place increased demands for additional facilities in Fawkner Park.
SY1	<p>Located immediately east of the Royal Botanic Gardens, this area of South Yarra overlooks both the Yarra River and the Royal Botanic Gardens. Anderson Street defines the west, the Yarra River the north, Punt Road the east and Domain Road the south. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Golden Elm Reserve • River Bank Crown Land <p>Golden Elm Reserve contains a healthy broad spreading Golden Elm and is primarily used to protect the tree and as a linking space. The River Bank provides linear connectivity to the shared trail systems on the south and across Morrell Bridge to Gosch's Paddock.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to retain and maintain the existing open spaces and implement the Domain Parklands Master Plan to provide improved facilities in the adjoining open space for the existing and forecast community in this sub-precinct.
SY2	<p>This sub-precinct extends south of Domain Road to Commercial Road and includes the residential and commercial areas south of the Royal Botanic Gardens and around Fawkner Park. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fawkner Park <p>Fawkner Park is well used for both community based sporting use,</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As for sub-precinct SKR2, continue to implement the Fawkner Park Master Plan to better cater to and provide facilities for both the existing and future population in this sub-precinct.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	unstructured recreation and informal use. The park will continue to experience increased use workers, visitors and residents from this and other sub-precincts nearby.	

8.3.5 Melbourne 3004 | South Yarra Actions

8.3.5a Summary of overall intent


Provision and distribution

The unique system of parklands includes Alexandra Gardens, Queen Victoria Gardens, King's Domain, Royal Botanic Gardens, King's Domain South, Shrine of Remembrance and Fawkner Park. Additionally the sport and entertainment venues of Melbourne Park and Olympic Park facilitate major sport and entertainment events to be located in Melbourne throughout the year. The Yarra River forms the central spine to the precinct with linear open space along both sides and the access and events held on the water. Within this precinct, open space is adequately provided for however as Melbourne grows there will be a need for additional Capital City open space as already identified to the north and west so that no further loss of accessible parkland for the broader community occurs in this precinct.

Quality and design

These significant parklands will continue to be improved and managed for the Capital City and Regional function catering to the local community as well as international, national and Victorian visitors. The forecast population change is not substantial in this precinct, so ongoing open space upgrade works and management will focus on the providing for visitor needs balanced with upgrading the character and diversity of facilities the local residential and worker population.

A summary of the Actions is illustrated in Figure 8.3~5, followed by detailed action tables.



* Refer also to page 21 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 8.3~5 and photos.

8.3.5b Precinct Actions for Melbourne 3004 | South Yarra

A Additional open space

Not applicable

B Additional open space links

Not applicable

C Capital City open space

SRT = Shrine of Remembrance Trustees

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.3C-1	<p>Alexandra Gardens</p> <p>Continue to maintain the Gardens, with ongoing active management of the major event spaces within the gardens to support this use balanced with access and use of the Gardens by the local community.</p>	CoM	Ongoing
8.3C-2	<p>Alexandra Park</p> <p>Continue to maintain Alexandra Park for Capital City use. Future works will be guided by the proposed Master Plan for the open space along the Yarra River to be prepared by the City of Melbourne, as per Action 8.3C-6. Alexandra Park has an important role in provision of the shared trail and social gatherings for large picnics and barbecues.</p>	CoM (MW) (PV)	Very High, Ongoing
8.3C-3	<p>King's Domain</p> <p>Review and implement the Domain Parklands Master Plan, including consideration of local neighbourhood needs within the context of this Capital City open space.</p>	CoM	High
8.3C-4	<p>King's Domain South</p> <p>Review and implement the Domain Parklands Master Plan, including consideration of local residential needs within the context of this Capital City open space.</p>	CoM	High
8.3C-5	<p>Marquis of Linlithgow Reserve</p> <p>Continue to maintain.</p>	CoM	Ongoing
8.3C-6	<p>North Bank Yarra River</p> <p>City of Melbourne is to prepare a holistic Master Plan for the open spaces along the Yarra River corridor, including the North Bank to guide future improvement to local accessibility and use, offering a different experience to the south bank, particularly as the population in the CBD increases over time. Refer also to 8.3D-3.</p>	CoM (MW) (PV)	Very High
8.3C-7	<p>Queen Victoria Gardens</p> <p>Continue to maintain the Gardens, with ongoing active management of the major event spaces within</p>	CoM	Ongoing

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
	the gardens to support this use balanced with access and use of the Gardens by the local community.		
8.3C-8	Royal Botanic Gardens City of Melbourne to continue to advocate on behalf of the local community with DSE regarding ongoing access to and management of the Royal Botanic Gardens.	CoM, DSE	Ongoing
8.3C-9	Shrine of Remembrance City of Melbourne to continue to advocate on behalf of the local community with Shrine of Remembrance Trustees regarding ongoing management of events and public access to the Shrine and the gardens.	CoM SRT	Ongoing,
8.3C-10	Stapely Parade Reserve City of Melbourne to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
8.3C-11	Yarra Boatsheds City of Melbourne to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

D Regional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.3D-2	Fawkner Park Continue to implement the existing Fawkner Park Master Plan.	CoM	Ongoing
8.3D-3	River Bank Crown Land City of Melbourne is to prepare a holistic Master Plan for the open spaces along the Yarra River corridor, including the River Bank Crown Land on the south bank, with a differentiation between the purpose and role of the spaces on the north and south banks. Refer also to 8.3C-6.	CoM (MW) (PV)	Very High

E Municipal open space

Not applicable

F Neighbourhood open space

Not applicable

G Local open space

Not applicable

H Small Local open space

No.	Action	Respons- ibility	Priority
8.3H-1	Toorak & St Kilda Road Reserve City of Melbourne to continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
8.3H-2	Golden Elm Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing

J Small Local Link
Not applicable**K State open space**

No.	Action	Respons- ibility	Priority
8.3K-1	Gosch's Paddock City of Melbourne to continue to advocate to retain public access to this open space when not in formal use for training purposes.	CoM	Ongoing



8.4 Parkville

8.4.1 Overview

Parkville precinct is located to the north of the central city and includes Melbourne University and Royal Park. Park Street forms the northern boundary, Moonee Ponds Creek and Flemington Road the south-west and a combination of Swanston Street and Royal Parade to the east.

Melbourne University and Royal Park are key features of Parkville, with the University being established in the early 1850s with first classes taught as early as 1855. Royal Park is one of the larger reserves established by Governor La Trobe in 1854, originally some 700 acres. Royal Park has a varied history, including the Burke and Wills expedition campsite before embarking on their expedition across the continent in 1860, the establishment of an animal park by the Zoological and Acclimatization Society in 1861, and the station for thousands of troops prior to the official opening of Australian Parliament and the Army during WWII. More recently the Australian native landscape character of Royal Park has been recognised and is celebrated with major new initiatives to improve these landscape values since the mid 1980s. The Trin Warren Tam-boore Wetlands were established in 2006, treating urban runoff from the surrounding suburbs creating habitat for native wildlife and delivering recycled water for reuse within Royal Park.

The residential areas of Parkville were established from the 1860s in the vicinity of Royal Parade and to the west of Royal Park. Other state government land uses were established in the area of the park that was originally an experimental farm including Turana Melbourne Youth Justice Centre, Baltara Youth Residential Centre and The Commonwealth Serum Laboratories. The Royal Childrens Hospital was originally constructed in 1961, and has more recently been expanded. Today, Parkville includes major health and education facilities and many people living and working in this precinct are involved in both these employment sectors.

8.4.2 Existing open space



Figure 8.4~1 Existing open space in Parkville

8.4.2a Open space quantity, hierarchy and character

There are 13 existing open spaces in Parkville with a total area of 165.20 hectares. This represents approximately 41.1 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 28.4 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne.

Table 8.4(i) Number, size and type of open space

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
1	State	160.88	97%
1	Regional	0.30	0.2%
2	Neighbourhood	2.7	2%
1	Local	0.68	0.4%
5	Small Local	0.54	0.3%
3	Small Local Link	0.1	0.1%
13	Totals	165.20	100%
3	<i>Restricted open space</i>	40.46	

Royal Park is the major open space in Parkville, with its unique Australian native landscape qualities and diversity of values and uses including the environmental character, informal use, unstructured recreation and organised community sport and recreation. Facilities cater to a broad range of recreational and informal uses and the park supports improved biodiversity values. The State Netball and Hockey Centre and the Melbourne Zoological Gardens are areas only accessible on a fee paying or membership basis and are therefore not included in the total quantity of open space listed in Table 8.4(i).

Ievers Reserve provides a Neighbourhood style open space for the community living and working in the southern part of Parkville, with an informal character and facilities provided primarily for the local community as a contrast to the scale and provision of facilities in Royal Park.

Other Local and Small Local open provided for area along Royal Parade and also the more recently developed area to the west of Royal Park on the former Commonwealth Games Village site.

Table 8.4(iiia) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
AUCKLAND LANE RESERVE	Small Local Link	Linking space		323
BARRING WALK	Small Local Link	Linking space		304
CARRANGALL PLACE RESERVE	Small Local	Informal use	Linking space	404
CLUNIES ROSS RESERVE	Small Local	Linking space	Botanical	1,163
GALADA AVENUE RESERVE	Neighbourhood	Wetland/water feature	Informal use	13,330
GARRARD STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Linking space		1,158
IEVERS RESERVE	Neighbourhood	Informal use	Linking space	13,660
MANCHESTER LANE RESERVE	Small Local Link	Significant road reservation	Linking space	395
PARK STREET RESERVE	Small Local	Significant road reservation	Linking space	789

Table 8.4(iia) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification cont'd..

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
PARKVILLE GARDENS	Local	Heritage	Forecourt	6,796
ROYAL PARK	State	Sporting	Heritage, Nature conservation, Recreation, Informal use, Restricted recreation, ,	1,608,800
THE AVENUE RESERVE (NORTH)	Small Local	Significant road reservation		1,897
THE AVENUE RESERVE (SOUTH)	Regional	Linear		3,020

Table 8.4(iib) Existing restricted open space in Parkville

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
STATE NETBALL HOCKEY CENTRE	State	Restricted sport/ recreation		
MELBOURNE ZOOLOGICAL GARDENS	State	Restricted sport/ recreation	Heritage	
ROYAL PARK GOLF COURSE	State	Restricted sport/ recreation		
TOTAL RESTRICTED				404,619

8.4.2b Distribution

Open space is the dominant land use type within the precinct given the size and extent of Royal Park. Existing open space is well distributed throughout the precinct and the existing and forecast future community are well provided for with open space. Refer to Figure 8.4~2 on the following page.

8.4.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

Royal Parade and Park Street road reserves provide some ancillary open space with planted medians.

The other major area of ancillary open space is Melbourne University, which has a number of different lawns, courtyards and a major sporting field located within it. This open space is primarily provided for the student and worker population at the University, as well as some of the spaces being available for the general public to use. The sports field at the University of Melbourne is not available for general community use.

Princes Park adjoins Parkville to the east and this is the second most frequently used open space after Royal Park. The former Inner Circle Railway Reserve provides an east west link for the Capital City Trail through Royal Park, under Royal Parade and east to Princes Park, Hardy Gallagher Reserve and Park

Street Reserve in the City of Yarra. This Capital City Trail links to the Merri Creek in the east and to Moonee Ponds Creek in the west.

While located some distance from here, Carlton Gardens is noted as being well used and is likely to be mainly used by residents at Melbourne University.




Figure 8.4~2 Gap analysis for Parkville

8.4.3 Existing Parkville community

8.4.3a Who lives and works in Parkville?

The current population of Parkville combines a young professional and student resident population given the proximity of the University of Melbourne and the health facilities in the precinct. The number of people in Parkville is bolstered by

a regular flow of students to the University of Melbourne and to a lesser extent, visitors to the major health facilities.

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 5,773 (*Source: .id Consulting population forecasts 2011*)
- Existing worker population 2011: 27,109 (*Source: Census of Land Use and Employment, 2010*)

Additionally, there are just over 2,000 people in non-private dwellings in Parkville, which are assumed to largely comprise the on-campus student accommodation at the University of Melbourne. This is forecast to increase slightly in the future. Even with the residents in non-private dwellings, the worker population is approximately three times the size of the residential population.

Demographics

The demographics are only available for the existing residential population and not the worker population. The most populous age group in 2006 was the 20 to 24 year olds with the majority of the population aged between 15 and 34 years. The majority of people live in lone person households followed by couples without dependents then group households. This reflects the student and professional population, with a lower proportion of family households.

8.4.3b How existing residents currently use open space

A total of 108 household surveys were received from residents of Parkville that represents 6 per cent of the total number of surveys received. This is equal to the proportion of Parkville residents in the City of Melbourne population, which is also 6 per cent.

Table 8.4(iii) Most frequently visited open space reserves

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space within the local area			
88 (81%)	Royal Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walking (26) • Exercising (23) • Dog walking (14) • Visit Wetland (13) • Jogging/running (11) • Recreation (11) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • Additional toilets • Open space free from development • More seats • Additional dog off-lead areas
50 (46%)	Princes Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exercising (17) • Walking (16) • Jogging/running (15) • Recreation (5) • Cycling (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional lighting • More cafés/kiosks • Improve paths • More trees
10 (9%)	Carlton Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Museum (3) • Entertainment & events (2) • Walking (2) 	
10 (9%)	levers Reserve	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Playground and equipment (2) 	

USE	OPEN SPACE	REASONS TO VISIT	SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS
Open space outside the local area			
32 (30%)	Royal Botanic Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambience, beauty (8) • Jogging/running (6) • Walking (6) • Recreation (5) • Gardens (4) • Peaceful (4) 	
19 (18%)	Carlton Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Entertainment & events (4) • Relaxation (4) • Walking (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include bicycles
16 (15%)	Fitzroy Gardens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambience, beauty (4) • Meeting people/friends (4) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More shelters

Types of open space used (top four)

- 93% Regional Parks
- 88% Royal Botanic Gardens
- 83% Major gardens
- 82% Open space along Yarra River

Activities and facilities in open space (top four)

- 90% Walking paths
- 85% Open grassed areas
- 53% Seats
- 51% Drinking fountains

Values (top four)

- 81% Quiet and peaceful
- 76% Trees
- 75% Place to relax and unwind, and being outside
- 70% Health and fitness

General comments

- Concern for loss of open space to development.
- Value the choice and variety of open space in City of Melbourne.
- Open space and existing character is highly valued as a key feature of the municipality.
- Value the high level of maintenance of open space.

For further details on household survey outcomes refer to Appendix A, a separate volume to this strategy

8.4.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

8.4.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations. Residential population growth figures are sourced from .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031 and the worker population growth figures are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011).

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Residential	5,773	N/A	N/A	5,826	N/A
Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Worker	27,109	N/A	N/A	29,221	N/A

The forecast future population growth is moderate with an overall change in residential population between 2011 and 2026 of 1 per cent, with an additional 53 residents between 2011 and 2026. The worker population is forecast to increase by approximately 8 per cent, with an additional 2,112 workers between 2011 and 2026.

Demographics

An increase in age range diversity is forecast for Parkville with a higher proportion of people in the 30 to 34 year old age range, and the number of people under 15 is forecast to decrease. The number of people in the 65+ age range is also forecast to increase.

8.4.4b Population change impacts on open space

The additional quantity of people living and working in Parkville will place increased pressures and demands on the existing open space, but is not anticipated to create the need for new open space. The pressures will include increased volumes of people in the open space utilising the facilities, leading to the need to increase the number and diversity of facilities in the existing open space.

The forecast change in demographics will affect the type and design of some areas of open space in Parkville. Currently the younger population, dominated by 20 to 24 year olds, do not place high demands on a diversity of facilities and are generally utilising the informal facilities for walking, jogging, exercise and cycling. Older adults will typically place greater demands on more informal facilities.

8.4.4c Where change will occur

The forecast population increase is mainly forecast to occur in the north west of the precinct. The redevelopment sites are shown spatially by .id consulting as the basis for the residential population forecasting, and this is included in Figure 8.4~3.




FIGURE 8.4~3 Residential development sites in Parkville
Source: .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031

8.4.4d Open space distribution and future need in Parkville

To assess the open space needs in Parkville, the precinct has been divided into a series of open space sub-precincts based on accessibility to open space. These are shown in Figure 8.4~4 on the following page.



FIGURE 8.4~4 Open space sub-precinct diagram for Parkville

Table 8.4 (iv) Parkville open space sub-precincts

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
PA1	<p>This sub-precinct includes the north-west area of Parkville, north of the railway line. Park Street borders the north and Tullamarine Freeway the west of the sub-precinct. Existing open space in the sub-precinct includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Royal Park (north of railway) • Galada Avenue Reserve • Parkville Gardens • A series of Small Local open spaces and Small Local links <p>The major open space is the</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a diversity of open space character types in this sub-precinct. Two of the spaces need design review and works to improve their function, use and contribution to the open space network. This includes Parkville Gardens and the Garrard Street Reserve. • Continue to implement the Royal Park Master Plan including improved connectivity and access between different parts of Royal Park.

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>northern part of Royal Park. This is divided into two main sections with four ovals and part of the Royal Park Golf Course located east of the health and youth training facilities and the wetlands and additional basketball fields located to the south. Galada Avenue Reserve provides walking paths through the drainage reserve that connects to the wetland, while Parkville Gardens provides some informal use. The proximity to existing housing to Parkville Gardens limits public use of this space. Other major land use includes the public health and youth training facilities and residential use. There are four redevelopment sites in this sub-precinct shown in Figure 8.4~4.</p>	
PA2	<p>The railway defines the north of this sub-precinct and Elliot Avenue the south, extending from Flemington Road to Royal Parade. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Royal Park (central part) • Clunies Ross Reserve • The Avenue Reserve (North) • The Avenue Reserve (South) <p>The Melbourne Zoological Gardens and the State and Netball Hockey Centre are both located in this sub-precinct within Royal Park. Other than open space, residential land use with some business use along Royal Parade are the main uses in this sub-precinct. One future redevelopment site is shown in Figure 8.4~3.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to implement the Royal Park Master Plan to upgrade Royal Park where required. • Investigate minor upgrades to Clunies Ross Reserve and The Avenue Reserve North to improve access to and use of this open space for the local community. • Continue to maintain the shared trail connection under Royal Parade to Princes Park.
PA3	<p>Elliot Avenue defines the north of this sub-precinct, Flemington Road the south-west and Royal Parade the east. Existing open space includes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Royal Park (south of Elliot Avenue) • levers Reserve 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to implement the Royal Park Master Plan including improved connectivity and access between different parts of Royal Park. • Review the design of levers Reserve to improve its function and character as a local open space

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	Royal Park is the major open space in this precinct, and levers Reserve provides a more intimate informal open space close to residential areas. Dominant land uses include residential, health facilities and education. No specific redevelopment sites are identified for this sub-precinct.	
PA4	Melbourne University defines this sub-precinct. There is no existing open space, however the University campus provides ancillary open space primarily for the students and working community at the University. The population from Melbourne University utilise existing public open space including Royal Park, Princes Park and Carlton Gardens.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City of Melbourne to advocate to Melbourne University to continue to provide open space on the grounds of Melbourne University, including potentially improving access to the sporting field and reduce the demands for unstructured recreational use of sports fields in Princes Park.

8.4.5 Parkville Actions

8.4.5a Summary of overall intent

Provision and distribution

Royal Park is the major open space in Parkville and comprises the majority of this precinct. This extensive parkland provides for local community use as well as statewide and international visitors to the Zoological Gardens and the State Netball and Hockey Centre. No substantial increase in the Parkville population is forecast, however the substantial forecast increase in population in the City North and the Arden Macaulay urban renewal areas will likely see an increase in visitors to Royal Park.

Quality and design

With the ongoing implementation of the Royal Park Master Plan, improvements that benefit the local community be prioritised in balance with the works to the Municipal level facilities. Some of the other smaller open spaces are also identified for improvement to improve their character and use.

Refer to Figure 8.4~5 illustrating precinct recommendations on the following page.



FIGURE 8.4~5 Summary of strategy recommendations for Parkville *

* Refer also to page 24 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 8.4~5 and photos.

8.4.5b Precinct Actions for Parkville

- A Additional open space**
Not applicable
- B Additional open space links**
Not applicable
- C Capital City open space**
Not applicable

K State open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.4K-1	Royal Park Continue to implement the Royal Park Master Plan including works to improve facilities for the local neighbourhood and those that benefit the broader regional and state-wide visitors.	CoM	Ongoing

D Regional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.4D-1	The Avenue Reserve (South) Continue to maintain Capital City trail link to Princes Park, Hardy Gallagher Reserve through to Merri Creek.	CoM	Ongoing

E Municipal open space

Not applicable

F Neighbourhood open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.4F-1	Galada Avenue Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
8.4F-2	Ievers Reserve Prepare a Design Plan to guide future minor upgrade of this reserve to improve function and use for the local community.	CoM	Medium

G Local open space


No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.4G-1	Parkville Gardens Undertake minor upgrade of this open space to improve accessibility, function and use.	CoM	Low

H Small Local open space

No.	Action	Respons- ibility	Priority
8.4H-1	Carrangall Place Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
8.4H-2	Clunies Ross Reserve Undertake minor upgrade of this open space to improve accessibility, function and use.	CoM	Medium
8.4H-3	Garrard Street Reserve Undertake minor upgrade of this open space to improve accessibility, function and use.	CoM	Low
8.4H-4	Park Street Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
8.4H-5	The Avenue Reserve (North) Undertake minor upgrade of this open space to improve accessibility, function and use.	CoM	Low

J Small Local Link

No.	Action	Respons- ibility	Priority
8.4J-1	Auckland Lane Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing
8.4J-2	Barring Walk Continue to maintain as a pedestrian link.	CoM	Ongoing
8.4J-3	Manchester Lane Reserve Continue to maintain.	CoM	Ongoing



8.5 Port Melbourne

8.5.1 Overview

Port Melbourne in the City of Melbourne includes Webb Dock and extends south west of Lorimer Street to the Westgate Freeway.

When Melbourne was originally settled, one of the main reasons for settling it was the presence of freshwater along the Yarra River, not too far inland from Port Phillip Bay. The Yarra River had a natural rock reef around the vicinity of Queen Street that separated the tidal movement from the freshwater upstream. There was also an underwater bar at the entrance of the Yarra River, which meant that initially all ships arriving from overseas had to drop anchor in Hobsons Bay or at Sandridge Pier in Port Melbourne, with passengers transferred onto small vessels to bring them up the Yarra River. Melbourne developed rapidly during the gold rush in the 1850s and this problem of transferring passengers to smaller vessels was exacerbated. During the late 1870s the Melbourne Harbour Trust was formed and were responsible for improving shipping access to the Melbourne. As a consequence the Yarra River was deepened and straightened, the natural reef near Queen Street removed. The realigned Yarra River forms the boundary to Port Melbourne. While Victoria dock in Docklands was the first port to be constructed, over the years the docks moved downstream with Appleton Dock and Webb Dock in the 1960s.

The need for an improved crossing over the Lower Yarra River increased momentum up to the late 1950s. The final alignment and decision to construct the Westgate Bridge and Freeway was finally made in the early 1960s and a private authority was established to facilitate the funding for this project. Works on the bridge commenced in 1968 and the Westgate Bridge finally opened in November 1978. Westgate Park was established at that time as part of the bridge construction and officially opened in 1985.

Today Port Melbourne continues to be dominated by port related uses and industry with no residential land use in the City of Melbourne part of Port Melbourne and Fishermans Bend.

8.5.2 Existing open space




Figure 8.5~1a Existing open space in Port Melbourne (west)




Figure 8.5~1b Existing open space in Port Melbourne (east)

8.5.2a Open space quantity, hierarchy and character

There is one existing open space in Port Melbourne totalling 28.48 hectares in area. This represents approximately 5.3 per cent of the total land area of the precinct, and 4.9 per cent of the total open space in the City of Melbourne.

Table 8.5(i) Number, size and type of open space

No	Hierarchy of open space	Area (ha)	%
1	Regional	28.48	100%
1	Totals	5.89	100%
1	<i>Restricted open space</i>	5.24	

The only open space in the City of Melbourne part of Port Melbourne is Westgate Park, which was established during and after the Westgate Bridge and Freeway in the mid 1980s. Parks Victoria is responsible for the management of this Regional open space, and it is managed for its environmental values and the broader regional recreational needs. The City of Melbourne does not have a direct role in site.

Table 8.5(ia) Existing open space, hierarchy and character classification

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
WESTGATE PARK	Regional	Nature conservation	Informal	284,800

Table 8.5(iib) Existing restricted open space Port Melbourne

Open Space	Hierarchy	Primary Character Classification	Secondary Character Classification	Area (m ²)
KARTING COMPLEX	Regional	Restricted sport / recreation		52,350
TOTAL RESTRICTED				52,350

8.5.2b Distribution

With only one Regional open space located near the Westgate Bridge, the majority of workers in Port Melbourne do not have easy access to open space. The closest other open space to the east is Point Park in Docklands. The 500 metre walking catchment for Point Park extends into the eastern end of Port Melbourne, as shown in Figure 8.5~2b.

8.5.2c Ancillary and adjoining open space

Pier 35 and the Lorimer Street road reserve downstream to Westgate Park provides some ancillary open space with a path connection to the Westgate Punt. Other than this section, the remaining Yarra River frontage area is inaccessible to the general public as the port related activities occur in the area. The Draft Port

of Melbourne Open Space Master Plan (2011) identifies opportunities to increase access to some of the Yarra River foreshore areas and this would be supported by this strategy.




Figure 8.5~2a Gap analysis for Port Melbourne (west)




Figure 8.5~2b Gap analysis for Port Melbourne (east)

8.5.3 Existing Port Melbourne community

8.5.3a Who lives and works in Port Melbourne?

There is no residential population in the City of Melbourne area of Port Melbourne including Fishermans Bend area. The land use is primarily industrial and port related activities, so the worker population is a combination of professionals and blue-collar workers.

Quantity

- Existing residential population 2011: 0 (Source: .id Consulting population forecasts 2011)
- Existing worker population 2011: 15,366 (Source: Census of Land Use and Employment, 2010)

8.5.3b How existing residents currently use open space

Not applicable, as there is no residential population in the City of Melbourne area of Port Melbourne.

8.5.4 Analysis of future change and open space needs

8.5.4a Forecast future population

Who will live and work there in the future?

Quantity

The table below includes the forecast population growth for the residential and worker populations Residential population growth figures are sourced from .id Consulting (2011) Population Forecasts 2011-2031 and the worker population growth figures are from Deloitte Access Economics (2011, Q3) and SGS Economics and Planning (2011).

Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Residential	0	0	0	0	N/A
Years	2011	2016	2021	2026	2040
Worker	15,366	N/A	N/A	17,000	N/A

The forecast future population growth in the residential population between 2011 and 2026 is 0. The worker population forecasts do not extend to 2026, and currently are forecast to increase by approximately 11 per cent, with 1,634 additional workers between 2011 and 2026.

8.5.4b Population change impacts on open space

The additional quantity of people working in Port Melbourne will place increased demand on the need for providing some open space for workers to use, particularly during breaks from work.

8.5.4c Where change will occur

The population forecasts include the worker population and there is no planned future residential use in the City of Melbourne part of Port Melbourne. If this situation was to change in the future, then the need for open space in this precinct would require reassessment.

8.5.4d Open space distribution and future need in Port Melbourne

Within Port Melbourne the major roads form barriers to safe and easy access to open space. Future open space provision and needs in Port Melbourne has been analysed on the basis of identifying opportunities for additional open space within the context of improving the diversity of open space for the worker population.




FIGURE 8.5~3 Open space sub-precinct diagram for Port Melbourne

Table 8.5 (iv) Port Melbourne open space sub-precincts

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
PM1	<p>This sub-precinct includes Westgate Park and extends from Todd Street to the boundary of the Port of Melbourne Land. Existing open space includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Westgate Park <p>Westgate Park is for a regional population and nature conservation, rather than being</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Investigate the potential to secure the foreshore of the Yarra River as public open space and improve its condition and character for local community use. City of Melbourne to liaise with Parks Victoria regarding potential upgrades to Westgate Park to improve its character and use while protecting

Sub-precinct	Description	Conclusions
	<p>designed to for the local worker population in Fishermans Bend.</p> <p>This sub-precinct is predominantly industrial land use, with port related activities and the marina / entertainment precinct at Pier 35.</p> <p>Ancillary open space includes the land along the Yarra River foreshore between Westgate Park and Pier 35.</p>	<p>environmental values.</p>
PM2	<p>Located between Todd Road and the Bolte Bridge, this sub-precinct contains no open space. The Karting Complex is the only ancillary open space in the precinct.</p> <p>The land us is predominantly industrial with port related activities along the Yarra River frontage precluding public access.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Investigate the potential to provide a new sheltered Local open space in the east end of this sub-precinct close to Salmon Street to encourage workers outdoors to relax and encourage fitness with some informal recreation facilities. • Investigate the potential to provide a future open space link along the Yarra River frontage through this sub-precinct if there is a change to the land use from port related activities. • In any future subdivision of the large land parcels this sub-precinct occur, investigate options to improve east-west pedestrian and cycle connectivity.
PM3	<p>The eastern most extent of Port Melbourne is included in this sub-precinct, extending east from the Bolte Bridge, and south of Lorimer Street to the Westgate Freeway.</p> <p>There is no existing open space.</p> <p>Docklands adjoins this sub-precinct to the east where the nearest adjoining open space is Point Park.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide an additional Local open space primarily for the worker community south of Lorimer Street so it is easily accessible without crossing major roads.

8.5.5 Port Melbourne Actions

8.5.5a Summary of overall intent

Provision and distribution

The worker community is forecast to continue to expand in Port Melbourne, and this Strategy supports the provision of future open space to meet the open space needs of the existing and forecast future population. Access to the existing Yarra River frontage south of Pier 35 is recommended and if in the long term the port related activities are not required or change in the future, continuous public

access along the Yarra River is recommended. It is noted that currently there are no plans for any change in port related activities. New Local open spaces are proposed and a future open space link is proposed between the proposed Local open spaces and Westgate Park that includes a combination of on-road and off-road links.

Quality and design

City of Melbourne is to liaise with Parks Victoria to investigate improvements to the design of Westgate Park to encourage increased community ownership and use. The proposed open spaces are to be designed to encourage workers outdoors during lunchtime, including some facilities for unstructured recreation.




FIGURE 8.5~4 Summary of strategy recommendations for Port Melbourne *

* Refer also to page 25 of the Open Space Strategy for a larger version of Figure 8.5~4 and photos.

8.5.5b Precinct Actions for Port Melbourne

A Additional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.5A-1	Provide an additional Local open space for the worker community south of Lorimer Street in sub-precinct P1. The location is to be accessible to the community and may utilise some road reserve to establish the open space.	CoM, Developer	High
8.5A-2	Provide a new sheltered Local open space in sub-precinct P2 to encourage workers outdoors, and potentially some informal recreation facilities.	CoM, Developer	High

B Additional open space links

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.5B-1	Investigate the potential to secure the foreshore of the Yarra River as public open space and improve its condition and character between Westgate Freeway and Pier 35.	CoM Port of Melbourne	High
8.5B-2	Investigate future open space link along the Yarra River from the Bolte Bridge downstream to Pier 35 in the long term, only if the area changed from a working port to an alternative use in the future.	CoM, Port of Melbourne	Ongoing
8.5B-3	Investigate potential future open space link between Westgate Park and the proposed open space in sub-precinct P2, if the large land holding was to redevelop in the future.	CoM	Ongoing
8.5B-4	Investigate potential future open space link between Westgate Park and the proposed open space in sub-precinct P2, if the large land holding was to redevelop in the future.	CoM	Ongoing
8.5B-5	Achieve improved pedestrian link along Turner Street to provide access between future Local open spaces in sub-precincts P1 and P2.	CoM	Medium

C Capital City open space - Not applicable

D Regional open space

No.	Action	Responsibility	Priority
8.5D-1	Westgate Park City of Melbourne to liaise with Parks Victoria regarding potential upgrade to Westgate Park to improve its character and use while protecting the environmental values.	PV, CoM	Medium



9. Implementation

9.1 Responsibilities

The Urban Landscapes Branch, City Design Division of the City of Melbourne will primarily lead the Implementation of the strategy. Other divisions and branches of the City of Melbourne will be responsible for implementing components of the strategy as described in the following table.

Branch and Division	Responsibilities
City Design/Urban Landscapes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overall implementation of the strategy including coordinating the involvement of other areas of council as required during its implementation. • Monitoring and implementation of all actions and recommendations in this strategy and establish a mechanism to oversee this. • Undertake the sub-precinct analysis for new open space and continue to liaise with and inform Strategic and Statutory planning areas of council in relation to forthcoming development applications regarding the City of Melbourne preferred outcome for provision of open space. • Liaise with relevant areas of the Victorian Government to advocate for additional Capital City and Municipal open space area to be set aside in planned redevelopment on Victorian Government land as identified in this strategy. • Review and provide advice on the open space component of development applications. • Continue to update and prepare master plans for the major areas of open space including those

Branch and Division	Responsibilities
	<p>identified in this strategy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare the detailed design documentation for upgrades to and establishment of new open space as required consistent with master plan and design plans. • Provide design guidelines and advice to other agencies or developers involved with establishment of new or upgrade to existing open space in the City of Melbourne, to meet the directions outlined in this strategy. • Continue to maintain an appropriate recording system for open space contributions to assist appropriate recording and spending of these funds. • Coordinate the annual open space budget allocation in accordance with the priorities established in this strategy.
<p>City Design/Parks Services</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement the capital works program consistent with priorities in this strategy. • Ongoing management and maintenance of open space. • Annual budget for operational and maintenance expenditure in open space. • Development and enforcement of regulations in and adjoining open space.
<p>City Planning and Infrastructure/ Strategic Planning</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure the Melbourne Planning Scheme and other strategic documents, including structure plans, are consistent with this strategy. • Amend the Municipal Strategic Statement to implement the strategic elements and directions from this strategy. • Amend the schedule to clause 52.01 of the Melbourne Planning Scheme to introduce the 8 per cent and 5 per cent contribution rates identified in this strategy. • Amend the local policy in the Melbourne Planning Scheme in relation to open space contributions to provide guidance on how the City of Melbourne will assess contributions and when land or cash will be requested as described in this strategy. • Liaise with relevant areas of the Victorian Government to advocate for additional Capital City and Municipal open space area to be set aside in the initial stages of planned redevelopment on Victorian Government land as identified in this strategy.
<p>City Planning and Infrastructure/ Planning and</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administer and collect open space contributions. • Liaise with developers in the initial development application stage to achieve desired outcomes for

Branch and Division	Responsibilities
Building	<p>open space as set out in this strategy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Require new open space land area be included in development plans where Urban Landscapes has identified this is required.
City Planning and Infrastructure/ Parking and Traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Domestic animal management
Community Development/ Recreation Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue to plan for and manage structured sport and recreation facilities in open space. • Provide advice to Urban Landscapes, Urban Design and Strategic Planning regarding the future provision of structured sport and recreation facilities in new open space.

9.2 Funding

9.2.1 Capital works

Capital works will continue to be funded on behalf of the existing community through a combination of City of Melbourne rates and other forms of revenue, and external funding grants from the federal and state governments.

9.2.2 Land acquisition

Open space has been added to the network over time, including more recently in Kensington as part of the redevelopment of the Newmarket Sales Yards, Birrarung Marr and some of the new open space in Docklands. The City of Melbourne will continue to add open space to the network over the next 15 years in accordance with the priorities in this strategy.

Additional land will be achieved by a combination of:

- Land contributions from the Victorian Government for new Capital City open space and Municipal open space where identified in this strategy.
- Land contributions may be sought from developers at the discretion of the City of Melbourne.
- Conversion of existing public land to open space, including section of road reserves where appropriate.
- Purchase of additional land utilising a combination of open space contributions and other funding where a land contribution or conversion of public land cannot achieve the required open space.
- A combination of the above methods may be utilised to achieve the additional land area required for new open space.

9.3 Open space contributions

9.3.1 Value of annual contributions

Open space contributions collected by the City of Melbourne are held in a Reserve fund. Transfers made in and out of the fund are accounted for annually. It is noted that the fund can only be used for public open space land acquisition and capital works in accordance with the *Subdivision Act 1988*.

Figures obtained from the City of Melbourne's Annual Reports for the financial years 2004-05 to 2009-10 indicate that open space contribution funds have been collected and spent annually as follows:

	2010 \$'000	2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000	2007 \$'000	2006 \$'000	2005 \$'000
Balance at the beginning of the financial year	2,139	2,390	2,114	1,900	4,564	4,417
Transfer to accumulated reserves	2,218	2,055	788	864	2,265	2,054
Amounts used	1,818	2,306	512	650	4,928	1,906
Balance at the end of the financial year	2,539	2,139	2,390	2,114	1,900	4,564

9.3.2 Open space contributions framework

One component of this strategy is an open space contributions framework, which sets out the means by which additional open space and capital works improvements can be delivered. The framework is discussed in Section 5.7. The framework includes continued use of contributions at the time of subdivision but it changes the way that the rate would be assessed for each subdivision and the rate to be applied. A strategic contribution rate of 8 per cent and 5 per cent is proposed as set out in Section 9.3.3 and this is to be introduced through a schedule in clause 52.01 of the Melbourne Planning Scheme.

The benefit of adopting a strategic contribution rate is that the City of Melbourne can be confident that developers will pay a fair share of future open space acquisition and works. The organisation will be able to budget for open space projects with greater certainty and genuine open space improvements can be delivered for the forecast population.

9.3.3 Contribution rate to be established

The open space contribution rate will be included in the Melbourne Planning Scheme (rate schedule at clause 52.01) by way of an amendment to the scheme. The planning scheme amendment process provides for public exhibition and submissions, and independent review by a Planning Panel.

The City of Melbourne is in the process of revising its Municipal Strategic Statement (MSS Amendment C162 to the Melbourne Planning Scheme). The MSS can provide the basis for the Council adoption of the contributions framework and specifically use of clause 52.01 of the planning scheme.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
9.3.3-A	<p>Open space contribution rate in the Melbourne Planning Scheme</p> <p>The City of Melbourne is to introduce an open space contribution rate in the schedule to clause 52.01 of the Melbourne Planning Scheme. The proposed rate is 8 per cent and 5 per cent, to be applied as shown in Figure 9(a). Drafting of the planning scheme amendment is to follow best practice for open space contribution amendments using clause 52.01. The amendment should include the strategy as a reference document. It should indicate in what circumstances a land contribution will be requested and the criteria for these.</p>	CoM	Very High
9.3.3-B	<p>Municipal Strategic Statement</p> <p>The draft revised Municipal Strategic Statement should be reviewed to ensure there is a clear strategic basis for introduction of the open space contribution rate.</p>	CoM	Very High

9.3.3a Open space contribution precincts

Based on analysing the dollar value of recommended open space projects and determining a fair allocation of this value to the new population, the contribution rate is proposed to be applied within contribution precincts that are consistent with the precincts described in Sections 7 and 8. The open space contribution precincts are shown on Figure 9(a). The contribution precincts are based on the suburbs and their sub-areas and reflect the relative redevelopment and population growth expected in each area. The contribution precincts respond to the different levels of change that will occur in the different areas of the municipality.

The precinct groupings and their proposed contribution rate to be included in the Melbourne Planning Scheme amendment are:

8 per cent contribution precinct

- City North
- Docklands
- Kensington and North Melbourne Arden Macaulay
- Melbourne 3000
- Racecourse Rail Corridor
- Southbank | South Wharf
- West Melbourne E-Gate
- West Melbourne Dynon Rail Corridor

5 per cent contribution precinct

- Carlton | Carlton North
- East Melbourne
- Flemington | Ascot Vale
- Kensington
- Melbourne 3004
- North Melbourne
- Parkville
- Port Melbourne
- South Yarra
- West Melbourne

The geographic distribution of the two proposed rates is illustrated in Figure 9(a).




FIGURE 9(a) City of Melbourne open space contribution precincts

9.3.4 Anticipated value of future contributions

The strategy anticipates changes in the percentage contribution rate to be applied to subdivisions. The following table indicates how funding of strategy projects included in the contribution rate will be allocated between the existing population, represented by rates, grants and user income, and the forecast population, represented by future open space land and cash contributions. The figures in the table are based on the contribution rate of 8 per cent and 5 per cent as discussed in Section 9.3.3 and show the anticipated contributions over the 15-year life of the strategy (current dollars).

	City of Melbourne allocation (existing population apportionment)	%	Open space contributions (new population apportionment)	%	Total \$ value of the strategy included in the rate
Funding for capital works					
Total over 15 years:	\$91.4 Million	45%	\$109.5 Million	55%	\$200.9 Million
Average per year over 15 years:	\$6.1 Million		\$7.3 Million		\$13.4 Million
Value of land for open space					
Total over 15 years:	\$187.7 Million	35%	\$299.1 Million	65%	\$486.8 Million
Average per year over 15 years:	\$12.5 Million		\$19.9 Million		\$32.4 Million

9.3.5 Land contributions

Section 6.3.2 recommends undertaking a sub-precinct analysis where new open space is needed to determine options for achieving this. One option will be land contributions. The analysis will involve identifying parcels of land that are likely to redevelop in the short to medium term and assessing whether part of the land would be suitable for a land contribution. Where sites are determined to be suitable, then the City of Melbourne will need an internal system to identify these sites to the planners and urban designers who will be conducting pre-application discussions and site negotiations. This will require coordination with planners from the Department of Planning and Community Development and Places Victoria where they are part of the approval process.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
9.3.5-A	<p>Potential land contributions identified in advance of redevelopment proposals</p> <p>The City of Melbourne is to establish an internal mechanism to ensure sites identified for potential land contributions are communicated to strategic and statutory planners, urban designers and subdivision officers, to inform pre-application discussions and site negotiations. This will apply to redevelopment applications determined by the City of Melbourne</p>	CoM	Very High & Ongoing

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
	and also where the Department of Planning and Community Development and potentially Places Victoria have a role in the approval process.		

9.3.6 Demonstrated need for more open space as a result of the subdivision

The City of Melbourne will use this strategy when assessing the need for individual open space contributions. Sections 6, 7 and 8 and any future sub-precinct analyses will be particularly relevant.

Sections 7 and 8 provide a strategic evaluation of open space need at a local level based on forecast growth. They provide guidance on how incremental changes in population that occur with each development will affect the open space network over time, and therefore the contribution that subdivision should make to open space. Any sub-precinct analysis that has been undertaken will identify potential sites for land contributions.

Table 6(ii) includes the criteria that a land contribution should meet. The location and configuration of any land contribution within individual sites will be at the City of Melbourne's discretion.

No.	Recommendation	Responsibility	Priority
9.3.6-A	Subdivision assessment of need for open space When assessing subdivision applications, the City of Melbourne (and any other approving authority) is to undertake an open space needs assessment using the findings and recommendations of this strategy. This includes the need established by this strategy for all subdivisions to contribute to open space and the type of contribution required, whether as land or equivalent value in cash.	CoM	Very High & Ongoing

9.3.6a Applying the *Subdivision Act 1988* criteria

The following outlines how the City of Melbourne should assess a subdivision against the Section 18(1A) criteria to establish the need for an open space contribution – whether cash or land – from a subdivision. This assessment supports the determination of open space need and the decision about whether the City of Melbourne will request a land or a cash contribution:

Criteria from <i>Subdivision Act 1988</i> Section 18(1A)	Matters to be considered (different options are indicated for some responses)
<i>(a) the existing and proposed use or development of the land</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The development is an intensification of use on the land resulting in increased development, and/or • The development is part of the overall intensification of use and development for the local area.
<i>(b) any likelihood that existing open space will be more intensively used after than before the subdivision</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The subdivision will result in an increase in population, and therefore the existing open space will be used more intensively after the subdivision. Incremental increases in population add pressures on existing open space. Even minimal pressures require additional resources and contribute to a cumulative increase in use. • Dwellings with less private open space can mean an increased reliance on public open space for residents' recreational needs.
<i>(c) any existing or likely population density in the area of the subdivision and the effect of the subdivision on this</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The subdivision is located in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – an established neighbourhood where infill development is occurring, or – an urban renewal area. • Additional development is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – increasing the number of buildings, scale of buildings, and/or – introducing new residential development into the area. <p>This development is part of this trend.</p> • The City of Melbourne's forecasts indicate that the population density of the municipality and the local area in which the subdivision is located is predicted to increase. This development is adding incrementally to that increased density. Population density in the area of the subdivision will increase cumulatively through the effects of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – infill development, or – large scale redevelopment <p>such as this subdivision.</p> <p>Refer to Sections 7 and 8 of this strategy for the local precinct analysis.</p>
<i>(d) whether there are existing places of public resort or recreation in the neighbourhood</i>	<p>Where a cash contribution is required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The existing places of resort and recreation within the local area include (<i>refer to precinct map</i>).

Criteria from <i>Subdivision Act 1988 Section 18(1A)</i>	Matters to be considered (different options are indicated for some responses)
<i>of the subdivision, and the adequacy of these</i>	<p>These open spaces are recommended for improvement or upgrade.</p> <p>Where a land contribution is required:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The gap analysis has identified the need for additional open space in the local area (<i>refer to recommendations for additional land</i>) because of an increasing (residential, worker) population. <p>Refer to Sections 7 and 8 of this strategy for specific actions on relevant open space.</p>
<i>(e) how much of the land in the subdivision is likely to be used for places of resort and recreation for lot owners</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This statement may not be applicable because the development does not set aside public open space facilities for the use of all of the lot owners.
<i>(f) any policies of the Council concerning the provision of places of public resort and recreation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The City of Melbourne has adopted an open space strategy, included as a reference document in the planning scheme (when relevant), which details the actions for public open space in the municipality and in the local area in which the subdivision is located. These actions have been planned to respond to the needs of the changing population. The strategy is supported by the planning scheme and local policy. The occupants of the subdivision can expect to enjoy the benefits of improvements and additions to the open space network.

9.4 Priorities

Priorities in the strategy have been assigned based on the following:

- Extent of forecast future population change and priorities outlined in the population forecasts supplied by the City of Melbourne
- Existing distribution of open space and adequacy of this to meet the needs of the existing and forecast future population

The priorities allocated to the Actions in the strategy are indicative only, and in response to the information available at time. Over 15 years it is anticipated that some changes will occur which effect the priorities for Actions in the strategy.

Priority table for Recommendations in the strategy

Priority	Timeframe
Very High	Years 1 to 3
High	Years 4 to 7
Medium	Years 8 to 11
Low	Years 12 to 15
Ongoing	Ongoing

Many of the projects in the strategy are reliant on forecast future population change and urban renewal areas redeveloping, and if the priorities will need to change to reflect the actual situation over the next 15 years.

It is anticipated that City Design will prepare more detailed implementation plans within each of the broad priority categories recommended in the strategy, broken down over each of the years. It is anticipated some priorities associated with future change and development will require amendment to reflect the ongoing changing market trends and directions. Works will then be subject to the budget allocation and approvals process in accordance with all priorities within The City of Melbourne.

9.5 Monitoring

The Urban Landscape team in the City Design area of the City of Melbourne is responsible for the implementation and monitoring of the strategy and will aim to:

- Establish an appropriate project working group to meet on at least a bi-annual basis to oversee the strategy implementation.
- Establish an appropriate system of planning, documenting and recording the works completed from the strategy
- Regularly monitor the implementation of the strategy to assess the progress and success of the recommendations.
- Regularly report on the strategy progress including the open space contributions program (annually).

Review the strategy at a time that is deemed appropriate based on the annual reporting (eg. between the 5th and 7th year of the strategy's operation).

References

The references are in alphabetical order, and for the City of Melbourne the listing is in date order from oldest to most recent.

- At Leisure (2006) **Outdoor sports facility projections 2006 - 2016** Volumes 1 Future Options, and Vol 2 Participation and Demand Analysis, prepared for City of Melbourne
- Adelaide City Council Staff et al, **2009 Adelaide Park Lands Management Strategy**
- CABE Space (undated, post 2003) **Is the Grass Greener? Learning from international innovations in urban green space management** Prepared by CABE Space, part of the Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment, London, UK
- CABE Space (undated) **The value of public space - How high quality parks and public spaces create economic, social and environmental value** Prepared by CABE Space, part of the Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment, London, UK
- City of Melbourne (1984) **Melbourne's Historic Public Gardens, A management and conservation guide**, published by the City of Melbourne, prepared by Rex Swanson of Landform Australia Pty Ltd.
- City of Melbourne (1991) **Melbourne's Parks & Gardens History, Features & Statistics**
- City of Melbourne (1998) **Royal Park Master Plan**
- City of Melbourne (1998) **JJ Holland Park Concept Plan**
- City of Melbourne(1998) **Princes Park Ten Year Plan**
- City of Melbourne (2000) **Public Open Space Levy Policy, Public Open Space Fees**
- City of Melbourne (2000) **Flagstaff Gardens Master Plan**
- City of Melbourne (2005) **Carlton Gardens Master Plan**
- City of Melbourne (2006) **Fawkner Park Master Plan**
- City of Melbourne (2008) **City of Melbourne Suburbs- Carlton, Carlton Nth, North Melbourne & Parkville** map
- City of Melbourne (2008) **City of Melbourne Suburbs- Docklands & West Melbourne (west section)** map
- City of Melbourne (2008) **City of Melbourne Suburbs- Docklands & West Melbourne (East section)** map
- City of Melbourne (2008) **City of Melbourne Suburbs- East Melbourne & Jolimont** map
- City of Melbourne (2008) **City of Melbourne Suburbs- Flemington & Kensington** map
- City of Melbourne (2008) **City of Melbourne Suburbs- Melbourne 3000** map
- City of Melbourne (2008) **City of Melbourne Suburbs- Melbourne 3004, Southbank, Sth Yarra and South Wharf** map
- City of Melbourne (2008) **City of Melbourne Suburbs- Port Melbourne & Fisherman's Bend** map
- City of Melbourne (2008) **CLUE data, various non-residential data and forecasts, 2008-2010**

City of Melbourne (2008) **District Precinct Program** map

City of Melbourne (2008) **Economic and Demographic Profiles By Suburb**
<http://www.melbourne.vic.gov.au/AboutMelbourne/PrecinctsandSuburbs/suburbprofiles/Pages/Economicanddemographicprofiles.aspx>

City of Melbourne (2008) **IMAP Open Space Framework** map

City of Melbourne (2008) **Inner Melbourne Action Plan, Annual Report 2007- 2008**

City of Melbourne (2008) **Suburbs & Postcodes** map

City of Melbourne (2009) **Climate Change Adaptation Strategy** prepared by Maunsell Australia

City of Melbourne (2009) **Council Plan 2009- 2013**

City of Melbourne (2009) **Cultural precincts**, www.melbourne.vic.gov.au

City of Melbourne (2009) **District Central**, www.melbourne.vic.gov.au

City of Melbourne (2009) **District North**, www.melbourne.vic.gov.au

City of Melbourne (2009) **District Precinct Program 2007- 2010** introduction, www.melbourne.vic.gov.au

City of Melbourne (2009) **District South**, www.melbourne.vic.gov.au

City of Melbourne (2009) **District West**, www.melbourne.vic.gov.au

City of Melbourne (2009) **Docklands Coordination Committee**, www.melbourne.vic.gov.au

City of Melbourne (2009) **Eco-City Committee Report, Master Planning Melbourne's Parks and Gardens**, June 2009

City of Melbourne (2009) **Public Open Space Contributions Assessment Guidelines**

City of Melbourne (2009) **Total Watermark: City as catchment** based on a report issued to Council titled 'City as Catchment: a strategy for adaptation' by EDAW Ecological Engineering Practice Area

City of Melbourne (2010) **Melbourne Planning Scheme Amendment C162** (exhibited MSS revision)
[http://www.dse.vic.gov.au/Shared/ats.nsf/\(attachmentopen\)/D6887DAC7ACA5698CA257758007F3636/\\$File/exh+C162+Clauses+21.01+to+21.08.pdf?OpenElement](http://www.dse.vic.gov.au/Shared/ats.nsf/(attachmentopen)/D6887DAC7ACA5698CA257758007F3636/$File/exh+C162+Clauses+21.01+to+21.08.pdf?OpenElement)

City of Melbourne (2011) **Draft Arden Macaulay Structure Plan 2011**, May 2011

City of Melbourne (2011) **Draft City North Structure Plan 2011**, May 2011

City of Melbourne (2011) **Draft Urban Forest Strategy** Making a great city greener 2012 - 2032

City of Melbourne (2011) **Melbourne Planning Scheme**

City of Melbourne (2012) **Transport Strategy 2012** Planning for future growth

Deakin University (2008) **Healthy Parks, Healthy People - The health benefits of contact with nature in a park context** Prepared for Parks Victoria by the School of Health and Social Development, Deakin University

Department of Human Services (2008) **Vitamin D and the Built Environment in Victoria** - a guideline for planners, engineers, architects and policy makers in local and state government

Department of Sustainability and Environment (2008) **Green Paper Land and biodiversity at a time of climate change**

EDAW and Sharon Sykes (2009) **An Analysis of Open Space Provision- Draft**

Ernst and Young (2008) **Public Open Space Contributions, Internal Audit- Final Report**, prepared for City of Melbourne

id consulting, residential forecasts updated March 2010,
<http://forecast2.id.com.au/default.aspx?id=128&pg=5000>

i.d. Consulting City of Melbourne Population Forecasts Workshop Presentation November 2010 (unpublished)

Lovell Chen (2011) **Royal Exhibition Buildings & Carlton Gardens Conservation Management Plan** Updated 2008

Maddocks (2009) **Maroondah -v- Fletcher, What does it mean?** presentation

Market Solutions (2009) **2009 Parks Satisfaction Research Study- A Report of Research Findings**, prepared for City of Melbourne

Market Solutions (2009) **2009 Parks Satisfaction Survey- Research results presented 30 July 2009**, prepared for City of Melbourne

Marmaras, Anthony (2008) **Devonport City Council- Open Space Strategy**, prepared for Devonport City Council

Melbourne City Council/ VicUrban (2007) **Docklands Protocol Agreement** (Docklands Coordination Committee)

Melbourne Water (2007) **Port Phillip and Westernport Regional River Health Strategy**, prepared by Melbourne Water and Port Phillip and Westernport Catchment Management Authority

Parks Victoria (2002) **Linking People and Spaces - A strategy for Melbourne's open space network**

Russell Kennedy (9 November 2009) **Local Government and Planning- Recent Court of Appeal, Decision Maroondah City Council v GC Fletcher & Minister for Planning**

SGL (2010) **Community Recreation Needs Study** prepared for City of Melbourne

Stratcorp Consulting Pty Ltd (March 2007) **Open Space and Recreation Needs Study, Volume 1- The Strategy** (amended draft), prepared for the City of Sydney

Victorian Government (2002) **Melbourne 2030 Planning for sustainable growth**, and Addendum to Melbourne 2030 Activity Centres and Principal Public Transport Network Plan November 2003 by Department of Sustainability and Environment

Victorian Government (December 2008) **Melbourne 2030: a planning update Melbourne @ 5 million** (Department of Planning and Community Development)

Victorian Government (May 2008) **Planning for all of Melbourne: The Victorian Government response to Melbourne 2030 Audit** (Department of Planning and Community Development)

VicUrban (2009) **Melbourne Docklands**, now Places Victoria

Victorian Auditor-General (2009) **Use of Development Contributions by Local Government** Victorian Auditor General's Report 2009-10:13, December 2009, published by the Victorian Government Printer

Appendix A

**City of Melbourne Open Space Strategy
Technical Report**

Research Report

Household survey outcomes summary for the City of Melbourne Open Space Strategy

**Prepared for:
City of Melbourne**

Prepared by
Thompson Berrill Landscape Design Pty Ltd

May 2011

Table of contents

		Page No.
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Summary of the household survey	1
1.1.1	Survey design and distribution	1
1.1.2	Overview summary of the household survey	3
2	MUNICIPALITY WIDE SUMMARY	7
2.1	Who completed the survey	7
2.1.1	Gender	7
2.1.2	Age range	7
2.1.3	Suburb	8
2.1.4	Dwelling type	9
2.2	Values of open space	11
2.3	Open space within walking distance	10
2.3.1	Most frequently visited reserves within walking distance	10
2.3.2	Reasons for visiting the most frequently visited reserves within walking distance and suggested improvements	10
2.4	Open space beyond walking distance	26
2.4.1	Most frequently visited open space beyond walking distance	26
2.4.2	Reasons for visiting the most frequently visited reserves beyond walking distance	26
2.5	Open space not visited	34
2.5.1	Open space not visited space	34
2.5.2	Reasons for not visiting open space	34
2.6	Types of open space used	36
2.7	Activities and facilities in open space	37
2.7.1	Use of facilities	37
2.7.2	Provision of facilities	37
2.7.3	Maintenance of facilities	38
2.8	General comments on open space	39
Appendix A		
A1	Assumptions during data entry	1

1. Introduction

1.1 Summary of the household survey

1.1.1 Survey design and distribution

During the initial research phase, a household survey was prepared as the main method of seeking community input to the development of the Draft Open Space Strategy for the City of Melbourne. The Survey was distributed as an insert to the Council Newsletter - Melbourne News in the July 2010 edition. Following this a second print run of the survey was distributed to households within the City at the end of August 2010 with a further four weeks for return until the end of the September 2010.

The intent of the household survey was to provide residents with some information about the Strategy, with a comprehensive survey to gain an understanding of the existing patterns of use, what the community value about open space and issues that the community need addressed in open space. It comprised 13 questions and additional space provided to allow respondents to make any further general comments on open space that may not have been included in the specific questions. The responses to the questionnaires were entered into a relational Access database and summarised by each suburb, and combined as an overall municipality-wide summary. This information informs the development of the Draft Strategy at both the overall level and at the suburb level.

1.1.2 Overall summary of the household survey outcomes

A total of 1,776 questionnaires were completed and returned which represents approximately 4 per cent of 40,000 households in the City of Melbourne.

In general, residents valued the wide variety of open space available within their municipality, with many acknowledging that the choice and variety of open space in the City of Melbourne was the key reason they had chosen to live in the area. The existing open space is regarded as a community asset, and respondents enjoy living in Melbourne because of it. It was noted how important open space is to residents' quality of life, as it provides opportunities for exercise, recreation and relaxation away from busy roads and traffic. Examples of activities enjoyed by respondents in open space included walking, dog walking, cycling, playing sport, taking children or grandchildren to the playground, meeting friends and family, watching birds/wildlife, meeting friends at cafes or for exercise, attending events and performances, eating out at cafes, and generally enjoying the natural environment.


While it was generally acknowledged that there was good provision of open space within Melbourne, many residents did express concern about the impact of future development on open space areas, not only in terms of the total area available, but also in the character of open space, and the impact of adjoining buildings on this character. They ask that there be no further loss of open space to development. Many request Council to retain, if not increase, existing open space within the municipality to offset development, and to ensure that increasing residential density is accompanied by a commitment to increase open space provision proportionally.

2. Municipal wide summary

2.1 Who completed the survey

2.1.1 Gender


Approximately 61% of respondents were female, and 38% male. There is a significant under-representation of male respondents compared with the total population figures from the 2006 Census data of 49% female, and 51% male. The 1% 'Not Completed' includes surveys indicating completion by both male and female respondents (multiple respondents indicated for one survey).



2.1.2 Age Range

The age ranges between the household survey and the Census data vary slightly. It is noted that there is an under-representation of the younger age group - 0 to 15, and an over-representation of the older age groups, ie. age 48-69.

Responses from the younger age groups will also be analysed separately to identify if there are different trends between the younger and older population use of open space, and this will be reflected in the report recommendations.




2.1.3 Household

Respondents were asked to enter the number of adults and the number of children (if any) in their household. Results were grouped into the following categories (to enable comparison with Census data which uses these classifications) and results were grouped as per the bracketed descriptions:

- Lone person household (1 adult 0 children)
- One parent family (1 adult 1 or more children)
- Couples without dependents (2 adults 0 children)
- Couple families with dependents (2 adults 1 or more children)
- Group household (3 or more adults 0 children)
- Other families (3 or more adults 1 or more children)
- Not completed

For the purposes of the survey children were classified as being under 16 years of age. This may have some impact on the results as a household recording 3 adults would as per the above descriptions be classed as a Group household, when it could be 2 parents and a child over 16 years of age. Surveys where the adult or children boxes were ticked as opposed to a number being entered were recorded as Not Completed.


The majority of survey respondents from the City of Melbourne advise their household consists of a couple without dependents; 44% as opposed to 25% for the Census data. 27% have a lone person household compared to the Census percentage of 39%. This could be due to lone person households existing commonly in apartments, for which the survey has a slight under-representation of as detailed in results for question 2.1.6. Survey results for other household types follow the Census data more closely.



2.1.4 City of Melbourne municipality

Survey respondents were asked to tick either a Yes or No box to indicate if they live in the City of Melbourne municipality. During the data entry stage it was noted that a number of respondents who had answered No to this question had actually selected a suburb answer for question 2.1.5 that was within the municipality. It is thought that some respondents may have been confused by the terminology used; believing the City of Melbourne municipality to mean the actual city centre itself. In spite of this the results


show a high proportion of survey respondents are from or recognise they live in the municipality.




2.1.5 Suburb

Completed surveys were received from all suburbs in the City of Melbourne. When compared to the census data the highest under-representation is from Carlton North, followed by Southbank, Carlton and Melbourne 3000. This may be due to the student population in Carlton and Carlton North, and higher proportion of apartments and the difficulty of distributing the surveys to people living in apartment blocks.

There is an over-representation of respondents from South Yarra, followed by East Melbourne, St Kilda Road and Kensington when compared to the 2006 census data.




2.1.6 Dwelling type



The majority of survey respondents live in apartments/flats/units (54%), followed by semi detached/row terrace dwellings and detached dwellings (24% and 18% respectively). Compared with the census data there is an under-representation of survey respondents living in apartments/units/flats and over-representation of residents living in separate houses. This could be attributed to the distribution of surveys to apartments is generally more difficult than to other dwelling types due to restricted access.

2.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued most about the open spaces in their municipality and were invited to select three from the 22 values listed, with an option to add additional values under an Other category. Percentages are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for the whole municipality, 1,776. The graph below illustrates the information, with abbreviations used for what respondents value about open space. Refer to table below for full description of what respondents value.



Trees are indicated as being the most valued aspect of open space with 81% of respondents selecting this option. Almost equally were Quiet and Peaceful, Being Outside and Place to Relax / Unwind were given as reasons respondents value open space. More than half of respondents also selected Spacious, Health and Wellbeing and the Accessibility of open space as being of importance. Playing Sport, Major Events and Playing Casual Games were rated as being of least value. When looking at the top values selected by residents the natural and peaceful qualities of open space are the most highly valued. Following is a table lists these in priority order.

Value	%
Trees	81%
Quiet and peaceful	73%
Being outside	72%
Place to relax and unwind	71%
Spacious	64%
Health and wellbeing	63%
Accessible	55%
Refuge for native plants/animals	42%
Natural character	41%
Safe	39%
Meeting people or friends	39%
The knowledge that it's there	38%
Place for kids to play	33%
Historical character	29%
Feature garden bed planting	28%
Cultural activities	22%
Watching activity	18%
Playing casual games	15%
Major events	14%
Playing sport	13%
Other	8%

2.3 Open space within walking distance

The following table lists the top 20 reserves visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of Melbourne and are listed in priority order.

The percentage column is a calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for the whole of the municipality, 1,776.

2.3.1 Most frequently visited reserves within walking distance

No	Open Space within Walking Distance	%
347	Royal Botanic Gardens	20%
289	Royal Park	16%
249	Carlton Gardens	14%
239	Fitzroy Gardens	14%
203	Princes Park	11%
199	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne	11%
195	Fawkner Park	11%
181	Flagstaff Gardens	10%
149	Yarra Trail	8%
131	J J Holland Park	7%
94	Treasury Gardens	5%
92	Birrarung Marr	5%
91	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve	5%
74	Federation Square	4%

No	Open Space within Walking Distance	%
66	The Tan	4%
58	Yarra Park	3%
50	Kings Domain	3%
46	Argyle Square	3%
46	South Bank Promenade	3%
45	Kensington Banks Small Reserves	3%

2.3.2 Reasons for visiting the most frequently visited reserves within walking distance and suggested improvements

The following table lists the reasons why residents visit the 15 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements and are listed in priority order as shown in 2.3.1. A short summary precedes each section of the table, taking into account the wide variety of comments made regarding suggested improvements. The reasons and improvements are listed in descending priority order, and this includes descending within each % figure.

20% Royal Botanic Gardens (347)

This open space generates great enthusiasm in most respondents for the beauty of its trees and gardens, indeed for its existence at all. It is appreciated for its peacefulness, the character of its older tree plantings, and is used for everything from picnics and recreation to family events and daily exercise, which is most often walking. Concerns raised are in several areas: lighting, in winter and at night; cycle paths, their width, maintenance and signage as regards safe and considerate use; additional drinking fountains, toilets, and more seating, especially in shaded areas. Parking costs, inadequate parking space and lack of public transport access also raised comment. The need to replace older large trees when required is mentioned.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
31%	Exercising	3%	Lighting, additional required
25%	Walking	2%	Paths, uneven surfaces are unsuitable for limited mobility
16%	Recreation	2%	None required
14%	Relaxation	2%	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
13%	Ambience, beauty	2%	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
9%	Picnics	2%	Seats, additional required
9%	Jogging/running	2%	Fountains to be working
7%	Not Completed	2%	Drinking fountains, more required
5%	Gardens	2%	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
5%	Peaceful	2%	A - not completed
5%	Fresh air	1%	Toilets, additional required
4%	Dog walking	1%	Signage, interpretive, directional & regulatory required
3%	Entertainment & events	1%	Shelters, more required
3%	Café and kiosk facilities	1%	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
2%	Travel through	1%	Rubbish, regular removal required
2%	Natural environment	1%	Rubbish bins, more required
2%	Large open space	1%	Picnic tables, more required
1%	Meeting people/friends	1%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
1%	Green grass	1%	Cycle paths, more required
1%	Family & child friendly	1%	BBQs, more required
1%	Close to home	1%	Access - longer opening hours
1%	Children's garden	1%	Watering, Council to be water wise when watering
1%	Work - travel en route	1%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
1%	Trees	1%	Open space, too crowded
1%	Playing with children	1%	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
1%	Cycling	1%	Information, more information about open space and facilities available
1%	Birdlife (watching)	1%	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
1%	Work - lunchtime retreat	1%	Exit turnstiles - install one-way so inadvertent over-stayers can leave safely
1%	Views	1%	Exercise - personal training groups aggressive
1%	Sitting	1%	Drainage - needs improvement
1%	Playground with grandchildren	1%	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
1%	Festivals and markets	1%	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
1%	BBQs	1%	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
1%	Away from busy roads and traffic	1%	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
		1%	Café/ kiosk - more affordable
		1%	Ball games - area required
		1%	Art works & sculpture - more please

16% Royal Park (289)

This large open space is used for walking, with and without dogs, jogging, cycling, an assortment of organised games, informal games and recreation, and to visit the Zoo and the Wetlands. Its size and natural environment are also major attractions. The dog off-lead area is most appreciated. Concerns for better lighting, and maintenance of paths for jogging and cycling were the top priority, as well as requests for more trees and native vegetation, more toilets, drinking fountains and seating. Also, there are many comments about the loss of parts of this open space to development without its promised replacement yet in evidence.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
31%	Walking	8.0%	Lighting, additional required
26%	Exercising	5.5%	Trees, more trees required
15%	Jogging/running	5.2%	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
14%	Dog walking	4.8%	Toilets, additional required
10%	Recreation	4.5%	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
9%	Cycling	4.2%	Developers, ensure they cannot acquire existing open space
5%	Wetlands, visit	3.8%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
5%	Fresh air	2.8%	Seats, additional required
4%	Travel through	2.8%	BBQs, more required
4%	Natural environment	2.4%	Playground, additional required
4%	Large open space	2.1%	Picnic tables, more required
4%	Close to home	2.1%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
3%	Peaceful	1.7%	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
3%	Relaxation	1.7%	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
2%	Zoo	1.4%	Vegetation, more required
2%	Ambience, beauty	1.4%	Trees, more shade trees required
2%	Playing with children	1.4%	Rubbish, regular removal required
2%	Views	1.4%	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
2%	Trees	1.4%	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
2%	Picnics	1.4%	Development, concerns about impact on open space
2%	Birdlife (watching)	1.4%	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
1%	Work - travel en route	1.4%	A - not completed
1%	Sport	1.0%	Weeds require control
1%	Playground and equipment	1.0%	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
1%	Green grass	1.0%	Signage, interpretive, directional & regulatory required
1%	Golf	1.0%	Signage, distance markers required
1%	Gardens	1.0%	Shelters, more required
1%	Tennis	1.0%	Rubbish bins, more required
1%	Playground with grandchildren	1.0%	Paths, uneven surfaces are unsuitable for limited mobility
1%	Dog off-lead area	1.0%	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
1%	BBQs	1.0%	Homeless people a concern
1%	Ball games	1.0%	Grassed areas - maintenance needed

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
		1.0%	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
		1.0%	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
		1.0%	Carpark, additional required
		1.0%	BBQs, regular maintenance required
		1.0%	Art works & sculpture - more please
		1.0%	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
		0.7%	Vegetation, to be well-maintained
		0.7%	Trees, contribute to appeal of parks and playgrounds
		0.7%	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
		0.7%	Release area fenced off in middle of park
		0.7%	Pond, create/install one
		0.7%	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
		0.7%	Paths, personal safety concern on shared paths; need separate paths for cyclists/pedestrians
		0.7%	None required
		0.7%	Litter in open space is an issue
		0.7%	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
		0.7%	Grassed areas, more required
		0.7%	Garden beds, more required
		0.7%	Drinking fountains, more required
		0.7%	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
		0.7%	Dog off-lead, personal safety concerns
		0.7%	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
		0.7%	Chin-up bars
		0.7%	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
		0.7%	Cafes/kiosks, more required
		0.7%	Access - save/retain this open space

14% Carlton Gardens (249)

This open space is used by workers who reside in the surrounding area and use it as a thoroughfare to and from their jobs, as well as by people with children who use the playground, people who visit the Museum and those who just relax. Allowing cycles is the first concern of respondents who use this space. The ongoing issue of cycle paths and their rules of use is also a concern. Lighting, recreation facilities (picnic tables and BBQs), seating, drinking fountains and toilets are also requested. The Flower and Garden Show is seen by residents who commented as destructive to their local garden and intrusive – and exclusive – for its duration

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
22%	Walking	9.6%	Cycling - allow bicycles
14%	Exercising	5.6%	Lighting, additional required
12%	Recreation	4.0%	BBQs, more required
11%	Travel through	2.8%	Picnic tables, more required
11%	Not Completed	2.8%	Cycle paths, more required
10%	Relaxation	2.4%	Toilets, additional required
7%	Playground & equipment	3.6%	Flower & Garden Show - remove
6%	Work - travel en route	2.4%	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
6%	Picnics	2.4%	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
6%	Museum	2.0%	Seats, additional required
5%	Jogging/running	2.0%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
5%	Dog walking	2.0%	Cycling - no bicycles
4%	Ambience, beauty	1.6%	Shelters, more required
3%	Trees	1.6%	Playground, additional required
3%	Entertainment & events	1.6%	Drinking fountains, more required
3%	Close to home	1.2%	Trees, more trees required
2%	Peaceful	1.2%	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
2%	Meeting people/friends	1.2%	Shade, more shaded areas required

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
2%	Playing with children	1.2%	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
2%	Fresh air	1.2%	Information, more required about open space and facilities available
2%	Green grass	1.2%	Homeless people a concern
1%	Work - close to work	1.2%	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
1%	Sitting	1.2%	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
1%	Playground with grandchildren	1.2%	Cafes/kiosks, more required
1%	Natural environment	0.8%	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
1%	Large open space	0.8%	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
1%	Work - lunchtime retreat	0.8%	Rubbish, regular removal required
1%	Tennis	0.8%	Rubbish bins, more required
1%	Sport	0.8%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
1%	Historical	0.8%	Litter in open space is an issue
1%	Gardens	0.8%	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
1%	Family friendly/child friendly	0.8%	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
1%	Cycling	0.8%	Fountains to be working
1%	Basketball	0.8%	Entertainment, more required
1%	Away from busy roads and traffic	0.8%	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
		0.8%	Chin-up bars

14% Fitzroy Gardens (239)

This open space is used for walking and cycling, both for exercise and as a thoroughfare to the CBD. It is also used by dog-walkers and residents who wish to relax and enjoy its historical significance and specific attractions like Cook's Cottage and the Conservatory. Respondents appreciate it for its beauty, peaceful atmosphere and convenience. Lighting is a concern. Cycling (allowing it or not), the maintenance of paths and their shared use are also priorities. Upgrading the quality and service at the café is also requested. This open space's use as a tourist bus hub also generated some suggestions about the railway easement space opposite, with ideas to cover it and/or green it, since it is so much on public view to international and interstate tourists. To complement the historic ambience, there were requests for the fountains to be working again.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
26%	Walking	7.1%	Lighting, additional required
18%	Exercising	3.3%	A - not completed
15%	Travel through	2.9%	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
11%	Recreation	2.5%	Fountains to be working
10%	Ambience, beauty	2.5%	Cycling - no bicycles
9%	Work - travel en route	2.5%	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
8%	Relaxation	2.1%	None required
7%	Not Completed	2.1%	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
7%	Dog walking	2.1%	Cycling - allow bicycles
6%	Peaceful	2.1%	BBQs, more required
5%	Jogging/running	1.3%	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
5%	Picnics	1.3%	Trees, more trees required
4%	Gardens	1.3%	Seats, additional required
3%	Playground & equipment	1.3%	Playground, equipment needs updating
3%	Green grass	1.3%	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
2%	Meeting people/friends	1.3%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
2%	Entertainment & events	1.3%	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
2%	Trees	1.3%	Entertainment, more required
2%	Natural environment	0.8%	Vermin - rats / possums require control
2%	Large open space	0.8%	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
2%	Close to home	0.8%	Shelters, more required
1%	Taking visitors	0.8%	Picnic tables, more required
1%	Cycling	0.8%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
1%	Café and kiosk facilities	0.8%	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
1%	Work - lunchtime retreat	0.8%	Litter in open space is an issue
1%	Fresh air	0.8%	Homeless people a concern
		0.8%	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
		0.8%	Drinking fountains, more required
		0.8%	Disabilities, provide access and facilities
		0.8%	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
		0.8%	Cycle paths, maintenance required
		0.8%	Cafes/kiosks, more required

11% Princes Park (203)

This open space is primarily used by respondents for exercising (walking, cycling, jogging), probably as it is already a sports-focussed park, and dog-walking. Based on that, improvements requested are primarily relating to practical facilities; lighting, toilets, rubbish removal, upgraded paths for cycling and walking, drinking fountains, and dog bins and off-lead areas; although trees, and the shade they provide are still priorities, and more shelters are requested. The need for more/better cafes is also important, along with clear signage relating to shared use of paths, and control of dogs.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
31%	Jogging/running	8.4%	Lighting, additional required
31%	Walking	3.4%	Trees, more trees required
30%	Exercising	3.0%	Toilets, additional required
11%	Dog walking	3.0%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
8%	Cycling	3.0%	Drinking fountains, more required
7%	Recreation	2.5%	Paths, uneven surface are unsuitable for limited mobility
5%	Not Completed	2.5%	Cafes/kiosks, more required
5%	Relaxation	2.0%	Signage, interpretive, directional & regulatory required
4%	Playground & equipment	2.0%	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
3%	Travel through	2.0%	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
3%	Sport	1.5%	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
2%	Playing with children	1.5%	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
2%	Large open space	1.5%	Sporting clubs - lower fees for smaller clubs
2%	Fresh air	1.5%	Drainage - needs improvement
1%	Trees	1.0%	Vegetation, to be well-maintained
1%	Tennis	1.0%	Tennis facilities, improvements/upgrade required
1%	Playground with grandchildren	1.0%	Shelters, more required
1%	Meeting people/friends	1.0%	Seats, additional required
1%	Dog off-lead area	1.0%	Running track needed
1%	Close to home	1.0%	Rubbish, regular removal required
1%	Ambience, beauty	1.0%	Rubbish bins, more required
1%	Picnics	1.0%	Pond, to be regularly cleaned
1%	Football	1.0%	Picnic tables, more required
		1.0%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
		1.0%	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
		1.0%	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
		1.0%	Dog waste, owners to clean up (e.g. enforcement required)
		1.0%	Dog drinking facilities, more required
		1.0%	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
		1.0%	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
		1.0%	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
		1.0%	BBQs, more required
		1.0%	Art works & sculpture - more please

11% Other-outside City of Melbourne (199)

The open spaces referred to in this section include many of those that adjoin City of Melbourne's boundaries: Curtain Square, Fairfield Park, and the assortment of parks in South Yarra, particularly. (see list in 1.1.2). It also includes paths along the Yarra River, on both the northern and southern banks, used for jogging, cycling and walking, and the beachfront from Port Melbourne to St Kilda/Elwood. Exercise is the main activity, with some respondents nominated open space in regional Victoria for activities like rock-climbing and bush walking. Although playgrounds figure quite high on the list, ease of access with young children suggests that these respondents may live on the border of the City Of Melbourne boundary.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Reasons To Visit
8.0%	Dog walking	0.5%	Tennis
4.0%	Exercising	0.5%	Soccer
3.5%	Walking	0.5%	Shopping
3.5%	Jogging/running	0.5%	Peaceful
2.5%	Playground and equipment	0.5%	Large open space
2.0%	Relaxation	0.5%	Football
2.0%	Fresh air	0.5%	Dog off-lead area
1.0%	Travel through	0.5%	Convenient (on the way)
1.0%	Recreation	0.5%	Café and kiosk facilities
1.0%	Playing with children	0.5%	Activities
1.0%	Meeting people/friends		
1.0%	Close to home		

11% Fawkner Park (195)

This open space is used for walking and dog-walking; other forms of exercise are listed, but less prominent. Its playgrounds are also a feature for people with young children, thus facilities for families (BBQs, picnic tables, toilets), seating, and management of dogs are all seen as important. Lighting is the most-requested improvement, possibly because this space is used as a thoroughfare by such a high percentage of respondents. Vegetation and trees, more plantings and replacement of older trees are also requested.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
24%	Walking	6.7%	Lighting, additional required
24%	Exercising	3.6%	BBQs, more required
19%	Not Completed	3.1%	Seats, additional required
15%	Dog walking	2.6%	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
13%	Recreation	2.6%	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
11%	Travel through	2.6%	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
8%	Relaxation	2.6%	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
7%	Playground & equipment	2.6%	A - not completed
5%	Ambience, beauty	2.1%	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
4%	Work - travel en route	2.1%	Toilets, additional required
4%	Jogging/running	2.1%	Entertainment, more required
4%	Close to home	1.5%	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
3%	Sport	1.5%	Trees, more trees required
3%	Playing with children	1.5%	Shelters, more required
3%	Picnics	1.5%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
3%	Cycling	1.5%	Maintenance vehicles - too many using footpaths as roads
2%	Fresh air	1.5%	Dog off-lead, personal safety concerns
2%	BBQs	1.5%	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
2%	Work - lunchtime retreat	1.5%	Dog off-lead area too close to other amenities
2%	Sitting	1.5%	Cycling - no bicycles
2%	Peaceful	1.5%	Basketball ring, more required
2%	Large open space	1.0%	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
2%	Football	1.0%	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
1%	Trees	1.0%	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
			required
1%	Tennis	1.0%	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
1%	Playground with grandchildren	1.0%	Running track needed
1%	Gardens	1.0%	Picnic tables, more required
1%	Basketball	1.0%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
		1.0%	Paths, uneven surfaces are unsuitable for limited mobility
		1.0%	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
		1.0%	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
		1.0%	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
		1.0%	Garden beds, improvement required
		1.0%	Fountains to be working
		1.0%	Cafes/kiosks, more required
		1.0%	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required

10% Flagstaff Gardens (181)

This open space is used as a thoroughfare for a large percentage of respondents, travelling through from West and North Melbourne. It is used for walking and exercise, for relaxation and for fresh air. The playground is also a major use listed. Improvements requested are more lighting, and more facilities for recreational users (BBQs, picnic tables, toilets, rubbish bins). Allowing cycling is high on the list. Maintenance of grassed areas and more tree-planting are also mentioned. This park had the highest number of comments about concerns for personal safety, and the litter issues that can be associated with homeless and marginalised people.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
20%	Travel through	6.1%	Lighting, additional required
18%	Walking	4.4%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
14%	Exercising	3.3%	Seats, additional required
10%	Not Completed	3.3%	Cycling - allow bicycles
9%	Playground & equipment	3.3%	BBQs, more required
8%	Recreation	2.2%	Art works & sculpture - more please
7%	Work - travel en route	1.7%	Trees, more trees required
7%	Relaxation	1.7%	Toilets, additional required
5%	Picnics	1.7%	Rubbish bins, more required
5%	Close to home	1.7%	Picnic tables, more required
4%	Jogging/running	1.7%	None required
4%	Fresh air	1.7%	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
4%	Ambience, beauty	1.7%	Cafes/kiosks, more required
3%	Dog walking	1.1%	Vegetation, more required
3%	Trees	1.1%	Sporting facilities, more required
3%	Large open space	1.1%	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
2%	Peaceful	1.1%	Signage, interpretive, directional & regulatory required
2%	Green grass	1.1%	Shelters, more required
2%	BBQs	1.1%	Shade, more shaded areas required
2%	Work - lunchtime retreat	1.1%	Rubbish, regular removal required
2%	Watching wildlife	1.1%	Playground, equipment needs updating
2%	Sport	1.1%	Paths, uneven surfaces are unsuitable for limited mobility
2%	Playing with children	1.1%	Litter in open space is an issue
1%	Natural environment	1.1%	Homeless people a concern
1%	Meeting people/friends	1.1%	Gardens - more flowering shrubs
1%	Lawn bowls	1.1%	Garden beds, improvement required
1%	Gardens	1.1%	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
1%	Ball games	1.1%	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
1%	A - not completed	1.1%	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
1%	Views	1.1%	Cycling - no bicycles
1%	Sunbathing	1.1%	Cycle paths, more required

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
1%	Sitting	1.1%	Cycle paths, maintenance required
1%	Safe	1.1%	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
1%	Playground with grandchildren	1.1%	Community vegetable garden
1%	Netball	1.1%	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
1%	Cycling		
1%	Café and kiosk facilities		
1%	Away from busy roads and traffic		
1%	A bowling club		

8% Yarra Trail (149)

This open space is used by the respondents primarily for exercise – walking, cycling and fitness – and as a thoroughfare. As such, the requests for improvement are practical in nature; lighting, upgraded paths, improvement of shared path use, drinking fountains, and regular rubbish removal. Other issues are: more shade, whether trees or built shelters, toilets, and playground upgrades. The lack of lighting, especially, on parts of this route is the reason for personal safety concerns featuring so strongly.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
27%	Walking	4.7%	Lighting, additional required
23%	Exercising	3.4%	Paths, uneven surfaces are unsuitable for limited mobility
10%	Cycling	3.4%	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
8%	Work - travel en route	2.7%	Trees, more trees required
8%	Travel through	2.7%	Drinking fountains, more required
7%	Recreation	2.0%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
7%	Not Completed	2.0%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
7%	Jogging/running	2.0%	Litter in open space is an issue
7%	Relaxation	2.0%	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
7%	BBQs	1.3%	Toilets, additional required
5%	Ambience, beauty	1.3%	Shade, more shaded areas required
4%	Close to home	1.3%	Rubbish, regular removal required
3%	Fresh air	1.3%	Rubbish bins, more required
2%	Sport - attend MCG	1.3%	Playground, additional required
2%	Dog walking	1.3%	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
1%	Rowing		
1%	Playground & equipment		
1%	Picnics		
1%	Peaceful		
1%	Entertainment & events		

7% JJ Holland Park (131)

This open space is used for dog-walking, assorted forms of exercise (jogging, walking, cycling) and as the main children's playground for the population catchment in North Melbourne and Kensington areas. The size of this open space is appreciated by its users, as well as the improvements already made to the space. Completion of these works will be welcomed. The skateboarding park is an added attraction, as is access to sporting facilities (swimming, etc). Lighting is the primary request in this open space. Shade and shelters are also needed, with more facilities for family recreation, like toilets, BBQs and picnic tables, and dog facilities. The sporting facilities require extension and improvement as well.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
21%	Dog walking	10.7%	Lighting, additional required
18%	Walking	4.6%	Works - complete existing works
18%	Playground & equipment	3.8%	Trees, more trees required
17%	Exercising	3.8%	Toilets, additional required

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
15%	Not Completed	3.8%	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
11%	Travel through	3.1%	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
11%	Jogging/running	3.1%	Shade, more shaded areas required
8%	Playing with children	3.1%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
6%	Recreation	3.1%	BBQs, more required
5%	Large open space	2.3%	Trees, more shade trees required
5%	Sport	2.3%	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
4%	Skateboarding	2.3%	Shelters, more required
4%	Relaxation	2.3%	Paths - construct diagonal path to link with perimeter path
4%	Fresh air	2.3%	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
4%	Cycling	2.3%	Dog drinking facilities, more required
3%	Picnics	1.5%	Sporting facilities, more required
2%	Work - travel en route	1.5%	Sporting facilities, improvements, pleased to see them occurring
2%	Swimming	1.5%	Signage, interpretive, regulatory & directional required
2%	Dog off-lead area	1.5%	Seats, additional required
2%	BBQs	1.5%	Rubbish bins, recycling bins to be installed
2%	Soccer	1.5%	Playground, shade required
2%	Sitting	1.5%	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
2%	Peaceful	1.5%	Playground, additional required
2%	Oval	1.5%	Picnic tables, more required
2%	Football	1.5%	Noise reduction - from traffic/trains
2%	Family friendly/child friendly	1.5%	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
2%	Close to home	1.5%	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
		1.5%	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
		1.5%	Art works & sculpture - more please

5% Treasury Gardens (94)

This open space is used for walking, and cycling, both recreationally and as a thoroughfare to the CBD. It is also used for other forms of exercise, and dog-walking. Its beauty and peaceful quality so close to the city mean it is also a space for relaxation and picnics, as well as a lunchtime retreat. As with other open spaces, lighting is the major concern in this park, especially as this space is perceived as not safe, with homeless people an ongoing issue. More trees need to be planted, and there are concerns for the welfare of older trees and the perception that they are not being replaced. Regulation of cyclists' use of shared paths and the maintenance of these paths, is requested.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
26%	Walking	4.3%	Lighting, additional required
20%	Travel through	3.2%	Trees, more trees required
17%	Exercising	2.1%	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
13%	Recreation	2.1%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
12%	Relaxation	2.1%	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
10%	Ambience, beauty	2.1%	Homeless people a concern
6%	Picnics	2.1%	Fountains to be working
6%	Dog walking	2.1%	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
5%	Work - travel en route	2.1%	Cycle paths, maintenance required
5%	Not Completed	2.1%	BBQs, more required
4%	Peaceful		
3%	Work - lunchtime retreat		
3%	Natural environment		
3%	Jogging/running		
2%	Large open space		
2%	Green grass		
2%	Fresh air		
2%	Close to home		

5% Birrarung Marr (92)

This open space is used largely for walking, for leisure or exercise, and as a thoroughfare to and from the CBD along the banks of the Yarra to the MCG and the inner Eastern suburbs. It is the location of ArtPlay and other play facilities for children. The many events produced during the year are a major reason for respondents using it.

Improvements suggested include seating, shade/shelter, toilets, drinking fountains and more picnic facilities. It is perceived by some as not green enough, lacking in trees and requiring more design attention with possible inclusion of artworks. Cyclists on shared paths are an issue.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
26%	Walking	4.3%	Seats, additional required
13%	Exercising	3.3%	Trees, more trees required
12%	Playground & equipment	3.3%	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
10%	Travel through	3.3%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
9%	Recreation	2.2%	Toilets, additional required
8%	Jogging/running	2.2%	Shade, more shaded areas required
5%	Work - travel en route	2.2%	Rubbish, regular removal required
5%	Entertainment & events	2.2%	Picnic tables, more required
5%	Ambience, beauty	2.2%	Paths, uneven surfaces are unsuitable for limited mobility
4%	Relaxation	2.2%	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
3%	Views	2.2%	Entertainment, more required
3%	Family/child friendly	2.2%	Drinking fountains, more required
3%	Cycling	2.2%	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
2%	River	2.2%	BBQs, more required
2%	Playing with children	2.2%	Art works & sculpture - more please
2%	Picnics		
2%	BBQs		
2%	ArtPlay		
2%	Activities		

5% Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve (91)

This open space is primarily used for walking, with or without dogs, exercising and cycling. The open space's proximity to water is one of its attractions, along with the chance to be in fresh air. Thus the cleanliness of the water is of major concern. Lighting is the primary issue: there is a level of concern about personal safety, which accompanied requests for more lighting in winter and at night. There are also requests for regular rubbish removal and a higher level of maintenance of the waterways and the paths beside them. Maintenance of trees, especially shade trees, and vegetation along the river path were also mentioned as issues.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
42%	Walking	12.1%	Lighting, additional required
25%	Exercising	11.0%	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
22%	Cycling	6.6%	Rubbish, regular removal required
21%	Jogging/running	6.6%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
16%	Dog walking	4.4%	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
7%	Peaceful	4.4%	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
7%	Fresh air	3.3%	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
5%	Not Completed	3.3%	Trees, more trees required
4%	Relaxation	3.3%	Paths, uneven surfaces are unsuitable for limited mobility
4%	Large open space	3.3%	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
3%	Recreation	2.2%	Vegetation, more required
2%	Waterfront	2.2%	Trees, more shade trees required
2%	Views	2.2%	Seats, additional required
2%	Gardens	2.2%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
2%	Close to home	2.2%	Grassed areas - improve grass quality

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
		2.2%	Graffiti, maintenance required
		2.2%	Drinking fountains, more required
		2.2%	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
		2.2%	BBQs, more required

4% Federation Square (74)

The attraction of this open space is entertainment and activities. Respondents enjoy the opportunity to see free performances, meet friends and choose from a number of cafes and restaurants in the precinct. The lack of trees and shade, the need for more green, whether garden beds or shrubs, and the lack of seating were of concern. Some respondents also feel that there could be some cheaper food and café options available.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
45%	Entertainment & events	4.1%	Trees, more trees required
18%	Café and kiosk facilities	4.1%	Seats, additional required
15%	Meeting people/friends	4.1%	None required
11%	Travel through	2.7%	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
9%	Activities	2.7%	Art works & sculpture - more please
5%	Walking		
4%	Recreation		
3%	Work - close to work		
3%	Relaxation		
3%	Playground and equipment		
3%	Cycling		
3%	Ambience, beauty		

4% The Tan (66)

The Tan is used primarily for exercising: jogging, and individual and group fitness regimes. It is also used for walking and to a lesser degree, dog-walking. Better lighting is the primary area for suggested improvement. There were many requests for the paths to be upgraded – the uneven surface, and the need for good drainage in winter and after heavy rains was noted. There are also requests for more fitness stations along the route, and more toilets close by. Regular removal of rubbish is also requested.

%	Reasons To Visit	%	Suggested Improvements
41%	Exercising	13.6%	Lighting, additional required
23%	Jogging/running	12.1%	Paths, uneven surfaces are unsuitable for limited mobility
17%	Walking	4.5%	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
15%	Not Completed	4.5%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
5%	Dog walking	3.0%	Toilets, to be open 24 hours
3%	Cycling	3.0%	Rubbish bins, more required

2.4 Open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the top 20 reserves visited beyond walking by residents of Melbourne and are listed in priority order.

The percentage column is a calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for the whole of the municipality, 1,776.

2.4.1 Most frequently visited open space beyond walking distance

No	Open Space beyond walking distance	%
412	Royal Botanic Gardens	23%

No	Open Space beyond walking distance	%
227	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne	13%
162	Fitzroy Gardens	9%
161	Carlton Gardens	9%
146	Yarra Trail	8%
103	Royal Park	6%
100	Bayside Beaches	6%
86	Birrarung Marr	5%
84	Federation Square	5%
82	Albert Park	5%
71	Princes Park	4%
57	Flagstaff Gardens	3%
53	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve	3%
53	Treasury Gardens	3%
50	Docklands	3%
43	The Tan	2%
33	Fawkner Park	2%
29	South Bank Promenade	2%
28	Edinburgh Gardens	2%
27	Docklands Park	2%

2.4.2 Reasons for visiting the most frequently visited reserves beyond walking distance and suggested improvements

The following table lists the reasons why residents visit the 15 most popular reserves beyond walking distance along with any suggested improvements and are listed in priority order as shown in 2.4.1. The reasons and improvements are listed in descending priority order, and this includes descending within each % figure.

Open Space	%	Reasons to Visit	%	Suggested Improvement
Royal Botanic Gardens 412	20%	Walking	3%	Seats, additional required
	19%	Ambience, beauty	2%	Carpark, additional required
	13%	Picnics	1%	Lighting, additional required
	13%	Recreation	1%	Toilets, additional required
	12%	Relaxation	1%	Access, improvements required
	8%	Exercising	1%	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	8%	Gardens	1%	Shelters, more required
	7%	Jogging/running	1%	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	7%	Peaceful	1%	Drinking fountains, more required
	6%	Meeting people/friends	1%	Fountains to be working
	5%	Entertainment & events	1%	Litter in open space is an issue
	4%	Trees	1%	None required
	4%	Children's garden	1%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	4%	Large open space	1%	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	2%	Fresh air	1%	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
2%	Café and kiosk facilities	0.5%	Bats - removal requested	
2%	Natural environment	0.5%	Cycle paths, more required	
2%	Dog walking	0.5%	Disabilities, provide access and facilities	
2%	Taking visitors	0.5%	Dog waste bags/bins, more required	
1%	Work - close to work	0.5%	Entertainment - more low-cost events	
1%	Away from busy roads and traffic	0.5%	Entertainment, more required	
1%	Close to home	0.5%	Free parking on weekends	
1%	Green grass	0.5%	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required	

Open Space	%	Reasons to Visit	%	Suggested Improvement
	1%	Playground and equipment	0.5%	Open space, too crowded
	1%	Travel through	0.5%	Picnic tables, more required
	1%	Watching wildlife	0.5%	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	0.5%	BBQs	0.5%	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
	0.5%	Cycling	0.5%	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	0.5%	Family friendly/child friendly	0.5%	Watering, Council to be water wise when watering
	0.5%	Festivals and markets		
	0.5%	Historical		
	0.5%	Playing with children		
	0.5%	Views		
	0.5%	Work - travel en route		
Other - Outside City Of Melbourne 227	4%	Cycling	1%	None required
	4%	Walking	1%	Toilets, additional required
	3%	Playground and equipment		
	3%	Fresh air		
	2%	Dog walking		
	2%	Recreation		
	2%	Relaxation		
	1%	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1%	Dog off-lead area		
	1%	Exercising		
	1%	Meeting people/friends		
	1%	Natural environment		
	1%	Close to home		
	1%	Large open space		
	1%	Rural feel		
	1%	Travel through		
	1%	Work - close to work		
Fitzroy Gardens 162	16%	Walking	3%	Seats, additional required
	14%	Ambience, beauty	2%	Lighting, additional required
	9%	Entertainment & events	2%	Shelters, more required
	9%	Peaceful	2%	Cycle paths, more required
	8%	Recreation	2%	Toilets, additional required
	7%	Relaxation	2%	Vegetation, to be well-maintained
	7%	Exercising	1%	Access, improvements required
	7%	Gardens	1%	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	7%	Large open space	1%	Garden beds, improvement required
	7%	Meeting people/friends	1%	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	7%	Travel through		
	5%	Picnics		
	4%	Trees		
	3%	Playground and equipment		
	3%	Work - lunchtime retreat		
	2%	Activities		
	2%	Away from busy roads and traffic		
	2%	Café and kiosk facilities		
	2%	Cycling		
	2%	Dog walking		
	2%	Family friendly/child friendly		
	2%	Jogging/running		
	2%	Natural environment		

Open Space	%	Reasons to Visit	%	Suggested Improvement
	2%	Work - close to work		
	1%	Cook's Cottage		
	1%	Fresh air		
	1%	Greenhouse		
	1%	Historical		
	1%	Shade		
Royal Park 161	11%	Cycling	3%	Lighting, additional required
	9%	Dog walking	2%	Toilets, additional required
	7%	Walking	2%	Trees, more trees required
	6%	Exercising	2%	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	6%	Zoo	2%	Rubbish bins, more required
	4%	Large open space	2%	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	3%	Ambience, beauty	1%	Buildings/structures - keep to minimum
	3%	Birdlife (watching)	1%	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	3%	Picnics	1%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	3%	Recreation	1%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	3%	Sport		
	2%	Meeting people/friends		
	2%	Playing with children		
	2%	Travel through		
	2%	Trees		
	1%	Fresh air		
	1%	Jogging/running		
1%	Natural environment			
1%	Relaxation			
1%	Work - close to work			
Bayside Beaches 100	24%	Walking	3%	Carparking - remove fees
	15%	Cycling	3%	Toilets, additional required
	15%	Fresh air	2%	BBQs, more required
	13%	Exercising	2%	Cycle paths, more required
	11%	Recreation	2%	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	10%	Relaxation	2%	Drinking fountains, more required
	10%	Swimming	2%	Rubbish, regular removal required
	8%	Café and kiosk facilities	2%	Seats, additional required
	8%	Waterfront	2%	Shelters, more required
	6%	Meeting people/friends		
	4%	Dog walking		
	3%	Beach, sea air		
	2%	Close to home		
	2%	Large open space		
	2%	Picnics		
2%	Playground and equipment			
Birrarung Marr 86	21%	Entertainment & events	6%	Toilets, additional required
	19%	Playground and equipment	3%	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	16%	Walking	3%	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	7%	Cycling	3%	Seats, additional required
	7%	Large open space	2%	Entertainment, more required
	7%	Sport - attend MCG	2%	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	6%	Exercising	2%	Shade, more shaded areas required
	5%	Picnics	2%	Shelters, more required
	5%	Recreation	2%	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	3%	Ambience, beauty		

Open Space	%	Reasons to Visit	%	Suggested Improvement
	3%	Playing with children		
	3%	River		
	2%	Café and kiosk facilities		
	2%	Family friendly/child friendly		
	2%	Jogging/running		
	2%	Meeting people/friends		
	2%	Relaxation		
	2%	Travel through		
Federation Square 84	50%	Entertainment & events	7%	Entertainment, more required
	20%	Meeting people/friends	6%	Shade, more shaded areas required
	13%	Café and kiosk facilities	4%	Garden beds, more required
	12%	Activities	2%	Seats, additional required
	5%	Ambience, beauty	2%	Trees, more trees required
	5%	Festivals and markets		
	4%	Recreation		
	4%	Relaxation		
Albert Park 82	21%	Exercising	6%	Trees, more trees required
	20%	Walking	2%	Carparking - remove fees
	12%	Jogging/running	2%	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	9%	Cycling	2%	Garden beds, more required
	7%	Dog walking	2%	Gran Prix removal
	7%	Swimming	2%	Odour - unpleasant
	6%	BBQs	2%	Seats, additional required
	6%	Recreation		
	6%	Sport		
	5%	Ambience, beauty		
	5%	Lake		
	5%	Playground and equipment		
	4%	Birdlife (feeding)		
	4%	Café and kiosk facilities		
	4%	Relaxation		
	2%	Close to home		
	2%	Football		
	2%	Meeting people/friends		
2%	Work - close to work			
Princes Park 71	34%	Jogging/running	6%	Trees, more trees required
	21%	Exercising	4%	Drinking fountains, more required
	18%	Walking	4%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	11%	Cycling	4%	Shade, more shaded areas required
	11%	Dog walking	3%	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	10%	Playground and equipment	3%	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	8%	Picnics	3%	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	6%	Sport	3%	Playground, additional required
	4%	Soccer	3%	Seats, additional required
	3%	Dog off-lead area	3%	Shelters, more required
	3%	Recreation	3%	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
			3%	Toilets, additional required
Flagstaff 57	11%	Travel through	4%	BBQs, more required
	11%	Walking	4%	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	9%	Meeting people/friends	4%	Seats, additional required
	9%	Recreation	4%	Shade, more shaded areas required
	7%	Work - lunchtime retreat		
	5%	Gardens		
	5%	Large open space		

Open Space	%	Reasons to Visit	%	Suggested Improvement
	5%	Work - close to work		
	4%	Ambience, beauty		
	4%	Away from busy roads and traffic		
	4%	BBQs		
	4%	Exercising		
	4%	Green grass		
	4%	Lawn bowls		
	4%	Peaceful		
	4%	Playground and equipment		
	4%	Relaxation		
Maribyrnong Bank River Reserve 53	32%	Walking	9%	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
	26%	Cycling	8%	Lighting, additional required
	19%	Exercising	6%	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	11%	Jogging/running	4%	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	8%	Recreation	4%	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	4%	Ambience, beauty	4%	Litter in open space is an issue
	4%	Dog walking	4%	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
	4%	Relaxation		
Treasury Gardens 53	17%	Relaxation	4%	Art works & sculpture - more please
	13%	Ambience, beauty	4%	Drinking fountains, more required
	13%	Walking		
	13%	Work - lunchtime retreat		
	11%	Gardens		
	9%	Exercising		
	9%	Peaceful		
	8%	Travel through		
	6%	Recreation		
	6%	Work - close to work		
	4%	Entertainment & events		
	4%	Green grass		
	4%	Historical		
Docklands 50	18%	Café and kiosk facilities	12%	Trees, more trees required
	12%	Walking	8%	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	12%	Waterfront	6%	Vegetation, more required
	10%	Cycling	4%	Access, improvements required
	10%	Shopping	4%	Entertainment, more required
	6%	Entertainment & events	4%	Seats, additional required
	6%	Meeting people/friends	4%	Trees, more shade trees required
	6%	Playground and equipment		
	6%	Recreation		
	6%	Sport		
	6%	Travel through		
	6%	Work - close to work		
	4%	Relaxation		

2.5 Open space not visited

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the top 8 open spaces not visited by residents of Melbourne and are listed in priority order.

The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for the whole of the municipality, 1,776.

2.5.1 Open space not visited

No	Open Space Not Visited	%
164	Docklands	9%
100	Royal Botanic Gardens	6%
60	Royal Park	3%
56	Fitzroy Gardens	3%
45	Birrarung Marr	3%
43	Docklands Park	2%
34	Federation Square	2%
32	Flagstaff Gardens	2%

2.5.2 Reasons for not visiting open space

The following table lists the reasons why residents do not visit the reserves and are listed in priority order. The main reasons people do not visit open space is lack of time, the distance to travel and walk, concerns about personal safety, perceived lack of access and the uninviting general ambience of area.

Docklands as a general location was listed most frequently as an open space that is not visited. It has been assumed that this refers generally to all open space in Docklands, mainly the harbour front areas. Docklands Park is listed specifically by some as a separate open space that is not visited. Docklands is seen as inaccessible, with major roadways dividing it from the CBD. Cyclists and pedestrians travelling there have difficulties of crossing the arterial road, and request safer access. Public transport to it is also perceived as inadequate. Suggested improvements include: more green (trees and gardens), more shade, more seating, toilets, and inexpensive parking and affordable cafes to make it inviting and user-friendly. The waterfront is unshaded and needs more green and shelters from wind and rain. People who use it appreciate it for the access to water and the fresh air, but that is countered by the perceived lack of comfort and character.

Open Space	No	%	Reasons Why Not Visited
Docklands 164	29	18%	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	16	10%	Concrete - dominates environment
	14	9%	Lack of trees
	14	9%	Windy, no protection
	9	5%	Lack of grass
	8	5%	Carparking inadequate
	8	5%	Lack of access
	6	4%	Isolated
	5	3%	Carparking - too costly
	5	3%	Distance to travel
	4	2%	Cafe/restaurants too expensive
	4	2%	Lack of knowledge/information
	4	2%	Transport - public transport inadequate
	3	2%	Lack of shade
	3	2%	Limited facilities
	3	2%	Too corporate
	2	1%	Events - more cultural presence
	2	1%	Lack of time
	2	1%	Lack of toilets
2	1%	Personal safety concerns, esp at night	
Royal Botanic Gardens	25	25%	Distance to travel

Open Space	No	%	Reasons Why Not Visited
100	22	22%	Carparking inadequate
	11	11%	Lack of time
	5	5%	Busy/crowded
	5	5%	Public transport difficult w young children
	5	5%	Transport - public transport inadequate
	3	3%	Lack of access
	2	2%	All ability access not provided
	2	2%	Carparking - too costly
	2	2%	Distance to walk
Royal Park 60	7	12%	Distance to travel
	5	8%	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	5	8%	Lack of access
	5	8%	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	3	5%	Isolated
	3	5%	Lack of grass
	3	5%	Lack of knowledge/information
	3	5%	Lack of lighting
	3	5%	Limited facilities
	2	3%	Cars - too many/intruding on open space
	2	3%	Concrete slabs behind CSL unattractive
	2	3%	Lack of time
	2	3%	Lack of trees
	2	3%	Transport - public transport inadequate
	Fitzroy Gardens 56	18	32%
9		16%	Carparking inadequate
5		9%	Personal safety concerns, especially at night
3		5%	Lack of time
3		5%	Transport - public transport inadequate
2		4%	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
2		4%	Cafe/kiosk facilities inadequate
2		4%	Nothing of interest to do
Birrarung Marr 45	7	16%	Lack of time
	6	13%	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	5	11%	Distance to travel
	4	9%	Lack of shade
	3	7%	Isolated
	3	7%	Lack of access
	2	4%	Lack of grass
	2	4%	Lack of knowledge/information
	2	4%	Lack of trees
	2	4%	Limited facilities
	2	4%	Personal safety concerns, especially at night
Docklands Park 43	8	19%	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	5	12%	Lack of access
	4	9%	Lack of trees
	4	9%	Windy, no protection
	3	7%	Concrete - dominates environment
	2	5%	Distance to travel
	2	5%	Lack of grass
	2	5%	Traffic noise and proximity
	2	5%	Transport - public transport inadequate
Federation Square 34	6	18%	Concrete - dominates environment
	3	9%	Lack of trees
	2	6%	All ability access not provided
	2	6%	Ambience - general ambience uninviting

Open Space	No	%	Reasons Why Not Visited
	2	6%	Busy/crowded
	2	6%	Lack of grass
	2	6%	Lack of seats
	2	6%	Lack of shade
	2	6%	Personal safety concerns, especially at night
Flagstaff Gardens 32	6	19%	Distance to travel
	6	19%	Personal safety concerns, especially at night
	3	9%	Nothing of interest to do
	2	6%	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	2	6%	Dog off-lead area not provided

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of the City of Melbourne and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Weekly, Monthly, Yearly and Never are calculated on the basis of the total number of completed surveys for the whole of the municipality, being 1,776. The Total percentage column is calculated from the number of respondents who use the open space as a proportion of the total number of completed surveys.

Table 2.6~1 Types of open space used

The percentages in *Table 2.6~1* have been highlighted with shading to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% to 100%, Dark grey = 49% to 25%, Light grey = 24% to 10%, No shading = 9% to 0%, NC = Not completed

The table below is listed in priority order:

Total	Open space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
90%	Royal Botanic Gardens	5%	16%	28%	40%	5%	5%
86%	Local streets for exercise	52%	25%	7%	2%	8%	6%
86%	Open space along Yarra River	8%	21%	30%	27%	7%	7%
84%	Major gardens	10%	19%	27%	28%	7%	9%
78%	Small local parks	18%	30%	19%	11%	12%	10%
76%	Urban squares / plazas	11%	27%	26%	12%	11%	14%
68%	Regional parks	11%	18%	18%	21%	23%	9%
50%	Medium sized parks	3%	8%	16%	23%	37%	13%
39%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	2%	8%	11%	19%	49%	12%
32%	Municipal sporting reserves	4%	7%	8%	13%	55%	14%
26%	Moonee Ponds Creek	1%	4%	8%	13%	60%	14%

The Royal Botanic Gardens is the most visited reserve on an infrequent basis - annually and monthly. Local Streets for exercise are the most frequently visited with 52% using them daily and 25% weekly. Small Local Parks are the next most frequently visited with 18% using them daily and 30% weekly. In terms of frequency of daily use, Urban squares/plazas, Regional parks and Major gardens have similar types of daily use, being just over 10%.

The third most frequently visited open space is along the Yarra River, with the frequency spread over weekly, monthly and yearly.


60% of respondents note they never visit the Moonee Ponds Creek, and 55% note they never visit Municipal sporting reserves.

2.7 Facilities in open space

2.7.1 Provision of facilities

The following graph details the percentage of respondents that consider various facilities within open space in the City of Melbourne as being adequately provided for. Percentages are calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who believe the specific facility is well provided for as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents.

This question was worded in a way that the asked the respondent to only answer the question in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the not completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility at all.




Walking paths receive the highest overall comment with 91% considered adequately provided. Open grassed areas are also considered well provided for by 80% of respondents. Seating (57%), Public Toilets (45%) and Cafes/ Kiosks (45%) are considered adequately provided for by approximately half of survey respondents. There are three facilities where more respondents believe that the facility is **not** adequately provided for than those that do, these being; Shelters, Club-based Indoor Facilities and Informal Outdoor Recreation Facilities.

The not completed section highlights that Club-based indoor facilities, Informal outdoor recreation facilities and Club-based outdoor facilities are not as well used by the respondents as the other facilities. This also correlates with the responses to the type of open space used, with a lower number of respondents indicating they use sporting reserves.

2.7.2 Maintenance of facilities in open space

The following graph lists the percentage of residents that are satisfied with the level of maintenance of open space facilities. As per the previous questions, percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who believe the specific facility is adequately maintained as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys. Yes, No and Not Completed answers have again been included.



The three facilities that most respondents consider being adequately provided for in 2.7.1 are also considered as being adequately maintained (Walking Paths, Open Grassed Areas and Seats). Public Toilets and Drinking Fountains have the highest level of dissatisfaction with their maintenance compared to other facilities in open space.

In general, this question has a higher percentage of Not Completed answers than the previous question, and this combined with the high level of satisfaction with their maintenance suggests that overall respondents are generally satisfied with the maintenance of facilities in open space. This is also supported by the general comments section, where people noted they appreciated the high level of maintenance in Melbourne's open space.

2.8 General comments on open space

Respondents were provided with a section to comment on any improvements or changes for open space in their *local area* (question 13) and also a section for comments on open space within the *municipality* (question 14). The responses to the local area question are only relevant when reviewed at the local area or precinct level conjunction and are therefore not included in this overall summary.

The following is a summary of comments relating to the City of Melbourne as a municipality. The individual comments have been grouped together into eight categories to assist with their legibility and to give a priority to them. These eight categories in priority order are:

1. Open space character
2. Open space provision
3. Open space maintenance

4. Infrastructure
5. Recreation
6. Dogs
7. Trees/Vegetation
8. General comments

This summary only includes comments made by four people or more, however the people making a comment on a topic is included as the bolded number in each topic heading.

Residents of the City of Melbourne are passionate about their open space. They are complementary about the beauty and variety of choice, and the proximity to their homes and workplaces. They want more open space created, and no further loss to development of any kind. The quality and extent of parks in City of Melbourne is a primary reason many residents live there. It is seen, particularly by residents in apartment blocks, as their garden and getaway.

Many residents request higher attention to sustainability and water management so that trees and gardens are maintained despite drought conditions, and so that fountains can be working. Planting native, drought-resistant vegetation whilst retaining shade trees and older mature trees are both suggested. There are also suggestions to require green in any new construction in the CBD, in the form of rooftop gardens, vertical gardens, and greener small open spaces. Many comment on the degree to which wellbeing is affected by access to green, tranquil open space and its increasing importance as building density increases.

Adding artwork in the form of sculpture and more designed landscaping and plantings are requested. Retaining and maintaining historic structures in parks and open spaces with more informational signage is also suggested.

More lighting is the most requested improvement in City of Melbourne open space. More drinking fountains, toilets, seating and shade are also high priorities. Of major concern is encroachment of built structures, especially in Royal Park, and the construction of sports venues and the use of open space for car parking - particularly Yarra Park. Cycle lanes, cycling rules in parks, and clarity regarding shared pathways are an issue. Suggestions include: separate or clearly marked pathways for cyclists and pedestrians, a series of linked cycle paths through and around the city, and making some streets in the CBD car-free for safety of cyclists and pedestrians. Lack of information about open space in general, are noted with suggestions about links online in the That's Melbourne website, for example.

The need for more rubbish bins, regular rubbish removal and the level of litter in some areas is also an issue, especially in the CBD and Southbank. There are concerns about personal safety, in those same areas particularly, and requests for more policing of undesirable behaviour. Dog owner behaviour and control of their animals is a concern.

No.	General comments
533	Open Space Values
81	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
73	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
69	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
47	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
45	Open space - value proximity to home
53	Open space - retain existing open space
36	Open space - important as residential density increases
29	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne
29	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
24	Open space- ensure no further open space is sold for property development
23	Open space - enjoy visiting it
7	Open space - value the sense of community it allows
6	Open space - Melbourne's public gardens are world class

No.	General comments
5	Open space - lungs of the city
4	Open space - provides for a variety of needs
267	Open Space Provision
31	Open space - more required in CBD
29	Open space - more required
27	Open space - more / greener open spaces needed especially in CBD
26	Open space - more community gardens required
19	Open space - need more in Docklands area
16	Open space - need more in North Melbourne area
13	Open space - need more in West Melbourne area
13	Open space - Use railway easements for greening inner suburbs
12	Open space - provide new open space in area opp Royal Melbourne Hospital
12	Open space - more required along City Rd/Southbank area
8	Open space - ensure it is compulsory in high density housing developments
7	Open space - need more in Kensington area
6	Royal Children's Hospital - ensure open space promise is fulfilled
5	CBD rooftops - green them and make more accessible for views
5	Open Space - Batman Av & Fed Sq area, roof over train tracks with green space and football oval for children
5	Open Space - teenagers and children need open space to cater to their specific age groups
4	Waterways - reclaim wasteland along waterways for open space
204	Trees/Vegetation
43	Indigenous vegetation and trees- support more planting
31	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
25	Native planting to encourage native birds/wildlife
24	Trees - more planting to replace older trees
19	Trees - exotic species appropriate for city areas and shade
13	Trees - preservation of street trees required
10	Garden beds - additional required
9	Trees - existing planting program appreciated
8	Flowering shrubs - more requested
6	Trees - ensure inclusion in streets where people live
5	Grassed areas - more required
186	Infrastructure
36	Sustainability - harvest storm water for public gardens
21	Sustainability - more rain gardens to be installed
8	Traffic - close City Centre streets to through traffic
8	Cars - reduce congestion- replace with walking/riding/good public transport
7	Seating - more required
7	Sustainability - water, ensure planning for sustainability of supply
6	Amenities/Facilities - more required
6	Lighting - better facilities required
5	Exercise stations - along The Tan required
5	Playgrounds - additional, well-designed play area required
5	Toilets - more required
5	Traffic - access issues
4	Paths - more required for all ages
4	Skateboarding - more facilities required
178	Open Space Maintenance
67	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
54	Open space - well maintained
20	Rubbish bins - to be emptied regularly & rubbish/litter removed
10	Open space needs more attention and/or facilities
6	Litter - cigarette butts a problem
5	Waterways - to be cleaned regularly

No.	General comments
178	Open Space Character
66	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
30	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
24	Open space - enjoy the ambience
23	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
18	Open space - more interesting/creative landscaping
8	Open space - natural character important
7	Open space - bushland setting in open space highly valued
161	Recreation and events
28	Cycling - need separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians due to conflicts
19	Sport - dominating open space
17	Events - more community events in local areas
10	Cycling - cycle lanes on roads not wide enough, inadequate provision, improvements required
9	Corporate exercise training - inappropriate use by corporate training groups
9	Cycling - require more linked off-road networks for safe recreational cycling
7	Cycle paths - more required
6	Playgrounds - shade sails over children's playgrounds required
5	Running paths - softer surfaces for safe use
5	Cycling - repeal compulsory bike helmet regulations
4	Cycling - car-free cycling routes through CBD - e.g. Elizabeth St redevelopment
4	Playground - really good adventure playground required
4	Sporting groups should practice in designated areas
4	Cycling - to be policed stringently in non-cycling parks
50	Dogs
11	Dog off-lead areas - more required
10	Dog - fenced-off areas required in parks for dog exercising
7	Dog on-lead areas - require enforcement
7	Dog waste - owners to clean up
5	Dogs - should all be on-lead
4	Dog waste - more bins/bags for disposal required
30	Miscellaneous
8	Heritage - preservation of historic structures (e.g. gates, fences, Stock Route)
4	Open Space - location and routes map on That's Melbourne website

3. Precincts

The household survey outcomes are summarised on a precinct basis to inform the precinct level recommendation in the Draft Open Space Strategy.

Given the household survey was targeted to residents, no surveys were received from areas without residents – namely Port Melbourne and Flemington/Ascot Vale. The following is a list of precincts included in this report in the order in which they appear in Section 3.


- Carlton
- Carlton North
- Docklands
- East Melbourne
- Kensington
- Melbourne CBD/3000
- Melbourne St Kilda Road/3004
- North Melbourne
- Parkville
- Southbank/South Wharf
- South Yarra
- West Melbourne

3.1 CARLTON

3.1.1 Who completed the survey?


3.1.1a Gender

A total of 158 completed surveys were received from Carlton. Approximately 56% of these are recorded as female and 44% male. When compared to the 49% of males recorded in the census data for Carlton, this indicates a slight under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.1.1b Age range

The largest group of survey respondents from Carlton is from the 26-36 age range. The next largest groups of respondents are from the 15-25 and the 59-69 age ranges and these groups are under-represented and over-represented respectively, the 15-25 age range being the largest population group in Carlton according to census data.



3.1.1c Dwelling type


As per the overall survey results for City of Melbourne and the census data for Carlton, the majority of survey respondents from Carlton live in flats or apartments, with the next highest percentage living in semi-detached houses. There is an over-representation of respondents living in separate houses and slight under-representation of people living in flats or apartments.



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.1.1d Household type

Most of the Carlton survey respondents were from households of two adults without dependents and this group is significantly over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. Lone person households, which make up the largest group of Carlton households, were the next largest group of respondents; this group is slightly under-represented in the survey results as are households of one adult with children, and group households.



3.1.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Carlton, 158. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
85%	Trees
77%	Just being outside
70%	Quiet and peaceful
65%	Place to relax and unwind
58%	Spacious
52%	Health and fitness
48%	Accessible
39%	Natural character
36%	The knowledge that it's there
36%	Refuge for native plants and animals
35%	Safe
30%	Meeting people or friends
25%	Historical character
22%	Feature garden bed planting
20%	Watching activities
18%	Place for kids to play
18%	Cultural activities
14%	Playing casual games
13%	Major events
11%	Playing sport
4%	Other

3.1.3 Open space within walking distance

3.1.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in Carlton

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of Carlton and are listed in priority order.

%	Open space within walking distance
71%	Carlton Gardens
28%	Princes Park
24%	Argyle Square
15%	Lincoln Square
12%	Royal Park
8%	Fitzroy Gardens
7%	University Square
6%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
5%	Royal Botanic Gardens
4%	Macarthur Square
4%	Treasury Gardens
3%	Federation Square

%	Open space within walking distance
3%	Birrarung Marr
2%	Canning & Neill Street Reserve
2%	Edinburgh Gardens
2%	Flagstaff Gardens
1%	Alexandra Gardens
1%	City Centre
1%	Hardy Reserve
1%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
1%	Murchison Square
1%	Neill Street Reserve
1%	South Bank Promenade
1%	Carlton Gardens North
1%	Carlton Gardens South
1%	City Square
1%	Docklands
1%	Gosch's Paddock
1%	Kings Domain
1%	Other City Parks
1%	The Tan
1%	University Cricket Ground
1%	Yarra Trail

3.1.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in Carlton

The following table lists the reasons why Carlton residents visit the 13 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
CARLTON GARDENS 112	29	Walking	10	Cycling - allow bicycles
	19	Exercising	7	BBQs, more required
	14	Relaxation	5	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	13	Recreation	5	Lighting, additional required
	11	Travel through	5	Picnic tables, more required
	10	Jogging/running	4	Cycle paths, more required
	9	Ambience, beauty	4	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	7	Dog walking	4	Seats, additional required
	7	Playground and equipment	4	Toilets, additional required
	4	Close to home	3	Cycling - no bicycles
	4	Picnics	3	Drinking fountains, more required
	4	Playing with children	3	Flower & Garden Show - remove
	4	Work - travel en route	3	Homeless people a concern
3	Entertainment & events	3	Shade, more shaded areas required	
3	Large open space	3	Shelters, more required	
3	Museum	2	Chin-up bars	
2	Away from busy roads and traffic	2	Drinking fountains, maintenance required	

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	2	Basketball	2	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	2	Green grass	2	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	2	Natural environment	2	Playground, additional required
	2	Playground with grandchildren	2	Remove Flower & Garden Show
	2	Trees	2	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	2	Work - close to work	2	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	1	Birdlife (feeding)	1	Basketball court - automatic lighting
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Basketball ring, more required
	1	Clean	1	Control of bats
	1	Fresh air	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
	1	Peaceful	1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	1	Safe	1	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	1	Sitting	1	Fountains to be working
	1	Sport	1	Fountains working
	1	Sport - attend MCG	1	Garden beds, improvement required
	1	Sunbathing	1	Gardens - more flowering shrubs
	1	Taking visitors	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Tennis	1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
			1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
			1	Litter in open space is an issue
			1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
			1	More community events
			1	No commercial use
			1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
			1	Playground, equipment requires regular maintenance/repairs
			1	Rubbish bins, more required
			1	Rubbish bins, to be located near playgrounds
			1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
			1	Sporting facilities, more required
			1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
			1	Traffic, speeding is an issue
			1	Trees, more shade trees required
			1	Trees, more trees required
PRINCES PARK 44	12	Exercising	5	Lighting, additional required
	12	Jogging/running	3	Drinking fountains, more required
	12	Walking	2	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	6	Dog walking	2	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	4	Recreation	2	Toilets, additional required
	3	Cycling	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	3	Relaxation	1	Dog off-lead, personal safety concerns
	2	Playing with children	1	Exercise - personal training groups aggressive
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Pedestrian crossing, more required
	1	BBQs	1	Pond, to be regularly cleaned

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Football	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Large open space	1	Rubbish bins, recycling bins to be installed
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Sport	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Tennis	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Travel through	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Trees	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
			1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
		1	Vegetation, to be well-maintained	
ARGYLE SQUARE 38	12	Travel through	3	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	4	Dog walking	2	Entertainment, more required
	3	Café and kiosk facilities	2	Shade, more shaded areas required
	3	Entertainment & events	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	3	Relaxation	1	BBQs, more required
	3	Walking	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Carpark, additional required
	2	Meeting people/friends	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	2	Playing with children	1	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	2	Recreation	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	2	Work - travel en route	1	Fountains to be working
	1	Close to home	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Exercising	1	Open space, too crowded
	1	Jogging/running	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Picnics	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Seats, to be located under shade trees
	1	Sitting	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Trees	1	Skateboarding - enforce prohibition
1	Watching people			
LINCOLN SQUARE 24	10	Travel through	2	BBQs, more required
	4	Close to home	2	Shelters, more required
	2	Picnics	1	Community events - more required
	2	Walking	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Dog walking	1	Entertainment, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Grassed areas, more required
	1	Green grass	1	Playground, additional required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Skateboarding - enforce prohibition
	1	Playing with children	1	Skating, more skate parks required
	1	Recreation	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Shopping		
	1	Sitting		
1	Trees			
ROYAL PARK 19	7	Walking	1	Cycle paths, more required
	4	Cycling	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	3	Exercising	1	Lake, to be regularly cleaned/maintained
	2	Fresh air	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Jogging/running	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	BBQs	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Dog walking	1	Release area fenced off in middle of park
	1	Gardens	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	1	Natural environment	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Recreation	1	Traffic, speeding is an issue
	1	Relaxation	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	1	Travel through		
	1	Trees		
FITZROY GARDENS 13	3	Relaxation	2	Lighting, additional required
	3	Travel through	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	3	Walking	1	Cycling - policing of rules re park path use and speed limits
	2	Jogging/running	1	Fountains to be working
	1	Close to home	1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Exercising	1	Odour - unpleasant
	1	Green grass		
	1	Museum		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Sport - attend MCG		
UNIVERSITY SQUARE 11	5	Travel through	1	Ball games - area required
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	BBQs, more required
	1	Close to home	1	Garden beds, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Pond, create/install one
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Picnics	1	Trees, dead and dangerous ones to be removed from open space
	1	Recreation	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	1	Walking		
	1	Work - close to work		
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 10	2	Walking		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Playground and equipment		
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 8	4	Ambience, beauty	1	Fountains to be working
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	1	Picnics		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Shop - Botanical Gardens		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Walking		
MACARTHER SQUARE 6	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	1	Close to home	1	Dog drinking facilities, more required
	1	Dog walking	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Peaceful	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Playing with children	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	1	Travel through	1	Seating - uncomfortable
			1	Seats, additional required
			1	Vegetation, to be well-maintained
TREASURY GARDENS 6	2	Homeless people a concern	2	Homeless people a concern
	2	Walking	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat		
FEDERATION SQUARE 5	3	Entertainment & events		
	2	Activities		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Travel through		
BIRRARUNG MARR 4	2	Recreation	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	1	Picnics	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Travel through	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Walking		
	1	Work - close to work		

3.1.4 Open space beyond walking distance in Carlton

3.1.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in Carlton

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of Carlton. These 33 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least.

%	Open space beyond walking distance
22%	Royal Botanic Gardens
15%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
11%	Fitzroy Gardens
9%	Yarra Trail
6%	Federation Square
6%	Birrarung Marr
6%	Princes Park
6%	Royal Park
4%	Carlton Gardens
4%	Bayside Beaches
4%	Edinburgh Gardens
3%	Flagstaff Gardens
3%	South Bank Promenade
2%	Albert Park
2%	Alexandra Gardens
2%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
2%	Merri Creek
1%	Capital City Trail
1%	Docklands

%	Open space beyond walking distance
1%	Docklands Park
1%	Kings Domain
1%	Myer Music Bowl
1%	State Library (OS In Front Of)
1%	The Tan
1%	Treasury Gardens
1%	Bourke St Mall
1%	Canning & Neill Street Reserve
1%	City Square
1%	Large Parks
1%	Lincoln Square
1%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
1%	Shrine Of Remembrance
1%	Yarra Park

3.1.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in Carlton visit the 13 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 35	7	Picnics	4	Seats, additional required
	6	Recreation	1	Bats - removal requested
	6	Walking	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	4	Natural environment	1	Lighting, additional required
	3	Ambience, beauty	1	Parking to be free on weekends
	3	Children's garden	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	3	Jogging/running	1	Shelters, more required
	3	Meeting people/friends	1	Toilets, additional required
	2	Peaceful		
	2	Relaxation		
	1	BBQs		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Sunbathing		
	1	Taking visitors		
1	Trees			
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 23	1	Playing with children		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Shopping		
FITZROY GARDENS 17	4	Peaceful	2	Seats, additional required
	4	Walking	2	Vegetation, to be well-maintained
	2	Exercising	1	Fountains to be working
	2	Recreation	1	Garden beds, improvement required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	2	Travel through	1	None required
	1	Activities	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Wildlife, native wildlife needs protection
	1	Gardens		
	1	Greenhouse		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Museum		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat		
YARRA TRAIL 14	5	Cycling	14	Not Completed
	4	Walking	1	BBQs, more required
	2	Exercising	1	Development, concerns about impact on open space
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Entertainment, more required
	1	Fresh air	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Pond, create/install one
	1	Natural environment	1	Public transport - improvements needed
	1	Recreation	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Sunbathing		
	1	Waterfront		
FEDERATION SQUARE 10	5	Entertainment & events	2	Entertainment, more required
	4	Meeting people/friends	1	Cafes - wider range, more affordable
	2	Activities	1	Exercise - organised/scheduled activities eg Tai Chi
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
BIRRARUNG MARR	3	Entertainment & events	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	2	Playground and equipment	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	2	Walking		
	1	BBQs		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Travel through		
PRINCES PARK 9	2	Cycling	1	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	1	BBQs	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Dog off-lead area	1	Seats, to be located under shade trees
	1	Exercising	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Football	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Picnics	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Sport		
	1	Tennis		
	1	Walking		
ROYAL PARK 9	1	Dog walking	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Fresh air	1	Natural bushland setting in open space highly valued
	1	Green grass	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Large open space		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Walking		
	1	Zoo		
CARLTON GARDENS 7	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	2	Walking	1	Garden beds, improvement required
	1	Cycling	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Exercising		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Relaxation		
BAYSIDE BEACHES 6	1	Cycling	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Exercising	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Taking visitors		
	1	Walking		
	1	Waterfront		
EDINBURGH GARDENS 6	1	Ambience, beauty	1	BBQs, more required
	1	BBQs	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	1	Football	1	Dogs to be banned from playgrounds
	1	Jogging/running	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	1	Large open space	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Trees, more shade trees required
	1	Natural environment	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Recreation		
	1	Shade		
	1	Soccer		
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 4	1	BBQs	1	BBQs, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Homeless people a concern
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Recreation		
	1	Walking		
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 4	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Walking	1	Open space, more required

3.1.5 Open space not visited in Carlton

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of Carlton, in priority order.

3.1.5a Summary list of open space not visited in Carlton

No	Open Space Not Visited
8	Royal Botanic Gardens
5	Docklands
4	Argyle Square
4	Federation Square
4	Fitzroy Gardens
3	Flagstaff Gardens
3	Royal Park
2	Alexandra Gardens
2	Bayside Beaches
2	Birrarung Marr
2	Carlton Gardens
2	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
2	Princes Park
2	Treasury Gardens
1	Albert Park
1	City Centre
1	City Centre - Swanston Walk
1	City Square
1	Docklands Park
1	Lincoln Square
1	Macarthur Square
1	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
1	University Cricket Ground
1	Westgate Park
1	Yarra Boatsheds

3.1.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in Carlton

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order.

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 8	3	Distance to travel
	2	Lack of time
	1	Carparking inadequate
DOCKLANDS 5	1	Carparking - too costly
	1	Concrete - dominates environment
	1	Isolated
	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of time
	1	Lack of trees
ARGYLE SQUARE 4	2	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of seats
	1	Lack of shade
	1	Lack of trees
	1	Limited facilities

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
FEDERATION SQUARE 4	2	Concrete - dominates environment
	1	Lack of shade
	1	Limited facilities
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
FITZROY GARDENS 4	3	Distance to travel
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 3	1	Cafe/kiosk facilities inadequate
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Personal safety concerns
ROYAL PARK 3	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Cafe/kiosk facilities inadequate
	1	Limited facilities
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
ALEXANDRA GARDENS 2	2	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
BAYSIDE BEACHES 2	1	Lack of time
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
BIRRARUNG MARR 2	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of grass
CARLTON GARDENS 2	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Toilets are not clean
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE 2	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
PRINCES PARK 2	1	Lack of access
TREASURY GARDENS 2	1	Distance to travel
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
ALBERT PARK 1	1	Distance to travel
CITY CENTRE 1	1	Cleanliness concerns
CITY CENTRE - SWANSTON WALK 1	1	Odours unpleasant
CITY SQUARE 1	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
DOCKLANDS PARK 1	1	Distance to travel
	1	Nothing of interest to do
LINCOLN SQUARE 1	1	Skateboarding - police areas of use
MACARTHER SQUARE 1	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 1	1	Distance to travel
UNIVERSITY CRICKET GROUND 1	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
WESTGATE PARK 1	1	Bike share stations required
YARRA BOATSHEDS 1	1	Distance to travel

3.1.6 Types of open space used in Carlton

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of Carlton, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Carlton.

Table 3.1~1 Types of open space used in Carlton

The percentages in Table 3.1~1 have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%,

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
97%	Major Gardens	25%	34%	24%	15%	3%	0%
89%	Local Streets for Exercise	55%	23%	7%	3%	8%	4%
85%	Royal Botanic Gardens	0%	6%	27%	52%	9%	6%
82%	Urban Squares or Plazas	13%	28%	32%	9%	11%	7%
80%	Open space along Yarra River	2%	9%	30%	39%	13%	7%
78%	Small Local Parks	18%	27%	22%	11%	13%	9%
67%	Regional Parks	6%	19%	18%	24%	27%	6%
62%	Medium sized parks	10%	16%	18%	18%	34%	4%
25%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	0%	2%	4%	19%	65%	10%
16%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	0%	1%	2%	13%	72%	11%
16%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	0%	1%	1%	13%	75%	9%

3.1.7 Activities and facilities in open space in Carlton

3.1.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in Carlton

The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of Carlton, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for Carlton.


Provision of walking paths is considered adequate by almost all respondents; with open grassed areas and seats also thought to be adequately provided for by the majority of people. Other facilities which are commonly used, but considered well provided for by less than half of respondents, include drinking fountains, cafes, playgrounds and picnic tables. Provision of cycle paths and public toilets is considered inadequate by a significant proportion of respondents, with BBQs and shelters also rated inadequate by respondents, indicating provision of these facilities may need to be reviewed.



3.1.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in Carlton

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of Carlton respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths and open grassed areas have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities; with over half of respondents also considering that seats are adequately maintained. Less than half of respondents consider that other commonly used facilities such as cycle paths, cafes, playgrounds and picnic tables are adequately maintained. Public toilets and drinking fountains are considered particularly poorly maintained; attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities.

Refer to graph on following page.



3.1.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in Carlton, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.1.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in Carlton
6	Cyclists - conflict with pedestrians on shared paths
5	Cycle paths - connecting paths required
4	Litter in open space is an issue
4	Open space - more small open spaces required
4	Open space - no further loss to development
3	Open space - concerns about impact of development on open space
3	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
2	Drinking fountains, more required
2	Open space - roof garden/vertical garden - incorporate into all new developments
2	Open space - more required
2	Paths - improvement/upgrade required
2	Rubbish bins, more required
2	Rubbish, regular removal required
2	Toilets, additional required
2	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
1	Art works & sculpture - more please
1	BBQs, more required
1	Cycle paths - maintenance required
1	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
1	Paths - waterfront walking path needed in Docklands
1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
1	Garden beds, more required
1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed

No	Suggested improvements to open space in Carlton
1	Open space - more small parks with play equipment required
1	Police, regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour
1	Rubbish bins, recycling bins to be installed
1	Seats, additional required
1	Trees/Vegetation, more trees required
1	Trees/Vegetation, plant more appropriate trees
1	Trees/Vegetation, more required

3.1.9 General comments on open space from Carlton residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into nine topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
46	OS Values
7	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
7	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
5	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
5	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
5	Open space - value close proximity to home
5	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne
4	Open space - enjoy the ambience
4	Open space - important as residential density increases
2	Open space - retain existing open space
1	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
1	Open space - Melbourne's public gardens are world class
29	Environment
6	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
6	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
4	Community gardens - more required
3	Open space - more interesting/creative landscaping
2	Greener open space needed
2	Open space - natural character important
1	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
1	Open space - need more cleared areas for security
3	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens
1	Sustainability - more rain gardens installed
21	Maintenance
13	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
2	Cigarette butt litter a problem
2	Rubbish bins to be emptied regularly & rubbish removed
1	Drinking fountains cleaned and maintained

No	General Comments
1	Litter in open space
1	Open space needs more attention and/or facilities
1	Toilets require better maintenance and cleaning
20	Trees/Vegetation
6	Indigenous vegetation - support more planting
4	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
3	Trees - plant more local/indigenous species
2	Gardens - additional required
2	Trees - more planting to replace older trees
2	Trees - protect existing street trees
1	Trees - exotic species appropriate for city areas & shade
11	Infrastructure
2	Seating - more required
2	Water - ensure planning for sustainability of supply
1	Lighting - use renewable energy sources and solar power for maintenance and lighting
1	Historic structures - preserve (eg gates, fences Stock Route)
1	Cycle paths - require more linked off-road networks for safe family riding
1	Cyclists - need separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians
1	Shelter - additional required
1	Skateboarding - increased facilities required
1	Traffic & access issues
10	Provision
3	Open space - more required in CBD including green open space
2	Open space - establish in area opp Royal Melbourne Hospital
2	Open space - more required
1	Open space - Optus Oval & other major sports venues absorbing open space
1	Open space - Franklin St closure for use as open space
1	Open space - keep / retain what there is
8	Recreation
1	Bocce and petanque courts of proper size required
1	Corporate training groups - inappropriate use of open space
1	Cycling - car-free cycling routes through CBD - eg Elizabeth St redevelopment
1	Cyclists - speeding on shared paths
1	Sport - dominating open space
1	Sporting groups should practice in designated areas
1	Swimming pools - free entry to public pools
1	Tennis courts - free entry
6	Dogs
2	Dog off-lead areas - need more
1	Dog on-lead areas - require enforcement
1	Dog waste - owners to clean up
1	Dogs - off-lead areas lit by solar-powered lights (Princes Park)


No	General Comments
1	Dogs - should all be on-lead
5	Events
2	MIFGS - remove from Carlton Gardens - ongoing damage
1	MIFGS - keep at Carlton Gardens
1	MIFGS - move Show or make it free for MCC ratepayers
1	MIFGS - hold Show every 2 years

3.2 CARLTON NORTH

3.2.1 Who completed the survey?


3.2.1a Gender

A total of 93 completed surveys were received from Carlton North. Approximately 60% of these are recorded as female and 40% male. When compared to the 49% of males recorded in the census data for Carlton North this indicates a slight under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.2.1b Age range

The largest group of respondents from Carlton North is from the 59-69 age range, and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with census data. The age range representing the largest group according to census data for Carlton North is 15-25, and this group is significantly under-represented in the survey respondents. All older age ranges, from 37 to 81 are slightly over-represented.



3.2.1c Dwelling type


According to census data, the vast majority of Carlton North residents live in flats or apartments, however this group is significantly under-represented in the survey respondents, most of whom live in semi-detached houses, or in houses. Both of these respondent groups are significantly over-represented in the survey. This is consistent with the higher response rate from adults with children, group households and adults without dependents, refer to 3.2.1d.



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.2.1d Household type

Most of the Carlton North survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is an under-representation of lone person households, which makes up the largest group of households in Carlton North. Two adults with children are also over-represented in the survey results when compared with the census.



3.2.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Carlton North, 93. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Values
83%	Trees
76%	Just being outside
76%	Quiet and peaceful
70%	Place to relax and unwind
67%	Health and fitness
66%	Spacious
59%	Accessible
42%	Place for kids to play
40%	The knowledge that it's there
40%	Meeting people or friends
40%	Refuge for native plants and animals
37%	Safe
35%	Natural character
22%	Playing casual games
20%	GardenBed
18%	Cultural activities
18%	Historical character
16%	Playing sport
14%	Watching activities
10%	Major events
9%	Other

3.2.3 Open space within walking distance

3.2.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in Carlton North

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of Carlton North and are listed in priority order.

%	Open space within Local Area
69%	Princes Park
39%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
26%	Edinburgh Gardens
22%	Carlton Gardens
19%	Royal Park
5%	Hardy Reserve
3%	Fitzroy Gardens
2%	Birrarung Marr
2%	City Square
2%	Macarther Square
2%	Neill Street Reserve

%	Open space within Local Area
2%	State Library
1%	Argyle Square
1%	Canning & Neill Street Reserve
1%	Capital City Trail
1%	Carlton - Green Median Strips
1%	City Baths
1%	North Melbourne Community Centre
1%	Royal Botanic Gardens
1%	Royal Parade Reserve
1%	Yarra Trail

3.2.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in Carlton North

The following table lists the reasons why Carlton North residents visit the 6 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park. Parks with 4 or more respondents are included.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
PRINCES PARK 64	21	Walking	5	Lighting, additional required
	19	Exercising	3	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	17	Jogging/running	3	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	11	Dog walking	3	Toilets, additional required
	8	Cycling	3	Trees, more trees required
	4	Playground and equipment	2	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	3	Dog off-lead area	2	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	3	Recreation	2	Drainage - needs improvement
	3	Relaxation	2	Rubbish, regular removal required
	3	Travel through	2	Sporting clubs - lower fees for smaller clubs
	2	Large open space	1	Amenities - more required
	2	Meeting people/friends	1	BBQs, more required
	2	Playground with grandchildren	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	2	Playing with children	1	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	2	Tennis	1	Community events - more required
	2	Trees	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	1	Close to home	1	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	1	Football	1	Disabilities, provide access and facilities
	1	Picnics	1	Dog drinking facilities, more required
	1	Work - travel en route	1	Dog waste, owners to clean up (e.g. enforcement required)
			1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
			1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
			1	Drinking fountains, more required
			1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
			1	Maintenance, regular maintenance &

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
				cleaning required
	1		1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1		1	Picnic tables, more required
	1		1	Pond, to be regularly cleaned
	1		1	Running track needed
	1		1	Seats, additional required
	1		1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1		1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1		1	Tennis facilities, improvements/upgrade required
	1		1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
	1		1	Toilets, to be open 24 hours
	1		1	Trees, dead and dangerous ones to be removed from open space
	1		1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1		1	Vegetation, more required
	1		1	Vegetation, to be well-maintained
	1		1	Wildlife, plant more native/indigenous vegetation to attract wildlife
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 3	2	Dog walking		
	2	Playground and equipment		
	2	Recreation		
	1	Activities		
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Dog off-lead area		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Football		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Soccer		
	1	Walking		
EDINBURGH GARDENS 24	8	Walking	2	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	6	Dog walking	1	Disabilities, provide access and facilities
	4	Picnics	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	3	Exercising	1	Lighting, additional required
	3	Playground and equipment	1	Phones - emergency phones along isolated paths
	2	Fresh air	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	2	Jogging/running		
	2	Recreation		
	2	Relaxation		
	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	BMX track		
	1	Cricket		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Dog off-lead area		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Large open space		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Taking visitors		
	1	Travel through		
CARLTON GARDENS 20	5	Walking	4	Cycling - allow bicycles
	4	Museum	2	Lighting, additional required
	4	Playground and equipment	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	4	Travel through	1	Cycling - no bicycles
	3	Exercising	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	2	Picnics	1	Picnic tables, more required
	2	Recreation	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Cycling	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Dog walking	1	Vermin - rats / possums require control
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Green grass		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Playground with grandchildren		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Tennis		
1	Work - travel en route			
ROYAL PARK 18	7	Exercising	2	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	4	Dog walking	1	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	2	Cycling	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	Golf	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	2	Jogging/running	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Walking	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Close to home	1	Playground, additional required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Peaceful	1	Signage, distance markers required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Trees, contribute to appeal of parks and playgrounds
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Recreation	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Sport		
	1	Travel through		
1	Wetlands, visit			
1	Zoo			
HARDY RESERVE 5	1	Exercising	2	Toilets, additional required
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Community vegetable garden
	1	Recreation	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Sport		

3.2.4 Open space beyond walking distance in Carlton North

3.2.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in Carlton North

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of Carlton North. These 28 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least.

%	Open space beyond walking distance
28%	Royal Botanic Gardens
17%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
13%	Carlton Gardens
10%	Birrarung Marr
10%	Fitzroy Gardens
10%	Yarra Trail
9%	Royal Park
8%	Merri Creek
6%	Federation Square
4%	Edinburgh Gardens
4%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
4%	Treasury Gardens
3%	The Tan
2%	Argyle Square
2%	Bayside Beaches
2%	Capital City Trail
2%	Yarra Park
1%	Cbd - Laneways
1%	City Centre
1%	Darling Square
1%	Docklands
1%	Docklands Park
1%	Fawkner Park
1%	Flagstaff Gardens
1%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
1%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
1%	Princes Park
1%	South Bank Promenade

3.2.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in Carlton North visit the 12 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 26	8	Walking	3	Carpark, additional required
	7	Picnics	1	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	6	Ambience, beauty	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	4	Gardens	1	Entertainment, more required
	4	Trees	1	Seats, additional required
	3	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Shelters, more required
	3	Recreation	1	Toilets, additional required
	2	Exercising		
	2	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Children's garden		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Relaxation		
1	Taking visitors			
1	Watching wildlife			
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 16	4	Fresh air		
	2	Cycling		
	1	BBQs		
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
CARLTON GARDENS 12	4	Travel through	2	Toilets, additional required
	2	Exercising	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	2	Jogging/running	1	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Museum	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	2	Playground and equipment	1	Development, concerns about impact on open space
	2	Relaxation	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Walking	1	Playground, equipment requires regular maintenance/repairs
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Tennis		
BIRRARUNG MARR 9	2	Cycling	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	2	Picnics	1	Dogs - allow on trams, on-lead, in off-peak times
	2	Playground and equipment		
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Walking		
	1	Waterfront		
FITZROY GARDENS 9	3	Picnics	1	Lighting, maintenance required
	3	Walking	1	Natural bushland setting in open space highly valued
	2	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Rubbish, regular removal required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
	2	Entertainment & events		
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Trees		
	1	Watching wildlife		
	1	Work - close to work		
YARRA TRAIL 9	3	Cycling	1	Dogs to be banned from playgrounds
	2	Exercising	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	2	Recreation	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	2	Relaxation	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Shopping		
	1	Waterfront		
ROYAL PARK 8	2	Cycling	1	Open space, no further loss to development
	1	Birdlife (watching)	1	Phones - emergency phones along isolated paths
	1	Exercising	1	Sport - dominating open space
	1	Large open space		
	1	Netball		
	1	Taking visitors		
	1	Zoo		
MERRI CREEK 7	4	Cycling	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	1	Exercising	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Natural environment	1	River/creek, more revegetation required
	1	Recreation	1	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
	1	Relaxation		
FEDERATION SQUARE 6	3	Entertainment & events	1	Seats, additional required
	2	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Activities		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Relaxation		
EDINBURGH GARDENS 4	1	Cycling	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Picnics		
	1	Recreation		
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE 4	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycling - policing of rules re park path use
	1	Dog off-lead area		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Waterfront		
TREASURY GARDENS 4	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	Exercising		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Walking		

3.2.5 Open space not visited in Carlton North

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of Carlton North, in priority order.

3.2.5a Summary list of open space not visited in Carlton North

No	Open Space Not Visited
7	Royal Botanic Gardens
3	Birrarung Marr
2	Docklands
2	Federation Square
2	Royal Park
2	Yarra Bike Trail
1	Bourke St Mall
1	City Square
1	Fitzroy Gardens
1	Flagstaff Gardens
1	Merri Creek
1	Princes Park Golf Club
1	South Bank Promenade

3.2.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in Carlton North

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 7	2	Distance to travel
	1	All ability access not provided
	1	Carparking - too costly
	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Dog off-lead area not provided
	1	Transport - cycle routes unsafe
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
BIRRARUNG MARR 3	2	Lack of access
	1	Lack of time
DOCKLANDS 2	1	Concrete - dominates environment
	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of trees
FEDERATION SQUARE 2	1	All ability access not provided
	1	Cycle path in poor condition
	1	Lack of access
	1	Personal safety issues
	1	Walking path in poor condition

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
ROYAL PARK 2	1	All ability access not provided
	1	Dog off-lead area not provided
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
YARRA BIKE TRAIL 2	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of access
BOURKE ST MALL 1	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Elevated bike lanes needed
CITY SQUARE 1	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of trees
FITZROY GARDENS 1	1	Lack of time
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 1	1	Distance to travel
MERRI CREEK 1	1	Speeding cyclists
	1	Unsafe for children
PRINCES PARK GOLF CLUB 1	1	Maintenance and management - poor
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 1	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night

3.2.6 Types of open space used in Carlton North

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of Carlton North, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Carlton North.

Table 3.2~1 Types of open space used in Carlton North

The percentages in *Table 3.2~1* have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%,

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
92%	Major Gardens	6%	25%	41%	20%	1%	6%
90%	Regional Parks	28%	33%	23%	6%	4%	5%
89%	Small Local Parks	26%	37%	22%	5%	3%	8%
88%	Local Streets for Exercise	61%	18%	8%	1%	4%	8%
85%	Open space along Yarra River	1%	12%	33%	39%	6%	9%
85%	Royal Botanic Gardens	0%	4%	25%	56%	5%	10%
70%	Urban Squares or Plazas	9%	24%	27%	11%	12%	18%
58%	Medium sized parks	3%	8%	20%	27%	24%	18%
40%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	0%	2%	13%	25%	47%	13%
24%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	0%	4%	12%	8%	57%	19%
23%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	0%	3%	6%	13%	60%	17%

3.2.7 Activities and facilities in open space in Carlton North

3.2.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in Carlton North


The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of Carlton North, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for Carlton North.

Provision of walking paths and open grassed areas are considered adequate by the majority of respondents. Facilities which are used by the majority of people but are considered less well provided for include cycle paths, public toilets, drinking fountains and seats. Provision of these facilities may need to be reviewed. While not as commonly used by respondents, facilities such as cafes/kiosks, picnic tables, barbecues, informal outdoor recreational facilities and shelters, are considered poorly provided for.



3.2.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in Carlton North

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of Carlton North respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths and open grassed areas have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities; with over half of respondents also considering that cycle paths are adequately maintained. Less than half of respondents consider that other commonly used facilities such as playgrounds and seats are adequately maintained. Public toilets and drinking fountains are considered particularly poorly maintained; attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities.



3.2.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in Carlton North, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.2.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in Carlton North
5	Open space - links are required
4	Cycle paths - connecting paths required
3	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
3	Open space - more required
3	Open space - no further loss to development
2	Art works & sculpture - more please
1	Cycle paths - maintenance required
1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
1	Events - entertainment/events too costly
1	Facilities/amenities - provide access & facilities for people with disabilities
1	Lighting, additional required
1	Paths - improvement/upgrade required
1	Pedestrian crossing, more required
1	Police, regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour
1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
1	Trees/Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
1	Watering, Council to be water wise when watering

3.2.9 General comments on open space from Carlton North residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into eleven topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
28	OS Values
6	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
4	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
4	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
4	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
3	Open space - value close proximity to home
2	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
2	Open space - retain existing open space
1	Cars - city centre streets - close to through traffic
1	Open space - lungs of the city
1	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne

No	General Comments
19	Character
8	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
4	Community gardens - more required
2	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
2	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
1	Bushland setting in open space highly valued
1	Open space - natural character important
1	Open space - prioritise improvement of under-used open space
16	Trees/Vegetation
3	Trees - exotic species appropriate for city areas & shade
3	Trees - more planting to replace older trees
2	Indigenous vegetation - support more planting
2	Trees - plant more local/indigenous species
2	Trees - protect existing street trees
1	Flowering trees - more requested
1	Gardens - additional required
1	Greener open space needed
1	Native planting to encourage native birds/wildlife
12	Recreation
3	Open space - inappropriate use by corporate training groups
2	Sport - dominating open space
1	Bocce and petanque courts of proper size required
1	Cycling - car-free cycling routes through CBD - e.g.Elizabeth St redevelopment
1	Cycling - enforce regulations in non-cycling parks
1	Cyclists - speeding on shared paths
1	Playground - really good adventure playground required
1	Safety - inappropriate use after hours a concern
1	Sporting groups should practice in designated areas
11	Environment
7	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens
4	Sustainability - more raingardens installed
8	Provision
4	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
1	Open space - keep / retain what there is
1	Open space - more required
1	Open space - more required in CBD incl green open space
1	Railway easements - use to green inner suburbs
8	Maintenance
7	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
1	Graffiti, removal


No	General Comments
6	Other
4	A-Not completed
2	Cemetery - include in OS list and maintain character
5	Infrastructure
2	Cyclists - need separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians
1	Depot - remove from Fitzroy Gardens
1	Paths - more required for all ages
1	Playgrounds - additional, well-designed play area required
2	Events
2	Community events - more in local areas
1	Dogs
1	Dog - fenced-off areas required in parks for dog exercising

3.3 DOCKLANDS

3.3.1 Who completed the survey?


3.3.1a Gender

A total of 54 completed surveys were received from Docklands. Approximately 55% of these are recorded as female and 45% male. When compared to the 56% of males recorded in the census data for Docklands this indicates an under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.3.1b Age range

The largest group of respondents from Docklands is from the 48-58 age range, which is an over-representation in this group when compared with census data. The younger age groups, which make up the majority of the population according to census data for Docklands, are under-represented in the survey, while the older age range groups are over-represented.



3.3.1c Dwelling type


As per the census data for Docklands, nearly all of the survey respondents live in flats or apartments, with a very small percentage living in other types of dwelling. The proportion of survey responses is consistent with the census data for dwelling type, in this suburb.



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.3.1d Household type

Most of the Docklands survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is an under-representation of lone person households, which make up the second largest group of Docklands households. The representation of other respondent groups is generally consistent with census data.



3.3.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Docklands, 54. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
69%	Just being outside
69%	Place to relax and unwind
69%	Trees
59%	Quiet and peaceful
54%	Health and fitness
48%	Spacious
46%	Accessible
46%	Refuge for native plants and animals
37%	The knowledge that its there
33%	Place for kids to play
33%	Meeting people or friends
33%	Safe
31%	Historical character
31%	Natural character
30%	Feature garden bed planting
24%	Watching activities
22%	Playing casual games
22%	Cultural activities
17%	Playing sport
13%	Major events
9%	Other

3.3.3 Open space within walking distance

3.3.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in Docklands

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of Docklands and are listed in priority order.

%	Open space within Local Area
52%	Docklands Park
22%	Docklands
15%	Point Park
15%	South Bank Promenade
15%	VICTORIA GREEN (Proposed)
9%	Flagstaff Gardens
9%	Yarra Trail
6%	Federation Square
6%	New Quay
6%	New Quay Promenade
6%	Royal Botanic Gardens
4%	The Tan

%	Open space within Local Area
4%	Victoria Harbour Promenade (Docklands)
2%	Anz Blowhole Garden (Docklands)
2%	Batman Park
2%	Birrarung Marr
2%	City Centre
2%	Fitzroy Gardens
2%	Gordon Reserve
2%	Harbour Esplanade
2%	Harbour Esplanade (Docklands)
2%	Mosaic Building
2%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
2%	Seafarers Rest
2%	Victoria Harbour Promenade

3.3.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in Docklands

The following table lists the reasons why Docklands residents visit the 7 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements	
DOCKLANDS PARK 28	5	Exercising	3	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving	
	5	Playground and equipment	2	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths	
	4	Walking	2	Open space, bare/uninviting/neglected - lack of green areas	
	3	BBQs	2	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups	
	3	Dog walking	2	Seats, additional required	
	3	Playground with grandchildren	1	Access, provide safer places to cross major roads	
	3	Travel through	1	Art works & sculpture - more please	
	2	Fresh air	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required	
	2	Playing with children	1	Dog drinking facilities, more required	
	2	Recreation	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required	
	2	Work - travel en route	1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead	
	1	Close to home	1	Garden beds, more required	
	1	Green grass	1	Grassed areas, more required	
	1	Jogging/running	1	Lighting, additional required	
				1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
				1	More community events
			1	Open space, bare/uninviting/neglected, improvements required	
			1	Open space, more outdoor events, markets, festivals required	
			1	Open space, more required	
			1	Open space, more small open spaces required	
			1	Pedestrian crossing, more required	

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
			1	Playground, equipment needs updating
			1	Rubbish, regular removal required
			1	Shade, more shaded areas required
			1	Skating, more facilities required
			1	Sporting facilities - area for ball games required
			1	Sporting facilities, more required
			1	Tennis facilities, more required
			1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
			1	Trees, more trees required
			1	Wildlife, plant more native/indigenous vegetation to attract wildlife
DOCKLANDS 12	1	Close to home	3	Trees, more trees required
	1	Exercising	2	Access, improvements required
	1	Views	2	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	1	Work - close to work	2	Open space, no further loss to development
			2	Tennis facilities, more required
			2	Vegetation, more required
			1	Basketball ring, more required
			1	BBQs, more required
			1	Community events - more required
			1	Development, concerns about impact on open space
			1	Exercise - more organised/scheduled activities eg. tai chi
			1	Garden beds, improvement required
			1	Open space, bare/uninviting/neglected - lack of green areas
			1	Open space, more required
		1	Services (PO, doctor, chemist) required	
		1	Sporting facilities, more required	
POINT PARK 8	4	Dog walking	2	Lighting, additional required
	3	Exercising	1	Access, improvements required
	1	Work - travel en route	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
			1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
			1	Dog waste, owners to clean up (e.g. enforcement required)
			1	Odour - unpleasant
			1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
			1	Playground, equipment needs updating
			1	Pond, create/install one
			1	River/creek, more revegetation required
			1	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
			1	Seats, additional required
			1	Shade, more shaded areas required
		1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required	
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 8	5	Walking	2	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Entertainment, more required
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Playground with		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
		grandchildren		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Work - travel en route		
VICTORIA GREEN (proposed)	4	Travel through	1	Development, concerns about impact on open space
8	1	Close to home	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Dog walking	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Green grass	1	Open space, more required
	1	Large open space	1	Open space, too crowded
	1	Recreation	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS	1	Exercising	2	Lighting, additional required
5	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	1	Peaceful	1	Grassed areas, more required
	1	Safe	1	Open space, more outdoor events, markets, festivals required
	1	Travel through	1	Sporting facilities, more required
	1	Work - travel en route		
YARRA TRAIL	3	Walking	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
5	1	Jogging/running	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Taking visitors		

3.3.4 Open space beyond walking distance in Docklands

3.3.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in Docklands

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of Docklands. These 24 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least.

%	Open space Outside Local Area
33%	Royal Botanic Gardens
11%	Bayside Beaches
9%	Fitzroy Gardens
9%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
7%	Albert Park
7%	The Tan
6%	Carlton Gardens
6%	Treasury Gardens
6%	Westgate Park
2%	Birrarung Marr
2%	Federation Square
2%	Flagstaff Gardens
2%	Gosch's Paddock
2%	King & Victoria Street Reserve
2%	Kings Domain
2%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
2%	New Quay Promenade
2%	Princes Park

%	Open space Outside Local Area
2%	Royal Park
2%	Shrine Of Remembrance
2%	South Bank Promenade
2%	Victoria Markets
2%	Walking/Cycle Paths Along Bay
2%	Yarra Trail

3.3.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in Docklands visit the 6 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 18	4	Ambience, beauty	1	Entertainment, more required
	4	Walking	1	None required
	3	Relaxation	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Toilets, additional required
	2	Exercising		
	2	Jogging/running		
	2	Large open space		
	2	Peaceful		
	2	Recreation		
	1	Children's garden		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Green grass		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Picnics		
1	Well maintained			
BAYSIDE BEACHES 6	1	Cycling	1	BBQs, more required
	1	Dog walking	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Fresh air	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Walking		
FITZROY GARDENS 5	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Dog walking	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Gardens	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Peaceful		
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 5	3	Dog off-lead area	2	None required
	1	Ambience, beauty		
ALBERT PARK 4	1	Birdlife (feeding)	1	Garden beds, more required
	1	Dog walking	1	Wildlife, native wildlife needs protection

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Fresh air		
THE TAN 4	2	Exercising	1	Open space, no further loss to development
	2	Jogging/running		
	1	Walking		

3.3.5 Open space not visited in Docklands

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of Docklands, in priority order.

3.3.5a Summary list of open space not visited in Docklands

No	Open Space Not Visited
5	Docklands Park
3	Docklands
2	Birrarung Marr
1	Albert Park
1	Batman Park
1	Blue Water Park - Docklands
1	Carlton Gardens
1	City Centre
1	Fitzroy Gardens
1	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
1	Myer Music Bowl
1	New Quay
1	Other City Parks
1	The Tan

3.3.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in Docklands

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order.

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
DOCKLANDS PARK 5	3	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Concrete - dominates environment
	1	Lack of lighting
	1	Lack of shade
	1	Lack of trees
	1	Traffic noise and proximity
DOCKLANDS 3	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Cafe/kiosk facilities inadequate
	1	Cars - too many/intruding on open space
	1	Dog dropping bags
	1	Fenced dog off-lead area not provided
	1	Lack of BBQs
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of seats
	1	Lack of shade
	1	Lack of toilets
	1	Limited facilities
	1	Picnic area not provided

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
	1	Playground not provided
BIRRARUNG MARR 2	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of shade
	1	Limited facilities
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
ALBERT PARK 1	1	Carparking - too costly
	1	Lack of time
BATMAN PARK 1	1	Graffiti - clean it off
	1	Homeless people a concern
	1	Lack of lighting
	1	Limited facilities
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Speeding cyclists
BLUE WATER PARK - DOCKLANDS 1	1	River/creek dirty and uninviting
CARLTON GARDENS 1	1	Distance to travel
FITZROY GARDENS 1	1	Cafe/kiosk facilities inadequate
MYER MUSIC BOWL 1	1	Lack of access
NEW QUAY 1	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
OTHER CITY PARKS 1	1	Carparking - too costly
	1	Lack of time
THE TAN	1	Free fitness events not held

3.3.6 Types of open space used in Docklands

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of Docklands, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Docklands.

Table 3.3~1 Types of open space used in Docklands

The percentages in *Table 3.3~1* have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%,

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
91%	Open space along Yarra River	30%	39%	17%	6%	6%	4%
83%	Royal Botanic Gardens	0%	17%	35%	31%	9%	7%
83%	Medium sized parks	28%	35%	13%	7%	6%	11%
72%	Major Gardens	4%	6%	26%	37%	11%	17%
72%	Local Streets for Exercise	46%	19%	6%	2%	11%	17%
70%	Urban Squares or Plazas	17%	33%	19%	2%	7%	22%
69%	Small Local Parks	17%	35%	7%	9%	7%	24%
44%	Regional Parks	0%	0%	11%	33%	37%	19%
33%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	0%	2%	13%	19%	48%	19%
20%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	0%	0%	9%	11%	61%	19%
15%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	0%	4%	2%	9%	63%	22%

3.3.7 Activities and facilities in open space in Docklands

3.3.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in Docklands

The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of Docklands, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for Docklands.


Provision of walking paths is considered adequate by the majority of respondents; with more than half also considering provision of picnic tables to be adequate. About half of the respondents consider barbecues, public toilets, open grassed areas and seats to be sufficiently provided for, whereas cafes/kiosks, drinking fountains, cycle paths and playgrounds are considered inadequately provided for by a significant proportion of respondents, indicating provision of these facilities may need to be reviewed. Shelters and informal outdoor recreational facilities were thought particularly inadequately provided for in Docklands, and their provision may also require review.



3.3.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in Docklands

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of Docklands respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities; with over half of respondents also considering that open grassed areas, picnic tables and seats are adequately maintained. Less than half of respondents consider that other commonly used facilities such as cycle paths, barbecues and drinking fountains are adequately maintained. Public toilets are considered particularly poorly maintained; attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities.

Please refer to graph on following page



Facilities DOCKLANDS respondents consider as being well maintained

3.3.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in Docklands, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.3.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No.	Suggested improvements to open space in Docklands
2	Open space - no further loss to development
2	Litter in open space is an issue
1	Art works & sculpture - more please
1	Cycle paths - more required
1	Open space - concerns about impact of development on open space
1	Open space - links are required
1	Open space - more required
1	Paths - dry walking paths in winter
1	Police - regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour

3.3.9 General comments on open space from Docklands residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into nine topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
15	Provision
10	Open space - need more in Docklands area
2	Open space - more required
1	Concrete - dominates environment; lack of green space
1	Open space - more required in CBD including green open space
1	Open space - need more in West Melbourne area
11	OS Values
2	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
1	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
1	Open space - enjoy visiting it
1	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
1	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
1	Open space - lungs of the city
1	Open space - provides for a variety of needs
1	Open space - retain existing open space
1	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
1	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne
10	Infrastructure
2	Cyclists - need separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians
1	Facilities/amenities - more required
1	Boats - free berthing needed in Docklands
1	Lighting - better facilities required
1	Rubbish bins - more required
1	Seating - more required
1	Shade - more shade generally in open space
1	Skateboarding - increased facilities required
1	Tennis - additional facilities required
9	Trees/Vegetation
3	Native planting to encourage native birds/wildlife
2	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
1	Flowering shrubs (eg roses) more requested
1	Grassed areas - more required
1	Trees - plant more local/indigenous species
1	Vegetation - more edible plantings, composting in parks


No	General Comments
6	Maintenance
3	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
1	Cigarette butt litter a problem
1	Creeks/river to be regularly cleaned
1	Rubbish bins to be emptied regularly & rubbish removed
5	Character
2	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
1	Community gardens - more required
1	Greener open space needed
1	Open Space - native plantings in South Wharf to encourage birdlife
2	Events
2	Community events - more in local areas
1	Other
1	Docklands - insufficient basic services for local population
1	Recreation
1	Cyclists - conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths

3.4 EAST MELBOURNE

3.4.1 Who completed the survey?


3.4.1a Gender

A total of 152 completed surveys were received from East Melbourne. Approximately 60% of these are recorded as female and 40% male. When compared to the 50% of males recorded in the census data for East Melbourne this indicates a slight under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.4.1b Age range

The largest group of respondents from East Melbourne is from the 48-58 age range, this group is over-represented in the survey respondents compared with census data. The other older age groups are also slightly over-represented, whereas the 26-36 age range, which makes up the largest percentage of East Melbourne residents, is under-represented in the survey results.



3.4.1c Dwelling type


As per the overall survey results for City of Melbourne and the census data for East Melbourne, the majority of survey respondents from East Melbourne live in flats or apartments. This group is slightly under-represented compared with census data, whereas the respondents living in separate houses are over-represented in the survey results. This may correspond with the higher response from two-adult households, and the lower response from lone-person households, in the survey respondents.



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.4.1d Household type

Most of the East Melbourne survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is an under-representation of lone person households, which makes up the largest group of households in East Melbourne. The other respondent groups are generally consistent with census data.



3.4.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for East Melbourne, 152. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
91%	Trees
88%	Quiet and peaceful
81%	Place to relax and unwind
75%	Just being outside
73%	Spacious
69%	Health and fitness
61%	Accessible
47%	Historical character
44%	Natural character
43%	Refuge for native plants and animals
41%	The knowledge that it's there
41%	Safe
40%	Place for kids to play
39%	Feature garden bed planting
36%	Meeting people or friends
26%	Cultural activities
20%	Playing casual games
16%	Watching
15%	Major events
11%	Other
9%	Playing sport

3.4.3 Open space within walking distance

3.4.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in East Melbourne

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of East Melbourne and are listed in priority order.

%	Open space within walking distance
86%	Fitzroy Gardens
30%	Yarra Park
24%	Powlett Reserve
24%	Treasury Gardens
19%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
18%	Royal Botanic Gardens
16%	Darling Square
15%	Birrarung Marr
13%	Yarra Trail
5%	Carlton Gardens
3%	The Tan

%	Open space within walking distance
2%	Alexandra Gardens
2%	Federation Square
2%	Kings Domain
2%	Wellington Crescent
1%	City Centre
1%	Fawkner Park
1%	Flagstaff Gardens
1%	Melbourne Park
1%	Queen Victoria Gardens
1%	City Square
1%	Docklands Park
1%	Gosch's Paddock
1%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
1%	Parliament Gardens
1%	Royal Park
1%	Shrine Of Remembrance
1%	South Bank Promenade
1%	Weedon Reserve
1%	Wellington Park

3.4.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in East Melbourne

The following table lists the reasons why East Melbourne residents visit the 11 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
FITZROY GARDENS 131	36	Walking	8	Lighting, additional required
	23	Exercising	7	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	21	Travel through	4	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	19	Work - travel en route	4	Cycling - no bicycles
	16	Ambience, beauty	4	None required
	11	Peaceful	3	BBQs, more required
	11	Recreation	3	Fountains to be working
	10	Dog walking	3	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	8	Jogging/running	3	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	7	Picnics	3	Playground, equipment needs updating
	6	Gardens	3	Trees, more trees required
	6	Playground and equipment	2	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	6	Relaxation	2	Cycling - allow bicycles
	3	Large open space	2	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	3	Taking visitors	2	Entertainment, more required
2	Café and kiosk facilities	2	Homeless people a concern	
2	Close to home	2	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups	
2	Green grass	2	Seats, additional required	
2	Natural environment	2	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced	

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Access to CBD	2	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Cycling	2	Vermin - rats / possums require control
	1	Dog off-lead area	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Café/ kiosk - more affordable
	1	Fresh air	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Carpark, additional required
	1	Playing with children	1	Cars, too many
	1	Sitting	1	Community vegetable garden
	1	Watching wildlife	1	Cycle paths, more required
			1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
			1	Cycling - obvious park ranger presence
			1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
			1	Disabilities, provide access and facilities
			1	Dog drinking facilities, more required
			1	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
			1	Drainage - needs improvement
			1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
			1	Entertainment and events - less required
			1	Fencing is required
			1	Garden beds, more required
			1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
			1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
			1	Open space, no further loss to development
			1	Paths, personal safety concern on shared paths; need separate paths for cyclists/pedestrians
			1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
			1	Picnic tables, more required
			1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
			1	Shelters, more required
			1	Toilets, additional required
			1	Weeds require control
YARRA PARK 46	15	Walking	22	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	11	Exercising	3	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	8	Dog walking	2	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	5	Recreation	1	Community events - more required
	5	Relaxation	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	3	Jogging/running	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	3	Playground with grandchildren	1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
	3	Travel through	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	2	Large open space	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	2	Playing with children	1	Fountains to be working
	2	Work - travel en route	1	Garden beds, more required
	1	BBQs	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Cycling	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Football	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Fresh air	1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Skateboarding	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Trees	1	Toilets, to be located near playgrounds
POWLETT RESERVE 37	7	Playground with grandchildren	3	BBQs, more required
	7	Travel through	2	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	4	Playground and equipment	2	Lighting, additional required
	4	Walking	2	Seats, additional required
	3	Tennis	2	Trees, more trees required
	2	Dog walking	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	2	Exercising	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	2	Playing with children	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	2	Recreation	1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	1	Access to CBD	1	None required
	1	Activities	1	Open space, no further loss to development
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	1	Ball games	1	Playground, equipment needs updating
	1	Close to home	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Large open space	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Relaxation		
1	Taking visitors			
TREASURY GARDENS 36	14	Travel through	2	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	11	Walking	2	Trees, more trees required
	5	Recreation	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	4	Ambience, beauty	1	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	4	Exercising	1	Lighting, additional required
	3	Work - travel en route	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	2	Peaceful	1	Open space, no further loss to development
	2	Picnics	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	2	Relaxation	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Dog walking	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Large open space		
MELBOURNE CRICKET GROUND 29	8	Dog walking	7	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	8	Walking	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	6	Exercising	1	Carpark, additional required
	4	Travel through	1	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Jogging/running	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	Playground and equipment	1	Dog drinking facilities, more required
	2	Work - travel en route	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Cycling	1	None required
	1	Football	1	Open space, no further loss to development
	1	Recreation	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Relaxation	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	River		
	1	Skateboarding		
	1	Taking visitors		
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 28	12	Exercising	2	Fountains to be working
	10	Walking	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	5	Ambience, beauty	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	3	Entertainment & events	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	3	Jogging/running	1	Seats, additional required
	3	Recreation	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	3	Relaxation	1	Trees, more shade trees required
	2	Birdlife (watching)	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	2	Dog walking		
	2	Large open space		
	1	Children's garden		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Gardens		
DARLING SQUARE 24	6	Dog walking	2	Garden beds, improvement required
	4	Relaxation	2	Rubbish, regular removal required
	3	Meeting people/friends	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	3	Sitting	1	Dog off-lead, personal safety concerns
	3	Walking	1	Dog waste, owners to clean up (e.g. enforcement required)
	2	Close to home	1	Drainage - needs improvement
	2	Exercising	1	Hedge along one side
	2	Picnics	1	Open space, no further loss to development
	2	Recreation	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Seats, arrange so easier for conversation/social interaction
	1	Ball games	1	Trees, dead and dangerous ones to be removed from open space
	1	Birdlife (watching)	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Football	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Sunbathing		
	BIRRARUNG MARR 23	8	Walking	2
4		Playground and equipment	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
4		Travel through	1	BBQs, more required
3		Exercising	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
2		Jogging/running	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
2		Relaxation	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
1		Activities	1	Picnic tables, more required
1		Ambience, beauty	1	Toilets, additional required
1		Entertainment & events	1	Vegetation, more required
1		Meeting people/friends		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Recreation		
	1	River		
	1	Taking visitors		
	1	Views		
	1	Work - travel en route		
YARRA TRAIL 20	5	Exercising	2	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	5	Walking	1	Carpark, additional required
	3	Recreation	1	Cycling - no bicycles
	3	Travel through	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	2	Dog walking	1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	2	Peaceful	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Trees		
	1	Views		
CARLTON GARDENS 7	2	Travel through	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	2	Walking	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	Work - travel en route		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Museum		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playground and equipment		
THE TAN 5	3	Exercising	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Walking	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility

3.4.4 Open space beyond walking distance in East Melbourne

3.4.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in East Melbourne

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of East Melbourne. These 30 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least.

%	Open space beyond walking distance
24%	Royal Botanic Gardens
18%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
11%	Yarra Trail
7%	Royal Park
6%	Carlton Gardens
5%	Federation Square
4%	Docklands
3%	Bayside Beaches
3%	Birrarung Marr
3%	Flagstaff Gardens
3%	The Tan

%	Open space beyond walking distance
3%	Albert Park
3%	Fawkner Park
2%	Edinburgh Gardens
2%	Fitzroy Gardens
2%	Kings Domain
2%	Large Parks
2%	Merri Creek
2%	Yarra Park
1%	Capital City Trail
1%	Docklands Park
1%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
1%	Alexandra Gardens
1%	Alexandra Park
1%	Jolimont Reserve
1%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
1%	Powlett Reserve
1%	South Bank Promenade
1%	Victoria Markets
1%	Wellington Park

3.4.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in East Melbourne visit the 13 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 37	7	Recreation	1	Access, improvements required
	7	Walking	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	5	Jogging/running	1	Entertainment - more low-cost events
	3	Exercising	1	Fountains to be working
	3	Gardens	1	None required
	3	Meeting people/friends	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	3	Relaxation	1	Seats, arrange so easier for conversation/social interaction
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Trees, more shade trees required
	2	Large open space	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	2	Peaceful		
	2	Picnics		
	2	Taking visitors		
	1	Ambience, beauty		
1	Away from busy roads and traffic			
1	Close to home			
1	Cycling			
1	Dog walking			
1	Festivals and markets			
1	Playground and equipment			

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Travel through		
	1	Trees		
	1	Watching wildlife		
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 27	3	Walking	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	Cycling		
	2	Recreation		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Festivals and markets		
	1	Golf		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	River		
YARRA TRAIL 16	6	Cycling	1	Cycle paths, more required
	4	Walking	1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	3	BBQs	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Exercising	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	2	Relaxation	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Dog walking	1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Picnics		
	1	River		
	1	Taking visitors		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Views		
ROYAL PARK 11	2	Birdlife (watching)	2	Trees, more trees required
	2	Exercising	1	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	2	Large open space	1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Garden beds, improvement required
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	1	Cycling	1	Open space, too crowded
	1	Dog walking	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Recreation	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Trees		
	1	Walking		
	1	Work - close to work		
	1	Zoo		
CARLTON GARDENS 9	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Recreation	1	Flower & Garden Show - remove
	2	Travel through	1	None required
	1	Exercising		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Trees		
1	Work - travel en route			
FEDERATION SQUARE 8	3	Entertainment & events	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	3	Meeting people/friends	1	Open space, more required
	1	Activities	1	Shade, more shaded areas required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
DOCKLANDS 6	2	Waterfront	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Cycling	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Football		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Shopping		
	1	Sport		
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Waterfront		
BAYSIDE BEACHES 5	1	Cycling	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Exercising		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Walking		
BIRRARUNG MARR 5	2	Entertainment & events	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Cycling	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Picnics	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Walking		
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 5	2	Peaceful	1	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	1	Basketball		
	1	Historical		
THE TAN 5	2	Exercising		
	2	Jogging/running		
	2	Walking		
	1	Recreation		
ALBERT PARK 4	1	Birdlife (feeding)	1	Birdlife (e.g.swans) - more protection
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Swimming		
FAWKNER PARK 4	1	Cricket	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Dog walking	1	Weeds require control
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Playground with grandchildren		
	1	Walking		

3.4.5 Open space not visited in East Melbourne

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of East Melbourne, in priority order.

3.4.5a Summary list of open space not visited in East Melbourne

No	Open Space Not Visited
6	Royal Botanic Gardens
5	Docklands
4	Docklands Park
4	Royal Park
3	South Bank Promenade
3	Yarra Park
2	Albert Park
2	City Square
2	Fawkner Park
2	Federation Square
2	Fitzroy Gardens
2	Other City Parks
2	Princes Park
2	Yarra Bike Trail
1	Birrarung Marr
1	City Centre
1	City Centre - Swanston Walk
1	Edinburgh Gardens
1	J J Holland Park
1	Myer Music Bowl
1	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve

3.4.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in East Melbourne

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order.

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 6	3	Carparking inadequate
	1	Distance to walk
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of time
DOCKLANDS 5	2	Distance to travel
	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of time
	1	Too corporate
DOCKLANDS PARK 4	2	Windy, no protection
	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Public transport difficult w young children
ROYAL PARK 4	2	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Limited facilities
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 3	1	Cleanliness concerns
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
	1	Speeding cyclists
YARRA PARK 3	3	Cars - too many/intruding on open space
ALBERT PARK 2	1	Lack of access
	1	Transport - cycle routes unsafe
CITY SQUARE 2	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
FAWKNER PARK 2	1	Cycling - inadequate parking/locking facilities
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of toilets
FEDERATION SQUARE 2	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Concrete - dominates environment
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
FITZROY GARDENS 2	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Cafe/kiosk facilities inadequate
	1	Cafe/restaurants too expensive
	1	Nothing of interest to do
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
OTHER CITY PARKS 2	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Lack of time
PRINCES PARK 2	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Cycling - inadequate parking/locking facilities
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of toilets
YARRA BIKE TRAIL 2	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Speeding cyclists
BIRRARUNG MARR 1	1	Lack of time
CITY CENTRE 1	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
CITY CENTRE - SWANSTON WALK 1	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
EDINBURGH GARDENS 1	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of shade
J J HOLLAND PARK 1	1	Distance to travel
MYER MUSIC BOWL 1	1	Busy/crowded
NORTH MELBOURNE RECREATION RESERVE	1	Distance to travel

3.4.6 Types of open space used in East Melbourne

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of East Melbourne, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for East Melbourne.

Table 3.4~1 Types of open space used in East Melbourne

The percentages in Table 3.4~1 have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%,

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
92%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	0%	2%	73%	17%	1%	7%
86%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	0%	4%	68%	14%	1%	13%
86%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	1%	3%	61%	21%	5%	10%
84%	Local Streets for Exercise	69%	5%	2%	8%	14%	2%
74%	Medium sized parks	9%	12%	38%	16%	7%	19%
63%	Major Gardens	51%	7%	0%	4%	34%	3%
63%	Urban Squares or Plazas	9%	26%	9%	18%	25%	12%
61%	Regional Parks	5%	10%	29%	17%	5%	35%
58%	Small Local Parks	20%	14%	12%	11%	31%	11%
55%	Open space along Yarra River	8%	34%	5%	9%	34%	12%
51%	Royal Botanic Gardens	3%	41%	2%	4%	18%	31%

3.4.7 Activities and facilities in open space in East Melbourne

3.4.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in East Melbourne


The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of East Melbourne, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for East Melbourne.

Provision of walking paths and open grassed areas is considered adequate by almost all respondents; with seats and cafes/kiosks also thought to be adequately provided for by the majority of people. Other facilities which are used by most, but considered well provided for by less than half of respondents, include public toilets and drinking fountains. Provision of cycle paths and picnic tables is considered inadequate by a significant proportion of respondents, indicating provision of these facilities in East Melbourne may need to be reviewed. Provision of club-based indoor and outdoor, and informal recreation facilities is also considered to be inadequate, and this may also require review.



3.4.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in East Melbourne

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of East Melbourne respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths and open grassed areas have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities; with over half of respondents also considering that seats and cafes are adequately maintained. Less than half of respondents consider that other commonly used facilities such as drinking fountains, cycle paths, and public toilets are adequately maintained, and attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities.



3.4.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in East Melbourne, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.4.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in East Melbourne
25	Open space - Yarra Park - no cars or carparking; give over to grass and parkland
6	Open space - no further loss to development
5	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
3	Cycle paths - more required
3	Cyclists - conflict with pedestrians on shared paths
3	Trees/Vegetation - Yarra Park - plant more trees & garden beds
2	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
2	Open space - concerns about impact of development on open space
2	Open space - more required
2	Rubbish, regular removal required
2	Safety concerns, fearful of using open space
1	Cycle paths - connecting paths required
1	Cyclists - speeding on shared paths
1	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
1	Open space - improvement - recent improvement appreciated
1	Open space - links are required
1	Open space - roof garden/vertical garden - incorporate into all new developments
1	Open space links, walk through enroute to public transport
1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
1	Police, regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour
1	Pond, create/install one
1	Rubbish bins, more required
1	Safety - drunks and vagrants need managing
1	Seats, additional required
1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
1	Watering, Council to be water wise when watering

3.4.9 General comments on open space from East Melbourne residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into eleven topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
45	OS Values
6	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
6	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
5	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
5	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
5	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
5	Open space - value close proximity to home
3	Open space - important as residential density increases
3	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne
2	Open space - enjoy the ambience
2	Open space - enjoy visiting it
2	Open space - retain existing open space
1	Open space - lungs of the city
18	Character
7	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
4	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
3	Community gardens - more required
1	Greener open space needed
1	Open Space - more European-style squares and piazzas
1	Open space - natural character important
1	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
16	Trees/Vegetation
3	Native planting to encourage native birds/wildlife
3	Trees - exotic species appropriate for city areas & shade
2	Indigenous vegetation - support more planting
2	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
2	Trees - plant more local/indigenous species
1	Flowering shrubs (eg roses) - more requested
1	Gardens - additional required
1	Trees - more planting to replace older trees
1	Vegetation - more edible plantings, composting in parks
15	Recreation
5	Sport - dominating open space
3	Corporate training groups - inappropriate use of open space
2	Cycling - enforce regulations in non-cycling parks
2	Running paths - softer surfaces for safe use

No	General Comments
1	Cyclists - conflict with pedestrians on shared paths
1	Safety - inappropriate use after hours a concern
1	Sports - cricket, football, basketball grounds for under-15s only
14	Infrastructure
2	Fencing - repair rail side fencing Wellington Parade/Flinders St - tourist photos bus stop
1	Car-parking (Yarra Park) destroys open spaces for family use
1	Cycle paths - require more linked off-road networks for safe family riding
1	Cycling - European-style traffic lights for cyclists
1	Cycling - new cycle lanes in Albert St East Melbourne an improvement
1	Depot - remove from Fitzroy Gardens
1	Exercise stations required along Tan
1	Facilities/amenities - more required
1	Lighting - less light pollution, shielded lights
1	Playground - shade cloths required over children's playgrounds
1	Seating - more required
1	Tennis - additional facilities required
1	Water - ensure planning for sustainability of supply
13	Provision
3	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
2	Open space - more required - Batman Av & Fed Sq area - roof over train tracks with football oval for kids
2	Open space - more required in CBD including green open space
1	Open space - keep / retain what there is
1	Open space - more required
1	Open space - need more in West Melbourne area
1	Railway easements - use to green inner suburbs
1	RCH -ensure OS promise is fulfilled
1	Roof tops - CBD - green them and make more accessible for views
11	Maintenance
10	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
1	Cigarette butt litter a problem
9	Environment
5	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens
3	Sustainability - more rain gardens installed
1	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens
7	Other
5	A-Not completed
1	Cars - ban cars in Yarra Park
1	Cars - reduce speed limit in local streets
5	Dogs
3	Dog - fenced-off areas required in parks for dog exercising


No	General Comments
1	Dog off-lead areas - need more
1	Dog waste - more bins/bags for disposal required
1	Events
1	Entertainment - rock concerts damaging to OS

3.5 KENSINGTON

3.5.1 Who completed the survey?


3.5.1a Gender

A total of 260 completed surveys were received from Kensington. Approximately 70% of these are recorded as female and 30% male. When compared to the 49% of males recorded in the census data this indicates an under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.5.1b Age range

The largest group of respondents from Kensington is from the 26-36 age range, which corresponds with census data. The next largest group of respondents is from the 37-47 age range and this group, as well as the 48-58 age range, is slightly over-represented in the survey results, with the under-25 age range slightly under-represented.



3.5.1c Dwelling type


As per the census data for Kensington, the majority of survey respondents from Kensington live in semi-detached, row or terrace houses, while the next largest group of respondents live in houses. This group is slightly over-represented in the survey respondents, while people living in flats or apartments are slightly under-represented. This is consistent with the higher response rate from adults with children, refer to 3.5.1d. The proportion of survey responses is not significantly different from the census data for dwelling type, in this suburb,



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.5.1d Household type

Most of the Kensington survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is slightly over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is a slight under-representation of lone person households, which makes up the largest group of households in Kensington. Two adults with children are also over-represented in the survey results when compared with the census.



3.5.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Kensington, 260. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
78%	Trees
75%	Just being outside
68%	Quiet and peaceful
67%	Health and fitness
67%	Place to relax and unwind
61%	Spacious
51%	Accessible
47%	Place for kids to play
45%	Refuge for native plants and animals
40%	The knowledge that it's there
39%	Meeting people or friends
39%	Natural character
34%	Safe
25%	Historical character
23%	Feature garden bed planting
22%	Playing casual games
22%	Cultural activities
19%	Watching activities
18%	Playing sport
16%	Major events
8%	Other

3.5.3 Open space within walking distance

3.5.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in Kensington

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of Kensington and are listed in priority order. Where only one person has reported visiting a reserve, this is listed in the table as 0%.

%	Open space within walking distance
49%	J J Holland Park
32%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
17%	Kensington Banks Small Reserves
13%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
11%	Bayswater Road Park
10%	Stock Route Reserve
10%	Royal Park
9%	Bellair Street Reserve
8%	Womens Peace Gardens
7%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
4%	Kensington Hall Reserve

%	Open space within walking distance
4%	Newmarket Reserve
4%	Riverside Park
2%	Parsons Street Reserve
2%	Maribyrnong River Trail
2%	Riverside Quay Reserve
1%	Carlton Gardens
1%	Clayton Reserve
1%	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve
1%	Westbourne Road Reserve
1%	Yarra Trail
1%	Eastwood Street & Rankin Road Reserve
1%	Edinburgh Gardens
1%	Kensington Small Reserves (7)
1%	Liddy Street Reserve
1%	Peppercorn Park
0%	Bedford Street Reserve
0%	Capital City Trail
0%	City Square
0%	Eades Park
0%	Fitzroy Gardens
0%	Flagstaff Gardens
0%	Footscray Park
0%	Ievers Reserve
0%	Lincoln Square
0%	Other City Parks
0%	Princes Park
0%	Robertson Street Reserve
0%	State Library (OS In Front Of)
0%	Warun Biik Park
0%	Zoological Gardens

3.5.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in Kensington

The following table lists the reasons why Kensington residents visit the 16 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
J J HOLLAND PARK 127	26	Dog walking	14	Lighting, additional required
	24	Playground and equipment	6	Works - complete existing works
	23	Walking	5	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	21	Exercising	5	Toilets, additional required
	15	Travel through	4	BBQs, more required
	13	Jogging/running	4	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	10	Playing with children	4	Shade, more shaded areas required
	8	Recreation	4	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	7	Large open space	4	Trees, more trees required
	6	Sport	3	Dog drinking facilities, more required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	5	Fresh air	3	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	5	Skateboarding	3	Paths - construct diagonal path to link with perimeter path
	4	Cycling	3	Shelters, more required
	4	Picnics	3	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	4	Relaxation	3	Trees, more shade trees required
	3	BBQs	2	Art works & sculpture - more please
	3	Dog off-lead area	2	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	3	Swimming	2	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
	3	Work - travel en route	2	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	2	Close to home	2	Noise reduction - from traffic/trains
	2	Family friendly/child friendly	2	Picnic tables, more required
	2	Football	2	Playground, additional required
	2	Oval	2	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	2	Peaceful	2	Playground, shade required
	2	Sitting	2	Rubbish bins, recycling bins to be installed
	2	Soccer	2	Seats, additional required
	1	Activities	2	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Ambience, beauty	2	Sporting facilities, improvements, pleased to see them occurring
	1	Ball games	2	Sporting facilities, more required
	1	Basketball	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	1	BMX track	1	BBQs, regular maintenance required
	1	Children's garden	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	1	Convenient (on the way)	1	Carpark, additional required
	1	Cricket	1	Chin-up bars
	1	Gardens	1	Community vegetable garden
	1	Green grass	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	1	Natural environment	1	Dogs to be banned from playgrounds
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Entertainment, more required
	1	Rollerblading	1	Graffiti, maintenance required
			1	Kiosk - upgrade/improvements required
			1	Litter - dangerous e.g. syringes, broken glass
			1	Litter in open space is an issue
			1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
			1	Open space, more required
			1	Open space, no further loss to development
			1	Paths, personal safety concern on shared paths; need separate paths for cyclists/pedestrians
			1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
			1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
			1	Playground, equipment needs updating
			1	Rubbish, regular removal required
			1	Shelters, BBQs required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
			1	Tennis facilities, improvements/upgrade required
			1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
			1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
			1	Vegetation - plant as screening from traffic/buildings
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE 82	35	Walking	11	Lighting, additional required
	20	Exercising	9	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
	18	Cycling	5	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	16	Jogging/running	5	Rubbish, regular removal required
	14	Dog walking	4	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	6	Peaceful	3	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	4	Fresh air	3	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	4	Relaxation	3	Trees, more trees required
	3	Large open space	3	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	3	Recreation	2	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	2	Gardens	2	Graffiti, maintenance required
	2	Waterfront	2	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	BBQs	2	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Close to home	2	Seats, additional required
	1	Natural environment	2	Trees, more shade trees required
	1	Picnics	2	Vegetation, more required
	1	Playing with children	1	BBQs, more required
	1	Travel through	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	1	Work - travel en route	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
				1
			1	Drinking fountains, more required
			1	Lighting, maintenance required
			1	Litter in open space is an issue
			1	Picnic tables, more required
			1	Rubbish bins, more required
			1	Shade, more shaded areas required
KENSINGTON BANKS SMALL RESERVES 45	8	Playground and equipment	3	BBQs, more required
	8	Walking	2	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	7	Dog walking	2	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	4	Cycling	2	Garden beds, improvement required
	4	Exercising	2	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	4	Playing with children	2	Lighting, additional required
	3	Fresh air	2	Seats, additional required
	2	Basketball	1	Basketball ring, more required
	2	Jogging/running	1	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Large open space	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	2	Recreation	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	2	Relaxation	1	Graffiti, maintenance required
	2	Travel through	1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	1	Green grass	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	None required
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Open space, too crowded
	1	Sitting	1	Paths, personal safety concern on shared paths; need separate paths for cyclists/pedestrians
	1	Sport	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Tennis	1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	1	Work - travel en route	1	Playground, equipment requires regular maintenance/repairs
			1	Rubbish, regular removal required
			1	Shade, more shaded areas required
			1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
			1	Trees, more trees required
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 33	4	Dog walking	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	2	Walking		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Relaxation		
BAYSWATER ROAD PARK 28	19	Playground and equipment	9	Toilets, additional required
	3	Playing with children	2	BBQs, regular maintenance required
	2	Ambience, beauty	2	Shade, more shaded areas required
	2	BBQs	2	Trees, more trees required
	2	Close to home	1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	2	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Picnic tables, more required
	2	Meeting people/friends	1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	2	Picnics	1	Playground, shade required
	1	Dog walking	1	Playground, to be fenced for children's safety
	1	Large open space	1	Rubbish bins, recycling bins to be installed
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Recreation	1	Sporting facilities, more required
	1	Safe	1	Tennis facilities, more required
			1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
			1	Vegetation, more required
STOCK ROUTE RESERVE 27	11	Exercising	3	Lighting, additional required
	11	Walking	2	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	6	Travel through	1	Access, improvements required
	4	Cycling	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	3	Dog walking	1	Graffiti, maintenance required
	2	Close to home	1	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
	2	Jogging/running	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	2	Relaxation	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Pedestrian bridge - requires maintenance

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements	
	1	Playing with children	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space	
	1	Safe	1	Phones - emergency phones along isolated paths	
	1	Views	1	Rubbish, regular removal required	
	1	Work - travel en route	1	Seats, additional required	
			1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required	
			1	Sporting clubs - lower fees for smaller clubs	
			1	Trees, more trees required	
			1	Vegetation, more required	
	ROYAL PARK 26	8	Walking	3	Lighting, additional required
		5	Cycling	2	Playground, additional required
4		Exercising	1	Access - save/retain this open space	
4		Jogging/running	1	Art works & sculpture - more please	
4		Recreation	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use	
4		Travel through	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths	
3		Dog walking	1	Developers, ensure they cannot acquire existing open space	
2		Natural environment	1	Development, concerns about impact on open space	
1		Birdlife (watching)	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required	
1		Close to home	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required	
1		Picnics	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility	
1		Wetlands, visit	1	Picnic tables, more required	
1		Zoo	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required	
			1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required	
BELLAIR STREET RESERVE 24	14	Playground and equipment	4	Toilets, additional required	
	5	Playing with children	3	Shade, more shaded areas required	
	3	Watching trains	2	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated	
	2	Convenient (on the way)	2	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups	
	1	Activities	2	Playground, equipment needs updating	
	1	Ambience, beauty	2	Seats, additional required	
	1	Dog walking	1	BBQs, more required	
	1	Gardens	1	Garden beds, more required	
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Picnic tables, more required	
	1	Travel through	1	Playground, shade required	
	1	Walking	1	Playground, to be fenced for children's safety	
			1	Shelters, more required	
			1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required	
		1	Toilets, to be located near playgrounds		
		1	Trees, more trees required		
		1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced		
WOMENS PEACE GARDENS 22	8	Peaceful	4	Lighting, additional required	
	4	Relaxation	3	BBQs, more required	
	3	Travel through	2	Maintenance, regular maintenance &	

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
				cleaning required
	2	Dog walking	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	2	Exercising	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	2	Fresh air		
	2	Large open space		
	2	Walking		
	1	Close to home		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Views		
	1	Work - travel en route		
MOONEE PONDS CREEK TRAIL 17	9	Cycling	2	Rubbish, regular removal required
	5	Travel through	1	Access, improvements required
	5	Walking	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	4	Exercising	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Dog walking	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Birdlife (feeding)	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Jogging/running	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	1	Recreation	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Shopping	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Walking along the river	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Waterfront		
	KENSINGTON HALL RESERVE 11	6	Travel through	3
2		Playground and equipment	2	Playground, additional required
1		Close to home	2	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
1		Large open space	1	Community notice board - required
1		Walking	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
1		Work - travel en route	1	Fencing, improvement/upgrade required
			1	Garden beds, more required
			1	Gay "beat" activity confronting
			1	Homeless people a concern
			1	Rubbish bins, more required
			1	Shelters, more required
		1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced	
		1	Vegetation, more required	
NEWMARKET RESERVE 10	2	Dog walking	3	Trees, more trees required
	1	Close to home	2	Lighting, additional required
	1	Exercising	1	Access, improvements required
	1	Fresh air	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	1	Large open space	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Playing with children	1	Running track needed

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Recreation	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Soccer	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Sport	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Travel through	1	Vegetation, more required
	1	Walking		
RIVERSIDE PARK 10	4	Dog walking	2	Lighting, additional required
	2	Cycling	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	Jogging/running	1	Drainage - needs improvement
	2	Playing with children	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	2	Tennis	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	2	Walking	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Close to home	1	Picnic tables, more required
			1	Playground, additional required
		1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required	
PARSONS STREET RESERVE 5	2	Dog walking	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Close to home	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Fencing is required
	1	Peaceful	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Playing with children	1	Police, regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour
			1	Rubbish bins, more required
		1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required	
MARIBYRNONG RIVER TRAIL 4	2	Walking	1	BBQs, more required
	1	Cycling	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Exercising		
	1	River		
	1	Rollerblading		
RIVERSIDE QUAY RESERVE 4	1	Dog walking	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Shelters, more required
			1	Sporting clubs - lower fees for smaller clubs
			1	Trees, dead and dangerous ones to be removed from open space
			1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required

3.5.4 Open space beyond walking distance in Kensington

3.5.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in Kensington

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of Kensington. These 43 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least. Where only one person has reported visiting a reserve, this is listed in the table as 0%.

%	Open space beyond walking distance
28%	Royal Botanic Gardens
15%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
13%	Carlton Gardens
12%	Fitzroy Gardens
12%	Royal Park
9%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
9%	Yarra Trail
9%	Princes Park
5%	Birrarung Marr
5%	Federation Square
5%	Docklands
4%	Flagstaff Gardens
3%	Treasury Gardens
3%	Bayside Beaches
2%	Albert Park
2%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
2%	Capital City Trail
2%	Docklands Park
2%	The Tan
2%	J J Holland Park
2%	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve
1%	Alexandra Gardens
1%	Edinburgh Gardens
1%	Large Parks
1%	Clayton Reserve
1%	Kings Domain
1%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
1%	Queen Victoria Gardens
0%	Bayswater Road Park
0%	Bellair Street Reserve
0%	City Square
0%	Gardiner Reserve
0%	Kensington Banks Small Reserves
0%	Lincoln Square
0%	Macarthur Square
0%	Merri Creek
0%	North Melbourne Community Centre
0%	Riverside Quay Reserve
0%	Robertson Street Reserve
0%	South Bank Promenade
0%	Stock Route Reserve

%	Open space beyond walking distance
0%	Victoria Markets
0%	Westgate Park

3.5.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in Kensington visit the 21 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 72	19	Walking	3	Carpark, additional required
	16	Picnics	2	Picnic tables, more required
	12	Ambience, beauty	2	Seats, additional required
	12	Relaxation	2	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
	9	Recreation	1	BBQs, more required
	7	Exercising	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	5	Children's garden	1	Fountains to be working
	5	Entertainment & events	1	Free parking on weekends
	5	Gardens	1	Lighting, additional required
	3	Jogging/running	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	3	Meeting people/friends	1	Public transport - improvements needed
	3	Trees	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	2	Peaceful	1	Shelters, more required
	2	Work - close to work	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Activities		
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Festivals and markets		
	1	Historical		
	1	Large open space		
1	Playground and equipment			
1	Playing with children			
1	Taking visitors			
1	Travel through			
1	Work - lunchtime retreat			
1	Work - travel en route			
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 38	4	Playground and equipment	1	Toilets, additional required
	2	Dog walking	1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Facilities		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Lake		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Swimming		
1	Walking			

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
CARLTON GARDENS 34	9	Playground and equipment	2	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
	7	Walking	2	Toilets, additional required
	4	Ambience, beauty	1	Access, improvements required
	4	Large open space	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	4	Picnics	1	Carpark, additional required
	4	Relaxation	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	3	Recreation	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	3	Trees	1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
	2	Cycling		
	2	Entertainment & events		
	2	Exercising		
	2	Museum		
	2	Travel through		
	1	Activities		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Green grass		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Rollerblading		
	1	Sport		
	1	Tennis		
	1	Work - close to work		
1	Work - lunchtime retreat			
1	Work - travel en route			
FITZROY GARDENS 31	5	Entertainment & events	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	4	Gardens	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	4	Recreation	1	Fencing is required
	4	Walking	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	3	Ambience, beauty	1	Shelters, more required
	3	Peaceful		
	3	Relaxation		
	2	Large open space		
	2	Playground and equipment		
	1	Activities		
	1	BBQs		
	1	Cook's Cottage		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Shade		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Trees		
1	Views			
1	Work - lunchtime retreat			
ROYAL PARK 30	8	Cycling	3	Lighting, additional required
	7	Dog walking	2	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	3	Sport	2	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	3	Walking	2	Rubbish bins, more required
	2	Natural environment	2	Toilets, additional required
	2	Picnics	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
	2	Playing with children	1	Dog drinking facilities, more required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	2	Recreation	1	Dog off-lead area too close to other amenities
	2	Travel through	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	2	Zoo	1	Dog waste, owners to clean up (e.g. enforcement required)
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Dogs, on-lead and off-lead areas to be clearly signed to avoid conflicts
	1	Birdlife (watching)	1	Sporting facilities, more required
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Views		
MARIBYRNON G RIVER BANK RESERVE 24	11	Walking	3	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
	6	Cycling	2	Lighting, additional required
	5	Jogging/running	2	Litter in open space is an issue
	3	Exercising	2	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	1	Clean	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Dog walking	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Open space, more required
	1	Playing with children	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Relaxation	1	Phones - emergency phones along isolated paths
			1	Playground, additional required
		1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required	
		1	Toilets, additional required	
YARRA TRAIL 24	5	Cycling	2	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	4	Exercising	2	Rubbish, regular removal required
	4	Picnics	1	BBQs, more required
	3	BBQs	1	Lighting, additional required
	3	Travel through	1	Toilets, additional required
	3	Walking	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Vegetation, more required
	2	Relaxation		
	1	Activities		
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Green grass		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Recreation		
1	Sport			
1	Sport - attend MCG			
1	Swimming			
1	Views			
PRINCES PARK 23	10	Jogging/running	2	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	6	Exercising	1	Basketball ring, more required
	3	Walking	1	BBQs, more required
	2	Cycling	1	Lighting, additional required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	2	Dog walking	1	Open space, bare/uninviting/neglected, improvements required
	2	Picnics	1	Paths, personal safety concern on shared paths; need separate paths for cyclists/pedestrians
	2	Playground and equipment	1	Picnic tables, more required
	2	Soccer	1	Seats, additional required
	2	Sport	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Dog off-lead area	1	Sporting facilities, more required
	1	Good walking paths	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Recreation	1	Vegetation, more required
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Travel through		
BIRRARUNG MARR 14	3	Playground and equipment	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Garden beds, improvement required
	2	Playing with children	1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	2	Sport - attend MCG		
	2	Walking		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Shopping		
FEDERATION SQUARE 14	7	Entertainment & events	1	Wildlife, native wildlife needs protection
	2	Café and kiosk facilities		
	2	Recreation		
	1	Activities		
	1	Museum		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Work - close to work		
DOCKLANDS 13	2	Travel through	1	Carpark, additional required
	2	Waterfront	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	1	Cycling	1	Entertainment, more required
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Exercise - organised/scheduled activities e.g.tai chi
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Free parking on weekends
	1	Peaceful	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Relaxation	1	Signage, distance markers to be placed along walking/cycle paths
	1	Shopping		
	1	Walking		
	1	Work - close to work		
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 11	3	Walking	1	Seats, additional required
	2	Meeting people/friends		
	2	Travel through		
	1	Green grass		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playground and equipment		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Recreation		
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat		
TREASURY GARDENS 9	2	Exercising	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	2	Work - lunchtime retreat		
	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Sport - attend MCG		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Work - close to work		
BAYSIDE BEACHES 7	2	Exercising		
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic		
	1	Beach, sea air		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Relaxation		
ALBERT PARK 6	2	Sport	1	Access, provide safer places to cross major roads
	2	Swimming	1	Carparking - remove fees
	2	Work - close to work		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Recreation		
MOONEE PONDS CREEK TRAIL 6	4	Cycling	1	Entertainment, more required
	2	Walking	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	1	Relaxation	1	Pedestrian crossing, more required
			1	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
			1	Seats, additional required
		1	Vegetation, more required	
CAPITAL CITY TRAIL 5	5	Cycling	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Graffiti, maintenance required
			1	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
DOCKLANDS PARK 5	1	Entertainment & events	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Walking		
THE TAN 5	4	Jogging/running	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Dog walking	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Exercising	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Walking	1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
J J HOLLAND PARK 4	1	Dog off-lead area	1	Development, concerns about impact on open space
	1	Exercising	1	Picnic tables, more required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
NORTH MELBOURNE RECREATION RESERVE 4	1	Cycling		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Netball		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Relaxation		

3.5.5 Open space not visited in Kensington

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of Kensington, in priority order.

3.5.5a Summary list of open space not visited in Kensington

No	Open Space Not Visited
18	Royal Botanic Gardens
12	Docklands
9	Royal Park
8	Fitzroy Gardens
4	Docklands Park
4	Kensington Hall Reserve
4	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
3	Carlton Gardens
3	Womens Peace Gardens
2	Birrarung Marr
2	Fawkner Park
2	Flagstaff Gardens
2	J J Holland Park
2	Kensington Banks Small Reserves
2	Kensington Small Reserves (7)
2	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
2	Melbourne Cricket Ground
2	Newmarket Reserve
2	South Bank Promenade
2	Westgate Park
2	Yarra Trail
1	Albert Park
1	Alexandra Gardens
1	Bayside Beaches
1	City Centre
1	Federation Square
1	Kings Domain
1	Large Parks
1	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
1	Other City Parks
1	Princes Park
1	Riverside Park
1	Riverside Quay Reserve
1	Shrine Of Remembrance

No	Open Space Not Visited
1	The Tan
1	Treasury Gardens
1	University Square
1	Yarra Park

3.5.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in Kensington

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order.

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 18	7	Distance to travel
	6	Carparking inadequate
	4	Lack of time
	3	Public transport difficult w young children
	1	All ability access not provided
	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Carparking - too costly
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
DOCKLANDS 12	4	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	3	Carparking inadequate
	2	Cafe/restaurants too expensive
	2	Carparking - too costly
	2	Concrete - dominates environment
	2	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Isolated
	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Limited facilities
	1	Low-rent accommodation for artists required
	1	Public transport difficult w young children
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
1	Windy, no protection	
ROYAL PARK 9	2	Lack of access
	1	Carparking - introduce fees
	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Cars - too many/intruding on open space
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Isolated
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Lack of lighting
	1	Lack of trees
	1	Pedestrian access - dangerous across busy arterial road
FITZROY GARDENS 8	4	Carparking inadequate
	4	Distance to travel
	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Carparking - too costly
	1	Public transport difficult w young children
	1	Transport - cycle routes unsafe

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
DOCKLANDS PARK	1	Lack of access
4	1	Lack of toilets
	1	Limited facilities
	1	Picnic area not provided
	1	Traffic noise and proximity
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
KENSINGTON HALL RESERVE	3	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
4	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Fenced dog off-lead area not provided
	1	Traffic noise and proximity
MOONEE PONDS CREEK TRAIL	2	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
4	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Lack of lighting
	1	Unsafe for children
CARLTON GARDENS	2	Carparking inadequate
3	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Carparking - too costly
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
WOMENS PEACE GARDENS	2	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
3	1	Dogs not allowed
BIRRARUNG MARR	1	Lack of access
2	1	Transport - public transport too costly
FAWKNER PARK	1	Lack of knowledge/information
2		
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
2	1	Carparking inadequate
J J HOLLAND PARK	1	Lack of grass
2	1	Lack of trees
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
KENSINGTON BANKS SMALL RESERVES	1	Lack of lighting
2	1	Limited facilities
KENSINGTON SMALL RESERVES (7)	1	Lack of BBQs
2	1	Limited facilities
	1	Personal safety concerns
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE	1	Lack of knowledge/information
2	1	Personal safety concerns
	1	River/creek dirty and uninviting
MELBOURNE CRICKET GROUND	1	Events - too expensive
2	1	Lack of knowledge/information
NEWMARKET RESERVE	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
2	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Lack of shade
	1	Toilets are not clean
	1	Traffic noise and proximity
	1	Vegetation - grow along perimeter
	1	Walking path in poor condition
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE	1	Concrete - dominates environment
2	1	Isolated

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
WESTGATE PARK	1	Isolated
2	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
YARRA BIKE TRAIL	1	Lack of time
2		
ALBERT PARK	1	Carparking inadequate
1		
ALEXANDRA GARDENS	1	Distance to travel
1		
BAYSIDE BEACHES	1	Cleanliness concerns
1		
City Centre	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
1		
FEDERATION SQUARE	1	Concrete - dominates environment
1		
KINGS DOMAIN	1	Lack of time
1		
Large parks	1	Carparking - too costly
1		
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE	1	Distance to travel
1		
OTHER CITY PARKS	1	Carparking - too costly
1	1	Carparking inadequate
PRINCES PARK	1	Transport - cycle routes unsafe
1		
RIVERSIDE PARK	1	Odours unpleasant
1		
RIVERSIDE QUAY RESERVE	1	Lack of access
1		
SHRINE OF REMEMBRANCE	1	Distance to travel
1		
THE TAN	1	Distance to travel
1		
TREASURY GARDENS	1	Lack of knowledge/information
1		
UNIVERSITY SQUARE	1	Lack of trees
1		
YARRA PARK	1	Lack of time

3.5.6 Types of open space used in Kensington

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of Kensington, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Kensington.

Table 3.5~1 Types of open space used in Kensington

The percentages in Table 3.5~1 have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%,

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
91%	Small Local Parks	27%	39%	15%	10%	5%	3%
90%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	10%	40%	29%	12%	7%	3%
89%	Local Streets for Exercise	51%	28%	8%	2%	7%	4%
86%	Royal Botanic Gardens	1%	5%	22%	59%	8%	6%
85%	Open space along Yarra River	1%	7%	31%	47%	10%	5%
79%	Major Gardens	2%	7%	24%	47%	12%	8%
75%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	21%	23%	17%	13%	21%	5%
73%	Urban Squares or Plazas	7%	22%	28%	17%	13%	13%
61%	Regional Parks	1%	12%	20%	28%	30%	9%
57%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	4%	11%	21%	20%	31%	12%
50%	Medium sized parks	1%	4%	16%	29%	40%	10%

3.5.7 Activities and facilities in open space in Kensington

3.5.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in Kensington

The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of Kensington, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for Kensington.


Provision of walking paths and open grassed areas is considered adequate by nearly all respondents; with seats and cycle paths thought to be adequately provided for by the majority of people. Other facilities which are used, but considered well provided for by less than half of respondents, include drinking fountains, barbecues, playgrounds and picnic tables. Provision of public toilets and shelters is considered inadequate by a significant proportion of respondents, indicating provision of these facilities may need to be reviewed. Club-based indoor and outdoor facilities, along with informal recreational facilities are considered particularly poorly provided for, and their provision may also need to be reviewed.



Facilities considered adequately provided for by Kensington respondents

3.5.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in Kensington

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of Kensington respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths and open grassed areas have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities; with just over half of respondents also considering that commonly used facilities such as seats, cycle paths and picnic tables are adequately maintained. Public toilets and drinking fountains are considered particularly poorly maintained; attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities, especially considering that they are used by most respondents.



Facilities considered adequately maintained, by Kensington respondents

3.5.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in Kensington, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.5.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in Kensington
11	Open space - more required
5	Open space - more small open spaces required
4	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
4	Open space - concerns about impact of development on open space
4	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
3	Art works & sculpture - more please
3	Safety - drunks and vagrants need managing
2	Cycle paths - more required
2	Events - Gay "beat" activity confronting
2	Open space - links are required
2	Open space - roof garden/vertical garden - incorporate into all new developments
2	Safety concerns, fearful of using open space
2	Shade, more shaded areas required
2	Sporting facilities, more required
1	BBQs, more required
1	Cycle paths - connecting paths required
1	Cyclists - conflict with pedestrians on shared paths
1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
1	Facilities/amenities - provide access and facilities for people with disabilities
1	Graffiti, maintenance required
1	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
1	Lighting, additional required
1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
1	Police, regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour
1	Rubbish bins, recycling bins to be installed
1	Rubbish, regular removal required
1	Sporting facilities - cricket facilities, improvement required
1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required

3.5.9 General comments on open space from Kensington residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into eleven topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General comments
70	OS Values
3	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
2	Open space - enjoy the ambience
3	Open space - enjoy visiting it
3	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
7	Open space - important as residential density increases
14	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
9	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
9	Open space - retain existing open space
6	Open space - value close proximity to home
10	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
1	Open space - value the sense of community it allows
2	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne
1	Open space - Melbourne's public gardens are world class
32	Trees
2	Flowering shrubs (eg roses) - more requested
2	Gardens - additional required
6	Indigenous vegetation - support more planting
5	Native planting to encourage native birds/wildlife
3	Tree-planting program appreciated
1	Trees - contribute to appeal of parks and playgrounds
1	Trees - exotic species appropriate for city areas & shade
5	Trees - more planting to replace older trees
6	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
1	Trees - plant more fire-resistant species
1	Trees - plant more local/indigenous species
1	Trees - protect existing street trees
29	Character
1	Open Space - more family-friendly spaces
4	Community gardens - more required
4	Greener open space needed
9	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
4	Open space - more interesting/creative landscaping
3	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
3	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
1	Open space - natural character important
28	Maintenance
1	Litter - encourage community involvement in clean-ups - Clean Up Australia Day more frequently
18	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
3	Litter in open space
2	Creeks/river to be regularly cleaned
2	Open space needs more attention and/or facilities

No	General comments
1	Rubbish bins to be emptied regularly & rubbish removed
1	Weeds require control
25	Provision
1	RCH -ensure OS promise is fulfilled
2	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
6	Open space - need more in Kensington area
7	Railway easements - use to green inner suburbs
2	Open space - ensure it is compulsory in high density housing developments
2	Open space - more required
1	Open space - keep / retain what there is
1	Open space - more required in CBD including green open space
1	Open space - need more in Docklands area
1	Open space - need more in North Melbourne area
1	Reclaim wasteland along rivers
22	Infrastructure
5	Playground - shade cloths required over children's playgrounds
2	Historic structures - preserve (eg gates, fences Stock Route)
1	Carparking RBG - free bus from public transport to alleviate parking issues
2	Cyclists - need separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians
1	Facilities/amenities - more required
1	Carparking difficult/expensive
1	Children - more Open Space age-specific design required
1	Exercise stations required along the Maribyrnong
1	Lighting - better facilities required
1	Paths - more required for all ages
1	Seating - more required
1	Shade - more shade generally in open space
1	Toilets - more required
1	Traffic & access issues
1	Traffic congestion around Zoo (Elliott Ave/Macarthur Rd) needs addressing
1	Water - ensure planning for sustainability of supply
9	Recreation
1	Sports - cricket, football, basketball grounds for under-15s only
1	Pedestrians - reduce cars congestion- replace with walking/riding/good public transport combo
1	Playgrounds - locator tab in That's Melbourne website
1	Cycling - enforce regulations in non-cycling parks
1	Pedestrians - drop roadway through Royal Park, add green bridges
1	Cycling -children's mini bike tracks incorporated into playgrounds
2	Running paths - softer surfaces for safe use
1	Netball rings, courts and half-courts


No	General comments
8	Dogs
3	Dog off-lead areas - need more
1	Dog - fenced-off areas required in parks for dog exercising
1	Dog on-lead and off-lead areas to be clearly signed
1	Dog on-lead areas - require enforcement
1	Dog waste - owners to clean up
1	Dogs - more drinking bowls
5	Events
2	More community fairs and events in local areas
3	Community events - more in local areas
3	Other
1	A-Not completed
1	Cafes - more European-style beer gardens
1	Cars - reduce speed limit reduced in local streets
1	Environment
1	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens

3.6 MELBOURNE CBD

3.6.1 Who completed the survey?


3.6.1a Gender

A total of 220 completed surveys were received from Melbourne 3000. Approximately 55% of these are recorded as female and 45% male. When compared to the 51% of males recorded in the census data for this suburb, this indicates a slight under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.6.1b Age range

The largest group of respondents from Melbourne CBD is from the 26-36 age range; as a percentage of survey respondents this is consistent with census data, but the largest age range group for Melbourne CBD is 15-25, and this group is significantly under-represented in the survey respondents. All older age range groups are over-represented in the survey, for this suburb.



3.6.1c Dwelling type


As per the overall survey results for City of Melbourne and the census data for Melbourne CBD, nearly all of the survey respondents from Melbourne CBD live in flats or apartments. The survey response is consistent with the census data for dwelling type, in this suburb.



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.6.1d Household type

Most of the Melbourne CBD survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is an under-representation of group households, and a slight under-representation of lone person households, which makes up the largest group of households in Melbourne CBD.



3.6.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Melbourne CBD, 220. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
79%	Trees
71%	Just being outside
71%	Quiet and peaceful
65%	Place to relax and unwind
64%	Spacious
53%	Health and fitness
53%	Accessible
44%	Safe
41%	Refuge for native plants and animals
40%	Meeting people or friends
40%	Natural character
37%	The knowledge that it's there
32%	Cultural activities
31%	Historical character
30%	Feature garden bed planting
21%	Place for kids to play
20%	Watching activities
16%	Major events
10%	Playing sport
6%	Playing casual games
6%	Other

3.6.3 Open space within walking distance

3.6.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in Melbourne CBD

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of Melbourne CBD and are listed in priority order. Where only one person has reported visiting a reserve, this is listed in the table as 0%.

%	Open space within walking distance
30%	Fitzroy Gardens
30%	Flagstaff Gardens
26%	Carlton Gardens
22%	Treasury Gardens
20%	Royal Botanic Gardens
17%	Birrarung Marr
17%	Federation Square
12%	Yarra Trail
7%	City Centre
6%	Docklands

%	Open space within walking distance
6%	State Library (OS In Front Of)
5%	City Square
5%	Batman Park
5%	The Tan
4%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
4%	South Bank Promenade
3%	Alexandra Gardens
3%	Bourke St Mall
3%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
2%	Docklands Park
2%	Princes Park
2%	Yarra Park
1%	Fawkner Park
1%	Kings Domain
1%	Argyle Square
1%	Bayside Beaches
1%	CBD - Laneways
1%	Enterprise Park
1%	Other City Parks
1%	Yarra Boatsheds
0%	Albert Park
0%	Eades Park
0%	Myer Music Bowl
0%	New Quay Promenade
0%	North Bank Yarra River
0%	Queen Victoria Gardens
0%	Queen Victoria Square
0%	Riverside Quay Reserve
0%	Royal College Of Surgeons Gardens
0%	Royal Park
0%	University Square
0%	Victoria Harbour Promenade (Docklands)
0%	Victoria Market Reserve
0%	Victoria Markets

3.6.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in Melbourne CBD

The following table lists the reasons why Melbourne CBD residents visit the 22 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
FITZROY GARDENS 66	15	Walking	7	Lighting, additional required
	14	Exercising	2	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	10	Recreation	2	Cycling - no bicycles
	8	Relaxation	2	Dog off-lead areas, additional required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	7	Ambience, beauty	2	Drinking fountains, more required
	7	Travel through	1	BBQs, more required
	5	Dog walking	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	4	Entertainment & events	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	4	Green grass	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	4	Picnics	1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	3	Jogging/running	1	Entertainment, more required
	3	Meeting people/friends	1	Fountains to be working
	3	Peaceful	1	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
	2	Gardens	1	Litter - dangerous e.g. syringes, broken glass
	2	Trees	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	2	Work - travel en route	1	No bicycles
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	None required
	1	Cycling	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Natural environment	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	1	Views	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
			1	Shelters, more required
			1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 66	13	Walking	3
9		Exercising	3	Trees, more trees required
9		Travel through	2	Art works & sculpture - more please
7		Recreation	2	Cycling - allow bicycles
6		Fresh air	2	Garden beds, improvement required
6		Relaxation	2	Litter in open space is an issue
5		Close to home	2	None required
5		Jogging/running	2	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
4		Ambience, beauty	2	Picnic tables, more required
4		Trees	2	Playground, equipment needs updating
3		Dog walking	2	Rubbish bins, more required
3		Picnics	2	Rubbish, regular removal required
3		Playground and equipment	2	Seats, additional required
2		BBQs	2	Shelters, more required
2		Gardens	2	Vegetation, more required
2		Green grass	1	Ball games - area required
2		Large open space	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
2		Peaceful	1	Community vegetable garden
2		Sport	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
2		Watching wildlife	1	Cycle paths, more required
1		Ball games	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
1		Meeting people/friends	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
1		Natural environment	1	Drinking fountains, more required
1		Playing with children	1	Entertainment, more required
1		Views	1	Gardens - more flowering shrubs
1		Work - travel en route	1	Graffiti, maintenance required
			1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
			1	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
			1	Kiosk required
			1	Lighting, additional required
			1	Lighting, maintenance required
			1	Litter - dangerous e.g. syringes, broken glass
			1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
			1	More amenities
			1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
			1	Sundial - replace
			1	Tennis court - give keys to bowling club for easy access
			1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
CARLTON GARDENS 57	10	Recreation	6	Lighting, additional required
	9	Walking	5	Cycling - allow bicycles
	8	Exercising	3	Flower & Garden Show - remove
	6	Relaxation	3	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	6	Trees	2	BBQs, more required
	4	Close to home	2	Entertainment, more required
	4	Fresh air	2	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
	4	Picnics	2	Playground, additional required
	4	Travel through	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	3	Jogging/running	1	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycling - no bicycles
	2	Dog walking	1	Drainage - needs improvement
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	2	Meeting people/friends	1	Free parking on weekends
	2	Museum	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	2	Peaceful	1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	1	Cycling	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	No bicycles
	1	Fauna	1	Paths - construct diagonal path to link with perimeter path
	1	Gardens	1	Picnic tables, more required
1	Green grass	1	Remove Flower & Garden Show	
1	Natural environment	1	Rubbish, regular removal required	
1	Playground and equipment	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required	
1	Sitting	1	Toilets, additional required	
1	Watching wildlife	1	Trees, more trees required	
1	Work - lunchtime retreat	1	Vegetation, more required	
TREASURY GARDENS 48	11	Walking	3	Lighting, additional required
	9	Exercising	2	BBQs, more required
	8	Relaxation	2	Fountains to be working
	6	Recreation	1	Basketball ring, more required
	5	Dog walking	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	4	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycling - no bicycles

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	4	Picnics	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	4	Travel through	1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	3	Natural environment	1	Developers, ensure they cannot acquire existing open space
	2	Close to home	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	2	Green grass	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	2	Jogging/running	1	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
	2	Peaceful	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	2	Work - travel en route	1	None required
	1	Activities	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic	1	Rotunda or bandstand
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Fresh air	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Playing with children	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	1	Taking visitors	1	Vegetation, more required
	1	Trees		
	ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 43	11	Exercising	3
10		Walking	3	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
7		Jogging/running	2	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
6		Relaxation	2	Seats, additional required
5		Recreation	1	Access, improvements required
4		Ambience, beauty	1	Ball games - area required
3		Café and kiosk facilities	1	Drinking fountains, more required
3		Fresh air	1	Fountains to be working
3		Picnics	1	Graffiti, maintenance required
2		Entertainment & events	1	Lake, to be regularly cleaned/maintained
2		Gardens	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
2		Trees	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
1		Away from busy roads and traffic	1	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
1		BBQs	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
1		Birdlife (watching)	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
1		Cycling	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
1		Festivals and markets		
1	Meeting people/friends			
1	Natural environment			
1	Sport			
BIRRARUNG MARR 37	10	Walking	2	Drinking fountains, more required
	5	Recreation	2	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	4	Exercising	1	BBQs, more required
	4	Jogging/running	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	4	Playground and equipment	1	Cycle paths, more required
3	Cycling	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths	

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Entertainment, more required
	2	BBQs	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Family friendly/child friendly	1	No bicycles
	2	Relaxation	1	None required
	2	Travel through	1	Open space, too crowded
	1	Art Play	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic	1	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Pedestrian bridge - requires maintenance
	1	Dog walking	1	Petanque court requested
	1	River	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Views	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Waterfront	1	Seats, additional required
	FEDERATION SQUARE 37			1
			1	Shelters, more required
19		Entertainment & events	2	None required
5		Café and kiosk facilities	2	Trees, more trees required
5		Meeting people/friends	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
5		Travel through	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
3		Activities	1	Entertainment, more required
3		Walking	1	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
2		Relaxation	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
1		Ambience, beauty	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
1		Cycling	1	Seats, additional required
1		Fresh air	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
1		Playing with children	1	Vegetation, more required
YARRA TRAIL 26		1	Recreation	
	1	Watching people		
	8	Walking	2	Trees, more trees required
	7	Exercising	1	Access, improvements required
	5	Jogging/running	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	5	Relaxation	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	3	Cycling	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Grassed areas, more required
	2	Fresh air	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	BBQs	1	More amenities
	1	Close to home	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Dog walking	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Playground and equipment		
1	Recreation			
1	Sport - attend MCG			
1	Waterfront			
1	Work - travel en route			

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
CITY CENTRE 15	3	Café and kiosk facilities	2	Graffiti, maintenance required
	3	Shopping	2	Trees, more trees required
	2	Travel through	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	2	Walking	1	Cars, too many
	2	Work - travel en route	1	Homeless people a concern
	1	Jogging/running	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	1	Recreation	1	Noise - amplified music and sales intrusive especially late night
	1	Work - close to work	1	Open space, more required
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat	1	Open space, more small open spaces required
			1	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
			1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
			1	Rubbish bins, more required
			1	Rubbish, regular removal required
			1	Seats, additional required
		1	Vegetation, more required	
DOCKLANDS 14	4	Walking	3	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	3	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
	2	Cycling	1	Grassed areas, more required
	2	Relaxation	1	Open space, no further loss to development
	1	Close to home	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Exercising	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Festivals and markets	1	Vegetation, more required
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Travel through		
STATE LIBRARY (OS IN FRONT OF) 13	7	Relaxation	1	Community notice board - required
	4	Meeting people/friends	1	Open space, more required
	2	Recreation	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Close to home	1	Rubbish bins, to be emptied regularly
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Green grass		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Sunbathing		
	1	Walking		
1	Work - lunchtime retreat			
CITY SQUARE 11	4	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	2	Fresh air	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Festivals and markets	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Vegetation, more required
	1	Shopping		
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat		
1	Work - travel en route			

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
BATMAN PARK 10	2	Close to home	2	Playground, additional required
	2	Recreation	2	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Dog walking	2	Toilets, additional required
	1	Exercising	1	Cycle paths, more required
	1	Green grass	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Fencing is required
	1	Relaxation	1	Gardens - more flowering shrubs
	1	River	1	Graffiti, maintenance required
	1	Travel through	1	Noise reduction - from traffic/trains
	1	Walking	1	Seats, additional required
			1	Sporting facilities, more required
THE TAN 10	4	Exercising	2	Lighting, additional required
	3	Jogging/running	1	Bike racks required
	2	Walking	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
			1	Rubbish, regular removal required
MELBOURNE CRICKET GROUND 8	3	Walking	1	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	2	Dog walking	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Recreation	1	Playground, additional required
	1	Travel through	1	Rubbish bins, more required
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 8	4	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Birds, ban feeding
	3	Recreation	1	Cars, too many
	2	Relaxation	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Facilities - library, child care centre required
	1	Exercising	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Festivals and markets	1	Seats, additional required
	1	River		
	1	Shopping		
	1	Sitting		
	1	Walking		
ALEXANDRA GARDENS 6	2	Entertainment & events	1	Fountains to be working
	2	Walking	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Close to home	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Exercising		
	1	Travel through		
BOURKE ST MALL 6	3	Travel through	2	Trees, more trees required
	2	Shopping	2	Vegetation, more required
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic	1	Entertainment, more required
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Walking		
	1	Work - close to work		
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 6	1	Shopping		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
DOCKLANDS PARK 5	2	Playground and equipment	1	Drainage - needs improvement
	1	BBQs	1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
	1	Exercising		
	1	Walking		
PRINCES PARK 4	2	Jogging/running	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	1	Close to home	1	Fountains to be working
			1	Picnic tables, more required
YARRA PARK 4	2	Walking	1	Playground, additional required
	1	Sport - attend MCG		
	1	Travel through		

3.6.4 Open space beyond walking distance in Melbourne CBD

3.6.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in Melbourne CBD

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of Melbourne CBD. These 40 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least. Where only one person has reported visiting a reserve, this is listed in the table as 0%.

No.	Open space beyond walking distance
17%	Royal Botanic Gardens
15%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
9%	Bayside Beaches
8%	Albert Park
5%	Carlton Gardens
5%	Yarra Trail
4%	Royal Park
3%	Princes Park
2%	Fitzroy Gardens
2%	Kings Domain
2%	South Bank Promenade
2%	Docklands
2%	Docklands Park
2%	Fawkner Park
2%	Federation Square
2%	J J Holland Park
2%	The Tan
2%	Treasury Gardens
1%	Alexandra Gardens
1%	Birrarung Marr
1%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
1%	Capital City Trail
1%	Edinburgh Gardens
1%	Flagstaff Gardens
1%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
1%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
1%	Merri Creek
1%	Powlett Reserve

No.	Open space beyond walking distance
1%	Victoria Markets
0%	City Baths
0%	City Square
0%	Grant Street Reserve
0%	Melbourne Park
0%	Queen Victoria Gardens
0%	Royal College Of Surgeons Gardens
0%	Shrine Of Remembrance
0%	Sturt Street Reserve
0%	Westgate Park

3.6.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in Melbourne CBD visit the 18 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 37	8	Ambience, beauty	1	Access, improvements required
	8	Walking	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	7	Relaxation	1	Cycle paths, more required
	6	Recreation	1	Fountains to be working
	4	Jogging/running	1	Garden beds, improvement required
	2	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Less sport
	2	Children's garden	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	2	Exercising	1	Open space, too crowded
	2	Fresh air	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	2	Large open space	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	2	Peaceful	1	Seats, additional required
	2	Picnics	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	1	Gardens	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Playing with children		
1	Trees			
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 32	1	Activities	2	Toilets, additional required
	1	Beach, sea air	1	Access, improvements required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Rural feel		
	1	Travel through		
1	Vegetable-growing plot			

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Walking		
BAYSIDE BEACHES 20	7	Walking	1	BBQs, more required
	3	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Carparking - remove fees
	3	Fresh air	1	Cycle paths, more required
	3	Recreation	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	3	Swimming	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	2	Cycling	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	2	Relaxation	1	Toilets, additional required
	2	Waterfront		
	1	Beach, sea air		
	1	Birdlife (watching)		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Sitting		
ALBERT PARK 17	3	Exercising	2	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	3	Jogging/running	2	Trees, more trees required
	3	Walking	1	Access, improvements required
	2	Sport	1	BBQs, regular maintenance required
	1	BBQs	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	1	Birdlife (feeding)	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	1	Clean	1	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	1	Cycling	1	Free parking on weekends
	1	Facilities	1	Grand Prix removal
	1	Lake	1	Rubbish bins, to be emptied regularly
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Recreation	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Relaxation	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Sitting		
	1	Swimming		
1	Tennis			
CARLTON GARDENS 12	2	Museum	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Relaxation	1	Scheduled activities e.g.tai chi
	2	Walking	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Clean	1	Trees, contribute to appeal of parks and playgrounds
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Historical		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Trees		
YARRA TRAIL 10	2	Exercising	1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	2	Recreation	1	Less sport
	1	Access to CBD	1	Points of interest, more required
	1	Ferry - enjoy travelling there by ferry	1	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Walking		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Work - travel en route		
ROYAL PARK 8	2	Walking	1	Buildings/structures - keep to minimum
	1	Cycling	1	Carparks - no additional ones
	1	Jogging/running	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Sport		
	1	Trees		
PRINCES PARK 7	4	Jogging/running	2	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	2	Exercising	1	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	1	Cycling	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Picnics	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Soccer	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Sport		
	1	Walking		
FITZROY GARDENS 5	2	Relaxation		
	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Sitting		
KINGS DOMAIN 5	2	Walking	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Cycling		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Work - travel en route		
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 5	3	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Playground with grandchildren		
	1	Work - travel en route		
DOCKLANDS 4	2	Walking	1	Open space - beach or sandy area requested
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Open space, bare/uninviting/neglected, improvements required
	1	Relaxation	1	Trees, more shade trees required
DOCKLANDS PARK 4	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	1	Peaceful	1	Open space, more required
	1	Walking	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Waterfront	1	Vegetation, more required
FAWKNER PARK 4	3	Work - close to work	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic	1	Sporting clubs - lower fees for smaller clubs
	1	Cricket		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Sitting		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Soccer		
FEDERATION SQUARE 4	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Garden beds, more required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Travel through		
J J HOLLAND PARK 4	1	Convenient (on the way)	1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Sporting clubs - lower fees for smaller clubs
	1	Sport	1	Sporting facilities, maintenance required
	1	Watching sport		
THE TAN 4	1	Cycling	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	1	Exercising	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Recreation		
TREASURY GARDENS 4	2	Walking	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat		

3.6.5 Open space not visited in Melbourne CBD

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of Melbourne CBD, in priority order.

3.6.5a Summary list of open space not visited in Melbourne CBD

No	Open Space Not Visited
19	Docklands
9	Royal Park
8	City Square
7	Royal Botanic Gardens
6	Docklands Park
5	Federation Square
5	Flagstaff Gardens
5	Yarra Trail
4	Fitzroy Gardens
4	Treasury Gardens
3	Birrarung Marr
3	Carlton Gardens
3	Melbourne Cricket Ground
3	Westgate Park
2	Batman Park
2	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
2	Other City Parks
2	South Bank Promenade
1	Albert Park
1	Aquarium
1	Arts Centre

No	Open Space Not Visited
1	City Centre
1	Large Parks
1	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
1	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
1	Speakers' Corner

3.6.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in Melbourne CBD

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order.

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
DOCKLANDS 19	11	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	5	Windy, no protection
	3	Concrete - dominates environment
	2	Cafe/restaurants too expensive
	2	Distance to travel
	2	Isolated
	2	Lack of trees
	2	Too corporate
	2	Transport - public transport inadequate
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of shade
	ROYAL PARK 9	2
1		Lack of access
1		Lack of knowledge/information
1		Lack of trees
1		Limited facilities
1		Nothing of interest to do
1		Too corporate
1		Transport - public transport inadequate
CITY SQUARE 8	2	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Birds - nuisance
	1	Concrete - dominates environment
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of knowledge about available open space
	1	Lack of seats
	1	Too corporate
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 7	1	Water feature - replace
	4	Distance to travel
	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Distance to walk
	1	Lack of time
	1	Pedestrian access - dangerous across busy arterial road
	1	Speeding cyclists
DOCKLANDS PARK 6	2	Lack of access
	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of grass

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
	1	Windy, no protection
FEDERATION SQUARE 5	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of seats
	1	Nothing of interest to do
	1	Open space - too small
	1	Windy, no protection
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 5	2	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Nothing of interest to do
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
YARRA BIKE TRAIL 5	1	Cars - too many/intruding on open space
	1	Speeding cyclists
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
	1	Unsafe for children
FITZROY GARDENS 4	2	Transport - public transport inadequate
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
TREASURY GARDENS 4	2	Transport - public transport inadequate
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Nothing of interest to do
BIRRARUNG MARR 3	2	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of time
	1	Lack of trees
CARLTON GARDENS 3	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Cycling not allowed
	1	Distance to travel
MELBOURNE CRICKET GROUND 3	1	Cars - too many/intruding on open space
	1	Lack of lighting
	1	Too corporate
WESTGATE PARK 3	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Personal safety concerns
BATMAN PARK 2	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Rodents - removal required
MOONEE PONDS CREEK TRAIL 2	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Odours unpleasant
	1	River/creek dirty and uninviting
OTHER CITY PARKS 2	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Distance to travel
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 2	1	Personal safety concerns
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
ALBERT PARK 1	1	Distance to travel
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
AQUARIUM 1	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Traffic noise and proximity

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
ARTS CENTRE 1	1	Lack of knowledge/information
CITY CENTRE 1	1	Nothing of interest to do
LARGE PARKS 1	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE 1	1	Lack of knowledge/information
SPEAKERS' CORNER 1	1	Distance to travel

3.6.6 Types of open space used in Melbourne CBD

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of Melbourne CBD, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Melbourne CBD.

Table 3.6~1 Types of open space used in Melbourne CBD

The percentages in Table 3.6~1 have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%,

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
91%	Open space along Yarra River	12%	36%	28%	15%	3%	6%
91%	Major Gardens	11%	39%	29%	13%	3%	5%
90%	Royal Botanic Gardens	3%	20%	34%	34%	5%	5%
88%	Local Streets for Exercise	63%	18%	6%	1%	8%	4%
85%	Urban Squares or Plazas	24%	35%	17%	9%	7%	8%
67%	Small Local Parks	10%	27%	17%	13%	20%	13%
53%	Medium sized parks	0%	9%	22%	21%	35%	12%
50%	Regional Parks	2%	6%	15%	28%	39%	11%
22%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	0%	0%	6%	15%	65%	14%
20%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	0%	2%	4%	14%	66%	14%
16%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	0%	1%	3%	11%	72%	12%

3.6.7 Activities and facilities in open space in Melbourne CBD

3.6.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in Melbourne CBD

The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of Melbourne CBD, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for Melbourne CBD.


Provision of walking paths is considered adequate by almost all respondents; with open grassed areas thought to be adequately provided for by the majority of people. More than half of respondents consider provision of seats and cafe/kiosk facilities to be satisfactory. Other facilities which are used, but considered adequately provided for by less than half of respondents, include public toilets, barbecues and picnic tables. Provision of shelters, drinking fountains and cycle paths is considered inadequate by a significant proportion of respondents, indicating provision of these facilities may need to be reviewed. Informal outdoor recreation and club-based indoor facilities are considered the worst provided in Melbourne CBD, although these are used by a smaller percentage of respondents.



3.6.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in Melbourne CBD

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of Melbourne CBD respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths and open grassed areas have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities; with over half of respondents also considering that seats are adequately maintained. Less than half of respondents consider that other commonly used facilities such as cycle paths, cafes, playgrounds and picnic tables are adequately maintained. Public toilets and drinking fountains are considered particularly poorly maintained; attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities.

Please refer to graph on following page.



3.6.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in Melbourne CBD, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.6.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in Melbourne CBD
16	Open space - roof garden/vertical garden - incorporate into all new developments
8	Open space - more required
5	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
5	Cyclists - conflict with pedestrians on shared paths
4	Open space - more small open spaces required
4	Rubbish, regular removal required
3	Rubbish bins, more required
2	Art works & sculpture - more please
2	Cafes, more required
2	Cycle paths - more required
4	Open space - no further loss to development
2	Open space - concerns about impact of development on open space
2	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
2	Lighting, additional required
2	Litter in open space is an issue
2	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
2	Open space - Yarra Park - no cars or carparking; give over to grass and parkland
1	BBQs, more required
1	Cycle paths - connecting paths required
1	Cycle paths - maintenance required
1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead

No	Suggested improvements to open space in Melbourne CBD
1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
1	Drinking fountains, more required
1	Natural bushland setting in open space highly valued
1	Paths - improvement/upgrade required
1	Pedestrian - access into CBD from all directions
1	Rubbish, regular removal required
1	Shade, more shaded areas required
1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
1	Toilets, additional required
1	Trees/vegetation, more shade trees required
1	Trees/Vegetation, more required
1	Watering, Council to be water wise when watering

3.6.9 General comments on open space from Melbourne CBD residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into eleven topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
65	OS Values
1	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
2	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
3	Open space - enjoy the ambience
3	Open space - enjoy visiting it
4	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
5	Open space - important as residential density increases
14	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
6	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
8	Open space - retain existing open space
2	Open space - value close proximity to home
12	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
2	Open space - value the sense of community it allows
2	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne
1	Open space - Melbourne's public gardens are world class
39	Provision
1	Carpark - demolish and replace with open space
2	Rooftops - CBD - green them and make more accessible for views
3	Open space - more required - Batman Av & Fed Sq area - roof over train tracks with football oval for kids
1	Open space - limit height of buildings to ensure access to light
1	Concrete - dominates environment; lack of green space
2	Open space - adequate provision and appreciated
3	Open space - ensure it is compulsory in high density housing developments
3	Open space - more required
19	Open space - more required in CBD including green open space
2	Open space - need more in Docklands area
2	Railway easements - use to green inner suburbs

No	General Comments
34	Infrastructure
1	Open space - create more indoor/outdoor facilities for all weathers
1	Information - maps on site in parks for tourists
2	Historic structures - preserve (e.g. gates, fences, Stock Route)
1	Paths - colour-code walking and cycle paths for clarity of use
4	Cars - city centre streets - close to through traffic
1	Facilities/amenities - more required
1	Facilities/amenities - excellent in City of Melbourne
4	Cycle lanes on roads not wide enough, not provided, improvements required
2	Cycle paths - more required
2	Cycle paths - require more linked off-road networks for safe family riding
3	Cyclists - need separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians
1	Depot - remove from Fitzroy Gardens
1	Lighting - better facilities required
1	Paths - more required for all ages
1	Pedestrian access - more undercover walkways in CBD
1	Playgrounds - additional, well-designed play area required
1	Rubbish bins - more required
1	Seating - more required
1	Swimming - heated outdoor pool in CBD required
1	Toilets - more required
2	Traffic & access issues
1	Water - ensure planning for sustainability of supply
27	Maintenance
1	Cigarette butt litter a problem
1	Creeks/river to be regularly cleaned
2	Graffiti, removal
2	Litter in open space
18	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
1	Pruning - training required
1	Rubbish bins to be emptied regularly & rubbish removed
1	Safety
25	Trees/Vegetation
2	Flowering shrubs (e.g. roses) - more requested
1	Flowering trees - more requested
2	Gardens - additional required
1	Grassed areas - more required
1	Indigenous vegetation - support more planting
5	Native planting to encourage native birds/wildlife
1	Tree-planting program appreciated
3	Trees - exotic species appropriate for city areas & shade
1	Trees - falling limbs are a personal safety concern
7	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
1	Trees - plant more local/indigenous species
17	Recreation
1	Sports - cricket, football, basketball grounds for under-15s only
2	Pedestrians - reduce car congestion- replace with walking/riding/good public transport combo
1	Playground - really good adventure playground required
1	Safety - perception of night-time violence S/bank, Eliz St, Swanston St off-putting
1	Pedestrian-only zone in Flinders Lane and Lt Collins Sts
1	Pedestrians - Little Sts eg Collins, Bourke, remove carparking one side to widen footpaths
1	Pedestrians - develop laneways and map of "laneways passages" from E -W
1	Cycling - integrate bike paths & bike rentals better with OS


No	General Comments
1	Cycling - ban bikes
1	Cycling - car-free cycling routes through CBD - e.g. Elizabeth St redevelopment
1	Cycling - bike helmet hire
1	Cycling - allow cycling in parks
1	Cyclists - conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
1	Corporate training groups - inappropriate use of open space
1	Informal recreation facilities - areas required close to CBD
1	Running paths - softer surfaces for safe use
14	Character
2	Open space - prioritise improvement of under-used open space
2	Community gardens - more required
5	Greener open space needed
1	Bushland setting in open space highly valued
2	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
1	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
1	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
5	Events
1	MIFGS - remove from Carlton Gardens - ongoing damage
1	Entertainment - move some events to adjacent smaller spaces
3	Community events - more in local areas
5	Other
4	A-Not completed
1	Transport - free to MCC residents on w/ends
3	Environment
2	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens
1	Sustainability - more rain gardens installed
3	Dogs
1	Dog - fenced-off areas required in parks for dog exercising
1	Dog off-lead areas - need more
1	Dogs - should all be on-lead

3.7 MELBOURNE ST KILDA RD

3.7.1 Who completed the survey?


3.7.1a Gender

A total of 66 completed surveys were received from Melbourne St Kilda Rd. Approximately 44% of these are recorded as female and 55% male. When compared to the 44% of males recorded in the census data this indicates a slight over-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.7.1b Age range

The largest group of respondents from Melbourne CBD is from the 59-69 age range, which corresponds with census data. The next largest group of respondents is from the 48-58 age range and this group is over-represented in the survey results, along with the 37-47 age range. The over-81 age range, which makes up 25% of the population, is under-represented in the survey respondents.



3.7.1c Dwelling type


As per the overall survey results for City of Melbourne and the census data for Melbourne St Kilda Rd, the majority of survey respondents from Melbourne St Kilda Rd live in flats or apartments, with a small percentage living in houses, and semi-detached or row houses. The proportion of survey responses is not significantly different from the census data for dwelling type, in this suburb, with a slight over-representation of respondents from flats/apartments and a slight under-representation of people in houses.



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.7.1d Household type

Most of the Melbourne St Kilda Rd survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is an under-representation of lone person households, which makes up the largest group of households in Melbourne St Kilda Rd. The other respondent groups are generally consistent with census data.



3.7.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Melbourne St Kilda Rd, 66. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
88%	Trees
86%	Quiet and peaceful
86%	Spacious
83%	Place to relax and unwind
80%	Health and fitness
76%	Just being outside
73%	Accessible
56%	Safe
45%	Refuge for native plants and animals
42%	The knowledge that it's there
42%	Natural character
36%	Feature garden bed planting
30%	Place for kids to play
30%	Watching activities
29%	Meeting people or friends
27%	Historical character
14%	Cultural activities
12%	Major events
11%	Other
8%	Playing casual games
5%	Playing sport

3.7.3 Open space within walking distance

3.7.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of Melbourne St Kilda Rd and are listed in priority order.

%	Open space within walking distance
65%	Fawkner Park
56%	Royal Botanic Gardens
35%	Albert Park
18%	Kings Domain
9%	The Tan
8%	Yarra Trail
6%	Federation Square
6%	Shrine Of Remembrance
5%	Fitzroy Gardens
5%	Flagstaff Gardens
3%	Bayside Beaches

%	Open space within walking distance
3%	Docklands
2%	Birrarung Marr
2%	Carlton Gardens
2%	Docklands Park
2%	Yarra Park

3.7.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

The following table lists the reasons why Melbourne St Kilda Rd residents visit the 8 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
FAWKNER PARK 43	16	Walking	4	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	11	Recreation	3	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	10	Exercising	3	Lighting, additional required
	5	Dog walking	3	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	4	Close to home	2	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	4	Travel through	2	Seats, additional required
	2	Cycling	2	Trees, more trees required
	2	Playing with children	2	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	2	Relaxation	1	BBQs, more required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	1	BBQs	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	1	Football	1	Cycle paths, more required
	1	Fresh air	1	Cycling - no bicycles
	1	Gardens	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Large open space	1	Dog off-lead area too close to other amenities
	1	Peaceful	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	1	Picnics	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
	1	Sitting	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat	1	Noise reduction - from traffic/trains
			1	Open space, no further loss to development
			1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
			1	Playground, equipment needs updating
			1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
			1	Sporting facilities, improvements, pleased to see them occurring
			1	Vegetation, more required
			1	Wildlife/birds - provide water

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 37	13	Exercising	1	Access - longer opening hours
	12	Walking	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	8	Recreation	1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
	5	Relaxation	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	4	Picnics	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	3	Fresh air	1	Gardens - more flowering shrubs
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	2	Gardens	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	2	Peaceful	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	1	Children's garden	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Close to home	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Dog walking	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	1	Green grass	1	Watering - maintain during summer
	1	Jogging/running	1	Watering, Council to be water wise when watering
		1	Meeting people/friends	
	1	Work - travel en route		
ALBERT PARK 23	11	Exercising	3	Drinking fountains, more required
	9	Walking	2	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	3	Recreation	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	3	Relaxation	1	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Close to home	1	Running track needed
	1	Cycling	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Dog walking	1	Toilets, to be open 24 hours
	1	Jogging/running	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Travel through	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
KINGS DOMAIN 12	5	Recreation	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	4	Exercising	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	3	Walking	1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	2	Travel through	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Dog walking	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Work - travel en route	1	Seats, arrange so easier for conversation/social interaction
THE TAN 6	5	Exercising	1	Exercise - personal training groups aggressive
	2	Walking	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
			1	Toilets, to be open 24 hours
YARRA TRAIL 5	4	Walking	1	Cycle paths, more required
	1	Exercising		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Sitting		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Watching people		
FEDERATION SQUARE 4	2	Entertainment & events	1	Access, improvements required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	1	Work - travel en route	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
SHRINE OF REMEMBRANCE 4	2	Recreation		
	2	Walking		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Travel through		

3.7.4 Open space beyond walking distance in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

3.7.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of Melbourne St Kilda Rd. These 21 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least.

%	Open space beyond walking distance
14%	Bayside Beaches
12%	Yarra Trail
9%	Fitzroy Gardens
9%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
6%	Treasury Gardens
5%	Albert Park
5%	Federation Square
5%	Westgate Park
3%	Birrarung Marr
3%	Fawkner Park
3%	Royal Park
2%	Carlton Gardens
2%	Docklands Park
2%	Flagstaff Gardens
2%	Kings Domain South
2%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
2%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
2%	Melbourne Park
2%	Royal Botanic Gardens
2%	South Bank Promenade
2%	University Square

3.7.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in Melbourne St Kilda Rd visit the 5 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
BAYSIDE BEACHES 9	4	Cycling	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	3	Recreation	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	3	Walking	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Exercising		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Waterfront		
YARRA TRAIL 8	6	Cycling	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycle paths, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Walking	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
			1	Pedestrian bridge - requires maintenance
FITZROY GARDENS 6	2	Travel through	2	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Walking		
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 6	1	Ferry - enjoy travelling there by ferry		
	1	Rural feel		
TREASURY GARDENS 4	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Gardens	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Walking		

3.7.5 Open space not visited in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of Melbourne St Kilda Rd, in priority order.

3.7.5a Summary list of open space not visited in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

No	Open Space Not Visited
3	Albert Park
2	Docklands
2	Fitzroy Gardens
2	Treasury Gardens
1	Docklands Park
1	Federation Square
1	Other City Parks
1	Royal Botanic Gardens
1	Yarra Trail
1	Zoological Gardens

3.7.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
ALBERT PARK 3	1	Distance to walk
	1	Lack of shade
	1	Pedestrian access - dangerous across busy arterial road
DOCKLANDS 2	2	Lack of trees
	1	Concrete - dominates environment
	1	Lack of grass
FITZROY GARDENS 2	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of seats
TREASURY GARDENS 2	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of time
DOCKLANDS PARK 1	1	Distance to travel
FEDERATION SQUARE 1	1	Concrete - dominates environment
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of seats
OTHER CITY PARKS 1	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Lack of time
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 1	1	Lack of access
YARRA TRAIL 1	1	Lack of time
ZOOLOGICAL GARDENS	1	Lack of time

3.7.6 Types of open space used in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of Melbourne St Kilda Rd, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Melbourne St Kilda Rd.

Table 3.7~1 Types of open space used in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

The percentages in Table 3.7~1 have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over
Dark grey = 35% to 49%,
Medium grey = 20% to 34%
Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%
No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
95%	Royal Botanic Gardens	20%	35%	26%	15%	0%	5%
83%	Open space along Yarra River	5%	29%	30%	20%	6%	11%
83%	Local Streets for Exercise	48%	24%	11%	0%	5%	12%
82%	Regional Parks	33%	21%	15%	12%	8%	11%
70%	Major Gardens	3%	8%	21%	38%	11%	20%
62%	Urban Squares or Plazas	5%	24%	23%	11%	6%	32%
59%	Small Local Parks	5%	20%	24%	11%	15%	26%
32%	Medium sized parks	2%	6%	11%	14%	42%	26%
24%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	0%	0%	3%	21%	52%	24%
21%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	0%	3%	8%	11%	56%	23%
11%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	0%	0%	3%	8%	64%	26%

3.7.7 Activities and facilities in open space in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

3.7.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in Melbourne St Kilda Rd


The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of Melbourne St Kilda Rd, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for Melbourne St Kilda Rd.

Provision of walking paths and open grassed areas are considered adequate by most respondents; with seats and cafes also thought to be adequately provided for by the majority of people. Other facilities which are used, but considered well provided for by less than half of respondents, include public toilets and barbecues. Provision of cycle paths, picnic tables, drinking fountains and shelters is considered inadequate by a significant proportion of respondents, indicating provision of these facilities may need to be reviewed.



3.7.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in Melbourne St Kilda Rd

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of Melbourne St Kilda Rd respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Percentage results for walking paths, open grassed areas and seats all indicate respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities. Approximately half of respondents consider that other commonly used facilities such as cafes, picnic tables and shelters are adequately maintained, whereas barbecues, cycle paths and drinking fountains are considered less well maintained. Public toilets, club-based indoor and informal outdoor recreational facilities are considered the worst maintained; attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities.



3.7.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in Melbourne St Kilda Rd, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.8.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in Melbourne St Kilda Rd
2	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
2	Open space - concerns about impact of development on open space
2	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
2	Open space - more required
1	Cyclists - conflict with pedestrians on shared paths
1	Drinking fountains, more required
1	Rubbish bins, recycling bins to be installed
1	Rubbish, regular removal required
1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
1	Watering, Council to be water wise when watering

3.7.9 General comments on open space from Melbourne St Kilda Rd residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into ten topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
29	OS Values
8	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
5	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
4	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
3	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
2	Open space - Melbourne's public gardens are world class
2	Open space - value close proximity to home
1	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
1	Open space - enjoy the ambience
1	Open space - enjoy visiting it
1	Open space - retain existing open space
1	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne
10	Maintenance
8	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
1	Litter in open space
1	Safety


No	General Comments
6	Character
3	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
2	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
1	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
5	Trees/Vegetation
1	Flowering shrubs (e.g. roses) - more requested
1	Indigenous vegetation - support more planting
1	Trees - more planting to replace older trees
1	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
1	Trees - protect existing street trees
4	Infrastructure
1	Birrarung Marr ferris wheel - permanent fixture
1	Cycle paths - require more linked off-road networks for safe family riding
1	Cyclists - need separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians
1	Historic structures - preserve (e.g. gates, fences, Stock Route)
4	Provision
1	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
1	Open space - more required
1	Open space - more required in CBD including green open space
1	Open space - need more in Docklands area
3	Recreation
1	Corporate training groups - inappropriate use of open space
1	Location and routes map on That's Melbourne website
1	Sporting groups should practice in designated areas
3	Environment
2	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens
1	Sustainability - more rain gardens installed
2	Dogs
1	Dog off-lead areas - need more
1	Dogs - should all be on-lead
2	Other
1	A-Not completed
1	Smoking - designated areas

3.8 NORTH MELBOURNE

3.8.1 Who completed the survey?


3.8.1a Gender

A total of 186 completed surveys were received from North Melbourne. Approximately 70% of these are recorded as female and 30% male. When compared to the 51% of males recorded in the census data this indicates an under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.8.1b Age range

The largest group of respondents from North Melbourne is from the 26-36 age range, which corresponds with census data. The next largest group of respondents is from the 37-47 age range and this group is slightly over-represented in the survey results, with the under-25 age range slightly under-represented.



3.8.1c Dwelling type


As per the overall survey results for City of Melbourne and the census data for North Melbourne, the majority of survey respondents from North Melbourne live in flats or apartments, with the next largest percentage living in semi-detached houses. The proportion of survey responses is not significantly different from the census data for dwelling type, in this suburb, with a slight over-representation of separate houses and slight under-representation of people in apartments. This is consistent with the higher response rate from adults with children, refer to 3.8.1d.



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.8.1d Household type

Most of the North Melbourne survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is an under-representation of lone person households, which makes up the largest group of households in North Melbourne. Two adults with children are also over-represented in the survey results when compared with the census. The other respondent groups are generally consistent with census data.



3.8.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for North Melbourne, 186. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
83%	Trees
74%	Quiet and peaceful
72%	Place to relax and unwind
72%	Just being outside
67%	Spacious
66%	Health and fitness
56%	Accessible
50%	Refuge for native plants and animals
47%	Natural character
47%	Meeting people or friends
39%	Place for kids to play
38%	The knowledge that its there
34%	Safe
27%	Feature garden bed planting
27%	Historical character
20%	Playing casual games
18%	Cultural activities
17%	Playing sport
12%	Major events
12%	Watching activities
8%	Other

3.8.3 Open space within walking distance

3.8.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in North Melbourne

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of North Melbourne and are listed in priority order.

%	Open space within walking distance
62%	Royal Park
35%	Flagstaff Gardens
18%	Princes Park
13%	Gardiner Reserve
13%	Carlton Gardens
11%	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve
10%	Eades Park
3%	Pleasance Gardens
3%	Canning & Neill Street Reserve
3%	Ievers Reserve
3%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne

%	Open space within walking distance
3%	Victoria Markets
2%	Fitzroy Gardens
2%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
2%	Royal Botanic Gardens
2%	Argyle Square
2%	Courtney Street Reserve
2%	Hawk & King Street Reserve
2%	Victoria Market Reserve
1%	Bedford Street Reserve
1%	City Centre
1%	Clayton Reserve
1%	Docklands
1%	Federation Square
1%	J J Holland Park
2%	Latrobe Close
1%	Leveson St. Reserve
1%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
1%	Other City Parks
2%	Yarra Trail
1%	Zoological Gardens
1%	Abbotsford & Molesworth Sts, North Melbourne
1%	Birrarung Marr
1%	Bourke St Mall
1%	City Square
1%	Docklands Park
1%	Edinburgh Gardens
1%	Hawke & Adderley Street Park
1%	Lincoln Square
1%	North Melbourne Community Centre
1%	Queen Victoria Gardens
1%	Royal Parade Reserve
1%	Southbank Promenade

3.8.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in North Melbourne

The following table lists the reasons why North Melbourne residents visit the 15 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
ROYAL PARK 115	39	Walking	11	Trees, more trees required
	30	Exercising	9	Lighting, additional required
	22	Jogging/running	8	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	14	Dog walking	6	Toilets, additional required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
	10	Cycling	5	Developers, ensure they cannot acquire existing open space
	9	Recreation	5	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	8	Large open space	4	BBQs, more required
	6	Fresh air	4	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	6	Playing with children	4	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	5	Natural environment	4	Picnic tables, more required
	4	Close to home	3	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	4	Peaceful	3	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	4	Views	3	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	3	Relaxation	3	Vegetation, more required
	3	Travel through	2	BBQs, regular maintenance required
	3	Zoo	2	Carpark, additional required
	2	Ambience, beauty	2	Development, concerns about impact on open space
	2	Green grass	2	Drinking fountains, more required
	2	Tennis	2	Garden beds, more required
	2	Trees	2	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	1	Ball games	2	Grassed areas, more required
	1	BBQs	2	Homeless people a concern
	1	Dog off-lead area	2	None required
	1	Football	2	Paths, personal safety concern on shared paths; need separate paths for cyclists/pedestrians
	1	Kite-flying	2	Playground, additional required
	1	Native vegetation	2	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	1	Picnics	2	Pond, create/install one
	1	Playground and equipment	2	Seats, additional required
	1	Soccer	2	Signage, distance markers required
	1	Uncrowded	2	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Work - travel en route	2	Trees, more shade trees required
			1	Access - save/retain this open space
			1	Access, provide safer places to cross major roads
			1	Art works & sculpture - more please
			1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
			1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
			1	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
			1	Chin-up bars
			1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
			1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
			1	Cycling - no bicycles
			1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
			1	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
			1	Fencing, improvement/upgrade required
			1	Fountains to be working
			1	Gardens - more flowering shrubs

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
			1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
			1	Litter in open space is an issue
			1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
			1	Open space, more required
			1	Playground, equipment needs updating
			1	Playground, to be fenced for children's safety
			1	Rubbish bins, more required
			1	Rubbish, regular removal required
			1	Seats, to be located under shade trees
			1	Shade, more shaded areas required
			1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
			1	Shelters, more required
			1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
			1	Trees, dead and dangerous ones to be removed from open space
			1	Vegetation - plant as screening from traffic/buildings
			1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
			1	Wildlife/birds - provide water
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 66	15	Travel through	5	Lighting, additional required
	15	Walking	5	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	9	Exercising	3	Cycling - allow bicycles
	9	Playground and equipment	2	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	7	Work - travel en route	2	BBQs, more required
	4	Picnics	2	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	3	Ambience, beauty	2	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	3	Dog walking	2	Homeless people a concern
	3	Recreation	2	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	3	Relaxation	2	Toilets, additional required
	2	Green grass	1	Access - save/retain this open space
	2	Jogging/running	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	2	Lawn bowls	1	Bike racks required
	1	A bowling club	1	Cycle paths, more required
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
	1	Ball games	1	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	1	BBQs	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	1	Cycling	1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
	1	Fresh air	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Large open space	1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	1	Netball	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Peaceful	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Seats, additional required
1	Playing with children	1	Shade, more shaded areas required	

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
	1	Sitting	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Sunbathing	1	Sporting facilities, more required
	1	Trees	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
PRINCES PARK 33	14	Jogging/running	2	Lighting, additional required
	12	Walking	1	Access - save/retain this open space
	9	Exercising	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	3	Recreation	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	2	Cycling	1	Chin-up bars
	2	Playground and equipment	1	Dog drinking facilities, more required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Dog walking	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	1	Fresh air	1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	1	Large open space	1	None required
	1	Playing with children	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Relaxation	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Travel through	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
			1	Trees, more trees required
			1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
GARDINER RESERVE 25	8	Playground and equipment	7	Toilets, additional required
	5	Close to home	2	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	4	Dog walking	2	Shade, more shaded areas required
	3	BBQs	2	Trees, more trees required
	3	Picnics	1	BBQs, more required
	3	Playing with children	1	Development, concerns about impact on open space
	2	Green grass	1	Dog off-lead areas, appreciated
	2	Playground with grandchildren	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Fencing is required
	1	Cycling	1	Garden beds, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	1	Football	1	Homeless people a concern
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Peaceful	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Recreation	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Relaxation	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Sitting	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	1	Soccer		
	1	Trees		
1	Walking			
CARLTON GARDENS 24	6	Travel through	2	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	5	Walking	2	Cycling - allow bicycles
	4	Work - travel en route	1	Cycle paths, more required
	3	Playground and equipment	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
	2	Dog walking	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	2	Relaxation	1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
	1	Convenient (on the way)	1	Fountains to be working
	1	Exercising	1	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
	1	Historical	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Peaceful	1	None required
	1	Picnics	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Playing with children	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Sitting		
	1	Watching people		
NORTH MELBOURNE RECREATION RESERVE 20	7	Dog walking	4	Toilets, additional required
	5	Exercising	2	Trees, more trees required
	3	Walking	2	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	2	Football	1	BBQs, regular maintenance required
	2	Jogging/running	1	Cricket facilities, improvement required
	2	Playing with children	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
	2	Recreation	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	2	Relaxation	1	Dog off-lead areas, appreciated
	1	Ball games	1	Homeless people a concern
	1	Close to home	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Cricket training	1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
	1	Cycling	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Fresh air	1	Seats - install on wide median strips
	1	Sport	1	Seats, additional required
			1	Tennis facilities, more required
EADES PARK 19	4	Exercising	3	Toilets, additional required
	4	Playground and equipment	2	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	3	Dog walking	2	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	3	Playing with children	1	BBQs, regular maintenance required
	3	Travel through	1	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	2	Recreation	1	Developers, ensure they cannot acquire existing open space
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Homeless people a concern
	1	Fresh air	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Green grass	1	Playground, equipment needs updating
	1	Large open space	1	Vegetation, more required
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playground with grandchildren		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Trees		
1	Walking			
1	Work - travel en route			

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
PLEASANCE GARDENS 6	3	Exercising	1	Fencing is required
	2	Dog walking	1	Fencing, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Recreation	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Soccer	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Travel through		
CANNING & NEILL STREET RESERVE 5	2	Close to home	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Fresh air	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Ball games		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
IEVERS RESERVE 5	2	Playground and equipment	1	Playground, equipment needs updating
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Soccer		
	1	Walking		
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 5	2	Exercising		
VICTORIA MARKETS 5	1	Jogging/running	1	Carpark, additional required
	1	Relaxation	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Travel through	1	Markets - extra night markets
	4	Shopping	1	None required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
FITZROY GARDENS 4	2	Relaxation	1	BBQs, more required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
MOONEE PONDS CREEK TRAIL 4	3	Walking	2	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
	2	Cycling	1	Access - save/retain this open space
	2	Jogging/running	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	1	Recreation	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Waterfront	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
			1	Wildlife, native wildlife needs protection
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 4	2	Walking	1	Family events - allow temporary structures
	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Gardens		

3.8.4 Open space beyond walking distance in North Melbourne

3.8.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in North Melbourne

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of North Melbourne. These 40 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least.

%	Open space beyond walking distance
34%	Royal Botanic Gardens
19%	Carlton Gardens
15%	Fitzroy Gardens
8%	Princes Park
7%	Birrarung Marr
6%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
6%	Yarra Trail
6%	Bayside Beaches
6%	Royal Park
5%	Flagstaff Gardens
4%	Albert Park
4%	Treasury Gardens
4%	Edinburgh Gardens
4%	J J Holland Park
4%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
3%	Docklands Park
3%	The Tan
2%	Federation Square
2%	Kings Domain
2%	Docklands
2%	Merri Creek
1%	Fawkner Park
1%	Ievers Reserve
1%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
1%	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve
1%	Yarra Park
1%	Bayswater Road Park
1%	Bellair Street Reserve
1%	Clayton Reserve
1%	Eades Park
1%	Errol Street
1%	Gardiner Reserve
1%	Kensington Banks Small Reserves
1%	Neill Street Reserve
1%	New Quay Promenade
1%	Shrine Of Remembrance
1%	Southbank Promenade
1%	University Square
1%	Walking/Cycle Paths Along Bay
1%	Westgate Park

3.8.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in North Melbourne visit the 19 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 63	14	Ambience, beauty	1	Access - longer opening hours
	12	Walking	1	Access, improvements required
	10	Picnics	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	9	Relaxation	1	Cycle paths, more required
	8	Exercising	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	5	Meeting people/friends	1	Entertainment - more low-cost events
	4	Gardens	1	Homeless people a concern
	4	Jogging/running	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	4	Peaceful	1	None required
	3	Entertainment & events	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	3	Fresh air	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	3	Recreation	1	Seats, additional required
	2	Children's garden	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	2	Large open space	1	Sporting facilities, more required
	2	Natural environment	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	2	Trees	1	Watering, Council to be water wise when watering
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Green grass		
1	Taking visitors			
1	Views			
1	Watching wildlife			
1	Work - close to work			
CARLTON GARDENS 35	8	Museum	2	Cycling - allow bicycles
	5	Travel through	2	Cycling - policing of rules re park path use
	4	Ambience, beauty	1	Carpark, additional required
	4	Playground and equipment	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	3	Gardens	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	3	Walking	1	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
	2	Large open space	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Peaceful	1	Pedestrian crossing, more required
	2	Playing with children	1	Playground, additional required
	2	Tennis	1	Shade, more shaded areas required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Cycling		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Green grass		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playground with grandchildren		
	1	Trees		
	1	Work - close to work		
FITZROY GARDENS 27	3	Ambience, beauty	2	Seats, additional required
	3	Exercising	1	Cycle paths, more required
	3	Peaceful	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
	3	Picnics	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	3	Relaxation	1	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	2	Cycling	1	Cycling - policing of rules re park path use
	2	Large open space	1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
	2	Travel through	1	Garden beds, improvement required
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Children's garden	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Cook's Cottage	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Dog walking	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	1	Gardens		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Trees		
1	Work - close to work			
1	Work - lunchtime retreat			
PRINCES PARK 14	4	Jogging/running	1	Cycle paths, safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
	3	Dog walking	1	Dog off-lead areas, appreciated
	3	Walking	1	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	2	Exercising	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	2	Playground and equipment	1	Playground, additional required
	1	Cricket training	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Cycling	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Playground with grandchildren		
	1	Recreation		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
BIRRARUNG MARR 13	6	Playground and equipment	2	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	4	Walking	1	Entertainment, more required
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Paths, personal safety concern on shared paths; need separate paths for cyclists/pedestrians
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Green grass		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	River		
	1	Sport - attend MCG		
	1	Views		
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 12	1	Cycling		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Walking		
	1	Work - close to work		
YARRA TRAIL 12	2	BBQs	2	Toilets, additional required
	2	Cycling	1	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	2	Exercising	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	2	Relaxation	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	Walking		
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Travel through		
BAYSIDE BEACHES 11	5	Walking	1	Access, improvements required
	4	Swimming	1	Cloaking facilities for picnic gear
	2	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	2	Waterfront		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Views		
ROYAL PARK 11	4	Cycling	2	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	4	Dog walking	2	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	3	Exercising	1	Access, improvements required
	1	Birdlife (watching)	1	Cycle paths, more required
	1	Fresh air	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	1	Large open space	1	Homeless people a concern
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Toilets, additional required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Playing with children	1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Trees		
	1	Walking		
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 10	1	Close to home	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	1	Gardens	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Carpark, additional required
	1	Netball	1	Entertainment, more required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Recreation	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Sitting		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Views		
ALBERT PARK 8	3	Exercising	2	Trees, more trees required
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Lake, to be regularly cleaned/maintained
	2	Walking	1	Odour - unpleasant
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Golf	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	1	Lake		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Sport		
TREASURY GARDENS 8	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	2	Gardens	1	Carpark, additional required
	2	Peaceful	1	Entertainment - more low-cost events
	2	Relaxation	1	None required
	2	Work - lunchtime retreat		
	1	Cook's Cottage		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Historical		
	1	Views		
	1	Work - close to work		
EDINBURGH GARDENS 7	2	Dog walking	1	BBQs, regular maintenance required
	2	Picnics	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Trees		
	1	Walking		
J J HOLLAND PARK 7	1	Dog walking	1	Sports - no plastic grass for soccer pitch
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Skateboarding		
	1	Sport		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Trees		
	1	Walking		
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE 7	3	Cycling	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	2	Exercising	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Picnics	1	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
	1	Tennis	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Walking	1	River/creek, more revegetation required
			1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
DOCKLANDS PARK 5	3	Playground and equipment	1	Access, improvements required
	1	Cycling	1	Playground, additional required
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
	1	Views	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Work - close to work		
THE TAN 5	2	Exercising	1	None required
	2	Jogging/running		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Walking		
FEDERATION SQUARE 4	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Entertainment, more required
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Festivals and markets		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Taking visitors		
KINGS DOMAIN 4	2	Travel through		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Recreation		

3.8.5 Open space not visited in North Melbourne

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of North Melbourne, in priority order.

3.8.5a Summary list of open space not visited in North Melbourne

No	Open Space Not Visited
17	Royal Botanic Gardens
8	Docklands
8	Royal Park
6	Birrarung Marr
6	Flagstaff Gardens

No	Open Space Not Visited
5	Yarra Trail
4	Federation Square
3	Bayside Beaches
3	Fitzroy Gardens
3	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
3	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve
2	Carlton Gardens
2	Docklands Park
2	Eades Park
2	Princes Park
1	Alexandra Gardens
1	Argyle Square
1	City Centre
1	Gardiner Reserve
1	J J Holland Park
1	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
1	Maritime Park
1	Merri Creek
1	North Melbourne Primary School Oval
1	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
1	Other City Parks
1	Riverside Quay Reserve
1	The Tan
1	Treasury Gardens
1	Westgate Park
1	Yarra Park

3.8.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in North Melbourne

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order.

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 17	5	Car parking inadequate
	5	Distance to travel
	2	Public transport difficult w young children
	2	Transport - public transport inadequate
	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Cycling not allowed
	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of knowledge about available open space
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Lack of time
	1	Transport - public transport too costly
DOCKLANDS 8	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Car parking - too costly
	1	Car parking inadequate
	1	Concrete - dominates environment

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
	1	Isolated
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of trees
	1	Nothing of interest to do
	1	Open space - too small
	1	Transport - cycle routes unsafe
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
	1	Windy, no protection
ROYAL PARK 8	2	Distance to travel
	2	Lack of grass
	2	Lack of lighting
	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Dogs off-lead
	1	Lack of shade
	1	Personal safety concerns
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Playground not provided
BIRRARUNG MARR 6	2	Lack of shade
	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Isolated
	1	Lack of time
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 6	2	Dog off-lead area not provided
	2	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Dogs not allowed
	1	Homeless people a concern
	1	Nothing of interest to do
YARRA BIKE TRAIL 5	2	Car parking inadequate
	2	Distance to travel
	1	Cycling - speeding - separate paths for safety
	1	Public transport difficult w young children
FEDERATION SQUARE 4	2	Busy/crowded
	2	Lack of trees
	1	All ability access not provided
	1	Traffic noise and proximity
BAYSIDE BEACHES 3	1	Cleanliness concerns
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of access
FITZROY GARDENS 3	2	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Toilets are unsafe
MOONEE PONDS CREEK TRAIL 3	1	Did not know it existed
	1	Lack of access
NORTH MELBOURNE RECREATION RESERVE 3	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Lack of trees
	1	Toilets - not available to public
CARLTON GARDENS 2	1	Cycling not allowed
	1	Speeding cyclists
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
DOCKLANDS PARK 2	1	Lack of access
EADES PARK 2	2	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
PRINCES PARK 2	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of time
ALEXANDRA GARDENS 1	1	Transport - cycle routes unsafe
ARGYLE SQUARE 1	1	Concrete - dominates environment
CITY CENTRE 1	1	Noise - amplified music and sales intrusive
GARDINER RESERVE 1	1	Lack of toilets
J J HOLLAND PARK 1	1	Development impacts
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE 1	1	River/creek dirty and uninviting
MARITIME PARK 1	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
MERRI CREEK 1	1	Odours unpleasant
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Regular clearing of litter from paths
	1	Speeding cyclists
NORTH MELBOURNE PRIMARY SCHOOL OVAL 1	1	Lack of access
	1	Park space not freely available to public
OTHER CITY PARKS 1	1	Lack of time
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
RIVERSIDE QUAY RESERVE 1	1	Busy/crowded
THE TAN 1	1	Car parking inadequate
	1	Distance to travel
TREASURY GARDENS 1	1	Lack of access
WESTGATE PARK 1	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
YARRA PARK	1	Cars - too many/intruding on open space

3.8.6 Types of open space used in North Melbourne

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of North Melbourne, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for North Melbourne.

Table 3.8~1 Types of open space used in North Melbourne

The percentages in Table 3.8~1 have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%,

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
91%	Local Streets for Exercise	54%	30%	8%	0%	8%	1%
90%	Royal Botanic Gardens	1%	8%	31%	51%	6%	3%
87%	Major Gardens	1%	20%	37%	30%	4%	9%
87%	Regional Parks	12%	36%	27%	11%	11%	2%
84%	Small Local Parks	22%	32%	23%	8%	11%	4%
83%	Open space along Yarra River	1%	10%	37%	36%	10%	7%
81%	Urban Squares or Plazas	8%	34%	27%	12%	11%	8%
55%	Medium sized parks	2%	8%	19%	27%	37%	8%
54%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	1%	4%	16%	34%	37%	10%
41%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	1%	9%	13%	19%	52%	7%
36%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	3%	6%	9%	17%	54%	10%

3.8.7 Activities and facilities in open space in North Melbourne

3.8.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in North Melbourne


The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of North Melbourne, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for North Melbourne.

Provision of walking paths is considered adequate by almost all respondents; with open grassed areas and seats also thought to be adequately provided for by the majority of people. Other facilities which are used, but considered well provided for by less than half of respondents, include drinking fountains, cafes, playgrounds and picnic tables. Provision of cycle paths and public toilets is considered inadequate by a significant proportion of respondents, with BBQs, shelters and informal outdoor recreational facilities also rated inadequate by respondents, indicating provision of these facilities may need to be reviewed.



3.8.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in North Melbourne

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of North Melbourne respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths and open grassed areas have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities; with over half of respondents also considering that seats are adequately maintained. Less than half of respondents consider that other commonly used facilities such as cycle paths, cafes, playgrounds and picnic tables are adequately maintained. Public toilets and drinking fountains are considered particularly poorly maintained; attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities.



3.8.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in North Melbourne, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.8.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in North Melbourne
6	Open space - more required
6	Personal safety concerns - drunks and vagrants need managing
4	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
4	Information - more information required about open space and facilities available
4	Open space - more small open spaces required
3	Cyclists - conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
3	Open space - concerns about impact of development on open space
3	Open space - no further loss to development
2	Cycle paths - maintenance required
2	Lighting, additional required
2	Open space - roof garden/vertical garden - incorporate into all new developments
2	Open space - links are required
2	Rubbish, regular removal required
2	Toilets, additional required
1	Art works & sculpture - more please
1	BBQs, more required
1	Carpark, additional required
1	Cycle paths - connecting paths required
1	Cycle paths - more required
1	Cyclists - speeding on shared paths
1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
1	Drinking fountains, more required
1	Exit turnstiles - install one-way so inadvertent over-stayers can leave safely
1	Fencing, improvement/upgrade required
1	Graffiti, maintenance required
1	Paths - improvement/upgrade required
1	Pedestrian crossing, more required
1	Playground, additional required
1	Playground, to be fenced for children's safety
1	Police, regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour
1	Rubbish bins, recycling bins to be installed
1	Seats, additional required
1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
1	Trees/Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
1	Trees/Vegetation, more required
1	Open space - Yarra Park - no cars or carparking; give over to grass and parkland

3.8.9 General comments on open space from North Melbourne residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into eleven topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
59	Open Space Values
8	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
6	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
6	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
6	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne
5	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
5	Open space - enjoy the ambience
5	Open space - important as residential density increases
5	Open space - value close proximity to home
4	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
3	Open space - retain existing open space
2	Open space - enjoy visiting it
2	Open space - provides for a variety of needs
1	Open space - encourages participation in health and fitness activities
1	Open space - lungs of the city
41	Provision
11	Open space - need more in North Melbourne area
6	Open space - establish in area opp Royal Melbourne Hospital
6	Open space - more required
3	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
2	Open space - keep / retain what there is
2	Open space - more required in CBD including green open space
2	Open space - need more in West Melbourne area
2	Reclaim wasteland along rivers
1	School grounds, should be open to public after hours
1	Information - more information about the range of open space and facilities available
1	Open space - ensure it is compulsory in high density housing developments
1	Open space - need more in Docklands area
1	Open space - need more in Kensington area
1	Open Space - Shiel St North Melbourne - replace fence for better view
1	Railway easements - use to green inner suburbs
29	Trees/Vegetation
5	Trees - more street trees required
4	Indigenous vegetation - support more planting
4	Native planting to encourage native birds/wildlife
4	Trees - protect existing street trees
3	Trees - exotic species appropriate for city areas & shade
3	Trees - more planting to replace older trees

No	General Comments
3	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
2	Trees - plant more local/indigenous species
1	Grassed areas - more required
25	Infrastructure
4	Cyclists - need separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians
3	Cycle lanes on roads not wide enough, not provided, improvements required
2	Facilities/amenities - more required
2	Buildings/structures - keep to minimum
2	Teenagers - more Open Space age-specific design required
2	Toilets - more required
1	Lighting - use renewable energy sources and solar power for maintenance and lighting
1	Paths - colour-code walking and cycle paths for clarity of use
1	Children - more Open Space age-specific design required
1	Cycle paths - require more linked off-road networks for safe family riding
1	Exercise stations - required along the Maribyrnong
1	Playgrounds - additional, well-designed play area required
1	Rubbish bins - more required
1	Seating - more required
1	Traffic & access issues
1	Traffic congestion around Zoo (Elliott Ave/Macarthur Rd) needs addressing
24	Character
7	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
3	Bushland setting in open space highly valued
4	Open space - more interesting/creative landscaping
3	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
2	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
1	Community gardens - more required
1	Greener open space needed
1	Open Space - develop La Trobe Close North Melbourne
1	Open Space - facilities detrimental to ambience
1	Open space - natural character important
13	Maintenance
8	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
2	Litter in open space
1	Cigarette butt litter a problem
1	Paths - require regular maintenance
1	Rubbish bins to be emptied regularly & rubbish removed
10	Other
9	A-Not completed
1	Traffic - remove roundabouts - not pedestrian or cyclist-friendly


No	General Comments
6	Dogs
2	Dog waste - more bins/bags for disposal required
1	Dog - fenced-off areas required in parks for dog exercising
1	Dog waste - owners to clean up
1	Dogs - fence in Leveson St Reserve North Melbourne as community dog run
1	Dogs - should all be on-lead
5	Recreation
2	Sport - dominating open space
1	Playground - really good adventure playground required
1	Paths - shared by cyclists, skaters, pedestrians (see Brisbane's parks)
1	Bocce court in Dryburgh St required
3	Environment
3	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens
2	Sustainability - more rain gardens installed
1	Events
1	Community events - more in local areas

3.9 PARKVILLE

3.9.1 Who completed the survey?


3.9.1a Gender

A total of 108 completed surveys were received from Parkville. Approximately 60% of these are recorded as female and 40% male. When compared to the 48% of males recorded in the census data this indicates a slight under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.9.1b Age range

The survey respondents from Parkville are fairly evenly distributed across the age range groups from 15 to 80, with the largest group being from the 59-69 age range. The older age range groups are slightly over represented in the survey compared with census data, whereas the 15-25 age range, which is the largest population group in Parkville, is under-represented.



3.9.1c Dwelling type


As per the overall survey results for City of Melbourne and the census data for Parkville, the largest group of survey respondents from Parkville live in flats or apartments, although this group is under-represented in the survey compared with census data for dwelling type. The next largest group of respondents live in semi-detached housing; this corresponds closely with the census data. There is an over-representation of respondents who live in separate houses, compared with census data, which corresponds with the over-representation of two adult without dependents households, and the slight under-representation of single-adult households (refer 3.9.1d).



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.9.1d Household type

Most of the Parkville survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is a slight under-representation of lone person households, which makes up the largest group of households in Parkville, and one adult with children households are also slightly under-represented in the survey results when compared with the census. The other respondent groups are generally consistent with census data.



3.9.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Parkville, 108. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
81%	Quiet and peaceful
76%	Trees
75%	Place to relax and unwind
70%	Health and fitness
69%	Just being outside
65%	Spacious
61%	Accessible
50%	Refuge for native plants and animals
49%	Natural character
39%	Safe
37%	The knowledge that it's there
37%	Meeting people or friends
33%	Place for kids to play
23%	Historical character
20%	Feature garden bed planting
19%	Cultural activities
16%	Watching activities
13%	Playing sport
10%	Playing casual games
9%	Other
7%	Major events

3.9.3 Open space within walking distance

3.9.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in Parkville

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of Parkville and are listed in priority order.

%	Open space within walking distance
81%	Royal Park
46%	Princes Park
9%	Carlton Gardens
9%	Ievers Reserve
6%	Manningham Reserve
6%	Royal Botanic Gardens
2%	Fitzroy Gardens
2%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
2%	Robertson Street Reserve
2%	University Cricket Ground
2%	Zoological Gardens

%	Open space within walking distance
1%	Bellair Street Reserve
1%	Birrarung Marr
1%	Capital City Trail
1%	Carlton Gardens
1%	Docklands
1%	Federation Square
1%	Flagstaff Gardens
1%	Gardiner Reserve
1%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
1%	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve
1%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
1%	Parkville Gardens
1%	Parsons Street Reserve
1%	State Library (OS In Front Of)
1%	Treasury Gardens
1%	University Square

3.9.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in Parkville

The following table lists the reasons why Parkville residents visit the 6 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL PARK 88	26	Walking	8	Lighting, additional required
	23	Exercising	8	Toilets, additional required
	14	Dog walking	6	Developers, ensure they cannot acquire existing open space
	13	Wetlands, visit	6	Seats, additional required
	11	Jogging/running	5	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	11	Recreation	4	BBQs, more required
	7	Fresh air	4	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	5	Peaceful	4	Trees, more trees required
	5	Relaxation	3	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	4	Ambience, beauty	3	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	4	Birdlife (watching)	3	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	4	Close to home	3	Weeds require control
	3	Cycling	2	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	3	Large open space	2	Dog off-lead, personal safety concerns
	3	Natural environment	2	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	3	Picnics	2	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
3	Travel through	2	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space	
3	Work - travel en route	2	Playground, additional required	
2	Playground and equipment	2	Rubbish, regular removal required	

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	2	Trees	2	Trees, more shade trees required
	1	Activities	1	Access, improvements required
	1	Ball games	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	1	Dog off-lead area	1	BBQs, regular maintenance required
	1	Gardens	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	1	Golf	1	Carpark, additional required
	1	Green grass	1	Chin-up bars
	1	Hockey	1	Concrete slab behind CSL unsightly - rehabilitate
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	1	Sport	1	Development, concerns about impact on open space
	1	Views	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Zoo	1	Dog waste, owners to clean up (e.g. enforcement required)
			1	Dogs, provide a safe fenced area for dogs to run off-lead
			1	Drainage - needs improvement
			1	Entry fee - reduce cost
			1	Graffiti, retain/art projects
			1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
			1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
			1	Homeless people a concern
			1	Litter in open space is an issue
			1	Paths - construct diagonal path to link with perimeter path
			1	Paths - more required
			1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
			1	Pedestrian crossing, more required
			1	Picnic tables, more required
			1	Police, regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour
			1	Rubbish bins, more required
			1	Running track needed
			1	Seating - convertible into shelters for homeless
			1	Seating - more on circular path
			1	Shelters, more required
			1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
			1	Trees, contribute to appeal of parks and playgrounds
			1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
			1	Vegetation, more required
			1	Vermin - rats / possums require control
			1	Watering - maintain during summer
			1	Wildlife, native wildlife needs protection
PRINCES PARK 50	17	Exercising	5	Lighting, additional required
	16	Walking	3	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	15	Jogging/running	2	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	5	Recreation	2	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	4	Cycling	2	Trees, more trees required
	3	Dog walking	1	Art works & sculpture - more please

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	3	Relaxation	1	Basketball ring, more required
	3	Sport	1	BBQs, more required
	2	Fresh air	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	2	Playground and equipment	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	1	Birdlife (watching)	1	Developers, ensure they cannot acquire existing open space
	1	Close to home	1	Dog waste, owners to clean up (e.g. enforcement required)
	1	Cricket	1	Drainage - needs improvement
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Peaceful	1	Graffiti, retain/art projects
	1	Picnics	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Travel through	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
			1	Rubbish bins, more required
			1	Running track needed
			1	Seats, arrange so easier for conversation/social interaction
			1	Shelters, more required
			1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
			1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
			1	Sporting facilities, maintenance required
		1	Tennis facilities, improvements/upgrade required	
		1	Toilets, additional required	
		1	Watering - keep watering elm trees	
		1	Wildlife, native wildlife needs protection	
CARLTON GARDENS 10	3	Museum	1	BBQs, more required
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	2	Walking	1	Carpark, additional required
	1	Dog walking	1	Dog waste, owners to clean up (e.g. enforcement required)
	1	Exercising	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	1	Gardens	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Recreation	1	Watering - keep watering elm trees
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Work - travel en route		
IEVERS RESERVE 10	2	Playground and equipment	1	Cars, too many
	1	Activities	1	Playground, equipment needs updating
	1	Dog walking	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Exercising	1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Football		
	1	Meeting people/friends		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Playground with grandchildren		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Walking		
MANNINGHAM RESERVE 6	2	Walking	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	1	Close to home	1	Shelters, BBQs required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Sporting facilities, more required
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Sport		
	1	Taking visitors		
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 6	1	BBQs	1	Basketball ring, more required
	1	Cycling	1	Developers, ensure they cannot acquire existing open space
	1	Dog walking	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Travel through		

3.9.4 Open space beyond walking distance in Parkville

3.9.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in Parkville

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of Parkville. These 35 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least.

%	Open space beyond walking distance
30%	Royal Botanic Gardens
18%	Carlton Gardens
15%	Fitzroy Gardens
13%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
10%	Yarra Trail
8%	Birrarung Marr
6%	Federation Square
6%	Flagstaff Gardens
5%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
5%	South Bank Promenade
5%	Treasury Gardens
4%	Princes Park
3%	Edinburgh Gardens
3%	Royal Park
3%	The Tan
2%	Clayton Reserve
2%	Merri Creek
1%	Albert Park
1%	Alexandra Gardens

%	Open space beyond walking distance
1%	Argyle Square
1%	Bayside Beaches
1%	Capital City Trail
1%	Docklands
1%	Docklands Park
1%	Kings Domain
1%	Large Parks
1%	Leveson St. Reserve
1%	Lincoln Square
1%	Macarthur Square
1%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
1%	Riverside Quay Reserve
1%	Shrine Of Remembrance
1%	University Square
1%	Zoological Gardens

3.9.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in Parkville visit the 12 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 32	8	Ambience, beauty	1	Dog waste, owners to clean up (e.g. enforcement required)
	6	Jogging/running	1	Free parking on weekends
	6	Walking	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	5	Recreation	1	Open space, too crowded
	4	Gardens	1	Seats, additional required
	4	Peaceful		
	3	Meeting people/friends		
	2	Exercising		
	2	Relaxation		
	1	Children's garden		
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Green grass		
	1	Picnics		
	1	River		
	1	Shade		
	1	Sitting		
	1	Taking visitors		
1	Trees			
1	Work - close to work			
CARLTON GARDENS 19	4	Entertainment & events	2	Cycling - allow bicycles
	4	Relaxation	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	3	Walking	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Entertainment, more required
	2	Exercising	1	Fencing is required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	2	Gardens	1	Graffiti, retain/art projects
	2	Meeting people/friends	1	Playground, additional required
	2	Picnics	1	Shelters, more required
	2	Playground and equipment		
	1	Ball games		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Museum		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Work - travel en route		
FITZROY GARDENS 16	4	Ambience, beauty	2	Shelters, more required
	4	Meeting people/friends	1	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Lighting, additional required
	2	Large open space	1	Seats, additional required
	2	Trees		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Shade		
	1	Taking visitors		
	1	Travel through		
1	Work - lunchtime retreat			
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 14	1	Recreation		
YARRA TRAIL 11	2	Recreation	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	2	Walking	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Access to CBD	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	1	BBQs	1	Remove floating rubbish from waterways
	1	Cycling	1	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
	1	Exercising	1	Signage, distance markers to be placed along walking/cycle paths
	1	Fresh air	1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Relaxation		
BIRRARUNG MARR 9	2	Large open space	2	Toilets, additional required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Art Play	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Weeds require control
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Relaxation		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Sport		
	1	Sport - attend MCG		
	1	Walking		
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat		
FEDERATION SQUARE 7	3	Entertainment & events	2	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Festivals and markets	1	Garden beds, more required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat	1	Public transport - improvements needed
			1	Seats, additional required
		1	Trees, more shade trees required	
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 7	2	Lawn bowls	1	BBQs, more required
	2	Travel through	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	1	BBQs	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat		
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE 5	2	Exercising	1	Gay "beat" activity confronting
	1	Cycling	1	Remove floating rubbish from waterways
	1	Recreation	1	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Sport		
	1	Views		
	1	Walking		
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 5	2	Cycling	1	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
	1	Activities	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Festivals and markets		
TREASURY GARDENS 5	1	Away from busy roads and traffic	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Sport		
	1	Taking visitors		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat		
PRINCES PARK 4	1	Picnics	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Playing with children	1	Playground, additional required
	1	Walking		

3.9.5 Open space not visited in Parkville

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of Parkville, in priority order.

3.9.5a Summary list of open space not visited in Parkville

No	Open Space Not Visited
7	Docklands
7	Royal Botanic Gardens
6	Birrarung Marr
5	Royal Park
3	Flagstaff Gardens
2	Carlton Gardens
2	Docklands Park
2	Fitzroy Gardens
2	Princes Park
2	South Bank Promenade
2	Yarra Trail
1	Albert Park
1	Alexandra Gardens
1	City Centre
1	City Square
1	Federation Square
1	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
1	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
1	Other City Parks
1	Park Street Reserve
1	Treasury Gardens

3.9.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in Parkville

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order.

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
DOCKLANDS 7	2	Carparking inadequate
	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Birds - nuisance
	1	Development impacts
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Elevated bike lanes needed
	1	Events - more cultural presence
	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Swimming pool needed
	1	Wetlands needed
	1	Windy, no protection

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 7	3	Distance to travel
	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Cycling - inadequate parking/locking facilities
	1	Personal safety concerns
BIRRARUNG MARR 6	1	Carparking - too costly
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Isolated
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Lack of time
	1	Nothing of interest to do
	1	Windy, no protection
ROYAL PARK 5	2	Concrete slabs behind CSL unattractive
	2	Isolated
	2	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 3	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Traffic noise and proximity
CARLTON GARDENS 2	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of BBQs
DOCKLANDS PARK 2	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of trees
FITZROY GARDENS 2	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Distance to walk
PRINCES PARK 2	1	Carparking inadequate
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 2	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of lighting
	1	Lack of trees
YARRA TRAIL 2	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Cycle path in poor condition
ALBERT PARK 1	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
ALEXANDRA GARDENS 1	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Personal safety concerns
CITY CENTRE 1	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
CITY SQUARE 1	1	Lack of seats
FEDERATION SQUARE 1	1	Carparking - too costly

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE 1	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Regular clearing of litter from paths
	1	Walking path in poor condition
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 1		
OTHER CITY PARKS 1	1	Lack of time
PARK STREET RESERVE 1	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
TREASURY GARDENS 1	1	Distance to travel

3.9.6 Types of open space used in Parkville

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of Parkville, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Parkville.

Table 3.9~1 Types of open space used in Parkville

The percentages in Table 3.9~1 have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%,

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
93%	Regional Parks	46%	34%	10%	2%	2%	6%
88%	Royal Botanic Gardens	0%	3%	25%	60%	6%	6%
83%	Major Gardens	6%	12%	31%	35%	6%	11%
82%	Open space along Yarra River	1%	15%	26%	41%	6%	11%
81%	Local Streets for Exercise	43%	30%	9%	0%	12%	6%
76%	Urban Squares or Plazas	12%	19%	30%	15%	12%	12%
75%	Small Local Parks	17%	24%	19%	15%	16%	9%
44%	Medium sized parks	1%	4%	20%	19%	41%	15%
43%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	0%	3%	11%	29%	44%	14%
32%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	1%	7%	9%	15%	55%	13%
23%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	3%	4%	6%	10%	64%	13%

3.9.7 Activities and facilities in open space in Parkville

3.9.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in Parkville

The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of Parkville, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for Parkville.


Provision of walking paths and open grassed areas is considered adequate by the majority of respondents; seats and drinking fountains are thought to be adequately provided for by just over half of respondents. Other facilities which are used by the majority of people but considered well provided for by less than half, include public toilets, cycle paths, picnic tables and playgrounds. Provision of shelters is considered especially inadequate by respondents. Provision of some of these facilities in Parkville may need to be reviewed.



3.9.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in Parkville

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of Parkville respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths and open grassed areas have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities. Less than half of respondents consider that other commonly used facilities such as seats, cycle paths and picnic tables, are adequately maintained. Public toilets and drinking fountains are considered particularly poorly maintained; attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities.

Please refer to graph on following page.



3.9.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in Parkville, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.9.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in Parkville
8	Open space - no further loss to development
5	Open space - more required
3	Open space - concerns about impact of development on open space
2	Cyclists - conflict with pedestrians on shared paths
2	Open space - roof garden/vertical garden - incorporate into all new developments
1	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
1	Drinking fountains, more required
1	Open space - more small open spaces required
1	Open space - too crowded
1	Playground, additional required
1	Police, regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour
1	Rubbish bins, more required
1	Seats, additional required
1	Sporting facilities, more required

3.9.9 General comments on open space from Parkville residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into nine topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
35	OS Values
8	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
4	Open space - important as residential density increases
4	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
4	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
4	Open space - value close proximity to home
3	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
3	Open space - retain existing open space
2	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
1	Open space - enjoy visiting it
1	Open space - provides for a variety of needs
1	Open space - value the sense of community it allows
19	Character
8	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
4	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
4	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
2	Bushland setting in open space highly valued
1	Greener open space needed
17	Provision
4	RCH -ensure OS promise is fulfilled
3	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
2	Open Space - establish in area opp Royal Melbourne Hospital
2	Open space - more required
2	Open space - needed along City Rd/Southbank area
2	Open space - Optus Oval & other major sports venues absorbing open space
1	Open space - ensure it is compulsory in high density housing developments
1	Open space - keep / retain what there is
11	Infrastructure
1	Buildings/structures - keep to minimum
1	Cars - city centre streets - close to through traffic
1	Cycle lanes on roads not wide enough, not provided, improvements required
1	Cycle parking - more facilities required
1	Cycle paths - more required
1	Cycle paths - require more linked off-road networks for safe family riding
1	Exercise stations required along Tan
1	Historic structures - preserve (e.g. gates, fences, Stock Route)
1	Lighting - better facilities required


No	General Comments
1	Playgrounds - additional, well-designed play area required
1	Teenagers - more Open Space age-specific design required
10	Maintenance
5	Open space needs more attention and/or facilities
4	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
1	Weeds require control
10	Trees/Vegetation
3	Indigenous vegetation - support more planting
2	Tree-planting program appreciated
2	Trees - more planting to replace older trees
1	Native planting to encourage native birds/wildlife
1	Trees - exotic species appropriate for city areas & shade
1	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
6	Recreation
3	Sport - dominating open space
1	Pedestrians - drop roadway through Royal Park, add green bridges
1	Playground - really good adventure playground required
1	Swimming - reserved nudist areas required
4	Dogs
2	Dog on-lead areas - require enforcement
1	Dog off-lead areas - need more
1	Dog waste - owners to clean up
2	Environment
2	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens

3.10 SOUTHBANK/SOUTH WHARF

3.10.1 Who completed the survey


3.10.1a Gender

A total of 81 completed surveys were received from Southbank/South Wharf. Approximately 55% of these are recorded as female and 45% male. When compared to the 53% of males recorded in the census data this indicates a slight under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.10.1b Age range

The largest groups of respondents from Southbank/South Wharf are from the 26-36, 48-58 and 59-69 age range, in almost equal numbers. The older age groups are slightly over-represented in the survey compared to the census data; and there is an under-representation of the younger age groups.



3.10.1c Dwelling type


As per the overall survey results for City of Melbourne and the census data for Southbank/South Wharf, nearly all of the survey respondents from Southbank/South Wharf live in flats or apartments; with a very small percentage living in semi-detached houses; the proportion of survey responses is generally consistent with the census data for dwelling type, in this suburb.



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.10.1d Household type

Most of the Southbank/South Wharf survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is an under-representation of lone person households, which makes up the largest group of households in Southbank/South Wharf. Two adults with children are also slightly over-represented in the survey results when compared with the census.



3.10.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the opens spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Southbank/South Wharf, 81. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
79%	Trees
73%	Quiet and peaceful
69%	Place to relax and unwind
68%	Just being outside
57%	Health and fitness
54%	Spacious
53%	Accessible
48%	Natural character
41%	Safe
36%	Feature garden bed planting
35%	The knowledge that it's there
33%	Refuge for native plants and animals
31%	Meeting people or friends
28%	Cultural activities
26%	Place for kids to play
25%	Historical character
17%	Major events
17%	Watching activities
11%	Other
6%	Playing casual games
5%	Playing sport

3.10.3 Open space within walking distance

3.10.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in Southbank/South Wharf

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of Southbank/South Wharf and are listed in priority order.

%	Open space within Local Area
58%	Royal Botanic Gardens
22%	South Bank Promenade
25%	Yarra Trail
14%	Birrarung Marr
14%	Federation Square
12%	Kings Domain
11%	The Tan
10%	Alexandra Gardens
6%	Albert Park
6%	Grant Street Reserve

%	Open space within Local Area
2%	Fitzroy Gardens
2%	Flagstaff Gardens
2%	Queen Victoria Gardens
2%	Sturt Street Reserve
1%	Arts Centre
1%	Batman Park
1%	Bayside Beaches
1%	City Centre
1%	City Road Park
1%	City Square
1%	Fawkner Park
1%	Kingsway & Moray Street Reserve
1%	Maritime Park
1%	Normanby Road Reserve
1%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
1%	Queensbridge Square
1%	Railway & Miller Street Reserve
1%	River Bank Crown Land
1%	Royal Park
1%	Shrine Of Remembrance
1%	Treasury Gardens
1%	Yarra Park

3.10.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in Southbank/South Wharf

The following table lists the reasons why Southbank/South Wharf residents visit the 10 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 47	20	Exercising	3	Lighting, additional required
	9	Recreation	2	Drinking fountains, more required
	9	Walking	2	Shelters, more required
	7	Ambience, beauty	1	Ball games - area required
	7	Peaceful	1	Carpark, additional required
	7	Relaxation	1	Cycling - no bicycles
	3	Jogging/running	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	3	Picnics	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	2	Dog walking	1	Fountains to be working
	2	Fresh air	1	Litter in open space is an issue
1	Away from busy roads and traffic	1	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility	
1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space	
1	Entertainment & events	1	Picnic tables, more required	
1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Seating - uncomfortable	
1	Lake	1	Seats, additional required	

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
	1	Large open space	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Lunch		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Playground with grandchildren		
	1	Uncrowded		
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 18	6	Walking	4	Playground, additional required
	2	Entertainment & events	2	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	Recreation	2	Open space, more required
	2	Travel through	1	Ball games - area required
	1	Birdlife (watching)	1	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	1	Cycling	1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	1	Dog walking	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Exercising	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	1	Natural environment	1	Open space, bare/uninviting/neglected, improvements required
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Pedestrian bridge - requires maintenance
			1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
			1	Rubbish bins, to be emptied regularly
		1	Shelters, more required	
YARRA TRAIL 20	3	Walking	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	Close to home	2	Lighting, additional required
	2	Relaxation	1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Development, concerns about impact on open space
	1	Birdlife (watching)	1	Natural bushland setting in open space highly valued
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Open space, more required
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	2	Exercising	1	River/creek, to be regularly cleaned
	1	Gardens	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Vermin - require control
	1	Recreation		
	1	Sport		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Watching wildlife		
1	Work - travel en route			
BIRRARUNG MARR 11	3	Exercising	3	Seats, additional required
	2	Playground and equipment	1	Circus - do not allow
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Large open space		
	1	Walking		
1	Work - travel en route			

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
FEDERATION SQUARE 11	4	Entertainment & events	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	2	Activities	1	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Cycling	1	Smoking - ban in this open space
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Travel through		
KINGS DOMAIN 10	2	Ambience, beauty	1	BBQs, regular maintenance required
	2	Exercising	1	Cycling - no bicycles
	2	Large open space	1	Seats, additional required
	2	Recreation	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	BBQs		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Walking		
	1	Work - travel en route		
THE TAN 9	5	Exercising	3	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	2	Jogging/running	2	Lighting, additional required
	1	Cycling	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Fresh air	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
			1	Toilets, to be open 24 hours
ALEXANDRA GARDENS 8	2	Walking	1	Basketball ring, more required
	1	Ball games	1	BBQs, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	1	Jogging/running	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Large open space		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Skateboarding		
	1	Travel through		
1	Work - travel en route			
ALBERT PARK 5	2	Exercising	2	Drinking fountains, more required
	2	Jogging/running	2	Lighting, additional required
	2	Walking	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Cycling	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Fresh air	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Golf	1	Trees, more trees required
GRANT STREET RESERVE 5	2	Relaxation	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	1	Close to home	1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	1	Dog walking	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	1	Travel through	1	Pedestrian path - provide along Dodds St
			1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvement
			1	Playgrounds checked for syringes etc
			1	Shelters, more required
			1	Toilets, additional required

3.10.4 Open space beyond walking distance in Southbank/South Wharf

3.10.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in Southbank/South Wharf

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of Southbank/South Wharf. These 24 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least.

%	Open space beyond walking distance
10%	Bayside Beaches
9%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
7%	Royal Botanic Gardens
6%	Albert Park
6%	Yarra Trail
5%	Fawkner Park
5%	Fitzroy Gardens
5%	Royal Park
4%	Flagstaff Gardens
2%	Birrarung Marr
2%	Carlton Gardens
2%	City Centre
4%	Docklands
2%	Docklands Park
2%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
2%	Westgate Park
1%	Alexandra Gardens
1%	Large Parks
1%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
1%	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve
1%	Royal Botanic Gardens
1%	South Bank Promenade
1%	The Tan
1%	Treasury Gardens

3.10.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in Southbank/South Wharf visit the 8 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
BAYSIDE BEACHES 8	4	Exercising	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	2	Walking	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Ambience, beauty		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Close to home		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Relaxation		
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 7	1	Dog walking	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	1	Recreation		
	1	Skateboarding		
	1	Travel through		
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 6	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cloaking facilities for picnic gear
	1	Exercising		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Trees		
ALBERT PARK 5	2	Playground and equipment	1	Gran Prix removal
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Ball games		
	1	BBQs		
	1	Close to home		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Walking		
YARRA TRAIL 5	2	Exercising	1	Remove floating rubbish from waterways
	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	Birdlife (watching)		
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Recreation		
FAWKNER PARK 4	3	Playground and equipment	1	Playground, toilet to be located nearby
	1	Facilities		
	1	Jogging/running		
FITZROY GARDENS 4	1	Exercising	1	Cloaking facilities for picnic gear
	1	Green grass	1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	1	Natural environment	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Uncrowded		
ROYAL PARK 4	1	Cycling	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Travel through		
	1	Work - close to work		
	1	Zoo		

3.10.5 Open space not visited in Southbank/South Wharf

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of Southbank/South Wharf, in priority order.

3.10.5a Summary list of open space not visited in Southbank/South Wharf

No	Open Space Not Visited
6	South Bank Promenade
3	Grant Street Reserve
2	Birrarung Marr
2	City Centre - Swanston Walk
2	City Square
2	Docklands
2	Fawkner Park
1	Alexandra Gardens
1	Carlton Gardens
1	City Baths
1	Fitzroy Gardens
1	J J Holland Park
1	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
1	Princes Park
1	Royal Botanic Gardens
1	Royal Park
1	St Pauls Cathedral
1	State Library
1	Sturt Street Reserve
1	Treasury Gardens
1	Victoria Markets
1	Westgate Park
1	Yarra Trail

3.10.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in Southbank

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order.

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
SOUTH BANK PROMENADE 6	2	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of trees
	1	Personal safety concerns
	1	Playground not provided
GRANT STREET RESERVE 3	3	Lack of shade
	2	Playground not provided
	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
	1	Dog dropping bags
	1	Fenced open space not provided
	1	Lack of BBQs
	1	Lack of seats
	1	Lack of toilets
BIRRARUNG MARR 2	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Isolated
CITY CENTRE - SWANSTON WALK 2	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Personal safety concerns
CITY SQUARE 2	2	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Cleanliness concerns
DOCKLANDS 2	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of access
FAWKNER PARK 2	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of access
ALEXANDRA GARDENS 1	1	Busy/crowded
CARLTON GARDENS 1	1	Distance to travel
CITY BATHS 1	1	Distance to travel
FITZROY GARDENS 1	1	Distance to travel
J J HOLLAND PARK 1	1	Lack of knowledge/information
MOONEE PONDS CREEK TRAIL 1	1	Lack of knowledge/information
PRINCES PARK 1	1	Distance to travel
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 1	1	Busy/crowded
ROYAL PARK 1	1	Distance to travel
ST PAULS CATHEDRAL 1	1	Personal safety concerns
STATE LIBRARY 1	1	Busy/crowded
	1	Skateboarding - police areas of use
STURT STREET RESERVE 1	1	Lack of shade
	1	Playground not provided
TREASURY GARDENS 1	1	Cycling not allowed
	1	Grass area off-limits
	1	Jogging/running not provided for

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
VICTORIA MARKETS 1	1	Cars - too many/intruding on open space
WESTGATE PARK 1	1	Distance to travel
YARRA TRAIL 1		

3.10.6 Types of open space used in Southbank/South Wharf

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of Southbank/South Wharf, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for Southbank/South Wharf.

Table 3.10~1 Types of open space used in Southbank/South Wharf

The percentages in Table 3.10~1 have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/pale green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.

Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
93%	Open space along Yarra River	30%	40%	15%	9%	1%	6%
88%	Royal Botanic Gardens	9%	51%	20%	9%	1%	11%
78%	Urban Squares or Plazas	19%	33%	20%	6%	9%	14%
75%	Major Gardens	0%	15%	30%	31%	11%	14%
75%	Local Streets for Exercise	41%	26%	5%	4%	14%	11%
59%	Small Local Parks	7%	23%	14%	15%	28%	12%
44%	Regional Parks	1%	5%	10%	28%	38%	17%
37%	Medium sized parks	1%	2%	15%	19%	47%	16%
19%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	0%	2%	2%	14%	64%	17%
15%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	0%	1%	6%	7%	67%	19%
12%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	0%	0%	4%	9%	68%	20%

3.10.7 Activities and facilities in open space in Southbank/South Wharf


3.10.7a Provision of facilities in open space in Southbank/South Wharf

The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of Southbank/South Wharf, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents.

This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the


graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for Southbank/South Wharf.

Provision of walking paths is considered adequate by nearly all respondents, with open grassed areas and cafe/kiosk facilities also thought to be adequately provided for by most respondents. Seats and cycle paths are considered less well provided for; while public toilets, drinking fountains, picnic tables, barbecues and shelters were all considered to be inadequately provided for by a significant percentage of respondents; indicating provision of these facilities may need to be reviewed. Club based indoor and outdoor facilities, as well as informal outdoor recreational facilities, were also poorly regarded in terms of provision.



3.10.7c Maintenance of facilities in open space in Southbank/South Wharf

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of Southbank/South Wharf respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities; open grassed areas and cafe/kiosk facilities also being considered adequately maintained by most respondents. A significant number of respondents consider that public toilets and drinking fountains are not sufficiently maintained, indicating these may require review. *Please refer to graph on following page.*



3.10.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in Southbank/South Wharf, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.10.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in Southbank/South Wharf
5	Open space - more required
4	Cyclists - conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
2	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
2	Information - more information required about open space and facilities available
2	Open space - roof garden/vertical garden - incorporate into all new developments
2	Police - regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour
2	Rubbish - regular removal required
2	Shade - more shaded areas required
2	Open space - links are required
1	Art works & sculpture - more please
1	BBQs - more required
1	Cycle paths - more required
1	Cyclists - speeding on shared paths
1	Open space - no further loss to development
1	Drinking fountains - more required
1	Events - entertainment/events - too costly
1	Open space - roof garden/vertical garden - incorporate into all new developments
1	Healthy food at public events
1	Events - more outdoor events, markets, festivals required in open space

No	Suggested improvements to open space in Southbank/South Wharf
1	Playground, additional required
1	Seats, arrange so easier for conversation/social interaction
1	Sporting facilities - more skating facilities required
1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required

3.8.9 General comments on open space from Southbank/South Wharf residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into eleven topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
20	Provision
10	Open space - needed along City Rd/Southbank area
3	Open space - more required in CBD including green open space
2	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
1	Open space - more required
1	Develop area in Whiteman St
1	Open space - develop area under W/gate Fwy/Southbank for recreational use
1	Open space - more required
1	Open space - put City Rd underground and make "linear park village"
12	OS Values
4	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
3	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
2	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne
1	Open space - enjoy the ambience
1	Open space - enjoy visiting it
1	Open space - retain existing open space
12	Infrastructure
2	Cyclists - need separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians
1	Cars - reduce congestion- replace with walking/riding/good public transport combo
1	Cycle parking - more facilities required
1	Cycle paths - more required
1	Drainage in local streets needs upgrading
1	Exercise stations required along Tan
1	Lighting - better facilities required
1	Playgrounds - additional, well-designed play area required
1	Shelters - cleaned and maintained
1	Skateboarding - increased facilities required
1	Toilets - more required


No	General Comments
10	Maintenance
4	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
2	Flinders Station underpass dirty and unsafe feeling, esp at night
2	Litter in open space
1	Rubbish bins to be emptied regularly & rubbish removed
1	Toilets require better maintenance and cleaning
6	Character
1	Greener open space needed
1	Community gardens - more required
1	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
1	Open Space - more European-style squares and piazzas
1	Open space - more interesting/creative landscaping
1	Power & Kavanagh Sts corner needs development
4	Recreation
2	Cycling - repeal compulsory bike helmet regulations
1	Pedestrians - develop laneways and map of 'laneways passages' from E -W
1	Boats, sporting equipment - lock-up storage along Yarra for apartment owners
4	Other
3	A-Not completed
1	Alexandra Gardens - floral clock working 24/7/365
4	Trees/Vegetation
2	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
1	Trees - exotic species appropriate for city areas & shade
1	Trees - more planting to replace older trees
2	Environment
1	Storm water - storm water harvesting - for public gardens
1	Sustainability - more rain gardens installed
1	Dogs
1	Dog - fenced-off areas required in parks for dog exercising
1	Events
1	Rotundas in Royal Botanic Gardens used for performances

3.11 SOUTH YARRA

3.11.1 Who completed the survey?


3.11.1a Gender

A total of 238 completed surveys were received from South Yarra. Approximately 65% of these are recorded as female and 35% male. When compared to the 49% of males recorded in the census data this indicates an under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.11.1b Age range

The largest group of respondents from South Yarra is from the 26-36 age range, which corresponds with census data. The next largest group of respondents is from the 37-47 age range and this group is slightly over-represented in the survey results, as is the 70-80 age range, with the under-25 age range under-represented, however this is not unusual given that young people generally do not complete surveys.



3.11.1c Dwelling type


As per the overall survey results for City of Melbourne and the census data for South Yarra, the majority of survey respondents from South Yarra live in flats or apartments, with the next largest percentage living in semi-detached houses. The proportion of survey responses is not significantly different from the census data for dwelling type, in this suburb, with a slight over-representation of separate houses and slight under-representation of people in apartments.



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.11.1d Household type

Most of the South Yarra survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is an under-representation of lone person households, which makes up the largest group of households in South Yarra. The other respondent groups are generally consistent with census data.



3.11.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for South Yarra, 238. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
82%	Trees
76%	Place to relax and unwind
74%	Quiet and peaceful
71%	Just being outside
68%	Health and fitness
67%	Spacious
62%	Accessible
44%	Meeting people or friends
43%	Safe
39%	Natural character
39%	The knowledge that it's there
38%	Refuge for native plants and animals
35%	Feature garden bed planting
30%	Historical character
29%	Place for kids to play
23%	Cultural activities
19%	Major events
17%	Watching activities
16%	Playing casual games
14%	Playing sport
11%	Other

3.11.3 Open space within walking distance

3.11.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in South Yarra

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of South Yarra and are listed in priority order. Where only one person has reported visiting a reserve, this is listed in the table as 0%.

%	Open space within walking distance
66%	Royal Botanic Gardens
61%	Fawkner Park
25%	Yarra Trail
21%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
13%	The Tan
8%	Kings Domain
4%	Albert Park
3%	Alexandra Gardens
3%	Birrarung Marr
3%	Fitzroy Gardens
2%	Federation Square

%	Open space within walking distance
2%	Queen Victoria Gardens
2%	Carlton Gardens
2%	Gosch's Paddock
2%	Yarra Park
1%	Darling Square
1%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
1%	Bayside Beaches
1%	Capital City Trail
1%	Flagstaff Gardens
1%	Other City Parks
1%	Princes Park
0%	Argyle Square
0%	City Centre
0%	King & Victoria Street Reserve
0%	Shrine Of Remembrance
0%	South Bank Promenade
0%	Treasury Gardens
0%	Victoria Green (Proposed)

3.11.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in South Yarra

The following table lists the reasons why South Yarra residents visit the 15 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 157	47	Exercising	6	None required
	41	Walking	5	Lighting, additional required
	25	Recreation	4	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	22	Relaxation	3	BBQs, more required
	20	Ambience, beauty	3	Cycle paths, more required
	20	Picnics	3	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	18	Jogging/running	3	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	12	Gardens	2	Access - longer opening hours
	7	Dog walking	2	Art works & sculpture - more please
	7	Fresh air	2	Café/ kiosk - more affordable
	6	Peaceful	2	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	5	Travel through	2	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	4	Café and kiosk facilities	2	Drainage - needs improvement
	4	Entertainment & events	2	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	3	Close to home	2	Exercise - personal training groups aggressive
3	Large open space	2	Exit turnstiles - install one-way so inadvertent over-stayers can leave safely	
3	Natural environment	2	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available	

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements	
	3	Playing with children	2	Rubbish bins, more required	
	2	Children's garden	2	Seats, additional required	
	2	Green grass	2	Toilets, additional required	
	2	Meeting people/friends	2	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced	
	2	Sitting	1	Access - save/retain this open space	
	2	Views	1	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation	
	2	Work - travel en route	1	Children's garden in Botanic Gardens - keep stream & fountains	
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required	
	1	Festivals and markets	1	Dog drinking facilities, more required	
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required	
	1	Shopping	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required	
	1	Trees	1	Drinking fountains, more required	
				1	Entertainment and events - less required
				1	Entertainment, more required
				1	Fountains to be working
				1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
				1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
				1	Open space, too crowded
				1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
				1	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
				1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
				1	Picnic tables, more required
				1	Rubbish, regular removal required
				1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
				1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
				1	Trees, more trees required
				1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
			1	Vegetation, to be well-maintained	
			1	Watering, Council to be water wise when watering	
			1	Wi-Fi - free access points	
			1	Wildlife, native wildlife needs protection	
FAWKNER PARK 144	34	Exercising	10	Lighting, additional required	
	28	Walking	5	BBQs, more required	
	25	Dog walking	4	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths	
	17	Travel through	4	Entertainment, more required	
	13	Playground and equipment	4	Seats, additional required	
	13	Recreation	3	Basketball ring, more required	
	13	Relaxation	3	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths	
	9	Ambience, beauty	3	Dog off-lead, personal safety concerns	
	7	Jogging/running	3	Maintenance vehicles - too many using footpaths as roads	
	7	Work - travel en route	3	Shelters, more required	
	4	Picnics	3	Toilets, additional required	
	4	Sport	3	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced	

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	3	Close to home	2	Dog off-lead area too close to other amenities
	3	Cycling	2	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	3	Fresh air	2	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	3	Playing with children	2	Fountains to be working
	2	Basketball	2	Garden beds, improvement required
	2	BBQs	2	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	2	Football	2	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	2	Large open space	2	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	2	Playground with grandchildren	2	Picnic tables, more required
	2	Sitting	2	Running track needed
	2	Trees	2	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	2	Work - lunchtime retreat	2	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Activities	2	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
	1	Ball games	2	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Dog off-lead area	1	Basketball ring, improvement required
	1	Gardens	1	Cafes, more required
	1	Green grass	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	1	Meeting people/friends	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	1	Natural environment	1	Car-parking - more residents' areas
	1	Peaceful	1	Chin-up bars
	1	Tennis	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	1	Work - close to work	1	Cycling - no bicycles
			1	Development, concerns about impact on open space
			1	Dog drinking facilities, more required
			1	Dog off-lead areas, appreciated
			1	Dogs to be banned from playgrounds
			1	Dogs, off-lead impacts on wildlife
			1	Drainage - needs improvement
			1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
			1	Drinking fountains, more required
			1	Exercise - more organised/scheduled activities e.g.tai chi
			1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
			1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
			1	Litter in open space is an issue
			1	Lower fees for smaller sporting clubs
			1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
			1	More amenities
			1	Playground, equipment requires regular maintenance/repairs
			1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
			1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
			1	Swimming pool required
			1	Tennis facilities, more required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
			1	Trees, dead and dangerous ones to be removed from open space
			1	Trees, more shade trees required
			1	Trees, more trees required
			1	Weeds require control
YARRA TRAIL 60	13	Walking	4	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	12	Exercising	3	Drinking fountains, more required
	10	Work - travel en route	2	Lighting, additional required
	8	Cycling	2	Litter in open space is an issue
	7	BBQs	2	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	7	Travel through	2	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	5	Recreation	2	Playground, additional required
	4	Jogging/running	2	Rubbish bins, more required
	3	Close to home	2	Trees, more trees required
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	BBQs, more required
	2	Picnics	1	Car-parking - more residents' areas
	2	Relaxation	1	Chin-up bars
	1	Access to CBD	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	1	Fresh air	1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	1	Large open space	1	Drainage - needs improvement
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Rubbish, regular removal required
	1	River	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	1	Rowing	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Sport - attend MCG	1	Toilets, additional required
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 51	6	Dog walking	1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
	3	Jogging/running		
	2	Exercising		
	2	Fresh air		
	1	Close to home		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Walking		
THE TAN 31	8	Exercising		
	8	Jogging/running	3	Paths, uneven surface of footpaths are unsuitable for limited mobility
	5	Walking	3	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	3	Dog walking	2	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Ambience, beauty	2	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Close to home	1	Cycle paths, more required
	1	Cycling	1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	1	Relaxation	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
			1	None required
			1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
			1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
KINGS DOMAIN 18	8	Recreation	1	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	6	Exercising	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	3	Dog walking	1	Cyclists, speeding on shared paths
	3	Walking	1	Dog off-lead, personal safety concerns
	2	Travel through	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Cycling	1	Playground, additional required
	1	Entertainment & events	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Natural environment	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Relaxation	1	Trees, dead and dangerous ones to be removed from open space
	1	Work - travel en route	1	Weeds require control
ALBERT PARK 9	3	Exercising	1	Access, improvements required
	3	Walking	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	2	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	2	Lake		
	2	Recreation		
	1	Cycling		
	1	Golf		
	1	Jogging/running		
ALEXANDRA GARDENS 7	2	Walking	1	BBQs, more required
	1	Dog walking	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	1	Exercising	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Green grass	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Skateboarding		
BIRRARUNG MARR 7	2	Walking	2	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
	2	Work - travel en route	1	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Paths, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Exercising		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Travel through		
FITZROY GARDENS 7	3	Travel through	1	Playground, additional required
	2	Walking	1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required
	1	Exercising		
	1	Playground with grandchildren		
	1	Work - travel en route		
FEDERATION SQUARE 5	2	Meeting people/friends	1	None required
	2	Work - close to work	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Entertainment & events		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
QUEEN VICTORIA GARDENS 5	2	Dog walking	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Exercising		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Walking		
CARLTON GARDENS 4	2	Work - travel en route	1	Cycle paths, more required
	1	Historical		
	1	Recreation		
GOSCH'S PADDOCK 4	2	Football	2	BBQs, more required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
	1	Picnics	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Recreation	1	Playground, additional required
	1	Work - travel en route	1	Toilets, additional required
			1	Trees, more trees required
YARRA PARK 4	1	Jogging/running	1	Drinking fountains, more required
	1	Sport	1	Improvements - recent improvement appreciated
	1	Travel through	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Work - travel en route	1	Toilets, additional required
			1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required

3.11.4 Open space beyond walking distance in South Yarra

3.11.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in South Yarra

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance by residents of South Yarra. These 42 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least. Where only one person has reported visiting a reserve, this is listed in the table as 0%.

%	Open space beyond walking distance
14%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
14%	Royal Botanic Gardens
11%	Fitzroy Gardens
9%	Yarra Trail
9%	Bayside Beaches
8%	Albert Park
8%	Federation Square
6%	Fawkner Park
5%	Carlton Gardens
5%	Birrarung Marr
4%	Docklands
3%	Treasury Gardens
3%	Royal Park
2%	Flagstaff Gardens
2%	Queen Victoria Gardens
2%	The Tan
1%	Alexandra Gardens

%	Open space beyond walking distance
1%	City Square
1%	Docklands Park
1%	Princes Park
1%	Capital City Trail
1%	City Centre
1%	Kings Domain
1%	Large Parks
1%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
1%	South Bank Promenade
1%	Yarra Park
0%	Bourke St Mall
0%	CBD - Laneways
0%	Clayton Reserve
0%	Darling Square
0%	Lincoln Square
0%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
0%	Melbourne Park
0%	Merri Creek
0%	New Quay Promenade
0%	Powlett Reserve
0%	Shrine Of Remembrance
0%	State Library (OS In Front Of)
0%	University Cricket Ground
0%	Weedon Reserve
0%	Zoological Gardens

3.11.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in South Yarra visit the 16 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE 34	3	Walking	1	None required
	2	Meeting people/friends	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Cycling		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Playground and equipment		
	1	Tennis		
	1	Work - close to work		
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 33	8	Ambience, beauty	2	Disabilities, provide access and facilities
	7	Relaxation	1	Bats - removal requested
	7	Walking	1	Carpark, additional required
	6	Recreation	1	Dog off-lead, personal safety concerns
	4	Picnics	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	3	Entertainment & events	1	Lighting, additional required
	3	Peaceful	1	Litter in open space is an issue
	2	Dog walking	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	2	Gardens	1	Shelters, more required
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic	1	Sporting facilities - fitness trail required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Vegetation, concerns that older trees are not being replaced
	1	Close to home	1	Vegetation, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Watering, Council to be water wise when watering
	1	Facilities	1	Wildlife, native wildlife needs protection
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Greenhouse		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Work - travel en route		
FITZROY GARDENS 25	5	Walking	2	Access, improvements required
	4	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Grassed areas - maintenance needed
	2	Exercising	1	Shelters, more required
	2	Historical	1	Vegetation, to be well-maintained
	2	Meeting people/friends		
	2	Recreation		
	2	Travel through		
	1	Activities		
	1	Away from busy roads and traffic		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Peaceful		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Work - close to work		
1	Work - lunchtime retreat			
YARRA TRAIL 22	6	Cycling	2	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	BBQs	1	Carparking, reduce, give over to vegetation
	2	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	2	Peaceful	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	2	Walking	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	2	Work - travel en route	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Access to CBD		
	1	Exercising		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Sitting		
	1	Views		
	1	Work - close to work		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
BAYSIDE BEACHES 21	4	Fresh air	2	Carparking - remove fees
	4	Walking	1	Cycle paths, more required
	3	Recreation	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	3	Swimming	1	Grand Prix removal
	2	Cycling	1	Open space, no further loss to development
	2	Exercising	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	2	Relaxation	1	Signage, distance markers to be placed along walking/cycle paths
	1	Beach, sea air		
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Close to home		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Peaceful		
ALBERT PARK 19	5	Exercising	1	Garden beds, more required
	4	Walking	1	Gran Prix removal
	3	BBQs	1	Sporting facilities, more required
	2	Cycling	1	Trees, more trees required
	2	Football		
	2	Jogging/running		
	2	Swimming		
	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	Café and kiosk facilities		
	1	Lack of open space in local area		
	1	Lake		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Shade		
FEDERATION SQUARE 19	12	Entertainment & events	2	Entertainment, more required
	4	Meeting people/friends	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	3	Activities	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	3	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Cycling - bike rack/lock-up facilities required
	2	Festivals and markets	1	Entertainment - improve sound system for large events
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Open space, too crowded
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
FAWKNER PARK 14	3	Walking	1	Cyclists, conflicts with pedestrians on shared paths
	2	Dog walking	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Rubbish bins, more required
	1	Close to home	1	Sporting facilities, more required
	1	Dog off-lead area	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	Exercising	1	Vegetation, more required
	1	Football	1	Wildlife, native wildlife needs protection
	1	Fresh air		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playing with children		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Tennis		
	1	Trees		
CARLTON GARDENS 13	2	Ambience, beauty	2	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Entertainment & events	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	2	Travel through	1	Development, concerns about impact on open space
	1	Festivals and markets	1	Entertainment, more required
	1	Gardens	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Natural environment	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Picnics	1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
	1	Recreation	1	Signage, informative, instructional and navigational signage required
	1	Relaxation	1	Tennis facilities, improvements/upgrade required
	1	Tennis	1	Toilets, improvement/upgrade required
	1	Trees	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Work - close to work		
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat		
BIRRARUNG MARR 11	3	Entertainment & events	1	BBQs, more required
	2	Exercising	1	Entertainment, more required
	2	Walking	1	Garden beds, more required
	1	Cycling	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	1	Large open space	1	Maintenance, regular maintenance & cleaning required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Playground, equipment requires regular maintenance/repairs
	1	Playground with grandchildren	1	Toilets, additional required
	1	River	1	Trees, more shade trees required
	1	Taking visitors	1	Vegetation, more required
	1	Travel through		
DOCKLANDS 10	4	Café and kiosk facilities	3	Ambience - general ambience/aesthetic needs improving
	2	Shopping	2	Trees, more trees required
	2	Work - close to work	2	Vegetation, more required
	1	BBQs	1	Entertainment, more required
	1	Exercising	1	Open space, more outdoor events, markets, festivals required
	1	Fresh air	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Ice skating	1	Public transport - improvements needed
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Sport	1	Shelters, BBQs required
	1	Views	1	Wildlife, plant more native/indigenous vegetation to attract wildlife
	1	Walking		
	1	Waterfront		
TREASURY GARDENS 8	2	Relaxation	1	Cycle paths, more required
	2	Walking		
	1	Entertainment & events		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Exercising		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Green grass		
	1	Historical		
	1	Recreation		
	1	Work - lunchtime retreat		
ROYAL PARK 7	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	1	Cycling		
	1	Dog walking		
	1	Family friendly/child friendly		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Sport		
	1	Tennis		
	1	Zoo		
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 5	1	Gardens	1	Cycle paths, more required
	1	Green grass	1	Lighting, additional required
	1	Recreation		
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Walking		
QUEEN VICTORIA GARDENS 4	2	Entertainment & events		
	1	Ambience, beauty		
	1	Dog off-lead area		
THE TAN 4	1	Exercising	1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
	1	Walking		

3.11.5 Open space not visited in South Yarra

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of South Yarra, in priority order.

3.11.5a Summary list of open space not visited in South Yarra

No	Open Space Not Visited
16	Docklands
11	Fitzroy Gardens
6	Birrarung Marr
5	Flagstaff Gardens
5	Princes Park
4	Albert Park
4	Carlton Gardens
3	Royal Park
2	Docklands Park
2	Federation Square
2	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
2	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
2	Other City Parks

No	Open Space Not Visited
2	Royal Botanic Gardens
2	Yarra Park
1	Alexandra Gardens
1	Alexandra Park
1	City Centre - King St
1	City Square
1	Gosch's Paddock
1	Government House
1	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
1	Shrine Of Remembrance
1	South Bank Promenade
1	The Tan
1	Treasury Gardens
1	Westgate Park
1	Yarra Trail

3.11.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in South Yarra

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order.

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
DOCKLANDS 16	6	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	3	Lack of access
	3	Lack of trees
	3	Windy, no protection
	2	Concrete - dominates environment
	2	Lack of grass
	2	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Carparking - too costly
	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Isolated
	1	Lack of toilets
FITZROY GARDENS 11	5	Distance to travel
	3	Carparking inadequate
	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Lack of time
	1	Nothing of interest to do
	1	Traffic noise and proximity
BIRRARUNG MARR 6	2	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Lack of grass
	1	Lack of knowledge/information
	1	Lack of shade
	1	Lack of time
	1	Lack of toilets

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
	1	Lack of trees
	1	Limited facilities
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS	2	Distance to travel
5	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
	1	Cleanliness concerns
	1	Nothing of interest to do
	1	Regular clearing of litter from paths
PRINCES PARK	2	Distance to travel
5	2	Personal safety concerns, esp at night
	1	Cleanliness concerns
	1	Lack of time
	1	Noise of sports makes for unrelaxed atmosphere
ALBERT PARK	2	Carparking inadequate
4	1	Carparking - too costly
	1	Lack of shade
	1	Lack of trees
	1	Pedestrian access - dangerous across busy arterial road
	1	Picnic area not provided
CARLTON GARDENS	1	Carparking inadequate
4	1	Lack of time
	1	Traffic noise and proximity
	1	Windy, no protection
ROYAL PARK	1	Cars - too many/intruding on open space
3	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of time
DOCKLANDS PARK	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
2	1	Isolated
	1	Lack of trees
FEDERATION SQUARE	1	Lack of shade
2	1	Lack of trees
	1	Too corporate
MARIBYRNONG RIVER BANK RESERVE	2	Lack of time
2		
OTHER - OUTSIDE CITY OF MELBOURNE	1	Distance to travel
2	1	Personal safety concerns, especially at night
OTHER CITY PARKS	1	Distance to travel
2	1	Lack of knowledge/information
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS	1	Distance to travel
2	1	Lack of time

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
YARRA PARK 2	1	Cars - too many/intruding on open space
	1	Park space not freely available to public
ALEXANDRA GARDENS 1	1	Speeding cyclists
ALEXANDRA PARK 1	1	Speeding cyclists
CITY CENTRE - KING ST 1	1	Personal safety concerns
CITY SQUARE 1	1	Lack of grass
GOSCH'S Paddock 1	1	Park space not freely available to public
GOVERNMENT HOUSE 1	1	Lack of access
MOONEE PONDS CREEK TRAIL 1	1	Lack of time
SHRINE OF REMEMBRANCE 1	1	Cars - too many/intruding on open space
THE TAN 1	1	Busy/crowded
TREASURY GARDENS 1	1	Carparking inadequate
WESTGATE PARK 1	1	Lack of access
YARRA TRAIL 1	1	Speeding cyclists
	1	Transport - cycle routes unsafe

3.11.6 Types of open space used in South Yarra

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of South Yarra, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for South Yarra.

Table 3.11~1 Types of open space used in South Yarra

The percentages in Table 3.11~1 have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%,

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
97%	Royal Botanic Gardens	24%	36%	26%	12%	0%	3%
89%	Open space along Yarra River	17%	30%	25%	16%	4%	7%
83%	Regional Parks	19%	28%	21%	15%	10%	7%
82%	Local Streets for Exercise	43%	30%	7%	1%	10%	8%
74%	Urban Squares or Plazas	7%	22%	33%	11%	12%	15%
72%	Major Gardens	4%	10%	26%	32%	12%	16%
72%	Small Local Parks	11%	24%	26%	11%	16%	13%
36%	Medium sized parks	1%	2%	10%	23%	44%	20%
26%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	1%	3%	8%	14%	55%	19%
18%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	0%	1%	4%	13%	64%	18%
7%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	0%	0%	2%	5%	76%	18%

3.11.7 Activities and facilities in open space in South Yarra

3.11.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in South Yarra


The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of South Yarra, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for South Yarra.

Provision of walking paths and open grassed areas are considered adequate by the majority of respondents; with seats and cafes also thought to be adequately provided for by over half of respondents. Other facilities which are commonly used, but considered less well provided for include drinking fountains, picnic tables and public toilets. Provision of cycle paths, shelters and informal outdoor recreation areas is considered inadequate by a significant proportion of respondents, indicating provision of these facilities may need to be reviewed.



3.11.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in South Yarra

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of South Yarra respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths and open grassed areas have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities; with over half of respondents also considering that seats are adequately maintained. Less than half of respondents consider that other commonly used facilities such as picnic tables and BBQs are adequately maintained. Public toilets, drinking fountains and cycle paths are considered particularly poorly maintained; attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities.



3.11.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in South Yarra, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.11.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in South Yarra
8	Open space, more required
6	Open space - roof garden/vertical garden - incorporate into all new developments
4	A - not completed
4	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
3	Cycle paths - more required
2	Cyclists - speeding on shared paths
2	Information, more information required about open space and facilities available
2	Open space - concerns about impact of development on open space
2	Rubbish bins, more required
1	Art works & sculpture - more please
1	Dog waste bags/bins, more required
1	Facilities/amenities - provide access and facilities for people with disabilities
1	Lighting, additional required
1	Litter in open space is an issue
1	Open space - links are required
1	Open space - more small open spaces required
1	Open space - no further loss to development
1	Open space - Yarra Park - no cars or carparking; give over to grass and parkland
1	Paths - improvement/upgrade required
1	Police, regular patrols required to discourage inappropriate behaviour
1	Safety concerns, fearful of using open space
1	Seats, to be located under shade trees
1	Shelters, BBQs required
1	Toilets, additional required
1	Trees/vegetation - river/creek, more revegetation required
1	Trees/Vegetation, more required
1	Walking paths - more required

3.11.9 General comments on open space from South Yarra residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into ten topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
87	OS Values
15	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
13	Open space - value close proximity to home
12	Open space - improvements, pleased to see them occurring
9	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'

No	General Comments
7	Open space - enjoy visiting it
6	Open space - retain existing open space
5	Open space - enjoy the ambience
5	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
4	Open space - very important in such an urban environment as City of Melbourne
3	Open space - beneficial to health and wellbeing
3	Open space - important as residential density increases
2	Open space - value the sense of community it allows
1	Open space - encourages participation in health and fitness activities
1	Open space - lungs of the city
1	Open space - Melbourne's public gardens are world class
31	Character
11	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
5	Community gardens - more required
4	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
4	Open space - more interesting/creative landscaping
3	Greener open space needed
2	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
1	Open Space - more European-style squares and piazzas
1	Open space - natural character important
25	Infrastructure
5	Cyclists - need separate paths for cyclists and pedestrians
2	Cycle lanes on roads not wide enough, not provided, improvements required
2	Cycle paths - more required
2	Exercise stations required along Tan
2	Signs - informative, instructional & navigational needed
2	Water - ensure planning for sustainability of supply
1	Carparking - all underground with green OS on roofs
1	Cars - city centre streets - close to through traffic
1	Cars - reduce congestion- replace with walking/riding/good public transport combo
1	Cycle paths - require more linked off-road networks for safe family riding
1	Facilities/amenities - excellent in City of Melbourne
1	Less light pollution, shielded lights
1	Lighting - better facilities required
1	Paths - colour-code walking and cycle paths for clarity of use
1	Playgrounds - indoor play facilities needed
1	Skateboarding - increased facilities required
25	Trees/Vegetation
4	Indigenous vegetation - support more planting
4	Trees - more planting to replace older trees
2	Gardens - additional required
2	Native planting to encourage native birds/wildlife
2	Tree-planting program appreciated
2	Trees - exotic species appropriate for city areas & shade
2	Trees - protect existing street trees


No	General Comments
1	Flowering shrubs (e.g.roses) more requested
1	Grassed areas - more required
1	Trees - contribute to appeal of parks and playgrounds
1	Trees - more required in open space as they provide shade and clean air
1	Trees - more street trees required
1	Trees - plant more local/indigenous species
1	Vegetation - more edible plantings, composting in parks
16	Maintenance
15	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
1	Paths - require regular maintenance
12	Recreation
4	Sport - dominating open space
3	Information - location and routes map on That's Melbourne website
1	Cycling - integrate bike paths & bike rentals better with OS
1	Cycling - repeal compulsory bike helmet regulations
1	Cyclists - conflict with pedestrians on shared paths
1	Pedestrians - develop laneways and map of "laneways passages" from E -W
1	Sporting groups should practice in designated areas
10	Environment
6	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens
4	Sustainability - more rain gardens installed
9	Provision
3	Open space - more required
2	Open space - ensure no further loss to development
2	Roof tops - CBD - green them and make more accessible for views
1	Open space - ensure it is compulsory in high density housing developments
1	Open space - keep / retain what there is
7	Dogs
3	Dog on-lead areas - require enforcement
2	Dog waste - owners to clean up
1	Dog on-lead and off-lead areas to be clearly signed
1	Dog waste - more bins/bags for disposal required
4	Events
2	Community events - more in local areas
1	Entertainment - bandstands for acoustic performance
1	MIFGS - hold mini shows throughout year

3.12 WEST MELBOURNE

3.12.1 Who completed the survey?


3.12.1a Gender

A total of 42 completed surveys were received from West Melbourne. Approximately 55% of these are recorded as female and 45% male. When compared to the 60% of males recorded in the census data this indicates a slight under-representation of males in the survey results when compared with the population.




3.12.1b Age range

The largest group of respondents from West Melbourne is from the 37-47 age range, with the next largest group from the 59-69 age range. Both of these age range groups are over-represented in the survey results compared with census data. The 26-36 age range group, which is the largest population group according to census data, is under-represented in the survey results, as is the 15-25 age range group.



3.12.1c Dwelling type


As per the overall survey results for City of Melbourne and the census data for West Melbourne, the majority of survey respondents from West Melbourne live in flats or apartments, with the next largest percentage living in semi-detached houses. The proportion of survey responses is not significantly different from the census data for dwelling type, in this suburb, with a slight over-representation of separate houses and slight under-representation of people in apartments. This is consistent with the higher response rate from adults with children, refer to 3.12.1d.



To facilitate comparison the 2006 census data classifications of medium density and high density were assumed to be semi/row/terrace houses and apartment/flats respectively.

3.12.1d Household type

Most of the West Melbourne survey respondents were from households with two adults without dependents and this group is over-represented in the survey compared with the census data. There is a slight under-representation of lone person households and group households. Two adults with children are also slightly over-represented in the survey results when compared with the census.



3.12.2 Values of open space

Respondents were asked to indicate what they valued about the open spaces in their suburb and the following table is a list of these values in priority order. The percentage column is calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for West Melbourne, 42. These results are generally consistent with those of the whole municipality.

%	Value
83%	Trees
67%	Quiet and peaceful
64%	Place to relax and unwind
62%	Just being outside
57%	Spacious
45%	The knowledge that it's there
43%	Accessible
38%	Health and fitness
38%	Place for kids to play
36%	Refuge for native plants and animals
33%	Meeting people or friends
31%	Safe
26%	Natural character
21%	Feature garden bed planting
21%	Historical character
17%	Cultural activities
14%	Playing casual games
12%	Other
12%	Watching activities
10%	Major events
7%	Playing sport

3.12.3 Open space within walking distance

3.12.3a Most frequently visited open spaces within walking distance in West Melbourne

The following table lists the open space areas visited locally (i.e. within walking distance) by residents of West Melbourne and are listed in priority order.

%	Open space within walking distance
67%	Flagstaff Gardens
21%	Hawke & Adderly Street Park
19%	Docklands
17%	Eades Park
17%	Hawke & Curzon Street Reserve
17%	Royal Park
10%	Carlton Gardens
10%	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve
7%	Docklands Park
5%	Federation Square
5%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail

%	Open space within walking distance
5%	Princes Park
5%	Royal Botanic Gardens
2%	Argyle Square
2%	Birrarung Marr
2%	Clayton Reserve
2%	Fitzroy Gardens
2%	Hawke & King Street Reserve
2%	Powlett Reserve
2%	South Bank Promenade
2%	Yarra Park

3.12.3b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space within walking distance in West Melbourne

The following table lists the reasons why West Melbourne residents visit the 8 most popular reserves within walking distance along with any suggested improvements, and are listed in priority order. The bold numbers underneath the park names are the total number of respondents who reported visiting that specific park.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 28	8	Travel through	3	Lighting, additional required
	4	Close to home	3	Seats, additional required
	4	Exercising	2	Cycling - no bicycles
	4	Playground and equipment	1	BBQs, more required
	4	Walking	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	2	Recreation	1	Community vegetable garden
	2	Relaxation	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	2	Work - travel en route	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Cycling - allow bicycles
	1	Fresh air	1	Dog on-lead areas, require enforcement
	1	Jogging/running	1	None required
	1	Large open space	1	Shade, more shaded areas required
	1	Natural environment	1	Sports - enforce 'no ball games' rule
	1	Picnics		
	1	Playing with children		
1	Sport			
HAWKE & ADDERLEY STREET PARK 9	4	Dog walking	2	Dog drinking facilities, more required
	3	Playing with children	1	Access, improvements required
	2	Meeting people/friends	1	BBQs, more required
	2	Travel through	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	1	Sitting	1	Playground, equipment for a range of age groups
			1	Shade, more shaded areas required
			1	Shelters, more required
		1	Trees, more shade trees required	
DOCKLANDS 8	4	Walking	2	Open space, more required
	1	Ambience, beauty	1	Art works & sculpture - more please
	1	Café and kiosk facilities	1	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
	1	Cycling		
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Playground and equipment		

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
	1	Relaxation		
	1	Waterfront		
	1	Work - travel en route		
EADES PARK 7	1	Basketball	1	Basketball ring, improvement required
	1	Dog walking	1	Pedestrian access - footbridge needed
	1	Family friendly/child friendly	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Picnics	1	Picnic tables, more required
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Seats, additional required
	1	Playing with children	1	Tennis facilities, more required
	1	Travel through	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Work - travel en route		
HAWKE & CURZON STREET RESERVE 7	3	Travel through	1	Basketball ring, more required
	2	Dog walking	1	Playground, additional required
	1	Close to home	1	Vegetation, more native/indigenous planting required
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Peaceful		
ROYAL PARK 7	3	Dog walking	1	Dog off-lead areas, additional required
	3	Exercising	1	Grassed areas - improve grass quality
	2	Walking	1	Open space, no further loss to development
	1	Jogging/running		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Natural environment		
	1	Sport		
CARLTON GARDENS 4	2	Recreation	1	Cycle paths, maintenance required
	1	Picnics	1	Trees, more trees required
	1	Sport		
	1	Walking		
	1	Work - close to work		
NORTH MELBOURNE RECREATION RESERVE 4	1	Ball games	1	Cafes/kiosks, improvements required
	1	Exercising	1	Drinking fountains, maintenance required
	1	Jogging/running	1	Personal safety concerns, fearful of using open space
	1	Walking	1	Seats, additional required

3.12.4 Open space beyond walking distance in West Melbourne

3.12.4a List of open space visited beyond walking distance in West Melbourne

The following table lists the most frequently visited open spaces beyond walking distance visited by residents of West Melbourne. These 24 parks are listed in priority order from the most frequently visited to the least.

%	Open space beyond walking distance
29%	Royal Botanic Gardens
14%	Fitzroy Gardens
10%	Carlton Gardens
7%	Albert Park
7%	Docklands

%	Open space beyond walking distance
7%	Maribyrnong River Bank Reserve
7%	Moonee Ponds Creek Trail
7%	Other - Outside City Of Melbourne
7%	Royal Park
7%	South Bank Promenade
7%	Yarra Trail
5%	Birrarung Marr
5%	Federation Square
5%	Princes Park
5%	The Tan
2%	Bayside Beaches
2%	Fawkner Park
2%	Flagstaff Gardens
2%	Kings Domain
2%	Melbourne Cricket Ground
2%	Melbourne Museum
2%	North Melbourne Recreation Reserve
2%	Olympic Park
2%	Treasury Gardens

3.12.4b Reasons for visiting and suggested improvements to open space beyond walking distance

The following table lists the reasons why residents in West Melbourne visit the 3 most-visited open space areas beyond walking distance, along with any suggested improvements; and are listed in priority order.

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 12	3	Gardens	1	Cafes/kiosks, more required
	3	Large open space	1	Carpark, additional required
	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Cycle paths, connecting paths required
	2	Picnics		
	2	Recreation		
	2	Trees		
	1	Children's garden		
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Fresh air		
	1	Meeting people/friends		
	1	Travel through		
	1	Walking		
FITZROY GARDENS 6	2	Ambience, beauty	1	Carpark, additional required
	2	Playground and equipment	1	Homeless people a concern
	1	Cycling		
	1	Entertainment & events		
	1	Gardens		
	1	Large open space		
	1	Picnics		
	1	Trees		
1	Walking			

Open Space	No	Reasons to Visit	No	Suggested Improvements
CARLTON GARDENS 4	2	Walking	1	Carpark, additional required
	1	Cycling	1	Cycling - no bicycles
	1	Playground and equipment	1	Garden beds, improvement required
	1	Travel through	1	Paths, personal safety concern on shared paths; need separate paths for cyclists/pedestrians
	1	Work - close to work		

3.12.5 Open space not visited in West Melbourne

This question was asked to find out what issues currently limit the use of existing open space by residents. The following table lists the open spaces cited as not visited by residents of West Melbourne, in priority order.

3.12.5a Summary list of open space not visited in West Melbourne

No	Open Space Not Visited
4	Docklands
3	Royal Botanic Gardens
2	Docklands Park
2	Fitzroy Gardens
2	Flagstaff Gardens
2	Royal Park
1	Birrarung Marr
1	Errol Street
1	Federation Square
1	Other City Parks

3.12.5b Reasons for not visiting open space in West Melbourne

The following table lists the reasons why people do not visit the reserves, in priority order.

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
DOCKLANDS 4	2	Concrete - dominates environment
	2	Lack of trees
	1	Events - more cultural presence
	1	Windy, no protection
ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS 3	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Lack of access
	1	Lack of time
	1	Transport - public transport inadequate
DOCKLANDS PARK 2	1	Concrete - dominates environment
	1	Lack of grass
FITZROY GARDENS 2	1	Carparking inadequate
	1	Distance to travel
	1	Playground not provided
FLAGSTAFF GARDENS 2	1	Lack of trees
	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night

Open Space Not Visited	No	Reasons Don't Visit
ROYAL PARK	1	Ambience - general ambience uninviting
2	1	Lack of time
BIRRARUNG MARR	1	Distance to travel
1		
ERROL STREET	1	Limited facilities
1		
FEDERATION SQUARE	1	Concrete - dominates environment
1		
OTHER CITY PARKS	1	Lack of lighting
1	1	Personal safety concerns, esp at night

3.12.6 Types of open space used in West Melbourne

The following table highlights the various types of open space used by the residents of West Melbourne, and their frequency of use.

The percentage columns for Daily, Two to Three times per week, Weekly, Monthly, Once or twice a year, Never and Not Completed (NC) are calculated on the basis of the total number of returned surveys for West Melbourne.

Table 3.12~1 Types of open space used in West Melbourne

The percentages in Table 3.12~1 have been highlighted using a shade of grey to identify the most frequent responses.

Black = 50% and over

Dark grey = 35% to 49%,

Medium grey = 20% to 34%

Light grey/light green = 10% to 19%

No shading = 0% to 9%

The table below is listed in priority order.


Total	Open Space	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	Yearly	Never	NC
88%	Open space along Yarra River	2%	7%	48%	31%	10%	2%
88%	Major Gardens	14%	12%	36%	26%	7%	5%
88%	Local Streets for Exercise	48%	26%	7%	7%	10%	2%
86%	Royal Botanic Gardens	2%	5%	31%	48%	10%	5%
81%	Small Local Parks	21%	38%	12%	10%	10%	10%
76%	Medium sized parks	2%	19%	26%	29%	17%	7%
64%	Regional Parks	7%	17%	24%	17%	29%	7%
62%	Urban Squares or Plazas	7%	21%	26%	7%	19%	19%
45%	Open space along Maribyrnong River	0%	5%	5%	36%	45%	10%
43%	Open space along Moonee Ponds Creek	0%	7%	17%	19%	52%	5%
40%	Municipal Sporting Reserves	2%	7%	5%	26%	50%	10%

3.12.7 Activities and facilities in open space in West Melbourne

3.12.7a Provision of facilities used in open space in West Melbourne

The following graph highlights the various types of facilities in open space used by the residents of West Melbourne, and whether they consider these facilities to be adequately provided for. Yes, No and Not Completed responses have been included for each facility to give an accurate indication of the views of respondents. This question was worded in a way that asked the respondent to answer the question only in relation to facilities they use - therefore, the Not Completed component of the graph indicates that the respondent did not use the facility. Percentages have been calculated on the basis of the number of respondents who consider the facility to be adequately provided for, as a proportion of the total number of returned surveys for West Melbourne.

Provision of walking paths and open grassed areas is considered adequate by the majority of respondents. Drinking fountains and seats are well used but considered adequately provided by only about half of respondents. Other facilities which are commonly used, but considered well provided for by less than half of respondents, include cycle paths, public toilets, playgrounds and barbecues; provision of these facilities may need to be reviewed. Provision of picnic tables, shelters and informal outdoor recreational facilities is considered inadequate by a significant proportion of respondents, and provision of these facilities may also need to be reviewed.




Facilities considered adequately provided for by West Melbourne respondents

3.12.7b Maintenance of facilities in open space in West Melbourne

The following graph details the various types of facilities in open space and the percentage of West Melbourne respondents who regard them as being adequately maintained. Walking paths have the highest percentage results indicating respondents are satisfied with the maintenance provided for these facilities; with over half of respondents also considering that open grassed areas and seats are adequately maintained. Facilities which are commonly used but considered particularly poorly maintained include public toilets and cycle paths, indicating attention may need to be given to the maintenance of these facilities.

Please refer to graph on following page.



3.12.8 Improvements to open space in your local area

This question is asked specifically for open space in West Melbourne, rather than generally in the City of Melbourne. The General Comments below in 3.12.9 encouraged comments on all open space in Melbourne.

No	Suggested improvements to open space in West Melbourne
3	Cycle paths - connecting paths required
3	Open space - more required
2	Cycle paths - more required
2	Open space - more small open spaces required
1	Cycle paths - safer routes required, to encourage cycling instead of car use
1	Litter in open space is an issue
1	Open space - links are required
1	Open space - no further loss to development
1	Paths - improvement/upgrade required
1	Shelters with tables and seats, additional required
1	Toilets, maintenance/cleaning required

3.12.9 General comments on open space from West Melbourne residents

Respondents were provided with an area to record any suggested improvements and/or changes to open space in the municipality. Comments on the same topic were grouped together making the results easier to review in the report.

The general comments were categorised into ten topics. Comments are summarised in priority order below and are aligned with the overall results.

No	General Comments
21	Provision
8	Open space - need more in West Melbourne area
4	Open space - need more in North Melbourne area
2	Open space - more required
2	Open space - more required in CBD including green open space
2	Open space - need more in Docklands area
1	Concrete - dominates environment, lack of green space
1	Railway easements - use to green inner suburbs
1	Reclaim wasteland along rivers
7	OS Values
3	Open space - important as residential density increases
1	Open space - highly valued by those for whom it is their 'garden'
1	Open space - key feature of City of Melbourne
1	Open space - value the choice and variety in City of Melbourne
1	Open space - value the sense of community it allows
6	Character
1	Greener open space needed
1	Open Space - develop La Trobe Close North Melbourne
1	Open space - existing character highly valued - retain it
1	Open space - limit buildings and built structures
1	Open space - more interesting/creative landscaping
1	Open space - surrounding existing OS with high-rise diminishes character
5	Maintenance
3	Open space - value the high level of maintenance in parks
1	Litter in open space
1	Open space needs more attention and/or facilities
4	Environment
2	Storm water harvesting - for public gardens
2	Sustainability - more rain gardens installed
4	Infrastructure
2	Cars - reduce congestion- replace with walking/riding/good public transport combo
1	Cars - city centre streets - close to through traffic
1	Possum-protectors on trees ugly - remove
4	Recreation
1	Cycling - allow cycling in parks
1	Cycling - repeal compulsory bike helmet regulations
1	Cyclists - speeding on shared paths
1	Informal recreational facilities - more required

No	General Comments
2	Events
2	Community events - more in local areas
2	Trees
1	Flowering trees - more requested
1	Native planting to encourage native birds/wildlife
1	Dogs
1	Dog off-lead areas - need more

APPENDIX A

A1 Assumptions during the data entry

The following is a summary of some of the assumptions made in entering the data from the questionnaires into the database:

- A number of respondents did not provide the name of the open space they visited. Instead they listed the names of streets at corners of the open space. These were used to help identify the open space they were referring to. If this occurred, the following method was used:
 - If the open space could be identified using the street names provided and was located within the municipality, the response would be recorded in the appropriate place.
 - If the open space was identified but located outside the municipality, the response was placed into the category of 'Other – Outside City of Melbourne'.
 - The 'Other - Outside City of Melbourne' category included: Curtain Square, Westerfolds Park, Dights Falls, Abbotsford Convent, Fairfield Park, Como Park, Darling Gardens, Princes Gardens, Victoria Gardens, Orrong Park, Toorak Park, Travancore Park, Footscray Park, Queens Lake Park, Darebin Parklands, Studley Park, Yarra Bend, Barkly Gardens, Rockley Park, Gasworks Park, St Vincents Gardens, Dandenong Ranges National Park, Ceres and the You Yangs.
 - If the open space was outside the City of Melbourne, but immediately adjacent to it, or was widely used by residents, it was included in the open space list for the questionnaire and the information about its use was documented accordingly. Open spaces that were added to the list for this reason, include:
 - Albert Park
 - Bayside beaches
 - Edinburgh Gardens
 - If the park or reserve was not included at all, ie the field left blank, it was recorded as 'A - not completed'.
- When the questionnaire was filled out on behalf of a range of respondents the data entry was recorded as 'not completed' for gender, and 'multi' in age groups.
- When respondents included specific comments on open spaces in the 'General Comments' section, this information was entered into the specific reserve rather than have specific reserve comments repeated in the General Comments Section. This is especially relevant in the responses to Questions 13 and 14, most of which referred to a specific open space.
- The returned questionnaires were accepted and processed in two groups. The first group was distributed as an insert to the Melbourne News and was distributed late July 2010. The second group was distributed late August 2010, with closing date of 30 September 2010.

A2 Summary of the household survey

A total of 1,776 questionnaires were completed and returned which represents approximately 4 per cent of 40,000 households in the City of Melbourne.

The following is a summary prepared by the person who read the surveys and entered the data in to the database. It therefore reflects an overall understanding of the intent of the surveys having read them all, rather than being statistically accurate. The statistically accurate information is contained in Sections 2 and 3 of this report.

In general, residents valued the wide variety of open space available within their municipality, with many acknowledging that the choice and variety of open space in the City of Melbourne was the key reason they had chosen to live in the area. The existing open space is regarded as a community asset, and respondents enjoy living in Melbourne because of it. It was noted how important open space is to residents' quality of life, as it provides opportunities for exercise, recreation and relaxation away from busy roads and traffic. Examples of activities enjoyed by respondents in open space included walking, dog walking, cycling, playing sport, taking children or grandchildren to the playground, meeting friends and family, watching birds/wildlife, meeting friends at cafes or for exercise, attending events and performances, eating out at cafes, and generally enjoying the natural environment.

There were suggestions for Council to actively promote its open space, as many residents were eager to know more about the types of open space available in Melbourne. An information leaflet containing the relevant information, including open spaces linked by cycle/walking trails, would help generate greater awareness amongst residents; and increased use of the available open space. There were also several suggestions that maps and information be included in websites including That's Melbourne, both for cycle routes, connecting cycle paths, and children's playgrounds with specific facilities to be mentioned for each.

Limited open space/Impact of development

While it was generally acknowledged that there was good provision of open space within Melbourne, many residents did express concern about the impact of future development on open space areas, not only in terms of the total area available, but also in the character of open space, and the impact of adjoining buildings on this character. They ask that there be no further loss of open space to development. Many request Council to retain, if not increase, existing open space within the municipality to offset development, and to ensure that increasing residential density is accompanied by a commitment to increase open space provision proportionally. The as-yet unfulfilled promise to replace the Open Space lost to the Royal Children's Hospital development was mentioned specifically in a number of surveys.

Lack of facilities and maintenance

Residents acknowledge the good work of Council staff in maintaining open spaces, and express their appreciation to Council for improvements made in particular open space areas. However, many responded that some open spaces lack regular maintenance and/or require additional or improved facilities in order to make the open space appealing and user-friendly.

Specifically issued included:

- **Trees and vegetation** – more trees and vegetation required, particularly drought-proof vegetation, in parks and along the waterways including more shade trees to provide protection from the sun. Mature trees are highly valued, and many people are

concerned that these are being removed rather than being taken care of, particularly after the recent drought, and not being replaced. Some residents feel that native bushland vegetation is preferable over more formal/exotic plants as natives require less watering and attract more wildlife, while others highlight that exotic trees are more appropriate for shade, and as street trees. Many parks were strongly appreciated for their beauty and ambience, particularly the Royal Botanic Gardens, which is universally appreciated for its beauty, and the opportunity for escape from the city and traffic. The Royal Botanic Gardens is highly valued for the planting variety and flowering shrubs, as well as the educational opportunities presented by the combination of exotic and native plants, the children's garden, and the opportunities for watching wildlife. Some specific locations were highlighted where turf and vegetation maintenance required improvement including Yarra Park and Royal Park

- **Sustainable water reuse** – there were numerous suggestions supporting sustainable water harvesting and reuse so that mature trees and vegetation can be watered sustainably during drought conditions in the future.
- **Walking and cycling paths** – respondents requested that paths be regularly maintained to ensure that surfaces are even, and well-drained. Respondents also requested extensions of the network of walking and cycling paths and trails, particularly to connect the various trails with each other, and making safer cycling routes to encourage cycling as a means of transport.
- **Toilets** – the condition and availability of public toilets were identified as an issue with some respondents commenting that not enough public toilets exist within open spaces and many are unclean. Several respondents also found the self-cleaning units recently installed in some parks difficult to use.
- **Signage** – additional and improved signage is required to provide residents with greater information about available open spaces and locations. This includes interpretive signage identifying trees and plants, as well as directional and regulatory signage regarding cycling on shared pathways and observation of dog off-lead areas.
- **Playgrounds** – requests for additional and upgrades of existing playgrounds be undertaken, including equipment that caters to a diversity of ages. Fencing of playgrounds is requested to prevent children from running onto roads, and to exclude dogs. The lack of shade over playground equipment in the form of shade sails or trees, is often cited as a concern.
- **Maintaining the waterways** – it is requested that waterways and wetlands be regularly cleaned of debris and litter, and that some are in need of landscaping and some design improvements.
- **Seats, BBQs and drinking fountains** – the need for more seats is mentioned in most parks visited. More BBQ facilities are also requested (with shelters). More drinking fountains are requested in many open spaces, with the need for better maintenance, eg. increased water pressure, of existing ones.
- **Sporting facilities** – some respondents feel that sporting facilities such as tennis courts, ovals and clubrooms could be upgraded, and that additional facilities such as cricket nets, basketball half-courts and tennis walls were needed. There was also comment that smaller clubs were less able to use facilities, mostly due to cost. Large organised groups of joggers and exercise classes led by professional trainers are also seen as intrusive, due to what is seen as their aggressive use of the space, with accompanying noise that is detrimental to the ambience.

- **Litter** in open space is an issue, with requests for more rubbish bins and more frequent rubbish removal, particularly after weekend sport when the bins are often overflowing, and in the CBD particularly in Southbank, Batman Park, Collins Street, Queen Street, Flinders Street and Flinders Lane. The need to empty dog waste bins more regularly was also mentioned a number of times.
- **Car parking** – there was a concern about a lack of car parking space available in various other open spaces - this was sometimes given as the reason for not visiting certain parks. Yarra Park and its use for sporting events at the MCG was a major concern to residents in East Melbourne, with many supportive of returning the park to residents and revegetating it; and not allowing exclusive use by one organisation that restricts use by everyone and is affecting the condition and health of the parkland.
- **Café/coffee facilities** – people enjoy the café facilities in various parks, although requests for more healthy choices, and more affordable options. There were requests for coffee being available at more open spaces, particularly Royal Park.
- **Pedestrian access** – residents requested more pedestrian bridges or safer crossings for accessing open space in several specific areas.

Conflicting behaviour by users

Respondents requested that Council educate and inform all residents of their rights and responsibilities when using open space, as there are conflicts between different user groups.

Specific issues are as follows:

Dog owners

- Dog owners were criticised for failing to comply with dog on-lead signs and allowing their dogs to run off-lead in on-lead areas or run too close to other amenities (such as playgrounds), causing personal safety concerns for both adults and children, whether walking or cycling. Some respondents commented that this was a deterrent to using open space altogether, even though it was conveniently located within walking distance.
- Dog owners were criticised for failing to pick up after their dogs, and Council enforcement is requested. There were also calls for better provision of dog waste bags and bins to assist dog owners with dog waste disposal.
- More dog off-lead areas are requested in general, with respondents suggesting that many ovals and other park areas could be designated off-lead areas during certain hours, when other users were not around.
- Some residents asked for more fenced dog-off lead areas to be provided in parks allowing dogs to exercise off-lead safely, and without causing harm to others or to dogs.
- Better and increased signage required to demarcate dog off- and on-lead areas.

Cyclists

- There is concern by some respondents that cyclists were not showing enough caution when travelling along shared pathways and needed to exercise greater restraint by way of speed control and ringing of their bells upon approaching walkers.
- Other respondents asked for separate cycle and walking paths altogether to avoid conflict.

- Connectivity of trails for cyclists in the city's parks to be improved.

Sport groups

- Several residents complained about the presence of too many personal trainers in certain parks and argued that they showed a lack of consideration for other users.
- Some respondents felt that their local parks are becoming too dominated by sport at weekends, leaving local residents with not enough space for informal recreation, and this also presents problems with car parking, with cars overflowing onto grassed areas, and visitors from sporting clubs leaving litter in the parks.

The drought

More native and drought proof vegetation is required, as is more economic watering of plants, gardens and parks. Some grassed areas and ovals require re-surfacing, and re-vegetation work is requested to continue.

Personal Safety Concerns

- Personal safety and security is also a concern in open space.
- Requests for lighting at night for added security appears in relation to every named park in the survey.
- Vandalism was also cited as an issue, along with dangerous litter such as broken glass and syringes being left in some parks and playgrounds. The presence of homeless people is also cited, particularly in specific city parks (eg Flagstaff Gardens), both for personal safety and from concern for the welfare of the homeless.

Appendix B

City of Melbourne Open Space Strategy Technical Report

Report on Consultation outcomes for the Draft City of Melbourne Open Space Strategy

Prepared by:

Thompson Berrill Landscape Design Pty Ltd
in association with
Environment & Land Management Pty Ltd

16 May 2012

Table of contents

	Page #
1. Introduction	3
1.1 Scope of consultation	3
1.2 Participation	4
2. Overview of all outcomes	5
2.1 Feedback source	5
2.2 Combined summary of key outcomes from the consultation	5
2.3 Summary of the key changes made to the strategy based on the consultation outcomes	6
2.4 Summary of the feedback from each source	7
3. Survey results	10
3.1 Overview	10
3.2 Combined results from resident and worker surveys	12
3.3 Results from resident surveys only	24
3.4 Results from worker surveys only	28

1. Introduction

1.1 Scope of the consultation

1.1.1 Overview

Council endorsed the Draft Open Space Strategy for consultation in November 2011 and it has been available for public consultation from its adoption through to the end of March 2012. In mid February 2012 Council endorsed the Draft Open Space Contribution Framework for consultation as well, and this has been available on the website since that time. Various types of consultation have been undertaken over the past five months to maximise the opportunity for the community to hear about the Strategy, find out more and make comment. These various types of consultation have included:

- Information being available online at the City of Melbourne website.
- Hard copy distribution of information leaflets and surveys to interested residents and community groups.
- Face-to-face community consultation via community information sessions, on-site interviews and an open space forum.
- Distribution of information to relevant agencies, organisations and professional associations.

1.1.2 Information available online

The Draft Strategy and the information leaflet and survey were all loaded onto the website late November 2011 with an on-line version of the survey that could be completed digitally as well. The Draft Open Space Contributions Framework was available on the website from February 2012 through to end of April 2012.

1.1.3 Information Leaflet and survey (Feedback form)

An Information leaflet about the Draft Strategy was prepared with an accompanying survey that that was easy to complete and return. Refer to Appendix A for a copy of both documents. The information leaflet and survey was mailed out to a list of interested community members with approximately 1,800 households included on this mailing list. Additionally, leaflet and survey was provided to interest and user groups associated with open space, including all City of Melbourne resident groups and associations, park friends groups, sports clubs, special interest groups and some neighbouring stakeholders. The full Strategy and Information leaflet and survey were made available to all City of Melbourne Libraries and Service Centres.

The survey and information leaflet was also available to be completed as an editable PDF or downloadable and replay paid on the Open Space Strategy webpage at www.melbourne.vic.gov.au/openspace. Approximately 55 were completed online of the total 323 returned.

1.1.4 Community Information Sessions

During February to March 2012 the City of Melbourne undertook a series of community information sessions throughout the municipality. These were focussed in precincts of proposed significant change. The community information sessions were held in high activity areas (eg. shopping streets and popular parks and gardens) within precincts as 'pop up' style and were of about two hours duration. They provided residents and workers and others the opportunity to become more informed about the strategy, to complete or take away a survey and to discuss the overall directions and precinct specific directions with City of Melbourne officers. Information sessions were well attended, in particular those held in Melbourne 3000 central city, North Melbourne and Southbank.

1.1.5 Open Space Forum

An Open Space Forum was held with 90 selected community members invited to participate as a representative sample of the community. Just over 60 people attended the forum that provided an opportunity for people to both learn more about the Draft Strategy and provide feedback on it.

1.1.6 On-site surveys

Towards the end of April on-site surveys were conducted using the information leaflet and survey in Flagstaff Gardens, Treasury Gardens and Birrarung Marr, with approximately 150 surveys completed by the community.

1.2 Participation

1.2.1 Distribution of information

- A total of 1,500 Information Leaflets and Feedback forms (surveys) were handed out at the Community Information Sessions, with a few subsequently completed and returned via email or post.
- A total of 2,000 Information Leaflets and Feedback forms (surveys) were provided to households, stakeholders and key agency organisations.
- 150 Feedback forms (surveys) were distributed and completed on-site in major parks and gardens in the central city.
- 90 people invited to the Open Space Forum, and 66 attended and participated in the forum.

1.2.2 Feedback on the Strategy has been received by the following:

- 323 completed and returned surveys, 55 of which were completed online, approximately 150 completed at on-site interviews and approximately 120 returned via the post.
- 66 participants at the Open Space Forum.
- 15 written submissions, with 12 received from agencies and organisations and three from individuals.

2. Overview of the outcomes

2.1 Feedback source

The Draft Strategy has been available for comment for a period of five months, November 2011 to end of April 2012. The City of Melbourne actively promoted and advertised the Strategy throughout this period, and feedback has been provided from a range of sources with:

- 323 surveys received and assessed.
- Open Space Forum outcomes attended by more than 60 invited community members.
- 15 written submissions received from organisations and individuals.

It is also of note that well over 2,000 information leaflets and surveys were circulated in the community at information sessions or by mail, meaning that a large number of people were informed about the Strategy, additional to those who actually provided written feedback.

2.2 Combined summary of key outcomes from the consultation

2.2.1 Overall directions in the Draft Strategy

The feedback indicated that participants strongly supported the following overall directions from the Draft Strategy:

- Providing additional open space so people who live and work in the municipality can easily walk to it, and ensure that new open space is provided as urban density increases.
- Maximising opportunities for open space to have large canopy shade trees and planted areas to keep them cooler in summer and mitigate urban heat.
- Continuing to implement sustainable water harvesting and water quality treatment projects contributing to sustainable water use in open space.
- Improving the design of open space to increase the diversity of facilities that encourage unstructured (ie. non-club based) physical activity and fitness, along with informal use (eg. socialising and relaxing in open space).
- Improving the design of open space to cater to people of all ages, levels of ability and cultural backgrounds to access, participate and enjoy open space.
- Protecting and improving biodiversity values in open space, particularly along the waterway corridors.
- Establishing new Capital City open space in the west and south of the municipality to promote Melbourne as one of the most liveable cities in the world.

The feedback indicates that participants are less supportive of the following overall directions from the Draft Strategy:

- Directions to encourage organised community sport and recreation, preferring the emphasis to be on unstructured recreational activities and informal use, ensuring accessibility to all.

2.2.2 Differences between worker and residential feedback

The feedback from the worker community included:

- That workers use open space for a wide diversity of reasons including for physical fitness, socialising and as a break from and contrast to their workplace, expressing the importance of contact with nature and being outside during the day to improve their overall health and wellbeing.
- The worker feedback is consistent with the overall directions noted in 2.2.1.

The feedback from the residential community:

- The residential community place slightly more emphasis on support for the environmental and biodiversity outcomes of the Strategy when compared to the combined feedback. This was consistent in the survey outcomes and comments made in written submissions.
- The residential community place a higher level of importance on providing additional Capital City open space in the western and southern areas of the municipality when compared to worker survey feedback.
- The residential community place slightly less importance of the organised sporting needs and note the importance of open space being accessible for unstructured recreational use, social and other informal uses along with biodiversity values. This was consistent in the survey outcomes and comments made in written submissions.

2.3 Summary of the key changes made to the Strategy based on the consultation outcomes

The feedback received did result in improvements and refinements to the Draft Strategy that was on exhibition. The changes included the following:

Environmental improvements and biodiversity

- Greater emphasis has been placed on improving biodiversity outcomes in the existing and proposed additional open space, particularly along the waterway corridors.
- Additional emphasis has been placed on the importance of green infrastructure in open space, and specifically green open space in urban renewal areas.

Sport and recreation use

- The emphasis on provision of organised sport facilities in the proposed new Municipal open space has been changed to include provision for unstructured sport and recreational activities as well, along with informal use in all open space.

Other

- Added some additional text to clarify that the Strategy is about public open space and not private open space.
- A range of additional open space links within the municipality and adjoining the municipality, and some precinct specific suggestions.

2.4 Summary of the feedback from each source**2.4.1 Summary of the survey outcomes**

The outcomes from the 323 surveys confirmed that the majority of people completing them think the key overall directions in the Strategy are either very important or important to them. This includes strong support for the provision of additional open space particularly in the context of providing adequate open space as urban densities increase, along with recommendations to improve the quality and design of existing open space to increase accessibility for all. Other directions that received strong levels of support include improving biodiversity values and implementing sustainable water use principles.

The main exception to the strong levels of support was the lower level of support for the provision of additional sporting open space primarily for organised sport, with the feedback that the community would like a greater emphasis on unstructured sport and recreation activities and informal use to encourage accessibility for all.

The survey outcomes confirmed that workers use and value open space for a variety of reasons, including for physical exercise and fitness, socialising, and as a place to relax and unwind as a break from work during the day. The survey also identified there are some differences between responses from residents and workers, with the major ones being that residents more highly value the biodiversity values, supporting the provision of additional Capital City open space, and less supportive of club-based organised sport.

The survey outcomes have informed many of the key changes made in the final Strategy, as noted in 2.3. For more information regarding the survey outcomes and how they have been addressed in the final strategy, refer to Section 3 of this report.

2.4.2 Summary of the written submission

The submissions were frequently asking for more detailed information and background to the analysis and recommendations in the Strategy. The majority of the more detailed queries are answered in the Strategy Technical Report. There were a number of very specific comments made by organisations relevant to their responsibilities. Many of these specific comments either reinforce what is in the Strategy or in some cases assist clarify issues including responsibilities, ownership and management.

Some of the common themes raised included:

- Provide more private open space around apartments, to reduce the demand for public open, including reducing its use to offset urban heat build up.
- Reduce traffic volumes, speed and congestion on roads to improve safety, use and access to existing open space.
- Provide a greater focus on improvements to biodiversity values.
- Include more information to guide the future design of open space in the Strategy.
- Better cater to children and young people's needs in future open space provision and design.
- Recognise the importance of green features in open space.

Some of the common themes are addressed more comprehensively in the supporting Strategy Technical Report. Other issues raised have been addressed in the changes made to the final Strategy including:

- Stronger emphasis has been placed on the need to improve biodiversity values in the overall directions section of the Strategy on pages 8 and 9.
- Stronger emphasis has been placed on the need to cater to young people, children and people of all ages in open space design in the overall directions section of the strategy pages 8 and 9.
- Stronger emphasis has been placed on the importance of natural features in open space in the overall directions section of the strategy on pages 8 and 9.

Numerous other smaller changes have been made to the Strategy document in response to specific comments.

2.4.3 Summary of the Open Space Forum

66 people attended the Parks and Open Space Strategy Forum. These were invited representatives from a diverse range of community members based on demographic profile and all resident groups. The forum had three objectives including to increase the community understanding of the overall directions in the strategy, to gain feedback on key survey questions and provide precinct specific feedback. It also provided an opportunity for a more in-depth conversation about the strategy with members of the community.

The common themes raised in the Forum on the Draft Strategy included:

- The need to consider the connections between existing and proposed open space - including the linear open space, streetscapes etc.
- There is potential for street closures to increase open space including permanent and temporary closures.
- Recognise the contribution that streetscapes make to connectivity between open space, and street trees make to the green character of the municipality.
- Major roads pose significant barriers to easy and safe access to open space. There is a need for transport and traffic management to be better integrated with desired open space outcomes.
- Trees are important in open space and more broadly in the landscape.
- Passive open space and facilities that the community can use are important to provide in new and existing open space including seats, fitness equipment, barbecues, open grassed areas, drinking fountains, playgrounds etc.
- The Strategy needs to include guidelines about the quality and design of new open space. This includes more detail on what is proposed in new and how they will be tailored to meet the demographics and requirements of the new community.
- More green spaces with grass, trees and garden bed planting are required, even if they are small. Concerned that many of the green spaces shown on the plans are actually concrete spaces in reality.
- Include places for children to play including informal adventure play areas.
- Need to consider open space in the adjoining municipalities.

The comments made in the Forum have informed many of the key changes made in the final strategy.

3. Survey results

3.1 Overview

3.1.1 Summary of the outcomes

The Feedback form (referred to as the survey for simplicity) was available throughout the community consultation phase between November 2011 and April 2012. It contained a summary list of 10 Overall directions from the Draft Open Space Strategy, asking people to rate the level of importance of each direction to them.

Generally, a high level of importance was given to the majority of the 10 Overall Directions included in the Survey. The only direction that was less well supported was 'Provide additional sporting reserves, primarily for organised and club-based community sport and recreation to cater to the forecast development'. Many of the comments made on the survey confirmed that respondents primarily participate in unstructured recreational activities and support increasing the diversity of these rather than structured sporting use.

More than 90 per cent of the respondents consider the following overall directions to be either 'very important' or 'important':

%	Overall direction
94%	Provide additional open space so the community can easily walk to it.
92%	Maximise opportunities for open space to have large canopy shade trees and planted areas to keep them cooler in summer and mitigate urban heat.
92%	Maximise opportunities for people of all ages, abilities and cultural backgrounds to access, participate and enjoy open space.
91%	Continue to implement sustainable water harvesting and water quality treatment projects contributing to sustainable water management in open space.

In the 'not important' category, top two responses:

%	Overall direction
8%	Provide additional sporting reserves, primarily for organised and club-based community sport and recreation to cater to the forecast development.
5%	Encourage the community to participate in organised sport by providing adequate open space and suitable facilities for this.

The more negative response to organised community sporting use has resulted in some proposed amendments to the Strategy.

Comments received in the surveys reinforced the need to provide additional open space as the forecast population and urban density increases. Other comments also confirmed that open space is important to people's health and wellbeing including for residents and the workers. Providing an increased diversity of facilities in open space that appeals to a broad cross section of the community will be important in the future.

The need for additional open space and improvements to existing open space in Docklands and West Melbourne were specifically raised in the comments.

3.1.2 Method

The survey, attached to the colour information leaflet was distributed during the consultation phase from late November 2011 through to end of April 2012 in the City of Melbourne. The information leaflet and survey was printed with a freepost return mail address, and were also available on-line. They were distributed by various means including mailed out to 1,800 residents who had noted they would like to comment on the Strategy and relevant interest groups and organisations. They were also distributed at various community information sessions conducted by the City of Melbourne in February 2012, and distributed at the Open Space Forum held in early March 2012 on the Strategy. A total of 323 forms were completed, returned and included in the data presented in this report.

The Information leaflet and survey was designed to allow the community to easily interact with the Strategy by providing a series of summary key overall directions that respondents could rate how important they are to them. Refer to a copy attached in Appendix A. Respondents were given the opportunity to comment on each direction or explain the level of importance in a comment section next to each. The survey also included a larger space for general comments on the strategy. Some rudimentary demographic data was gathered from the respondents including where they live and work, their gender and age group. This allowed analysis of the data based on whether they are a worker or a resident, and the outcomes of this comparison are described in 3.3 and 3.4 of this report. It also assists to understand if the respondents represent a cross-section of the community.


The comments section of the Survey has been grouped into 14 topics and within these, a series of brief statements summarise the more detailed comments that people made. This was to allow aggregation of comments to understand if it is a single comment only, or has been made by a number of people.

3.2 Combined results from resident and worker surveys

3.2.1 Details of respondents


3.2.1a Gender

Slightly more females than males completed the survey and 9% did not nominate their gender. It is generally the case that more females complete surveys than males. With a good representation of both, the results are representative of both genders.



3.2.1b Age range

Compared with the census data (2006), the age range of respondents in the survey is significantly higher for the 35-49 age group and the 18-24 age group is under represented. Under representation of the 18-24 year olds is considered normal in surveys, as it is difficult to capture this demographic. The older age groups are broadly similar to the census data.




3.2.1c Where they live and work

People completing the form were asked to nominate the postcode where they live and also where they work (if applicable). A large proportion of surveys were received from Melbourne 3000 representing the method of distributing the surveys and leaflets during lunch times in the central city and a large number of respondents who work in the city. The high proportion of suburbs outside the municipality, represented by the 'other' category includes Brunswick, North

Fitzroy, Northcote and Footscray. All suburbs within the City of Melbourne are represented with the lowest being from Melbourne 3004/St Kilda Road.

The major difference in the high response rate from the Melbourne 3000 is the high level of workers represented in the survey. Section 3.3 reports on residents only, and these results have a more even distribution of responses across all the different.



3.2.2 Results from responses to the key recommendations

3.2.2a Overview summary of key recommendations

Overall the majority of respondents confirmed identified the key strategy recommendations are either 'very important' or 'important'.

From the 'very important' category, the top three were:

- 71% Open space designed for urban heat mitigation.
- 69% Provide additional open space so the community can easily walk to it.
- 66% Open space designed to improve accessibility for all
- 66% Continue to implement sustainable water use.

Combining 'very important' and 'important' responses together:

- 94% Provide additional open space so the community can easily walk to it.
- 92% Open space design for urban heat island mitigation.
- 92% Open space designed to improve accessibility for all.
- 91% Continue to implement sustainable water use.


In the 'not important' category, top two responses:

- 8% Provide additional sporting reserves.
- 5% Encourage participation in organised (club-based) sport.

3.2.2b Provision of open space key recommendations

Summary

Overall there is a high level of support for providing additional open space so the community can easily walk to it, followed by providing additional Capital City open space. Implementing an open space contribution rate in the planning scheme is also well supported. Providing additional open space for sporting reserves was not as well supported with only 23 per cent noting this as very important. This correlates with the lower levels of importance placed on encouraging participation in organised sport summarised in Section 3.2.2c.



Response to survey results for provision of open space recommendations


No.	Recommendation	Response	Proposed change
3.2.2b(i)	Provide additional open space so that the majority of people are within easy walking distance of open space.	94% of respondents noted this as being very important or important which supports the direction of provision of additional open space within walking distance of people whole live and work in the City of Melbourne.	<i>No change</i>
3.2.2b(ii)	Provide additional Capital City of open space in the west of the municipality that promotes Melbourne as the most liveable city in the world, and similar to the quality of the major open spaces in the east of the municipality.	82% of respondents noted this as being either very important or important and just over half of the community rate this as very important. There were some comments that people did not support the direction of Melbourne being the most liveable city in the world and would prefer more focus is given to local community needs.	Proposed change: <i>Reword the Strategy to refer to Melbourne as one of the most liveable cities in the world.</i>

No.	Recommendation	Response	Proposed change
3.2.2b(iii)	Provide additional sporting reserves, primarily for organised and club-based community sport and recreation to cater to the forecast development.	This received the lowest level of support with only 23% of respondents rating this as very important. Combined with important, just over 60% consider this is an important recommendation which is significantly lower than most other recommendations. The lack of support for club-based structured sporting activities suggests that the additional Municipal open space should refer to it catering to both informal and non club-based active recreational use.	Proposed change: <i>Amend the text to note that additional Municipal open space is required for active structured and unstructured sport and recreation use, along with informal use to meet the broad and diverse needs of the forecast community.</i>
3.2.2b(iv)	Implement an open space contribution rate in the City of Melbourne Planning Scheme that will apply to new development so that the new population contributes fairly to additional open space and improvements to existing open space.	50% noted this as Very Important and 30% Important, totaling 80%. Some comments were received that developers should pay, and this may have arisen due to the wording in the survey referring the new population contributing fairly, rather the developers contributing on their behalf. The text regarding open space contributions is clear in the Strategy so there is no need to amend the Strategy wording.	No change

3.2.2c Facilities and use of open space key recommendation

Summary

Improving accessibility for all has the third highest response to being 'very important'. Clearly respondents favour participation in informal recreation over organised (club-based) sport as well. This concurs with the overall national and state-wide trends of increasing participation in informal activities and a decline in club-based participation.




Response to survey results for facilities and use of open space recommendations

No.	Recommendation	Response	Proposed change
3.2.2c(i)	Encourage the community to participate in organised sport by providing adequate open space and suitable facilities for this.	Participation in organised community based sport mainly benefits family groups and children. While this is not strongly supported in the surveys. The need to continue to provide these facilities to encourage health and fitness in the community is considered important. There is a need, however to provide a greater focus on multi-use facilities additional to encourage an increased diversity of activities to occur additional to the traditional club-based sports. Also investigate ways to increase the allocation of sports fields to unstructured recreational activities, to ensure there is a balance of use.	Proposed change <i>Refer to response in 3.2.2b(iii).</i>
3.2.2c(ii)	Encourage people to be outdoors and participate in informal activities by providing a diverse range of facilities in open space.	There is a stronger level of support for participation in informal recreational activities compared with organised sporting use.	<i>No change</i>
3.2.2c(iii)	Maximise opportunities for people of all ages, abilities and cultural backgrounds to access, participate and enjoy open space.	92% of respondents noted this as being very important or important, reinforcing the need to achieve diversity in the design of open space. This is to ensure that open space is relevant and accessible for everyone. Section 6, along with the detailed precinct recommendations in Sections 7 and 8 of the Strategy Technical Report supports the high level of importance placed on accessibility by the community.	<i>No change</i>

3.2.2d Recommendations about environmental sustainability and biodiversity

Summary

Environmental sustainability and biodiversity are important to respondents of the survey. The most well supported recommendation of them all is open space designed for urban heat mitigation with provision of large canopy shade trees and planted areas. Sustainable water use and improving water quality was also strongly supported along with improving biodiversity. Refer to graph on the next page.



Response to survey results environmental sustainability and biodiversity recommendations

No.	Recommendation	Response	Proposed change
3.2.2d(i)	Maximise opportunities for open space to have large canopy shade trees and planted areas to keep them cooler in the summer and mitigate urban heat.	92% of respondents rated this as Very Important or Important, with many of the comments supporting the additional canopy shade trees.	<i>No change</i>
3.2.2d(ii)	Continue to implement sustainable water harvesting and water quality treatment projects contributing to sustainable water management in open space.	91% of respondents rate this as very important or important, with comments reinforcing sustainable water use. Other comments also reinforce the need for improving the quality of urban runoff before it reaches the waterways, benefiting the values of the receiving waters.	<i>No change</i>
2.1.2d(iii)	Improve biodiversity values along waterway corridors where feasible, including the Maribyrnong River and Moonee Ponds Creek with appropriate revegetation and improvement to water quality by pre-treating the runoff prior to it entering the waterways.	88% of respondents rate this as very important or important, establishing strong support for the directions and intent included in the Draft Strategy.	<i>No change</i>

3.2.3 Results from comments made in the survey


3.2.3a Overall distribution of comments

The comments made about the strategy covered a range of topics, with the most comments made around the issues associated with the design of the open space and the facilities provided in it. A large number of these comments relate to the need to provide multipurpose facilities to meet the diverse needs of the existing and future population and encourage a broad range of uses additional to organised and traditional (eg. football and cricket) sporting club use.

Health and wellbeing comments include the recognition that open space is beneficial to physical and mental health including for the workforce as a contrast to the workplace and for lunchtime use. Quantity and provision of open space includes many people nominating that additional open space is required in West Melbourne, and comments that it is also important to protect existing open space and provide more.

Refer to the pie diagram on the following page

The pie diagram below shows the frequency of comments made on each topic.



3.2.3b Top 10 individual comments received

No.	Comment
24	Open space - additional required in West Melbourne
16	Open space - beneficial to health
13	Docklands - needs improvement
11	Facilities - multipurpose for the diverse population
11	Open space - important as a contrast/break from the workplace
11	Sustainable water use - improve current programs
10	Waterways - important to preserve
9	Open space - important for people on lunch breaks
9	Open space - important to retain and protect
9	Contribution scheme - support the scheme
9	Access - improve access to open space

3.2.3b Summary list of all comments

The following comments are grouped into common topics, and have been summarised from all the comments made on the surveys. Some forms contained no comments and others contained numerous comments, so the numbers represent each time a comment was made and not the total number of surveys. The number adjacent to the topic heading is the total number of comments, both positive and negative, made on this topic. Comments made by 10 or more respondents are included in the response table in Section 3.2.4 of this report.

109	Design and facilities
11	Facilities - multipurpose for the diverse population
7	Facilities - provide facilities for unstructured sports
7	Facilities - available to all open space users
6	Sporting reserves - adequately catered for
5	Unstructured recreation - more important than structured sport
5	Sporting reserves - not to be built on existing open space
5	Playgrounds - provide more
5	Facilities - do not develop on existing open space
5	Community gardens - provide more
4	Sporting reserves - provide more
4	Shelter - provide more shelters over seating areas
4	Roof top gardens - provide more
4	Open space - design needs improvement
4	Facilities - improve design
4	Facilities - do not need additional built facilities
3	Water refill stations - provide more
3	Toilets - provide more
3	Shade - provide more shade in open space
3	Seats - additional required
2	Sport and recreational facilities - adequately catered for
2	Open space - upgrade existing
2	Development - improve development interface to open space
2	Barbeques - provide more
1	Wind breaks - provide more

1	Water features - provide more
1	Vertical gardens - provide more
1	Shade - provide built shade structures in areas of inadequate natural shade
1	Playgrounds - provide playgrounds with wheel chair access
1	Ice hockey - provide more facilities for ice hockey
1	Facilities - usage should be free of charge
1	Facilities - kept to a minimum
1	Cafes - provide in open space
86	Health and wellbeing
16	Open space - beneficial to health
11	Open space - important as a contrast/break from the workplace
9	Open space - important for people on lunch breaks
7	Open space - important as a place to relax
7	Open space - contributes to liveability of Melbourne
7	Community - open space enhances sense of community
5	Open space - is not just for physical activity
4	Open space - important for families and children
3	Sport and recreation - encourage informal sport for workers during lunch breaks
3	Sport - important to encourage physical activity
3	Open space - important to encourage people to go outside
3	Open space - important place to experience nature
3	Diversity - strategy to cater for young people's needs
2	Organised sport - encourages a sense of community
1	Organised sport - decreases health costs
1	Open space - makes Melbourne a better place to work
1	Development - concern about the impact of new development on character of local area
72	Quantity and provision of open space
24	Open space - additional required in West Melbourne
9	Open space - important to retain and protect
8	Open space - additional is required
7	Open space - adequately provided for already
6	Open space - additional required as density increases
3	Open space - additional is required, specific site suggested
3	Small open space - provide more
3	Open space - not to be built on
3	Open space - do not sell existing open space
2	Small open space - additional is not required
2	Open space - increase open space areas for university students
1	School ovals - to be open to the public when not in use
1	Gap analysis - distance between gap areas should be relative to the size of open space
55	Natural character and environmental values
10	Waterways - important to preserve
6	Open space - value green/natural character
6	Natural character - important for flora and fauna
4	Trees - increase tree planting
4	Trees - improve tree planting plan of existing open space
4	Trees - important to protect

3	Open space - maintain a green character
3	Canopy shade trees - provide protection from UV radiation
3	Biodiversity - important to encourage and preserve
2	Trees - do not plant more trees as they can make open space crowded
2	Trees - provide good shelter
2	Open space - increase linear open space corridors to support biodiversity
2	Fruit trees - provide more orchards
1	Vegetation - plant appropriate vegetation
1	Trees - value non-deciduous trees
1	Trees - provide more shade trees
1	Trees - important for native fauna
31	Sustainability
11	Sustainable water use - improve current programs
8	Trees - canopy trees important to keep open space cool and mitigate urban heat
4	Urban heat - support measures to mitigate urban heat
4	Sustainable water use - support existing programs
2	Vegetation - increase drought tolerant planting
2	Desalination plant - do not support
29	Individual open space and precinct comments
13	Docklands - needs improvement
6	Central city - open space improvements required
3	Southbank - open space improvements required
2	Flagstaff Gardens - needs improvement
2	Carlton - open space improvements required
1	Royal Park - leave as is
1	New Quay - improvements required
1	Moonee Ponds Creek - needs improvement
21	Open space contribution framework
9	Contribution scheme - support the scheme
5	Developers - should fund additional amenities and infrastructure
4	Population growth - do not support
2	Development - private open space must be a requirement for all future developments
1	Contribution rate - increase relative to the number of residents
19	Accessibility
9	Access - improve access to open space
5	Streetscape - improve design
3	Access - provide safe pedestrian crossing of major roads to improve access to open space
2	Links - improve connections between open spaces
17	Management and maintenance
4	Maintenance - improve maintenance
3	Dogs - provide off-leash areas
2	Waterways - continual maintenance required
2	Open space - improve overall safety
2	Management of open space - involve the community
2	Events - provide more public events

1	Smoking - prohibit smoking in open space
1	Information - about open space and overall facilities to be provided
14	Linear links and connections
5	Cycling - increase cycle paths
4	Waterway corridors - important for cycle and walking paths
2	Cycling - improve access to open space for cyclists
1	Paths - increase the width of cycle paths
1	Links - connect open spaces with cycle paths
1	Cycling - increase signs to educate drivers about bike safety
10	Overall strategy
4	Liveability - do not support the 'most liveable city' title
4	Strategy - support the strategy
2	Strategy - commend council on preparing it
5	Miscellaneous
5	Non open space related comment
3	Heritage
3	Heritage values - important to consider when planning for the future
2	Economic benefits
2	Tourism - open space is positive for tourism

3.2.4 Response to key comments

Below is a table listing the key comments made by 10 or more respondents, with the response to how this relevant to the strategy, and whether or not a change to the final strategy is required as a result of the comment.

No	Res- ponses	Comment	Response	Proposed change
3.2.4a	Quantity and provision of open space			
3.2.4a (i)	24	Open space - additional required in West Melbourne	This supports the proposals to establish additional open space in this precinct as shown on page 25 of the Strategy.	<i>No change</i>
3.2.4a (ii)	11	Open space - important as a contrast/break from the workplace.	This supports the direction in the Strategy to consider worker population open space needs as well as the needs of the residential population. This also confirms that people value the opportunity to be outside in a place they can relax during the day which is important to their overall mental health and wellbeing.	<i>No change</i>
3.2.4b	Health and Wellbeing			
3.2.4b (i)	16	Open space - beneficial to health	This supports the Strategy direction, which includes providing adequate open space nearby to the existing and forecast population so they can easily access it. Other important directions that this supports include providing an increased diversity of facilities and designs	<i>No change</i>

No	Responses	Comment	Response	Proposed change
			to promote use by the diverse community.	
3.2.4c	<i>Individual open space and precinct comments</i>			
3.2.4c (i)	13	Docklands - needs improvement	This supports the Strategy direction to provide additional green open space in Docklands. It is acknowledged that some of the issues that individuals have raised such as providing a much larger Municipal open space in the precinct are beyond the City of Melbourne's role and responsibility given that 98% of all the land area has already been allocated to other uses by the Victorian government. There is, however potential to improve open space provision and design in Docklands as outlined in the Strategy to meet some of the improvements being suggested in the Surveys.	<i>No change</i>
3.2.4d	<i>Open space design and facilities</i>			
3.2.4d (i)	11	Facilities - multipurpose for a diverse population.	The responses support the direction that open space is designed to appeal to the diverse community. There some minor changes already proposed to strengthen the text associated with the provision of future Municipal open space being for active recreation activities including structured and unstructured use so they are adaptable for future use.	<i>No change specific to this comment. Refer to Proposed change in 3.2.2b(iii).</i>
3.2.4e	<i>Sustainability</i>			
3.2.4e (i)	11	Sustainable water use - improve current programs.	The responses support the current program and the potential to improve sustainable water use. This supports the direction of the Strategy and also the broader City of Melbourne approach to sustainable water use.	<i>No change</i>
3.2.4f	<i>Natural character and environmental values</i>			
3.2.4f (i)	10	Waterways - important to preserve	The Strategy supports protection of the waterways through a number of measures including: widening the open space corridor along selected locations (particularly Maribyrnong River); improving biodiversity values, particularly along Moonee Ponds Creek and the Maribyrnong River; improving quality of urban stormwater runoff prior to it reaching the receiving waterways; and improving recreational links and access along them so that people can appreciate and enjoy the waterway corridors, compatible with their environmental values.	<i>No change</i>


3.3 Results from resident surveys only

These results are from residents who live in the City of Melbourne and work either outside the municipality or within. Section 2.3 contains the results from workers who work in the City of Melbourne and either live inside or outside the municipality.

3.3.1 Details of the respondents


3.3.1a Gender

There is a higher proportion of females than males completing the survey and a total of 11% who did not complete the question.




3.3.1b Age range

Compared to the age range of the combined results in 2.1.1b, there is a higher proportion of people from the 60-69 age range. There is an under-representation of people in the 18-24 age range and an over-representation of people in the 35-49 age range.



3.3.1c Where they live

Surveys have been received from all suburbs in the City of Melbourne. There is a more even representation of all areas proportionately when compared with the combined data in 2.1.1c. This is due to large number of people completing the survey who work in Melbourne 3000.



3.3.2 Results from responses to the key recommendations for residents only

3.3.2a Overview summary

Overall the majority of respondents confirmed that the key strategy recommendations are either 'very important' or 'important'.

From the 'very important' category, the top three were:

- 79% Open space designed for urban heat mitigation.
- 78% Provide additional open space so the community can easily walk to it.
- 72% Continue to implement sustainable water use.

Combining 'very important' and 'important' responses together:

- 94% Provide additional open space so the community can easily walk to it.
- 94% Open space design for urban heat island mitigation.
- 91% Improve biodiversity and water quality along waterways.
- 91% Open space designed to improve accessibility for all.

In the 'not important' category, top two responses:


- 8% Provide additional sporting reserves.
- 5% Encourage participation in organised (club-based) sport.

3.3.2b Provision of open space key recommendations

Summary of the results and how they compare to workers outcome

Overall there is a high level of support for provision of additional open space within easy walking distance, providing additional Capital City open space and the implementation of the open space contribution rate in the planning scheme. Respondents are less supportive of the provision of additional sporting reserves.


The main difference between the surveys completed by residents and those completed by workers is that residents more strongly support the provision of additional open space within easy walking distance and additional Capital City open space, along with less support for the additional sporting reserves.



3.3.2c Facilities and use of open space recommendations

Summary of the results and how they compare to workers outcome


Improving accessibility for all is strongly supported followed by participation in informal recreation, which is clearly considered more important than encouraging participation in organised (club-based) sport. This concurs with the overall national and state-wide trends of increasing participation in informal activities and a decline in club-based participation. The worker population have responded similarly to this question, but residents have a slightly lower level of importance given to encouraging participation in organised sport compared with the worker surveys.



3.3.2d Environmental sustainability and biodiversity recommendations

Summary of the results and how they compare to workers outcome

Environmental sustainability and biodiversity are important to respondents of the survey, particularly residents where the response to very important is almost 10 per cent higher across all three for residents compared with workers. The most well supported recommendation of them is Open space designed for urban heat mitigation with provision of large canopy shade trees and planted areas. Sustainable water use and improving water quality was also strongly supported along with improving biodiversity.




3.4 Results from worker surveys only

These results are from workers who work either live and work in the City of Melbourne or work in the municipality and live outside it.

3.4.1 Details of the respondents


2.3.1 Gender

Slightly more females than males completed the survey and 7% did not nominate their gender.



3.4.1b Age range

As with the residential data, the 35-49 age range has the greatest representation followed by the 25-34 age range.



3.4.2 Results from responses to the key recommendations for workers only

3.4.2a Overview summary

Overall the majority of respondents confirmed the key strategy recommendations are either 'very important' or 'important'.

From the 'very important' category, the top three were:

- 68% Open space designed for urban heat mitigation.
- 67% Provide additional open space so the community can easily walk to it.
- 63% Continue to implement sustainable water use.
- 63% Open space designed to improve accessibility for all.

Combining 'very important' and 'important' responses together:

- 93% Provide additional open space so the community can easily walk to it.
- 92% Open space designed to improve accessibility for all.
- 91% Open space design for urban heat island mitigation.

In the 'not important' category, top two responses:

- 8% Provide additional sporting reserves.
- 5% Encourage participation in organised (club-based) sport.


3.4.2b Provision of open space recommendations

Summary of the results and how they compare to resident outcomes

Overall there is a high level of support for provision of additional open space within easy walking distance, providing additional Capital City open space and the implementation of the open space contribution rate in the planning scheme. Respondents are less supportive of the provision of additional sporting reserves.

Compared with residents the response is similar, but residents more strongly support the provision of additional open space within easy walking distance and additional Capital City open space, and are less supportive of the additional sporting reserves.


Refer to graph on the following page.



3.4.2c Facilities and use of open space recommendations

Summary of the results and how they compare to resident outcomes


Improving accessibility for all is strongly supported followed by participation in informal recreation, which is clearly considered more important than encouraging participation in organised (club-based) sport. This concurs with the overall national and state-wide trends of increasing participation in informal activities and a decline in club-based participation. The resident population have responded similarly to this question, but workers have a slightly lower level of importance given to encouraging participation in organised sport compared with the resident surveys.



3.4.2d Environmental sustainability and biodiversity recommendations

Summary of the results and how they compare to resident outcomes

Environmental sustainability and biodiversity are important to respondents of the survey. This is not quite as high as for residents where the response to very important is almost 10 per cent higher across all three compared with worker responses. The most well supported recommendation of them is Open space designed for urban heat mitigation with provision of large canopy shade trees and planted areas. Sustainable water use and improving water quality was also strongly supported along with improving biodiversity.




3.4.3 Worker use of open space

The results below include people who work and also live in the City of Melbourne, but not those who work outside the City of Melbourne.


3.4.3a Do you visit open space near your workplace

This response confirms the majority of workers completing the form visit open space near their workplace. It is noted that many of the surveys were distributed to people during lunch times in open space is likely to have contributed to the high proportion of the positive responses to this question.



3.4.3b The reasons you visit open space near your workplace

The answers to this question confirm that the workforce do use open space for a variety of reasons including being physically active and using the open space to relax and unwind and for social contact. Some of the reasons given in the 'Other' category also add to the diversity of reasons the workers visit open space. The list of Other reasons follows the graph below.



No.	Reason to visit open space near your workplace
8	Eating lunch outdoors in the park
5	Relaxation, unwind
5	Enjoy being in a natural place with beauty during the day
4	Enjoy the sunshine/Vitamin D
2	Playing with my children when they visit my work location and on weekends
2	Exercise/sport
1	Busker by trade - working
1	For sheer pleasure

The diverse range of reasons that workers visit open space reinforces the Strategy direction to provide for the workers as well as residents in future open space provision and design.